

Examiners' Report

Summer 2016

Pearson Edexcel GCE in History (WHIO2) Paper 1A

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.btec.co.uk. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

Summer 2016
Publications Code UA041796
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2016

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link: http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx

Examiner Report WHI02/1A

Introduction

It was pleasing to see responses of a decent standard from candidates attempting the new AS Paper WHI02/1A: India, 1857-1948: The Raj to Partition. The paper is divided into two sections. Section A contains a compulsory two-part question for the option studied, each part based on one source. It assesses source analysis and evaluation skills (AO2). Section B comprises a choice of essays that assess understanding of the period in depth (AO1) by targeting five second order concepts - cause, consequence, change/continuity, similarity/difference and significance.

Generally speaking, candidates found Section A more challenging mainly because some of them were not clear on what was meant by 'value' and 'weight' in the context of source analysis and evaluation. The detailed knowledge base required in Section A to add contextual

material to support/challenge points derived from the sources was also often absent. Having said this, although a few responses were quite brief, there was little evidence on this paper of candidates having insufficient time to answer questions from Sections A and B. The ability range was diverse, but the design of the paper allowed all abilities to be catered for. Furthermore, in Section B, few candidates produced wholly descriptive essays which were devoid of analysis and, for the most part, responses were soundly structured. The most common weakness in Section B essays was a lack of knowledge. It is important to realise that Section A and Section B questions may be set from any part of any Key Topic, and, as a result, full coverage of the specification is enormously important.

The candidates' performance on individual questions is considered in the next section.

Question 1

(a) On Question 1(a), stronger responses demonstrated a clear understanding of the source material on the changes to the government of India introduced after the Indian Mutiny of 1857 and showed analysis by selecting some key points relevant to the question, explaining their meaning and selecting material to support valid inferences (e.g. the Proclamation provided for freedom of religion). Knowledge of the historical context concerning the changes to the government of India introduced after the Indian Mutiny of 1857 was also confidently deployed in higher scoring answers to explain or support inferences, as well as to expand or confirm some matters of detail (e.g. for the first time, Indians would have a part in the government). In addition, evaluation of the source material was related to the specified enquiry and based on valid criteria to show the value of the source. Similarly, explanation of utility referred relevantly to the nature or purpose of the source material or the position of the author (e.g. this is an official proclamation outlining the nature of the new government of India). Weaker responses demonstrated limited understanding of the source material on the changes to the government of India introduced after the Indian Mutiny of 1857, and attempted some analysis by selecting and summarising information and making basic/undeveloped inferences relevant to the question. Lower scoring

answers also tended to add limited contextual knowledge to information taken from the source material to expand or confirm some points but these were not developed very far. Some candidates wrote at length on the Mutiny and made little or no use of the source material at all. This approach cannot score highly. Although related to the specified enquiry, evaluation of the source material by weaker candidates was limited and often drifted into 'lack of value' arguments. Furthermore, although the concept of utility was often addressed by noting some aspects of source provenance, it was frequently based on questionable assumptions.

(a) Why is Source 1 valuable to the historian for an enquiry into the changes to the government of India introduced after the Indian Mutiny of 1857? Explain your answer using the source, the information given about it and your own knowledge of the historical context. (10) in 1857; and not a thereto party anaffiliated with British matters in India so it is likely to be reliable.

However, Source 1 is simply an extract from the actual prodomation. Quite a few and statements, such as ones concerning India's trade and foreign affeirs, way not be induded. This underwines the bustwar-Thires of the Jaune, as we are not seeing The whole picture. The provenance producation is also of significance. Viscount Canning, who was himself present during The events of the deeping and sew it in action, may have added a degree of bias to the tenus - perhaps making them Fer an mene repressive, because he the "nature" of Indians, un deliberately making the new British government seem liberal and un threatening than it is ander to further his / the government's agende. This may be the case because, The fallacing years, the British would explain the Indians of ways - that are most likely not men-

braned in the producation.
In condusion, the author of the proda-
makon in Same 1, compined with the
lack of defail and the hime lag between
tack of detail and the sine lag between the sine year of the issue, of the por male
it the government - issued statement
more les reliable than expected, although
the sounce does how a degree of informa-
han about the new British government
in India fallowing the upring of 1857.

