



Examiners' Report June 2012

GCE History 6HI03 C

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the world's leading learning company. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk for our BTEC qualifications.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

If you have any subject specific questions about this specification that require the help of a subject specialist, you can speak directly to the subject team at Pearson. Their contact details can be found on this link: www.edexcel.com/teachingservices.

You can also use our online Ask the Expert service at www.edexcel.com/ask. You will need an Edexcel username and password to access this service. See the ResultsPlus section below on how to get these details if you don't have them already.



Get more from your exam results

...and now your mock results too!

ResultsPlus is Edexcel's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam and mock performance, helping you to help them more effectively.

- See your students' scores for every exam question
- Spot topics, skills and types of question where they need to improve their learning
- Understand how your students' performance compares with Edexcel national averages
- Track progress against target grades and focus revision more effectively with NEW Mock Analysis

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit www.edexcel.com/resultsplus. To set up your ResultsPlus account, call us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk.

June 2012

Publications Code UA032428

All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2012

Introduction

In the third session of the 6HI03 C examination, it was pleasing to read a good standard of responses from candidates. Many candidates had clearly acquired detailed subject knowledge and wrote with sophistication and insight which placed them in the higher tier bracket.

The paper was divided into two sections: Section (A) an In-Depth Study question. Section (B) an Associated Historical Controversy question.

Centres should note that the amount of space provided in the booklet for answers is more than adequate for candidates to gain full marks. The space provided should **not** be seen as a recommendation of the amount candidates should write.

Unfortunately some candidates continue to write too much. As a consequence of this their responses lacked factual detail. Whilst this has been commented upon previously, a significant number of candidates still follow this approach. Factual relevance is the key to achieving high marks. Examiners want to see candidates who can use the sources and their own material effectively to answer the questions set.

Although a few responses were quite brief, there was little evidence on Option C of students having insufficient time to answer both questions. The paper catered for a wide ability range of candidates as everyone was able to attempt answers to both parts of the examination. There were also very few rubric errors. As expected, there were far more entrants for C2 (The United States, 1917-54: Boom, Bust and Recovery) than for C1 (The United States, 1820-77: A Disunited Nation?). The candidates' performance on individual questions is considered in the next section.

Most candidates who attempted this question were able to discuss to what extent there were 'few fundamental differences' between the economies of the North and South in the years 1820 to 1860. The weakest candidates tended to produce (1) a descriptive account of US economic development during this period which did not address the question (2) a response which was heavily reliant on unsupported assertions. Conversely, the best responses offered a sustained evaluation using an agree/disagree essay structure. These answers reached an informed judgement based on an assessment of key North/South economic features (such as the importance of agriculture, the scale of industrialisation, the extent of slave ownership, the output of the manufacturing sector and economic diversification).

Firstly by 1820 and Braymout the next 40 years the Norths industrial capturity grew quite rapidly and it was lon kines prevator then the southis to 1860. This was because of the industry urbanisation and change Snow agriculture. Between 1820 and 1850 the parant of the North's population living in tames as over 2500 people increased from 10 to 26% whilst the same signe in the South only work from 5 to 10%. The Boat that to many people were moring into knows show som soducts, policies son and shoot marks was doveloping. However it is easy to ovagouste the Norths progress. Ouring this period there were only sow

(Section A continued) industrys that actually employed are 50,000 In contrast to the North the south stought as or agricultural tased economy. This was due to the imation of the catter gin in 1795 which made cotton became hypely presitable. In 1790 ally lass than 10,000 boles on cotton wave being produced but by 1850 this runter had increased to Enjellion. Cotton made up our 50% & ble whole countries ougette and thoughout the feriod 1870 and 1860 in stayout successful so the Lorth su so sad to industrialise. This is deally a major associate desprane and assessment in itsals this are was split into a son sounds disperences such as the land in 6th regions as in the south soming was a lot easier.

Examiner Comments

This candidate has produced a Level 4 answer by offering detailed knowledge within a focused analytical structure. Here, the impact of industrialisation is clearly explained and linked to the question with good factual detail.



