

# **Examiners' Report**Principal Examiner Feedback

January 2017

Pearson Edexcel International Advanced Level

History Unit 2: WHI02

Paper 1C: Russia: 1917-91: From Lenin to Yeltsin



# **Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications**

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <a href="https://www.edexcel.com">www.edexcel.com</a> or <a href="https://www.edexcel.com">www.btec.co.uk</a>. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <a href="https://www.edexcel.com/contactus">www.edexcel.com/contactus</a>.

# Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: <a href="https://www.pearson.com/uk">www.pearson.com/uk</a>

January 2017
Publications Code xxxxxxxx\*

All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2017

#### Introduction

It was pleasing to see a number of responses of a decent standard from candidates attempting the AS Paper WHI02/1C Russia, 1917-91 From Lenin to Yeltsin. The paper is divided into two sections. Section A contains a compulsory two-part question for the option studied, each part based on one source. It assesses source analysis and evaluation skills (AO2). Section B comprises a choice of essays that assess understanding of the period in depth (AO1) by targeting five second order concepts - cause, consequence, change/ continuity, similarity/difference and significance.

Candidates tend to find Section A more challenging than Section B mainly because some of them were not clear on what was meant by 'value' and 'weight' in the context of source analysis and evaluation. Some candidates' responses lacked the detailed knowledge base required in Section A to add contextual material to support/challenge points derived from the sources. The ability range was diverse, but the design of the paper allowed all abilities to be catered for. Furthermore, in Section B, few candidates produced wholly descriptive essays which were devoid of analysis and, for the most part, responses were soundly structured. The most common weakness in Section B essays was a lack of knowledge about the topic in the question selected. It is important to realise that Section A and Section B questions may be set from any part of any Key Topic, and, as a result, full coverage of the specification is enormously important.

The candidates' performance on individual questions is considered in the next section.

#### **Question 1**

(a) On Question 1(a), stronger responses demonstrated a clear understanding of the source material on the reasons for the stagnation of the economy under Brezhnev and showed analysis by selecting some key points relevant to the question, explaining their meaning and selecting material to support valid inferences (e.g. the economy stagnated because the command economy failed). Knowledge of the historical context concerning the reasons for the stagnation of the economy under Brezhnev was also confidently deployed in higher scoring answers to explain or support inferences, as well as to expand or confirm some matters of detail (e.g. the central planning was inefficient, technology was outdated and the obsession with growth led to the placing of a low priority on cost and quality). In addition, evaluation of the source material was related to the specified enquiry and based on valid criteria to show the value of the source. Similarly, explanation of utility referred relevantly to the nature or purpose of the source material or the position of the author (e.g. Volkogonov's account was written after the fall of the Soviet Union which meant he had more freedom to provide a critical account of the management of the economy). However, there was a number of weaker responses demonstrated limited understanding of the source material on the reasons stagnation of the economy under Brezhnev. The most common problem here was to write entirely from own knowledge without making reference to the source. This type of response cannot score highly. Many scripts lacked the development of inferences with reference to the source material and use of explanation. Weaker candidates continue to drift into arguments concerning 'lack of value' which is not rewarded in part a. Furthermore, although the concept of utility was often addressed by noting some aspects of source provenance, it was frequently based on questionable assumptions.

#### **SECTION A**

## Answer ALL questions. Write your answers in the spaces provided.

## Study Source 1 in the Sources Booklet before you answer this question.

1 (a) Why is Source 1 valuable to the historian for an enquiry into the reasons for the stagnation of the economy under Brezhnev?

Explain your answer using the source, the information given about it and your own knowledge of the historical context.

(10)

The source is valuable to the Historian for an enquiry into receons for stagnation under Brezhnev as it states 'Rates of growth in many spheres of industry were zero. This gives insight into some of the effects of Brezhnev trying to reverse Decentralization of the economy from Krushchev.

It gives further worth as it states 'Agriculture was in a state of terminal sickness.' this indication of the stagnation of Agriculture can be derived from poor agricultural equipment and like motorised forming with few spair parts incase of repair.