This is a level 2 response. There is a comprehension of the source material and some awareness of the nature of the source although this is not developed very far. On the second page, there is a lengthy passage covering Viscount Canning and the Mutiny which is not related to the source material and the focus on the question on changes to the government of India. Therefore this response cannot achieve level 3.

SECTION A

Answer ALL questions. Write your answers in the spaces provided.

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WHITE IN THIS AKEA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

Study Source 1 in the Sources Booklet before you answer this question.

1 (a) Why is Source 1 valuable to the historian for an enquiry into the changes to the government of India introduced after the Indian Mutiny of 1857?

Explain your answer using the source, the information given about it and your

own knowledge of the historical context.

(10) Indian Mufiny 1857 was uprising Company installe the Briushtrading are lichma 5 Korain installance 1he Thoras was Cause example Britosh Parliment necessa mana

India. These changes included installing Viscours Channing to be the first vicency and Governer-Central of India, this was a key move in ensuring the news-adjusted government direction in the way the Rritish rea Publishing Specifit laws and requeste Heel Shows be obeyed and acheres another key payer in securing their influences However, it could be taid that this Proclamation of Queen Victoria to the Privas Cheits and Reagle of India, I November 1958 (Sauce 2) have a firm but gentle attending approach. This is suprested by Botevia fore gory her "nght" to impose her reugen on any of her subjects. A Statement was made claiming there would be no fouration or trouble show against any person due to their religions Paith. This was highly imposent as discrimination and Condemnation of the Inetan Reaple to the East Their Company was a strong factor in causing the 1857 uprising. This dure was passed in an attend to the People. Howar by no means

This Papichar Source B Ugluable historian making New Clearly Thelia also rule o Britain Stouly became Polihes, ih development Clenemics India 1858 Proceamation Muhn

This is a level 3 response. The inferences are not developed by supporting with source material and contextual knowledge, but the comments on the purpose of the source are developed effectively and allow entry to L3.

(b) On Question 1(b) stronger responses demonstrated understanding of the source material on the reasons for the partition of India in 1947 and showed analysis by selecting key points relevant to the question, explaining their meaning and selecting material to support valid inferences (e.g. partition was necessary to prevent violence). Knowledge of the historical context concerning the reasons for the partition of India in 1947 was also confidently deployed in higher scoring answers to explain or support inferences as well as to expand, confirm or challenge some matters of detail (e.g. the desire for partition in Muslim communities). In addition, evaluation of the source material was related to the specified enquiry and explanation of weight referred relevantly to the nature or purpose of the source material or the position of the author (e.g. the possibility that Mountbatten is using the broadcast to pass the blame for partition to the Indian population). Judgements were also based on valid criteria such as Mountbatten's responsibility for organising the partition. Weaker responses demonstrated limited understanding of the source material on the reasons for the partition of India in 1947 and attempted some analysis by selecting and summarising information and making undeveloped inferences relevant to the question (e.g. the Indian population could not agree). Lower scoring answers also tended to add limited contextual knowledge to information taken from the source to expand or confirm points but this was not developed very far (e.g. the religious differences between Muslims and Hindus). Some candidates wrote at length on the topic of independence and Partition without relating their knowledge to the source. This approach cannot score highly. Although related to the specified enquiry, evaluation of the source material by weaker candidates was limited and often lacked focus on either the 'has weight' or 'doesn't have weight' aspect of the question. Furthermore, although the concept of utility was often addressed by noting some aspects of source provenance, it was frequently based on questionable assumptions (e.g. the source would hold no value because it came from Mountbatten).

(b) How much weight do you give to the evidence of Source 2 for an enquiry into the reasons for the partition of India in 1947?

Explain your answer using the source, the information given about it and your own knowledge of the historical context.