To gain high marks on the Depth Study question, you must have a sound subject knowledge. Check the specification for the key topics.

Very few candidates attempted Question 2 but most responses were well focused. Indeed, most candidates were aware of the debate about 'Jacksonian democracy'. Consequently, the majority of answers offered some assessment of the 'democratisation' of US politics under President Jackson (with particular emphasis placed on the development of formal national mass parties, the new style of political activism, the campaign against the Bank of the United States, and Jackson's views/policies concerning native Americans, slaves and women e.g. Indian Removal). The strongest candidates demonstrated impressive range and depth in precisely focused answers. Weaker candidates tended to (1) write a chronological narrative account of the main events/developments of Jackson's presidency without relating them explicitly to the question (2) neglect one side of the argument.



This high Level 4 answer, which offers a clearly structured analytical approach, examines both sides of the 'Jacksonian democracy' debate. Here, the candidate assesses Jackson's treatment of native Americans and links it relevantly to the issue in the question.

This proved to be a very popular question. Most candidates who attempted Question 3 were able to discuss the role of the motor manufacturing industry and to what extent it was responsible for the US economic boom of the 1920s. Stronger responses also considered the role played by other factors (such as government policy, new management and advertising techniques, the availability of easy credit and hire purchase, and the economic impact of the First World War) in promoting economic growth before making a judgement about relative importance. The best answers put forward a sustained analysis which critically assessed the role of the motor manufacturing industry (set against other contributory factors) in generating the boom of the 1920s. Weaker responses tended to (1) offer a general survey of the 1920s US economy which was not properly linked to the question (2) concentrate almost exclusively on the stated factor.

The motor manufacturing industry was grogressing wastry as production lines were according in factories to quicken the rate of assembly for the eas.

(Section A continued) A prime example of this is the car manufacturer ford. By having a quick and think assembly line, they were able to keep the cost of the cas, especially the nuch favoured model T, down, meaning that make people would want to buy one. The car produce a bit like a status symbol, but during the 1920s they became affordable 1 so made people bought them which weard that motor and oil industries were booming and contributing to the "boom" period.

Results lus Examiner Comments

This Level 3 response is broadly analytical but offers limited detail and development. Here, for example, the candidate's assessment of the role of the US motor manufacturing industry in the boom of the 1920s lacks depth.



If you use the key phrases from the question throughout your essay, this will help you to write a relevant analytical response.

A few candidates who attempted this question were let down by a lack of detailed knowledge of the impact the Supreme Court and Roosevelt's critics had on the New Deal. Some very low-scoring responses offered inaccurate accounts of Supreme Court rulings and/ or opponents' actions. Typically, the weakest candidates relied on (1) a simple description of the opposition to the New Deal in the 1930s which contained a few basic references to the Supreme Court and left and right-wing critics (2) a narrow response which considered only one section of this opposition. The best responses deployed detailed knowledge to provide a focused assessment of the extent to which the Supreme Court/critics were able to influence the direction of the New Deal. These answers offered well supported arguments on both sides of the debate (for e.g. after 1936 the Supreme Court upheld rather than challenged New Deal measures but critics such as Long, Townsend, Brandeis and Frankfurter helped to steer Roosevelt to the left during the 2nd New Deal).

Assure The statement that neither the Supreme Cour nor Roosevelt's conics exerted much incluence over the nature of the New Deal in 1930s is mostly incorrect, as both groups besto had ficant impacts of New Deal policy. Firstly if we consider of many 1 the policies claim thou they were unconstitutional to significantly ousess MS strategy and establish Schemos. Seconally we must break group of Rosenell's onlice uh Left him a contict as we can see that Right WI antico, such as the American Liberty League had a limited housence over the 1930, New Does bolicies where a Rosserelt's Left Wing Con's, such as Knew very real threat to Rossaved his presidency, and consequence had a shorng influence on the policy Second New Doal



This Level 4 response puts forward the nuanced view that the Supreme Court and Roosevelt's critics did not all exercise the same amount of influence over the direction and progress of the New Deal. The candidate's effective introduction (shown here) outlines the argument which is then pursued, with reasonable range and depth, in the main section of the essay.