Polithouro regularly allocated hundreds of tonnes of gold to buy food from the west. This gives more worth as it gives insight into the USSR's failure to produce enough grain to feed its own people. Due to growing discontent among the soviet people during freezhnev's

premitere, incentive to work as well as produce or work with a pality cox goods were in short supply' extremely low rates of both production Moreover the source states stagnation 'Brezhnev fact that defence fook this gives eed much the age of new idear and a cult Without persona

-	
	relatively more worth as well as its author being a head of the Department of propaganda 1970-91 the writer would have considerable insight into this period of Stagnation which gives the source more worth in conclusion the source has considerable worth to the historian as it gives incite to the recsens for stagnation as well as having a recsonably reliable providence.
THE STATE OF THE S	
49894	4 144 P 5 2 0 1 2 A 9 4 2 0

This script shows the qualities of a level 3 response. The candidate begins to develop inferences and uses contextual knowledge to support the inferences. There is some evaluation based on valid criteria.

(b) On Question 1(b) stronger responses demonstrated understanding of the source material on the advantages of Stalin's collectivisation programme for the Russia peasant and showed analysis by selecting key points relevant to the question, explaining their meaning and selecting material to support valid inferences (e.g. collectivisation would allow improvement in farming by the introduction of modern machinery). Knowledge of the historical context concerning the advantages of Stalin's collectivisation programme for the Russia peasant was also confidently deployed in higher scoring answers to explain or support inferences as well as to expand, confirm or challenge some matters of detail (e.g. Collectivisation was beneficial to the peasants because of the introduction of large machinery such as tractors, which would be supplied by the state through huge machine and tractor stations). In addition, evaluation of the source material was related to the specified enquiry and explanation of weight referred relevantly to the nature or purpose of the source material or the position of the author (e.g. the speech is by Joseph Stalin who was responsible for the policy of collectivisation and clearly in a position to comment on its advantages). Judgements were also based on valid criteria such as the propaganda nature of the speech. Weaker responses demonstrated limited understanding of the source material on the advantages of Stalin's collectivisation programme for the Russia peasant and attempted some analysis by selecting and summarising information and making undeveloped inferences relevant to the question. A number of candidates knew little about the collectivisation programme, and those candidates tended to accept Stalin's claims unquestioningly. Some responses struggled to ascribe weight to the evidence and set statements about value and limitations in juxtaposition and judgements were based on questionable assumptions.

#### Study Source 2 in the Sources Booklet before you answer this question.

(b) How much weight do you give to the evidence of Source 2 for an enquiry into the advantages of Stalin's collectivisation programme for the Russian peasant?

Explain your answer, using the source, the information given about it and your own knowledge of the historical context.

(15)

Source 2 13  $\alpha$ princing from speich source entitled 1 Work in The Countrysicle' Stalin in which 64 abouthis policy speaks collectivisation. As The of are numbers of audièna Belong to the Communist Party Stalin and Rais is The orty . the Speaker would the Source Russian positive impacts 08 Collectinisation Son the Therefore, somumat very Source 2 peasants. -reliable valuable advantages of The for an enquiry into The sounce Stalin's there Ras collectivisation, especially since 20 opinion from recending Row they personal peasants Relt about the policy. Arsly, owned Stalin criticizes the individually tinu the garnis. thatindividual peasant hinself had to take ccure of Sowing harvesting? Here, Statin and say That -it 4 This quotation signifies how individual garnis ruch 08 a burden. were for as they had to the peasants By themselves do everything without mentions, " to be lett Stalin also without help. bread and fall a victim to stanvation." This show that valuable when peasants is not the as source very reliable had they individual could keep their garny, produce However, to themselves and live it. Collectivisation on



5

hand in all were to the policy in which peasants was surplus to the State and in return of their with machinery and not good. rewarded Collectivisation last the people without bread and as victimes to Starvation. Staliny words are unreliable favour his own policies and would not talk would about it's negotivities with the numbers of the Communist if night cause him to lose support. Party 2 is not very valuable due to the above Source runtioned reasons.

Source 2 states, " collective farmer now has fewer cares than when he was on Ris individual This statement is true to an extent giving garni" weight to the Source. This is because collective farming did brings the pearants closer to one another and established teanwork for they worked together. Moreover, incase of energencies unlike in private owned farms they "can & shift the responsibility upon, other numbers of the collective to, and rely farm." This would list the burden of wat working make work much easier for the alone only one of the very farmers. This was advantages collectivisation brought for the peasants. policy did leave the peasants with Despite this, the a lot of wornies even though "the cares and responsibility for the endarm cure now shared by all the



collective farmers" for leads to source 2 being less valuable. 08 disadvantages Peasants faced a lot during for which Stalin Ras Collectivisation which runtioned in as runtioned above Ris speech. Airstly, garms that lead to to to accuse not the individual was which H Gread starvation, was Collectivisation these things This is proven as in the policy us led to of the peasants' produce and surplus from takes supply then with then and in return only Machinery instead 04 \$00d . The reward they were only be could wed for the dere improvement of Stalin's policy and not the people. Collectivisation As They refused to turther disadvantages or food was requisitioned hand in their surplus. forcefully. This created starvation, as well as good shortages. began burning their As a result, peasants own crops and slaughtering Their animals. Stalin reacted Kulaks million of them were shot and live arresting hurger. dying out of dead. People were Collectivisation did modernize Russia, however it was not Beneficial is not very for the peasants. Muretore, Source 2 into the advantages of Stalin's valuable for an enquiry The Russian peasant. Collectivi sation for programme valuable. Source 2 is All in all, not very relia n coured chaos on the country side Collectivisation Logit and This



a sign for advantages to occur. never Made The peasants turn against thuin Collectivisation own produce. Stalin is right about the peasants not work alone. However However. In addition disastrous in the country side. was Source 2 contend proves what The historical for the Source 21 grow Stalin valuable, originated sounce which is himself. Due to this, no spee critisize his own policies addressed by him would neither would it State consequence even one regative people. Stalin it would have on the would believe policies to be the best. for his This is why Source 2 for an enquiry is not very reliable the advantages of Stalin's Collectivisation programme for the Russian peasant. 61436/8

This is a level 4 entry response demonstrating a mixture of level 3 and level4 qualities that place it at the borderline of level 4.

#### Question 2

This was the most popular essay question. On Question 2, stronger responses were targeted on how accurate it is to say that Khrushchev's attempts to reform the Soviet system in the years 1953-64 were a complete failure. These also included an analysis of relationships between key issues and a focus on the concept (consequence/impact) in the question. Sufficient knowledge to develop the argument was demonstrated too (e.g. de-Stalinisation, decentralisation of the party, the impact of the reform of the economy). Judgements made about whether Khrushchev's attempts to reform the Soviet system were a complete failure were reasoned and based on clear criteria. Higher scoring answers were also clearly organised and effectively communicated. Weaker responses tended to be generalised and, at best, offered a fairly simple, limited analysis of whether Khrushchev's attempts to reform the Soviet system in the years 1953-64 were a complete failure. Low scoring answers also often lacked focus on consequence/impact in relation to failures and successes or were essentially a description of policies and events during the period under discussion. Some candidates developed extensive material on foreign policy which was not the focus of focused on auestion which is Khrushchev's domestic Where some analysis using relevant knowledge was evident, it tended to lack range/depth. Furthermore, such responses were often fairly brief, lacked coherence and structure, and made unsubstantiated or weakly supported judgements.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box ⊠. If you change your mind, put a line through the box ⊠ and then indicate your new question with a cross ⊠.

Chosen question number: Question 2 Question 3 Question 4 🖂

Nikita Khrushchev was the leader of usse after Slalms death,

from 1953 to 1964. Khrushchev is famous as the man who took
a step away from statinism and tried to reform the Soviet system
as per the foundations laid by Manx and Lenin. 1994 How successful
he was in doing so is a question of debate.

Khrushehev attempted to change the Soviet system in all aspects. This included agriculture, industry, arts and culture, foreign policy and termingetting rid of terror. This essay will look into how successful he was in reforming each of the aspects.

Agricultural policy under Stallin Collectivization, was a disasterals faillive. To combat this, Enrushchev introduced the Virgin Lands. Scheme in last Minch included fairning in the Rareas of Siberia and Khazakhstan. Ehrushchev toured the country side, enquiring peasants about their problems and listening to them, a move no Russian leader had until date taken trouble to do. This brought the peasants more closer to the government and gave than a sense of belonging. The scoop new tractors and fertizers were sent to those areas which were farmed by young volunteers. Unitially, the harvests improved and productions increased to the pre-collectivization levels. However, this was not a sustainable harvest as after 1956, harvests fell and never to rise in his rule again. This was due to the rack of measures taken by the government to protect the harvests from the stand storms that were expenenced in the region. The soil too become

exhausted and use ended founder horself importing grain from usa and Australia at a time she was in the superpower race with usa. Khrushchevs attempt at & reforming the agriculture of the country was ineffective and the it was a failure. His failure in agricultural is one of the main reasons for his fall in power in 1964 Or reforming the industry, he countinued the five Year Plans which were to be three Year Plans from now. The 6th Five Year Plan for the first time concentrated on the production of light mousting consumer goods such as TVs , radios , washing machines and sewing Machines. In order to do this, hundreds of Regional economic councils up to ger handle production locally. Khrushchev wanted to de-centralise the government (Stalin had created a highly contralised State where all orders come from Moscow). By setting up the regional economic councils, Kurushchev was successful in de-centraliang the government, although the councils were not as effective. Workers were given better pay and minimum wage was introduced, the wages depended on autput and prefactories were allowed to make profits instead of just meeting quotas, so these reforms increased the efficiency of the industries. In addition, pensions were given out and seasocial security schemes improved so the standard of living and the purchasing power of the Russians increased under Khrushchev. Mehaps the

(Yun Gagann 1961). Therefore, Industry under Khrushchev was

biggest sumses of knowshichev came when user beat us in the space race to be send the first manned saldite to space the

reformed for the better.

Knrushenev was a strong admirrer of literature and aris. For the first time, under his tule books and novels critisizing Stalin were published. These included works of Solzhenitsyn which became famous internationally. However, Knrushenev had his limitations.

If the books criticized the current rule, they would be bedismissed, banned and the writer expelled from the writers union. Unlike Stalin, atleast these writers and not end up in gulags.

Regarding religion, Khrushchev was suspicious about the am high influence of the Orthodox Church in the lives of the Russians. He banned teligious meetings and closed down numbers of churches. Life became increasingly difficult for allistians in under Khrushchev as they could not hold gatherings even in public homes without the approval of the state. His reform regarding religion finerefore can be viewed as a failinte as he lost popularity due to this action.

Krushchevs biggest failive is argually his protegy policy. Although in his de-stallingation speech he mentioned that peaceful co-existence with the West was not only possible but necessary if war was to be avoided, his actions of spote differently. He pushed usse into a Cold War with usa and instead of corporating with the Nest in 1961 the Cuban Missile Crisis almost started a nuclear war and the Berlin Blockade in Grennary was unsuccessful. Chinese Communist government accused Klinishcheus glovanment of being revisionist and relations between the two communist powers worsened, which Kyrushchev made no attempt to heal. His extrovert personality and little to help him Conce in a heated discussion

in the UN, Khrushchev banged the table with his shoe) and it bot him several support both in the global community and locally. Khrushchevs changes in foreign policy was a disasterous failiure which coming leaders too will suffer.

Khrushchev wanted to make the Plussian state more people friendly and less nuthless. Therefore he abolished the KGB (secret police) and it note worthy that the gulage emptied, political pilisonels were given amnesty and the atmosphere became more relamed under Khrushchev. His reforms to make the Russian society more relamed was highly successful (this period is known in Russian history as the Thaw).

In the de-skillingation speech Enrushchev mentioned that socialism could be achieved in other ways that he way Stalin had pursued and suggested that he would allow different roads to socialism. This sparked independence movements in Hungary and Poland. Ehrushchevé limits were tested here as tanks were sent in to chish the Hungarian Uprising and he refused to listen to the UN as well. In this way, Ehrushchevés policy of allowing different roads to socialism existed only in paper.

Stronger than the individual in power. He aid not want a repetition of the cult of personality of Stalin. He was highly successful in the giving the powers to the Central Committee of the party rather than letting the scult of personality to rule. This is evident as Khrushchev himself was dismissed by the Central Committee in 1964.



		Turn ov
	or the move away from a diclatorship; s prehaps the bigged reform usse nea	
industry he	d Gultures When he was successful in re was a failure internationally But he mi	ust be given

This is a level 4 response with a clear focus on judging the failures and successes of reforms. There is an occasional wander into irrelevant material and the occasional inaccuracy but the clear argument and relevant support place it into level 4.

#### **Question 3**

There were a small number of responses to this question and the majority were weak and lacking in focus on how far the Soviet government's treatment of culture changed in the years 1917-53. The question asked candidates to reach a judgement about the extent of change in the treatment of culture and required candidates to explore those changes to relevant areas of culture including art, music and film. However, a large number of those candidates who tackled this question focused on areas of social policy such as the changing policies towards women. Such answer could not score highly since they were not relevant to the question.

## **Question 4**

There were very few responses to this question.

The stronger responses targeted the extent to which Soviet education policy was successful in the years 1918-41 and included an analysis of the links between key factors and a clear focus on the concept (consequence). Sufficient knowledge to develop the argument (primary, secondary and higher education, control of the curriculum and teachers and the focus on literacy) was demonstrated. Judgements made about the extent to which Soviet education policy was successful in the years 1918-41 were reasoned and based on clear criteria. Higher scoring answers were also clearly organised and effectively communicated. Weaker responses tended to be generalised and, at best, offered a fairly simple, limited analysis of the extent to Soviet education policy was successful in relevant period. Low scoring answers also often lacked focus on consequence or were essentially a description of some policies in the relevant period. Where some analysis using relevant knowledge was often evident, it tended to lack range/depth. Furthermore, such responses were often fairly brief, lacked coherence and structure, and made unsubstantiated or weakly supported judgements.

Based on their performance on this paper, candidates are offered the following advice:

## Section A

#### Value of Source Question 1(a)

- Candidates must be more prepared to make valid inferences rather than to paraphrase the source
- Candidates should be prepared to back up inferences by adding additional contextual knowledge from beyond the source
- Candidates need to move beyond stereotypical approaches to the nature/purpose and authorship of the source e.g. look at the specific stance and/or purpose of the writer
- Candidates should avoid writing about the deficiencies of the source when assessing its value to the enquiry

## Weight of Source Question 1(b)

- Candidates should be prepared to assess the weight of the source for an enquiry by being aware that the author is writing for a specific audience. Be aware of the values and concerns of that audience.
- Candidates should try to distinguish between fact and opinion by using their contextual knowledge of the period

- In coming to a judgement about the nature/purpose of the source, candidates should take account of the weight that may be given to the author's evidence in the light of his or her stance and/or purpose
- In assessing weight, it is perfectly permissible to assess reliability by considering what has been perhaps deliberately omitted from the source

#### Section B

#### Essay questions

- Candidates must use more factual details as evidence to develop their answers. Weaker responses lacked depth and sometimes range
- Candidates should take a few minutes to plan their answer before beginning to write
- Candidates should pick out three or four key themes and then provide an analysis of (for e.g.) the target significance mentioned in the question, setting its importance against other themes rather than providing a description of each
- Candidates need to ensure that the knowledge they select is relevant to the theme of the question and the time period set in the quesion
- Candidates would benefit from paying careful attention to key phrases in the question when analysing and use them throughout the essay to prevent deviation from the central issues and concepts
- Candidates should try to explore links between issues to make the structure flow more logically and the arguments more integrated.