(15)

Source & gives a significant amount # of weight to its evidence into the reasons for the partition of India in 1947. The source tells historians that Mountbatton (Vicevous in 1947) was out of options and that partition was the only # trying to break the congress-league dead lock. There also also many offer reasons feat the source feils to mention & for example, the rolls of Jinnah and Nehru.

Firstly, the source indicates that Mountbatten had run out of options and resorted to farrition as his fact hope. The source states that "the only alternative to using force is partition" to prevent "forcing any large areas in which one (community has a majority to live against their will under a Government in which another (community has a majority." Mounthatten wanted to, as far as possible, prevent any religious exproblems and violence that would result if Musions were forced to live under tindus or vice versa to A Boundary Commission was also called in in 1946 to

try and prevent these problems from brewing. Source 2 Stars that Maintatten had run out of options and states finiscleanly through his radio speech and is in with other historical facts which suggest that the was PONTHON WAS sally the option the only option Schoolly, the tone of the Source indicates that Mountbatten was powerless and unable to make Indian pointral leadlis, being the Indian National Congress All-India Muslim League, reconsider and change thus minds about partition. The source states that it was mountbatten's "First course to "livage the pr 'wae the political leaders to accept universelvedly the Cabine pt Mission Plan of May 6 1946"... to my great regret it has been impossible to obtain agreement either on the Capinet Mission or on any offer plan that preserve the unity of India: "Mountbatten was Unable to byeak the Congress—league deadling Pero Simila Yongerences also unable to convince leadlers accept the (abinet Mission's proposals, one being full dominion Status after the Parties wanted Partition and therefore, # did not Change their minds. Source 2 shows this since the tote used is almost regretful and shows hink of Mountbatten haijing given up and being

powerless, showing that the source is valuable. Thirdly, the provenance of the serve also snows how valuable and & reliable the source is. It is taken from Munthatten's radio speech on a time 1947. This suggests that the source will have more insightents the actual Views present in that time and four capture distress and Mountbatten and the British government lace of before Partition, giving historians a reliable source for an engury into the reasons for the Rusition of India in 1947 Honever, the Source may not be valuable due to potential bias. The source comes from Mount-batten, who, at that the had a goal set for im by the Bitish government - to get Incoff Britain's hands. The source only preser Prim by the Bitish government from it es almost the fault of parties that result were in fact, many officer agendas nough losses and increased spending in the

Second World Wax. Durce 2 es not reliable because it feils to mention the British & Indian views and Bitain's reasons for Partition, remong Lastly Castly, the source Ruled to mention the Mes of Nehmy Jamaharlal Nehm and Mohammer Jinhah in Royation, which makes the source less Significant Nehry and Finnows were one of the main tobsons reasons for Partition because they wanted it and sawit as the only option and alnowetheir respective parties to & achieve Ris goal Nehry was frearly moduled en Russition, influencing the Mount batter's lives and even resulted in Certain towns, like firzopur, being shifted to India before Partition. The source doem't mention these two roles and also Mountbatten's distille for Junah which may have caused him to give more benefits to India. The source becomes less valuable and is given less reight because of this fact. PTO

. 1	
030360360360360	Overall, Surce 2 es veliabre since et gives an enquir into some important reason fer
WOWOWON	partition such as the inabolity of Mountbatten to change the Indian political leaders miras
2000	and highlights how farthor was the
	only hope for India. However, due to its failure to give both sides of the Kason
WW.W.	Tox fautition & the lower loser some weight
3K3K3K3	Encle these are important majors such as India losing its value in Britain's eyes.
STONE ON THE	The same is valuable, but only to a contein
3803803803	extent because of these reasons.
CONCORRODEC	
SCOREDBRON SCOREDBRON	
00000000000000000000000000000000000000	
8038038038	
200000000	
2380380383	
9003003030 900300300 90030030 90000 9000 9000 9000 90000 90000 90000 90000 90000 90000 90000 90000 90000 900	
WOMEN WAS WORDEN	(Total for Question 1 = 25 marks)
8	TOTAL FOR SECTION A = 25 MARKS

This is a level 4 response. The response effectively evaluates the source with relevant commentary on the claims being made, the tone of the source and the weight that can be attached to its provenance. Comments are underpinned by good contextual knowledge that is applied to the source material. The comments on what is missing from the source are a weaker part to the answer-candidates need to work with the material presented to them - but overall the response displays the qualities of a level 4 response.

Question 2

On Question 2, stronger responses targeted how accurate it is to say that, in the years 1857-1914, the Indian population did not benefit from British economic policies and included an analysis of the links between key factors and a clear focus on the concept (consequence). Sufficient knowledge to develop the argument (removal of tariffs, commercialisation of agriculture, and development of railways) was demonstrated. Judgements made about whether the Indian population did not benefit from British economic policies were reasoned and based on clear criteria. Higher scoring answers were also clearly organised and effectively communicated. Weaker responses tended to be generalised and, at best, offered a fairly simple, limited analysis of whether the Indian population did not benefit from British economic policies. Low scoring answers also often lacked focus on consequence or were essentially a narrative of some events during the years 1857-1914. Where some analysis using relevant knowledge was often evident, it tended to lack range/depth (e.g. limited comments on famine). Furthermore, such responses were often fairly brief, lacked coherence and structure, and made unsubstantiated or weakly supported judgements.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box ⊠. If you change your mind, put a line through the box ⊠ and then indicate your new question with a cross ⊠.

Chosen question number: Question 2

Question 3

Question 4

During the years 1857-1914, the Indian evenous

boomed experienced a gignificant trade Boom, which

helped the subcontinent prooper to the a record high.

Bowever, we prosperity of the nation was essentially pert

by members of the British Raj; seldom any natives benefits

the from British en nomic policies in India.

Firsty, towning the transference of the been to me East Frais company in Frais on he and of Angust 1858 (The both first Government of India act) The British Ray was primed. The primation of the Rajenables the British to equoit Indics valt canad variety of ratual gems. resomes, such as spicer, and, mon, steeles jute and were traded Tatal. ning in popularity in western and Eatern amore & levenues to the Raj began doubling for estalar within a matter of nonths - By 1890, India was reveling YIOM of Britains moverd invertent from from Belgium and Frame - £250 rition west up to £365 milion by 1910. However, the money generated by the Ray was chamered to members of me Ray and me But Indian cris sence (who were predominantly British) due to an agreements such as the manponer agreement which quaranteed finding for anyone who womed for me Raj wer when sermed home to angland. This left little money for

me veifere of the local Fraisms and hence illustrates that in the years 1857-1914, the sodien most of the Indian population did not besefit from British economic polities.

moreover, Lord Ripponi plan in 1883 which was a local self government plan gave me ilusion must me Raj was permith liberaring freed on for maisnets take responsibily over heir own political coursies actives, however the policy was nevery set out to cut financial with to me ky torunning local municipalities and bear cornils, and pur placed do a financial cost on the nature of the subco entinent who had to financial a larger financial borden placed on them. Moreover, although jobs in the Raj were weated bnative Indians and employment rose for amongsthe native population, greater tax were placed on Tulians and as taxes on expenditure were regressive, me Indian pegsont were purged into financial turnoil-barely seing absentioned we necessities needed to sustain a decent quaity of life, trus, highing mat in the gears 18T7-1914, most of the Indian population did not benefit from British economic policies in India. poll of all

firstnermore, as vicency sytten research at the me takes on British Lances Lancarshire cotton, at a time where the findian cotton manishy was star singgling are to for mine, British cotton hooded the markets causing me Indian cotton meth sing to cripple, at a consciol time. Although

greater revenues were generated As the gritish Raj, the domertic economy suffered causing widespread unemplo -yould have Indian whom makety herce establishing during me years 1857-1914, most of the Facion population did not benefit from British Economic policies Aboromic powers bowerds British some some Indians nost of the indian Ho wever, policies laid out by George infact aid benefit McManiel Curron, who success served as Viceroy in India & from 1898-1905 aid benefit of the rast majority of Indians. The development of the Indian railways in 1905 6000 miles oftende track vere laid out, greatly increased the mobility of geographical mobility of labor and broke communication harriers that India was faced with. Natives were able to take the trains and trall around the subcontinent for affordable rates, which and the railway system also greatly benefited trade between India and other courties. To they more, Curson also took personal interest in restaining me Tajmarat; on a building that was a dear representation of India's non culture and hence brought a greater serve of patronion amonget the Indians. Therefore, although most British policy by the griting did not benefit most of the Indian population, the establish India vas an exceptional tre ment of the railways in weals aswell as members of he kaj greatly benefited. Asmit In addition, economic policies in India esta

bisned by me British benefited me water intelligental's, the upper castes (Brahmins) and the Indian royalty. Princely states retained more revenue through British economic policy may helped pure me way to ra made boom, and where areas such as teashminer kashmingand hyderabode greatry prospered. Moreovers, do me las needed Frotan lawyers and other types were best of upper class in order to sustain a smooth running of me subcont -inext, the upper class of the social spectrum prospered, here although most of the Indian population did not herefit from British eranomic policy in the years 1817 -1714, the upper caste's and classes of India did benefit. Overall, although British policy in India economic policy in India was of nereft to a gione of the immerse Indian population, to most Indians British enomic policy placed greater economic and financial constraint du a higher domein's touration, me abolishment of tarritts on to Lancarstine cotton and due to the Rajis funding of the mampover agreement with civil servants working for the Ray rewaited by the Ray, Therefore, although India being days fied as he " Jevel of me British throne ", in reality majority of the Indians did not involved with the rivein prosper rity, herce dring me years 1857 - 1914, most of the Indian population did not benefit from British economic policies in India.

This is a level 4 response. The key issues are fully explored and analysed. The knowledge is deployed effectively to address the conceptual focus of consequence and criteria are developed to reach the judgement. A particular strength is the consideration of the impact of British economic policies on different groups.

Question 3

On Question 3, stronger responses targeted how accurate it is to say that the First World War was the most significant factor in the growth of nationalism in India in the years 1900-1920. These included an analysis of the links between key issues and a focus on the concept (significance) in the question. In addition, sufficient knowledge was used to assess the significance of the First World War (e.g. INC's adoption of self-government as a goal after the war, impact of fighting in the war, the Montagu Declaration) set against a range of other factors (e.g. the Partition of Bengal, the 1919 Government of India Act, Amritsar). Judgements made about the relative significance of First World War were reasoned and based on clear criteria. Higher scoring answers were also clearly organised and effectively communicated. Weaker responses tended to be generalised and, at best, offered a fairly simple, limited analysis of how accurate it is to say that the First World War was the most significant factor in the growth of nationalism in India in the years 1900-1920. Low scoring answers were also often lacked focus (didn't engage with significance) or were essentially a description of events in India during these years. Where some analysis using relevant knowledge was evident, it lacked range/depth (e.g. limited comments on the role of Gandhi). Furthermore, such responses were often fairly brief, lacked coherence and structure, and made unsubstantiated or weakly supported judgements.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box ⊠. If you change your mind, put a line through the box ⊠ and then indicate your new question with a cross ⊠. Chosen question number: Question 2 Question 3 Question 4 - EXspread cou

Also, Congress, the Muslim League and the princely states declared their loyalty to the British from the very beginning of the first work war. politicians in India, as well as the masses, expected a reward back aubut they what they provided Britain in their war effort in the increased control in governing in India and increased autonomy. Hence, more and more people became nationalists and joined the nationalist movement (which led to Indian independence) Housever, there are also many other Pactors that contributed to the grouth of nationalism in Indian. During 1900-1920, political awarness was rising, therefore they believed that they were able to govern themselves without any British internention tirstly, The Home Rule deagues were created in demanded independence from Britain. Through riots, rallies, campaigns and propoganda, these beaques managed to

gain alot of support in only one year, houce improvi proving their success in spreading of nationalism to the public Horeover, the creation of both Hoslim heague and Congress, shows that the Indians were very politically active and aware. These groups became the main players in Indian politics and were the driving forces to change expanded in the beginning of the the 1920s and thus more became an initiator for ref change. Therefore, the increased political awarness with the rise of these groups and individuals such as Gandhi, should people that the Indians were able to govern themselves, and thus nationalism was growing Forther Perhaps the most important figure that spread the idea of nationalism was Gandhi. Gandhi very inspirational cend educated who related himself to the masses; to different religious, castes and economic groups. He mainly spread

and advocated the ideas of civil disodebreuce (Subgraphus) (Subgraphus) to achieve purna succrai, We our see this when he introduced the first satyagraha in 1920, with the hopes of making the Roj inquernable and bouce the British would leave Gardhi was also of the main reason for the expansion Congress in the 1920, because during ladership, he succeeded in organision and increasing its membership. The Jack Had Goudhi had soch a large support base meant that more people are advocated for surerais, leading to the rise in nationalism throughout Furthermore, another Sactor that led to the introduction of nationalism in India prior to WWY was the partition Bengal in 1906. Hany argue that it was actually because of nationalism in Bengal that partition 1 place In took place. Still, the partition angered many Judians and there was large discoutent towards the British, especia Turzoon. This can be proven by the fac

Heat Bengal was reunited again in later years, us a result of the undespread nationalism that it initiated. In addion, the British also Jurth played a part in the expectly of nationalism through the reforms they introduced and their injusting The introduction of through the Horsey- Hinto reforms in 1909, and the Government of luch Act in 1919, gave the Indians gradually more and more influence over they become Hus more politically involved, especialle the minorities who were given reserved seats. This as a result increased Indian's political surgeness and increasing paner made many they were ready to gain autonously. Therefore, nationalism the British was growing as be more politically involved, Indians to which made them eager to fight demand dominion status and later independence

What's move, the British's at act actions and decisions in 1919 acts and Amritser re Roulattacts discredited made and were a comple turning point loa 420 psh. they deteriorated humanitarian through consorship, house in obbosigion # influenced that people started demonstration attacking the British holitay What made Surther exacerbated Amorts as Massacre any to of the Puni many deaths a therefore the Indians

augry at the way they were being treated. Thus, the Indian's supported to the Indian's supported to the Prish and wanted one of an end to their full control over their internal affairs, loading to the spread of nationalistic ideologies.

All in all, the period of 1000.1920 is associated with the growth and spread of nationalism throughout India Even though many see the first World War is seen as the most significant factor that led to this, ofter factors such as Indian political awarmess and the British also played major roles in the increased support for more automy and the idea of nationalism.

Doc ID 0408001122301

This is a level 4 response. There is some analysis and attempt to explain the links between the key feature of the period and the question, although the candidate is not entirely successful in reaching a clear judgement for attributing the greatest significance. The knowledge used is sufficient to address the question and the answer is communicated well.

Question 4

On Question 4, stronger responses targeted the extent to which Gandhi's methods and campaigns resulted in progress towards Indian independence in the years 1920-39 and included an analysis of the links between key factors and a clear focus on the concept (consequence). Sufficient knowledge to develop the argument (the use of non-violence, the Salt March, Gandhi's methods at the Round Table Conference, the 1935 Government of India Act) was demonstrated. Judgements made about the extent to which Gandhi's methods and campaigns resulted in progress were reasoned and based on clear criteria. Higher scoring answers were also clearly organised and effectively communicated. Weaker responses tended to be generalised and, at best, offered a fairly simple, limited analysis of the extent to which Gandhi's methods and campaigns resulted in progress towards Indian independence. Low scoring answers also often lacked focus on consequence or were essentially a narrative of some events during the years 1920-39. Some candidates mistook the focus as causation and considered Gandhi's methods as just one factor. Where some analysis using relevant knowledge was often evident, it tended to lack range/depth (e.g. limited comments Gandhi's beliefs). Furthermore, such responses were often fairly brief, lacked coherence and structure, and made unsubstantiated or weakly supported judgements.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box \boxtimes . If you change your mind, put a line through the box \boxtimes and then indicate your new question with a cross \boxtimes . Chosen question number: Question 2 Question 3 💹 🖄 Question 4 🔯

and Queen of England to This was how flue & WWI led to a rise in nationalism, by minging soldles from with a sense of wanting a free India, where they could choose whether or not to go into war. for On the other hand, Gandhi was another important factor in the growth of notionalism Gardhi's satyagrahas, which meant life fora, was his form of non-violent cooperation which allowed the Landians toprofest without bloodshed Gardhi abondoned clofling and began a daily Mutine of spinning by 1915. Prasants here able to identify with him since he dressed behaved and a like they did Gandhi also bused on Muslims and business man, of whom work jornored by other pourties. He believed that Western influences were niving India and want the nation to step back to their 1008 and the as they did before, wearing dhops and south making their to own clothes. Gandhi's Satyagrahas began in 1919 with a series of howtass in response to the Rowlad

Acts. The ability of Gandhi to enact his sayagraha campaigns shows how nationalism did g grow in India Gend Row more and more to Wanted to see an independent India free of the Raj & cenally was also so swassful that he became President of Congress in 1920- Grandhe was able to contributed greatly to the gwoth of nationalism as his ideas Caught on with the Indian masses through his et satuagrahas, and non-violence non-cooperation movements and his idea of a united and endependent India his was how as why Gardhi es an emportant factor en the gnowth of nationalism between 1900-1920 Another important factor is the gravely of the Muslim population. Nationalism among Muslims grew as fluy formed fluir own party in 1906 - the All-India Muslim league. The presence of Junah also contributed to raising nationalism. Mwlims were occess ful in actieving separate electoral allowing them to Stand for elections Sna they were a small minority group Nationalism among Muslims of 80

Due to flu Mushims being able to have a political voice, fleir sense of nortionalism grew because they could influence decision-making in their Country and how it was hen, which is how Muslims were a factor in the growth of hatronalism in India: Another Actor is the longing for an independent India and primarily for the Muslims, partition. Brokhale was the 'founder' of nationalism in India cus he spread ideas of democracy and independence which the caught on and were used by congress and the league. This drive of the Indian people along with the coordination of their respectitive parties lead to next onalism growing as people wanted to have heir own country to yovern by themselve and this desire only grew, couch is how this factor lead to the growth of nationalism between 1900-1920

This is a level 4 response. It explores relevant issues and assesses the impact of various factors that led to progression towards Indian independence. There are areas where the candidate has lapsed into a causal focus, but the response is always brought back to a consideration of impact. Hence this merits a level 4 mark.

Based on their performance on this paper, candidates are offered the following advice:

Section A

Value of Source Question 1(a)

- Candidates must be more prepared to make valid inferences rather than to paraphrase the source
- Candidates should be prepared to back up inferences by adding additional contextual knowledge from beyond the source
- Candidates need to move beyond stereotypical approaches to the nature/purpose and authorship of the source e.g. look at the specific stance and/or purpose of the writer
- Candidates should avoid writing about the deficiencies of the source when assessing its value to the enquiry

Weight of Source Question 1(b)

- Candidates should be prepared to assess the weight of the source for an enquiry by being aware that the author is writing for a specific audience. Be aware of the values and concerns of that audience.
- Candidates should try to distinguish between fact and opinion by using their contextual knowledge of the period
- In coming to a judgement about the nature/purpose of the source, candidates should take account of the weight that may be gived to the author's evidence in the light of his or her stance and/or purpose
- In assessing weight, it is perfectly permissible to assess reliability by considering what has been perhaps deliberately omitted from the source

Section B

Essay questions

- Candidates must provide more factual details as evidence. Weaker responses lacked depth and sometimes range
- Candidates should take a few minutes to plan their answer before beginning to write
- Candidates should pick out three or four key themes and then provide an analysis of (for e.g.) the target significance mentioned in the question, setting its importance against other themes rather than providing a description of each

- Candidates would benefit from paying careful attention to key phrases in the question when analysing and use them throughout the essay to prevent deviation from the central issues and concepts
- Candidates should try to explore links between issues to make the structure flow more logically and the arguments more integrated.