Most candidates who attempted this question were able to discuss the role of Southern secession as the cause of war between the North and South and then weigh this stated factor against others (such as North-South economic differences and the divisive issue of slavery). Better responses integrated source material and candidates' own knowledge to develop an argument about the reason(s) for the Civil War based on the issues raised by the extracts. These responses cross-referenced the sources extensively to support or challenge particular viewpoints in the process of reaching a judgement. Weaker candidates often relied almost exclusively on the source material and introduced very little own knowledge to develop a line of argument. In addition, some low-scoring answers merely offered 'potted' summaries of each source (often with a little own knowledge included) which prevented cross-referencing and the development of a support/challenge approach.

Source 2 States that the Main Cause of the Civil War was Slavery The Souther Seconded to due to The fact that they believed Lincoln and the Republican Party were attempting to Wipe out slavery Slavery Coar hugely important to the South and all it Me Underprised there economic System Of plantedon agriculture. The main were between North and South coas that of slavery expansion which the South Fell Cas essential and the North, especially the Republican Party did not wont. Source 3 states that the main cause of the civil Com Car a contest between plantades agriculture and Industrialising Capitalism however this difference to also caused by slavery In the South it was problable to have large plantadons as they could Use Slaves and any profits would be remeded In Slaves However in the North as there were no slaves profits would be reinvested into

(Section B continued) Capital to Make them More

Productive and this led to the differing economic

Systems However this theory for explaining the Civil

War is not so accepted necoedays, and slavey

Is seen as the Main Cause of the Civil War



This extract, from a Level 2 response, uses the sources in a superficial way. The candidate comprehends Source 2 and Source 3 and notes that they have different views on the causes of the Civil War. However, the arguments and evidence from the two sources are not fully developed or cross-referenced, and the candidate fails to integrate detailed own knowledge.



When planning your answer, read through the sources carefully and list all the support and challenge points you can. This will help you to cross-reference effectively in your answer.

On this question, the majority of responses were able to offer a reasoned explanation for the Confederacy's defeat in the Civil War based on the competing views set out in the three sources (Lincoln's political leadership, Southern disunity and superior Northern resources). Most candidates could also draw on a sound knowledge of the key events and developments between 1861 and 1865 which helped to determine the outcome. Once again, stronger candidates integrated cross-referenced source material with their own knowledge to put forward a substantiated judgement. Lower scoring responses typically adopted the 'potted' summary approach to the sources or else included little or no own knowledge. A few of the weaker candidates uncritically accepted the superior political leadership viewpoint and failed to consider properly the other arguments set out in the extracts.

Source 4 argues that it was Luisland superior leadership that secured victory for the Union, stating it a remarkable feat that Lunden managed to sustain "support for the Union's formulable form year war efort with little "coexion & repression". This is of course ignoring the suspension of Habeus

this can back up the point of Source 4 as this action served Mangland into the Union & Cherefore secured Washington the Union capital by decisive action Source 4 does also recognise Noths superiorty in "wanpower Centeing it to Source 6 & the Big Battalions theory. Source 4 also states that Linedus waintaining of the Norths "long tem enthusiosen for the fight "though a "clear statement of the was propose was a crucial factor This is referring to the Euraneipation Porlomation (September 1862) Where Lincoln made the wais cause slavery. This bams the North & maintained morale under a moral consade. The timing of this was also concial

oping wearing he released the Proclowation of a military victory at butietan as the advice of Seward. It was these decisive action from Lindle that therefore allowed him to appeal to mainteen Unionist & comented the Northern was coalitain.

Source 5 argues that the South's



This high Level 4 response uses the sources and own knowledge confidently throughout. In this extract, the candidate offers a perceptive assessment of the superior political leadership argument (Source 4) by examining the claims made in the light of his/her own knowledge of Lincoln's actions.

Note too how the candidate recognises that Source 4 partially supports the 'big battalions' argument outlined in Source 6.

Most candidates found this question accessible. Overall, the sources were used effectively and appropriate own knowledge was included to develop the argument about the reasons for the economic downturn of 1929-30. Stronger responses offered a sustained analysis based on the cross-referencing of the extracts and the integration of source material and own knowledge. These answers reached an informed judgement about the relative importance of the under-consumption argument when set against other viewpoints (such as European economic problems after 1918 and the US governent's low tax and minimal regulation approach in the 1920s). Low-scoring candidates tended to exhibit two main weaknesses - (1) relying on a descriptive essay which was inadequately linked to the sources provided (2) poor or non-existent integration of source material and own knowledge. A minority of candidates did not pick up on the links between under-consumption and some of the other factors mentioned in the sources (such as the consequences of the Wall Street Crash and government policies) which would have provided good cross-referencing opportunities.

Budger puts jornard the wien that - structual ponerty, inigular employment and low mager meant that the public did not have the coposity to consume. This can be san with onerproduction in agriculture which can the prize of form goods drop and Os form anomes wose by only 10 percent ammored to industry income using by '28 penent', it shows the combalance between the time coctors that for Bodger was a major cause of Goldheig piesents a similar organien the economic domintum. as he claims that both former and problem lacked adequate purchasing pomer to buy the goods and Eustoin prosperty. He cites the andustriatists' success a menenting amongstron for mage not heeping up with projets which is supported by Badger who city that the lowest 93 penent of Won- form worker only com a 6 per cent inverse in their Crome manning they could no This can be coun as a Valid organist as much Som was based on commer exerding on during the 1820's Euchos Con and Radion. Wi ordequate wages the public would not be able to Continue busying product and untain the growth in spite of advertisement. This decrose of sales monder then home a

(Section B continued) work on exect on the morker in factories and former out of their goods are not selling meaning lover monne then



Here, the candidate has produced a mid-Level 3 answer by taking some information from the sources and integrating a moderate amount of own knowledge. There is scope to offer more of both, and to cross-reference the sources more effectively. For example, Source 8 could be linked to the argument in the extract because it talks about the lack of consumer spending in the wake of the Wall Street Crash.



During the planning stage, after you have identified the key issues raised by the sources, add your own knowledge to these points. That way you'll find it easier to integrate the two elements in the actual essay.

This proved to be a popular question. Many candidates made good use of the sources and their own knowledge to develop a confident line of argument about the extent to which the New Deal revived the US economy. The strongest candidates offered a sustained source-led analysis with impressive range and depth. Many in this category provided extensive cross-referencing of the sources to emphasise, for example, how the New Deal brought about only a partial economic revival which was given further momentum by the approach of war. Weaker candidates tended to produce (1) a narrative of the main New Deal measures which was inadequately linked to the question (2) a 'potted' source by source commentary with little or no cross-referencing which prevented the development of a support/challenge approach.

, ,	,	h the box $oxtimes$ and then put a cross in another box $oxtimes$
Chosen Question	n Number:	
	Question 5 🖾	Question 6 🖾
	Question 7	Question 8 🗵
employmen	nt -> GCC, PWA, CWA	, WAA 'unengloyment was cit'
4	wos' gesisterd si	
GNP 160W	need back' PWA CWA	+ ('37) Clements 'trenzied' 100 days greatest success first term.
'NEW YOrk!s	EC saved capitalism, ba	nking
created reli	regulatory efoid' SSA, NLRB, PH	ultimostely nothing modlered made
		never given adequate relief!, THA southed
NRA did da	moge' codes violatel	Ot unworkable unconstitus snuffed out'
The only rea	isonably good year? TVA	, AAA, booking

(Section B continued) The New Deal failed to revive US economy

The New Deal has been widely celebrated as the scheme used by Franklin D. Rooseveth to lift America out of the depression in the 1930s. Clements, a historian called his first 100 days the most frenzied and energetic at any presidency as he passed 15 masor precess of legislation. However one might consider that the Mile New Deal (ND) failed to require the US economy as (Unemployment remained at 16-20 a for most of the late 1930s' (Jenkins) and this is a good indicator of prosperty or lack threaf. While the ND is remembered by history as reviving the US economy perhaps it was the Mar which eventually led to the GNP history thick revived the economy instead.

Many historians have used unemployment figures to gage the success of the ND widh. Johnson stating 'employment was at the 19 per cent in 1938' however this statistic may be mis-leading as there was a huge difference in employment across statements, comparing New Kork and Onio for example. Furtramore many ND agencies did tackle unemployment in the early 1930s. The CCC hired 250,000 young men for 9 months planting trees etc. and they earn't \$30/month; while the CWA taok on 2.5 million men for 3 months in 1933 to help them get through the winter. The issue here is that to give the maximum number of people a chance to work for them there was a high turnover of jobs meaning unemployment remained high although the CCC ran for lyears. Shales too refers to a persistent shortage of jobs' and Johnson's conclusion 'it interventionism worked it book 9 years and a world worl is apt. Despite all of PDR's agencies, it was not untill 13 million were employed in the army that unemployment disoppeared in 1943.

(Section B continued) Jenkens is more optimistic, highlighting that the GNP more than boursed back to \$113 billion by 1937. This is likely to be due to the early public works schemes such as PWA which built 13,000 schools and 50,000 m miles of road and the 1935 WAA which & cut Lincoln Tunnel and Fart Knox, all improving the infrar structure of Port Rnox. Johnson actually suggests The only reasonably good year was 1937' again focusing on levels of employment, however this is not true. In 1933 The AAA which subsidised farmers to reduce production saw farmers prices double, and the TVA in the same year harnised the power of the Tennessee Rurer in 7 of the poorest states and was responsible for bringing hydro-electric power to 9/10 farms, when only 1/10 had electricity before Than Average incomes in the region rose 200% because of the TVA. These early successes demonstrate how the New Deal did revine the economy and may be why Jenkins asserted it it had it's greatest successes in it's first term! Even this may be disputed as the social Secuntry Act, providing relief from 1935, and the Fair Labor Standards Act fixing the minimum wage at \$25c an hour to eventually not to 40c/hour was created in the Second New Deal.

While Johnson suggestants 'real recovery came only when news of Europe plunged the New York Stock Exchange into a Sciful confusion!

which is certainly true, one might consider that Rossevelt had already gone a long way to revive the stock exchange with the Securties and Exchange Commission of 1934 which regulated activity on the market and restored confidence in the system catching out a crook in it's own ranks and imprisoning Richard Whitney for emberginent in 1937. The banking system was also revived by the Emergency Banking Act and Fe day

(Section B continued) bank holiday in 1933 which Raymond Holey of the Bauns Trust said meant 'American Capitalism was sowed in Bauys'.

Following this, in 1936 there were no bank failures for the fast time in 59 years. Shales agrees that of the ND agencies 'some were useful such as the financial institutions he established' Swrely this suggests the DD was not a complete failure.

However as Johnson indicates recovery of the economy was "slow and feeble." The Ecderal Housing Administration for instance which was disigned to protect the ability to repay mortagges on new homes was rendered much less useful when considering 65% homes cost over \$4,000 to 25% families couldn't afford them. It was also feeble in that attitudes racial presudices meant the FHA wouldn't help African-Americans get mortgages in white areas similarly FERA was given \$500 tillion to spend on relief, supposedly a good example of the 'relief aid and regulatory agencies' Shlass refers to However the average family on relief got \$25 month while the amount recommended for subsistence was \$100. Harry Hopkins who tan FERA admitted 'we have never given adequate relief' and this is hugely important to recovery as the economy could not be revised if people were not given aid fiest.

While some agencies filled to deliver recovery others had an even more regative effect. Shlaves believes that 'the National Recovery Agency (NRA) did damage NRA rules were so in flexible they frightened away capital.'

One right agree that there 557 codes, establishing a minimum wage and collective bargaining (Section 70) would be abhorrout to the big businessmen when were used to a laisez - faire government and what policies capital needed to be attracted. However one might contest that the

(Section B continued) biggest problem with this agency was that the cooler were unwortable, small companies couldn't afford the numinum wage \$11/40 hour week and there were 10,000 complaints of violation in the first year. Nevertheless in terms of the economy these literal, even leftist schemes did not help business to grow. Opposition from the Right called FDR a traitor to his class when the National Labor Relations. Bureau further helped trade unions Shlaes also argues the TVA hindered the revival of the economy, suggesting it snutted out a growing—and potential successful—private sextor effort to parde electricity in the region While the TVA helped the people, maybe prohibility why FDR received 8000 littless a day of requests for help and thanks, the go the TVA provided cheaper electricity (\$12.50\text{than private companies (\$15.00) undercutting profits

Nevertheless Shlaes also suggests some were inspiring—the civilian conservation conservation conservation conservation conservation conservation conservation conservation conservation. For example, this provided men with a Sense of purpose and boosted employment. While the TVH had faults a sournalist called it the most magnificent project of the New Deal and it certainly did create revenue. The National Youth Administration which African—Americans and women were energed to sain was enabled students to earn while learning, contributing to the exenomy, whilst also attending college to get a higher paid set in the future. Overall Tenkins asserts that even before the war 'people became significantly bettle off as the decade progressed, as measured by possession of material goods! The GNP per head increased from \$615—\$\$\frac{1953}{1954}\$ for \$1953-1940 and the WAA for example paid workes \$\$52\$ per month which was more than relief but less than industry.

(Section B continued) The New Deal will always be remembered as
certaining the US economy and certain measures certainly went towards
this for example the reformation of the banking system, the wates
schemes such as WAA which employed 21 million people at any time
and 204 of the workforce by 1941. However one might conclude that
the agencies which with features that actually hindered the
recoveryed the economy such as the WA which discoveraged
quadrosing from private companies, meant it did not help economic
remial overall



This high Level 5 response possesses several obvious strengths. The candidate (1) uses all three sources extensively and integrates detailed own knowledge effectively (2) develops a sustained analysis which targets the question throughout (3) adopts a support/challenge approach to evaluate several of the claims made in the sources.



Plan your answer around all the key issues raised by the sources and pick out useful quotations from each extract. That way, you won't overlook, or oversimplify, any of the sources when writing your response.

Paper Summary

A general summary of the areas for improvement in the approach to the Depth Study question and the Associated Historical Controversy question on Option C may prove of benefit to centres.

Depth Study question

- 1. Candidates need to ensure that the subject knowledge conforms to the specification. Weaker responses usually lacked range and/or depth. A few discussed a period or development which was not the precise focus of the question.
- 2. Candidates need to be more aware of the time frame attached to a question. Many lower scoring responses devoted much time and space to discussing only one part of the period targeted by the question.
- 3. In order to address the question effectively, candidates need to offer an analysis not a descriptive or chronological account.

Associated Historical Controversy question

- 1. Candidates need to treat the sources as a package to facilitate cross-referencing and advance a convincing line of argument. Many weaker candidates resorted to 'potted' summaries of each source which failed to develop a support/challenge approach.
- 2. Candidates need to integrate the source material and their own knowledge more effectively to substantiate a particular view. Weaker responses were frequently too reliant on the sources provided and little or no own knowledge was included.
- 3. Candidates should avoid memorised 'perspectives' essays and base their responses on the issues raised by the sources instead. The Associated Historical Controversy question is an exercise in interpretation not historiography.
- 4. A few candidates are still providing extensive and wholly unnecessary accounts of the provenance of each source.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link: http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481

Email <u>publication.orders@edexcel.com</u> Order Code UA032428 June 2012

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE





