



Examiners' Report June 2012

GCE 6HI01 History B

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the world's leading learning company. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk for our BTEC qualifications.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

If you have any subject specific questions about this specification that require the help of a subject specialist, you can speak directly to the subject team at Pearson. Their contact details can be found on this link: www.edexcel.com/teachingservices.

You can also use our online Ask the Expert service at www.edexcel.com/ask. You will need an Edexcel username and password to access this service. See the ResultsPlus section below on how to get these details if you don't have them already.



Get more from your exam results

...and now your mock results too!

ResultsPlus is Edexcel's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam and mock performance, helping you to help them more effectively.

- See your students' scores for every exam question
- Spot topics, skills and types of question where they need to improve their learning
- Understand how your students' performance compares with Edexcel national averages
- Track progress against target grades and focus revision more effectively with NEW Mock Analysis

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit www.edexcel.com/resultsplus. To set up your ResultsPlus account, call us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk.

June 2012

Publications Code US032392

All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2012

Introduction

General comments

Examiners reported that many candidates were comfortable with the essential requirements of the examination. They were able to structure their work effectively, provide a range of relevant and accurate material to support the points they were making, and maintain a sustained focus on the question set. At the highest levels of attainment were those who displayed the ability to analyse a range of factors in detail and present a convincing answer overall.

However, there were some candidates who did not do themselves justice overall. A number failed to respond to the specific demands of the questions in front of them. These candidates often focused their answers on material with which they felt comfortable rather than targeting the specifics of the question set. Centres are advised to ensure that candidates are ready to use, and to adapt, the material they have learnt. Most centres use previous questions for revision and preparation for the examination, but they might warn candidates that their paper will consist entirely of previously unseen questions, and that they should be prepared for this uncertainty.

Many candidates became trapped within Level 3 or low Level 4 because of a lack of accurate and relevant exemplification. Most are able to develop some argument in an answer, but assertions must be supported with sufficient evidence to make these points stand up. In addition, they should explain how these points relate to the question, whether in supporting or challenging the premise of the question.

Many very good answers reached high Level 4, but were unable to access Level 5. It is important to note that otherwise strong answers sometimes stayed in Level 4 for one of two reasons. Firstly, the support material offered, while accurate and broadly relevant, was lacking balance in places, with uneven evidence in parts of the answer. A more widespread concern was that some able candidates were unfamiliar with, or unable to use, analytical concepts and terminology used by historians. While most are able to investigate economic and political factors, many were unsure of the precise meaning of, for example, 'social conditions' in Options A and B, and even 'foreign policy' in Options E and F.

It is worth reminding candidates of the importance of recognising the type of question they are answering. While the commonest type is the multi-factored causation question, where it is appropriate to discuss the importance of the stated factor and then various other factors involved, not all questions focus on causation and not all are multi-factored. In Option D, Questions D7 and D11 both asked why an outcome resulted without giving a stated factor. Questions D3 and D8 both focused on the extent of change. Questions D9 and D13 both focused on the nature of change. Structuring an effective answer depends on recognising exactly what you are being asked to do.

One other general point might be worth making: while candidates are often comfortable explaining an outcome in terms of the strengths of 'the winners' and the weaknesses of 'the losers' (for example, in Option D, the white forces in Question D6 and Chiang in Question D2) these do need to be known in detail. There is a tendency to sum up such weaknesses rather blandly so that they could apply to many situations at many times in history. The message is: be specific.

The comments on spelling, punctuation and grammar made in previous reports remain relevant and valid. The literacy curriculum notes that, in a formal setting such as a public examination, appropriate language must be used, and should be free of abbreviations and colloquialisms. It also states that, if a candidate is to communicate effectively, what is written down must be legible. On several occasions this summer examiners could not decipher what might have been key words or phrases, and this inevitably influenced the final mark awarded.

Question 1

There was generally a good understanding of the chief features of the stated factor of humanism. The best candidates were able to describe and analyse Erasmus' role in criticising the Catholic Church, noting the importance of 'ad fontes' and the popularity of many of Erasmus' publications. Many, however, questioned the influence of humanism overall, noting that humanists were willing only to criticise the church from within, and saw the significance of Erasmus' break with Luther in the 1520s. A large number of answers referred to the old saying that 'Erasmus laid the egg that Luther hatched' without explaining precisely what was meant by this. Other reasons for the German Reformation were considered with varying levels of success. Tetzel and indulgences, the poor quality of German parish clergy, and widespread absenteeism were all addressed quite effectively. However, several answers described, sometimes at great length, the moral laxity of the papal court but were unable to link this effectively to the outbreak of the German Reformation. It is worth noting that almost all candidates referred to the heavy papal taxes raised in Germany, but many seemed unaware of what these taxes were, how they were collected, and why the papacy was able to impose these dues. It is also important that candidates have a clear understanding of when the Reformation actually began. Some argued convincingly for 1517 or 1521, but others discussed events in the 1520s and 1530s which really belonged in an answer to Question 2.

Put a cross in the box indicating the FIRST question you have chosen to answer 🗵. If you change your mind, put a line through the box 🔀 and then put a cross in another box . Chosen Question Number: Question 1 Question 2 Question 3 X **Question 4** Question 5 Question 6 13 **Question 7 Question 8 Question 9 Question 12 Question 10** Question 11 \mathbb{Z} **Question 14** Question 13 (This page is for your first answer.) A Strong central givnert responsible 10. UK of for spread of tutherarism in Germany 1521-55 Homanist influences responsible for, German Reformation? -the startcorruption + uppopulanty of Church: humanist influences G Gras mus 'in Praise of folly' More + Fisher maryred for fuith. -> Limited - did not affect average believe

(This page is for your first answer.) The out outbreak of the German Reformation was due to a vaniety of factors over many years which all led to the eventual formation of a new church. Luther was not the first person to criticise the catholic church and have different ideas of faith, for example Jan Huss and John Wydiffe, but the situation in Germany in the early 16th Century meant he was not stopped as the other herehics previously had been, which meant his ideas could take root and flourish. One of the reasons for this was humanist influences and onlicisms of the catholic Church, but there were many other underlying and more obvious factors which caused the start of the German Reformation, although there is no fixed point that we can say was the definite start of the Reformation.

Humanist influences on Luther and their subsequent criticisms of the Catholic Church certainly had an influence on the start of the German Reformation. The idea of humanism was the translation of old texts religious texts when in order to study history and use to apply that knowledge to their current situation. These new translations highlighted errors in Catholic Dockine that had been agreed by the Pope which must have had Luther quistioning the intallibility of the Pope, and there fore his authority. Luther was in

(This page is for your first answer.) close correspondance with Erasmus whose most famous works 'In Praise of Folly' was an inonic saline about the corruptions in the Oatholic church, which equally must have caused Luther to consider the immorality of the Church and parhaps caused Luther to write his 95 Theses in part. However, Luther hated Erasmus and his ideas outlined in his three Treatists of 1520 had negligible humanist influences. Furthermore, many humanists were martyred for their faith, for example more and Fisher, and it would be incorrect to say that humanist scholars influenced Luther in his rejection Of Catholic doctrine. It would also be incorrect to say that humanist criticisms were the cause of the German Reformation, as Luther was the driving force behind the Reformation and he was only influenced by humanist thinking - humanist writings were read by only the educated so the popular Reformation of normal people could not have happened through humanist influences.

There were many other factors which influenced the German Reformation, including commuptions itself within the catholic Church. The Church toward The Church toward Germany very highly because of its urbanised nature on which meant a higher population density which was easier to tax, and the lack of

(This page is for your first answer.) a anhalised monarthy which could limit papal influence. This steady stream of money out of germany was greatly resented and was even further hared with what it was exent upon-simony, nepatism and puralism were nife in thin the catholic church which arealed a very anti-clericalist feeling. This meant Germany was fertile ground for a Reformation as the catholic Church was thought of so badly, allowing Lutheranism to spread far faster and become far more popular than it would normally have, along with the invention of the printing Another factor which influenced the start of the German Reformation, and could be argued that it is is its most important trigger, was indulgences Although indulgences were growing in popularity, the seeming immorality of them meant luther felt compelled to write the 95 Theses, after his congregation travelled to Juterborgto beauteurs buy indulgences off of Johan Tetzel in 1817. Tetzel took indulgences to such an extreme "couldabsolve you if you deflowered the Vigin Many" that Luther felt complet he had to write the 95 Theses in order to protect his congregation from being misted. The popularity of the 95 meses shows how indulgences were seen as ummoral, and this first popularity and interest in Luther meant luther was able to extend his ideas and people would still

(This page is for your first answer.) wish to read them.

The political nature of the Holy Roman Empire was the fir another reason why the German Reformation was able to start. The power and independent nature of the Princes, shown in the 1547-8 Diet of Augsburg and their repusal to starta surabian League under charles's control, meant wither could be protected and there was little charles V or the Pope would do about it. This meant Luther was confident enough to write his dactoins works against the a Catholic Church, and the urbanised, pepulaus wealthy hature of Germany mount on along with the introduction of the printing press mount his first ideas could become hugely popular, popular enous and widespread enough that it could be called a full Reformation and not sumply afteretical German monk.

In conclusion, I do not think humanist criticisms of the Carroic Church were was the cause of the German Reformation— the metally cause of the German Reformation was Luther II was his idear and works that sparked the Rotometion, and although the key idea was indulgences, and the nature of the Holy Roman Empire allowed his idears to grow in to pularity, he the real religion which formed was cause called Lutheranism. The comuption

(This page is for your first answer.) of the Catholic church was cortainly highlighted by humanist chiticisms but many humanists remained Catholic until death and the same can curtainly not be said of wither.



This answer has some range and considers humanists, papal taxes, indulgences and conditions within Germany. The answer relates quite well to the question, but lacks balance and clarity in places, notably on the stated factor and German conditions. Mid Level 4.

Put a cross in the box indicating the FIRST question you have chosen to answer ⊠.

If you change your mind, put a line through the box ⊠

and then put a cross in another box ⊠.

Chosen Question Number:

Question 1	×	Question 2	×	Question 3	×				
Question 4	×	Question 5	×	Question 6					
Question 7	\boxtimes	Question 8	×	Question 9					
Question 10	×	Question 11	×	Question 12					
Question 13	×	Question 14	X	ر. در	دروع				
(This page is for your first answer.) Plan.									
hunce	nist	Criticia	sms:	e r	SIPOUTU				
lubrer, ayfliffe, jan hus									
yes	0	adam de	oneconni mandencimi	no	Buntat Stake				
Wither	-socce	r onticise	٥,	wdiffe,	jan hus-failed				
snort termi rendis sance bones dugupt brent									
other factors: economic recisons									
not just intrev, long lasting discontent: popes,									
- repotus	, o c = 20	c. provoce	/) BU	VI COVILL	** V\S				
FSCOLL	***************************************	,	***************************************						
[05500]									
One can see that the humanist criticisms									
g bre Catrolic Church did have an									
impart on the German Reformation, but									
me extent a trus is debatterne Historians									
have argued for centuries over the									
impart of Humanist critisms, but in									
my opinion the reformation cannot									
impart of Humanist critisms, but in my opinion the reformation cannot simply be put down to this there were									
	,								

(This page is for your first answer.) Many Oliver factors which contributed to the reformation. / Mink but Humanist Coticisms simply Strengthened and enhanced the reformation, as opposed to causing it. However there is one very strong character in the veformation which divides opinion about this: Martin Luther. While not initially a humanist, his ideas evolved to develop humanist characteristics. His rde in the Reformation was unparalled to any other individual and averefore cannot be Ugnored Markin Lukner was a monk when he was called to Rome for religious duties Here, he saw the abuses of the Church Returning to When he returned he was relocated to Withenburg where he was made a Professor. Here, he began teaching others bue injustices of the Church, is first se one a which was the abuse of indulgences-unich bas & come to be Known as 'the Indulgences affair' His critism à lue sales à indulgences began in 1817 unen he first criticised them

(This page is for your first answer.) in a Sermon in October this criticism snaped Martin Cuture's learnings, and arguably the reformation. Luther believed in jurtification by faith a love or 'sola scriptura' - tue idea that the Bible had authority. The biggest, and arguably most impacting, criticism, of Marin Lutuer was his 95 theses. In these theses, pinned the carrie church door in 1517, Lether condemned be Church this theses, intended to spark intellectual debate by Luther, were quickly spread in the vernatular from this the ca see fact buis Humanist criticisms continuited to the berman Reformation as Luther's ideas spread like wild fire. For example, one of the contributing factors to hie Peasants War g 1525 was the idea that people felt empowered and capable y tiking on such large authorities be tause of the SUCCESS of Markin Luther Mowever, just Lucier is arguably the only Humanist who strongly contributed to the German Reformation. John Wydiffe, a humanist had his bones dug up and burnt

(This page is for your first answer.) by the Church and Jan Hus was burnt at the stake These incedences were also long before the Reformation. Their ideas may have some impact on Luker who impacted the reformation, but it is unlitely that they directly imparted the reformation themselves. However, for the as we can see by the failed altempts of this and Wycliffe, the Gir environment surrounding hie sime of the Reformation had to be good for Et to ever work An environment appropriate for the Refor Humanist criticisms was the Renaissance period: are line of the any Modern Witche Reformation. The Revaissance period was a time where people waited, and were looking for, change. Therefore, Humanist ideals were som out gand suited, the Renaissance period. Therefore timing is an important factor in the What caused the Reformation also To felly under stand uncet caused the Reformation, other mitigating factors must be considered for example are discontent with the Catholic

(This page is for your first answer.) auch by the Cutty in bemany People were extrem angered by the sneer amount of money buey had to give to the aurch People paid titues, anth was one leneng to annual income, as well as other expuses such as baptisms, weddings and funerals. While it can be argued that these payments could have been avoided if people wanted Go, that was boderline impossible due to The paralysing fear of the people in e1517-55.
People were terrified or dying with no after life, buerefore making to these payments, people felt they were buying their waij into heaven. This fear contributed to In Reformation in two respects. Firstly, people were upset over payments (time spent paying the Church and working on ever fields meant less ane working on your own; and in a time of green powerly this was upsetting) Secondly hies contributed so the reformation lowough Luther. Luther proposed Enat men had already been predecided by too if you were going to he civen or hell nd therefore phydrents would not

(This page is for your first answer.) help the proposed threet the only authority to believe in was the Bittle. Another factor Encet contributed was to the Reformation in Germany wax the abuses of the Church Nepotism, absenteeism and the sale of includences (mainly by letter) all contributed to people's tack of feith in the Church and brevefore the Reportation. In addition to his the corrupt Popes did not help. Julies 11 was more interested in European aggression (nicknamed the warrior Pope our Leo X's biggest concern was building the grandert building in all of christendom with the St Peter's Bassiliea in Rome. At these Pope's snowed themselves to care more about monetary Concerns and not Gueir spiritual duty Phis angeved people and Ced to la Reformation. The monetary concerns of the Princes however ouso led to the Reformation & the Princes wanted not only to prevent a scrism in the all to make their onling casies

This page is for your first answer. But an alosolutionist
Monarch (like many of the government)
left's in Givope at Euris time)
bene fitted of course from spanding as
little money as possible. Therefore
a break with Calholicism and
there fore a break froth payments
appealed
To conclude the extent of the
berman Reformation in relation to
themanist criticisms can only be
convoidered key when in combination
with other events such as time
period or the dissatis faction with the
Church.



The answer here attempts an explanation, but is not always in focus. The influence of humanism is not strongly developed, and there is some lack of clarity on the Renaissance. Broadly accurate and relevant material: high Level 3.

Question 2

There was quite a lot of variation in what candidates defined as the lack of a strong central government, with some seeing the role and power of the princes, and the status of the imperial cities, as an entirely separate issue. Many were aware of the Wahlkapitulation imposed on Charles in 1519, and pointed out that, with no standing army to call on, Charles was unable to take any independent action against Lutheranism in its early years. The personal or religious motives which accounted for princely conversions in the 1520s were described effectively, along with Charles' attempts to tackle Lutheranism at the first and second Diets of Speyer. While most answers referred to the formation of the Schmalkaldic League in 1531, few noted that this meant that religious change in Germany had to be defeated by force, as shown at Muhlberg in 1547. In considering other relevant factors most noted Charles' distractions elsewhere in Europe and beyond, and pointed out that the Emperor spent very little time in Germany in the 1520s and 1530s; and that when he was finally able to address religious issues in the 1540s Lutheranism had become firmly established in many states.

Put a cross in the box indicating the FIRST question you have chosen to answer \boxtimes . If you change your mind, put a line through the box \boxtimes and then put a cross in another box \boxtimes .

Chosen Question Number:									
Question 1	\boxtimes	Question 2	×	Question 3					
Question 4		Question 5	\boxtimes	Question 6	⊠				
Question 7	×	Question 8	×	Question 9					
Question 10		Question 11	×	Question 12	⊠				
Question 13	\boxtimes	Question 14	×						
(This page is for your first answer.)									
Strong central government for the fIRE spread of Lutheranism 1521-1555.									
1521 - edict of warms, fred 1522 - contstact, knights were 1525 - peasants war, fred wise dead, wowed 1526 - duct of Sperier, no charles, etc protest. 15329 - 2nd over be sperier, no charles, etc protest. 1531 - forndations of sumalkardic varque 1535 - peace of Augsburg. 1539 - marburg colloque, philipof Hesse.									
The lack of a Strong central government can easily be Seen as responsible for the Spread of Lutheranism during the period 1521-1555 as charles was distracted with buttles between France and also the ottoman empire he was burely in Germany to so over See the radical changes taking place Yet we must consider other factors such as the spower of Luthers message and ability to spread it as well as									

(This page is for your first answer.) the already graving feelings of nationalism and anti-dericalism across the country Therefore we must be able to judge just how fax lack of Strong control government was responsible for the spread of Lutheranism through 1521-1555. one of the greatest events demonstrating the lack of Strong central government in Germany is the event of the Edict of worms in 1521 and the princes actions that followed when Lother was requested to in front of charles he knew his chances of coming back alive were Slim as Jah Muss, a reformer with similar ideas to Lother had been burnt for Heresy after being Sommand to the Empire however this case was different Charles was only 19 unen made emperor after maximillian I and was 21 at the Edict of worms. As he was Still relatively & new he would do anything to gain support from the princes So let Lither leave worms as an articul Fredrick the vise then 'kidnapped' Luther and kept him safe at his castle in worthing. Charles made no attempt to

(This page is for your first answer.) Seek out Lither at all This shows that charles's lack of a strong central government gave Lutherarism a large amont of ceivey to lay candation to new profestant ideas. This incident was particular Significance as Lother twole the Bible into the vemacular which went on to gain him a great amount of Support: Another incidement showing tack of Central government would be the Diet of Speyer in 1526. Charles could not be in Germany as was deflering Europe from the French and Ottomans therefore his brother Ferdinand chaired the diet. Ferdinand failed to enforce any authority and gave the princes temporary permission to chose the religion of their territory. This time of tolleration let the princes gather their ideas and support, laying down their power to goin ability to to case seize Lutheranism ance and for all. Charles could not even put any money into Stopping them as to he had to pay for all the troops he was using to

(This page is for your first answer.) Cleffend Europe. The lack of central government was therefore crucial in uting Luther and the princes Spread Litheranism during 1521-1555 However on the other hand the lack of central government was not all to dame for the rapid spread of Lutheranism. Due to the abuses of the clergy and there was already a vide Spread feeling of anti-clericalism across the Cantry Priests were of ten accused of repotism, simony, pluralism, not following their vous and explifting the lay people through things seen as fitnes. Having to pay money to the church (10 / every month) and being conned ento buying indulgences (emen later discovered to be worthless through Lethers ideas of Sola fide) angried the laity. Their Money was going to the briding of St peters Basilica and the Bishop of Mainz to pay his debts. The people were of Germany were never going to benefit from this. Therefore when Lithers ideas Spread, not only by the power of the princes but through Luthers unittings

(This page is for your first answer.) ... nationausi church and corruptideas were also greatly welcomed conclusion the lack of central played a Key imperial restri hand Luthers Spread of Lutheranism 1521-1555

Results lus Examiner Comments

This answer relates well to the question and considers a number of relevant factors in framing the analysis. There is a lack of balance in places, notably in the discussion of the weak central government of the Empire, and the range of material might have been broader. Mid Level 4 overall.

Question 3

A number of candidates misunderstood the focus of the question, seeing it as a multifactored one rather than one which targeted just the Council of Trent. This raised some issues for examiners, as sections of these answers on Trent could be quite effective but the response then wandered off in other directions. For example, many answers dealt with Trent but then argued that there were other ways in which the church responded to the Protestant challenge; and there was much discussion of the role of the Jesuits, and the part played by Catholic princes, which were not relevant to the question. Those who stayed focused on the Council often produced some excellent material on doctrines, discipline and the papacy, noting how the Council's decrees drew sharp dividing lines between Catholics and Protestants. The effect was to revive Catholic spirituality and provide the church with the weapons with which to arm the Counter-Reformation.

Put a cross in the box indicating the FIRST question you have chosen to answer . If you change your mind, put a line through the box 🔀 and then put a cross in another box . Chosen Question Number: **Question 1** X Question 2 **Question 3 Question 4 Question 5** Question 6 X **Question 7 Question 8 Question 9** X **Question 10 Question 11 Question 12** \mathbb{X} **Question 13 Question 14** × (This page is for your first answer.)

Was deferent appears at the time that was dealing with the challenges face of by pot protestarion. Before the away of tree, the catholic church was under scribing and this, was maily due to the red release of the 195 theses by Martin Lulpe. The theses outlind the problem of the Calholie church to the laity, who at the time was not Very Knowlegate because may people at The time did not have access to education the callete church was a pare house' a was not used to havy its 'Stranglohdd' of an Socialy opposed but due to butherion and other Lams of protestain accross Europe, Protesta Was spready fast and So Pope Raul II called the first mating of the carried. The first sessi outiful the doction, so this infamily people of the right al way of being a collidir. This planed any differentation between Potestansin I the Calledia church to be highlighted is not correct Propostanian used abuses that take place in the Phiropa a way of carrier the public that the Calholic church was not the Correct correct

(This page is for your first answer.) Way However, - Ay 3rd meeting of the council these abuses that took place such as reptous and Alsonton was tackled and also the teachy of A Clergy was represhed. Through tycking the problem formal challeged are of the problems met al after the third Sess. my protestian had furthed the pape and came out love bother I was given the title 'Vicin' of the course of Was not which successful bot with dealing with the front of partiste or the dollerges that it faced. Song of that reme and was not dealt win a years later Ignations hayola and the Tesity had a prophin of the Success, in tarkly the threat of protest Where the capacities and the devine andingle of leve love was only also to tackle refor in Italy, an area which wars't really affe oy protestion, the Juits St fravelled geros about christ, if any people about the good (This page is for your first answer.) of the colonic church I also the Jesuis would build Universition, hospitals and Schools across different, Couls which allowed people to became close to the calholic church al I deflected the Challenges of postestion Fur Overnere, Infant com sile Some can trys and had i question and enforced thy pase of the calledie church al Air pope Spoin for example had a idex of books you was not allowed to have a read . They Spl The inquistion Should fee its the perpotenties is spin and would spok out against the collection church and many people who was against the collection the collection was topland or executed with the public being able to vin these events tating place. The inquisition, could be Seen as were successful in dealy with the Challenges ficed by Protest in the the Carried of treather beganse of the price it gave to They pagacy I be calledie church The coul of that was not exturely successful also because I they couldn't get the pails made from the coural its protestion Stay areas such as Germany where protestion



This answer acknowledges the importance of some of the issues raised at Trent on doctrine and discipline. However, the focus on the question is lost as the candidate turns to other features of the Catholic revival such as the Jesuits. This is a mid Level 3 explanation overall.

Question 4

Some answers were a little uncertain of just how papal power was restored during the Counter-Reformation. Only a few noted that some reformers at Trent had failed in their attempts to assert the supremacy of a general council over the papacy. There was little specific material offered on the actions of Pius V and his successors, Gregory XIII and Sixtus V: reforms of the missal and breviary might have been usefully addressed. However, there was a good range of other relevant material offered. Most were able to discuss the significance of the Jesuits in both education and spiritual development, and the role of various European rulers in carrying out the Tridentine decrees was also considered. Some high level answers placed papal power into a broader context with brief reference to the contrasting Farnese and Carafa papacies.

Question 5

The revolt of the Netherlands has in the past produced some high quality work from many candidates, and this year was no exception. In considering the nature of Spanish misgovernment of the Netherlands, candidates noted a number of errors of judgement committed by Philip II, including his drift towards centralised power and his mistaken religious policies. The rule of Margaret of Parma was described, but candidates decided quite convincingly that Alba was directly responsible for the outbreak of the 1572 revolt. They deployed plenty of detailed information in support of their claim, ranging from the Council of Troubles, the Tenth Penny, and the execution of Egmont and Horn, which led to national protests throughout the Netherlands. The role and importance of both the Sea Beggars and William of Orange were also considered. Overall, the main discriminator in answers was the depth and development given to various factors.

Question 6

The range of material and quality of argument from many candidates was impressive. The best noted the importance of Maurice of Nassau as leader of the armed forces, and provided extensive detail on his reorganisation of the military. Good answers went beyond military affairs and considered the strong political leadership of Johan van Oldenbarnevelt which, coupled with the creation of the Dutch East India Company, was instrumental in providing Maurice with the funds necessary for successful campaigns. Set against these factors was growing Spanish economic and military weaknesses, both within Spain and in the Netherlands. These, coupled with Philip II and Philip III's distractions elsewhere, all combined to make the Spanish position ultimately untenable. Once again, the development of material was the chief discriminator between different answers.

Put a cross in the box indicating the FIRST question you have chosen to answer ... If you change your mind, put a line through the box 😹 and then put a cross in another box . Chosen Question Number: Question 1 **Question 2** Question 3 **Question 4 Question 5** Question 6 X **Question 7 Question 8** 33 Question 9 **Question 10 Question 11 Question 12** M X **Question 13 Question 14** (This page is for your first answer.) To what extent was effective responsible for the Success of the Worthern provinces years often the assassination of William the Sitent in 1584? retoon Some extent the Dutch leadership was responsible for the success of the Northern prominces of William the Silents death in 1584, for example, Maurice William the Silet's son, become Zealand, Utrecht had great leader

belder System +

in the

prounces was trained as a Soldier in case of

Waarde

ensured that every man

(This page is for your first answer.) attacks from the South. Therefore, this was effective leadership for the Dutch, and it did involve success for the Northerns Prounces as it Stopped the South from being able to re-take the North. Additionally, the Dutch leadership was responsible for Socress for example, the Dutch East India tracking Company was set up. As a result, this was Successful for the Dutch, and effective leadership from Maurice of Nassan bécause the Dutch managed to become one of the \$ most successful trading companis in Europe - Over-taking England and Spain. Consequently, this brought nearly & three million Ducats into the Northern Prounces, which would have socceeded economically! Therefore, Dutch leadership was responsible for Success of the Worthorn prawnies, as the extra money cause be paid towards troops, and in support of the Dutch army. However, it can be argued that it was not down to esserve Dutch leadership. For example, in 1588, the Spainsh Armoda between England and Spain occurred, resulting in a cost of over 60 million Ducats by 1590! Therefore, it can be argued that Philip II Sending his broops and money elsewhere Provided an advantage for the Morthern prowhees.

(This page is for your first answer.) In addition, the Duke of Panna was accepted to hold back his offensences in order for troops to be sent to fight the Spanish Armada. As a result, this would be successful for the Northern prounces because the it were less likely that the Duke of Panna would be able to re-take the Northern Prounces Morcover, this shows has it was not just down to the effective leader Ship from the Dutch but also down to the Spanis finances and Philip chasing to concentrate or other wasfares. A historian argued that if Panna had control over the Spanish troops and finances, he might have been able to re-take the Northern Prounces.

Additionally, it was not just down to Dutch leadership as the Wortham prounces had English and French aid. For example in 1589, Elizabeth I promided a mercenery army water the command of John Casimir. Therefore, this meant the Dutch increased their curry, but also had support from the English Furthermore, this shows how English aid helped boing about success for the worthern prounces. However, a historia has argued that if the English spoorid Elizabeth I provided as army earlier on the Worthern Prounces may have been able to re-take the whole of the Netherlands.

(This page is for your first answer.) Geographical explanations Contributed to the Success for the Dutch/Northern Provinces, and not just the Dutch Leadership. For example, the river obstacles of Holland and Zealand meant that it was difficult for the Sparish to be able to attack the Morth. Moreover, fortifications were built along the river, therefore & being prepared for defence if the Sparish attack. Moreover, the Morthern Provinces used the river to their advantage, by attacking the Sparish from the rivers. For example, in Brill, 1579, the Dutch army used weapons to fire from the rivers to the Spawh/Southern Provinces. Therefore, their shows to the Spawh/Southern Provinces. Therefore, their shows to the Spawh/Southern Provinces. Therefore, their shows to the Spawh/Southern Provinces for the Morthern Provinces, but geographical reasons to so the Morthern Provinces, but geographical reasons to contributed as well.

In Conclusion, to Some extent Dutch tradership, after William the Sitents cleath in 1584, was effective as; Maurice of Naussau pro exceeded for brilliant military Smilliams one of the first seems people to develop a Structured military. Moreover, he was able to Unite the Promines - which William failed to achieve. However, the Spanish Armarda resulting to Spanish bankrupter, in 1596, contributed to the Northern Provinces Suxcess. Moreover, geographical to factors were taken advantage of by the Northern Provinces. Such as; attachs from the rivers to the Spanish Thorefore,

(This page is for your first answer.) this Shows how Dutch leadership was not the only factor which contributed to the Success



In this response there is a good amount of relevant discussion on the stated factor, and on the significance of the Dutch East India Company. The distraction of the Armada and its effect on Parma's attempts at reconquest are discussed, along with foreign intervention and the geography of the Netherlands. There is strong range and depth here: mid Level 5 overall.

Question 7

There was some confusion among candidates as to what constituted social conditions. Some built their answers purely on misogyny, while others referred to the 'age of anxiety' and crop conditions. On misogyny, a frequently made claim was that men believed that, since women could bring life into the world they could also destroy it. Others made the curious claim that men were amazed that women could turn raw food into cooked meals. It would be helpful if these assertions were supported by specific evidence which refers to time and place. More successful answers were able to link factors together to show how these impacted on social conditions. Social conditions which were created by famine, village rivalries and the population increase of the time were effectively pressed into use. A few answers were very generalised and without specific examples; these tended to refer to village gossip and the traditional stereotype of the witch, but to little effect. Some answers tried to argue from the specific to the general, but without success: it was not enough to assert that because one midwife was accused of killing children it therefore followed that the witchcraze was built on hatred of women. Some went out of the time frame in the question, sometimes effectively linking earlier developments with those in the period under discussion. The growing number of unmarried or widowed women was commented on, but only a few noted that the closure of nunneries removed a traditional haven for single women. In considering other factors, many mentioned the Malleus Maleficarum, but ascribed to it an importance that it perhaps does not deserve. The Wikipedia article on Kramer's work is a helpful investigation.

Put a cross in the box indicating the FIRST question you have chosen to answer 図.
If you change your mind, put a line through the box 缀
and then put a cross in another box 図.

Chosen Question Number:

Question 1	\boxtimes	Question 2	\times	Question 3	
Question 4	\boxtimes	Question 5	\times	Question 6	\boxtimes
Question 7	\times	Question 8	×	Question 9	
Question 10	\boxtimes	Question 11	\boxtimes	Question 12	
Question 13	×	Question 14	×		

(This page is for your first answer.) ...

Conditions were responsible for the persecution of witches in the years of Ursula Gibts in 1627, the Radle Witchestials in 1612 and the case of Witchestials in 1612 and the case of Witchestials in 1612 and the case of Witchestals thousands being responsible for whitchestal Housear other contains such as the retornation, the spread of literature like the Mallaus and political motivation like the Bourson Political Mallaus and Political Motivation like the Bourson Political Motivation like the Political Motivation like the Political Motivation like the Political Motivation like the Political Motiva

(This page is for your first answer.) Social conditions were a very important factor in being responsible for the persecution of without the case of Urania Giótix is a prima example In 1627 8he was accused of murgaring 88 compo in hor Community at a time of four and tension due to the 30 years War. Because society had because so accurately with the witcherase she was passecuted for the doath of the costopo eras Hondy je mas a fino of Execuse disease the vell as this was the pendle witchnials in 1612 mithous soisos unte croso doinu coughly whether cos. H family and permeen 400 stees of Dicol mitches Doud'the and Charres coursed thou to pe occused of muchosoft as again communities readen did Elliere in the wholesome just like with the case so Usua four in 1682, accused so musdering a Friends child again agree feating

(This page is for your first answer.) Out with a Crient. She was also a sterest upical when as she was a wice women but she was also personal. Idea though The witches were executed due to social problems in there communities The Box and fendle trials especially show that buildes were on the community, for Götzis commenced to access on a rimerma of the 30 years was 1618-1618 and revolle myor busine to Day increased roll and swetch local résources under strain due to large population increase This enoisibnes soisce and sinch during the line caused people to first scapegoods as a nown to passeouse them for mitopolate to be able to cope with the social conditions and burdons as the time However assumpt social cerditions were a new important factor in the witch cross

(This page is for your first answer.) Orquably technique Mayeaner mere more so reconsple to the persecution of witches The reformasion of the coursel great could be coross Europe as is caused the expension oranion of the Horston Church set up due to how the Contracto church was populia and in project to be libis consect of graan doar ce conglier across Europe such as the 30 you which can be seen experting sociae problem such as Uraila Ciótz horsele Not to Monsion 18000 whether were personered in the Holy ROMOUN EMPRE, heavily implied in the War. The separation also bus boolers in to some loseres France which 'norcase with thisos Stowing tow the Church ales mi source sidence les in lean H= PERSONNION OF WHELPED due to the conflict it acouted between proveniones and Cathelia

(This page is for your first answer.) sexus factor the spread of Hospinan sociales di ni lassing read dop'ned the steedupe in Europe "She who thinks thinks ail, defining witches as libbers and reason Duroan in 1690 was perseated for bucu dely is some authority which would also Suggest social bupping e Mallous was endorsed the Pagas Buse. Dealronologie every witch there Canole, ador sparind assert from above and how have in power has becomen of or social level This evidence show the spread played as important roce Baptin & suppos

(This page is for your first answer.) but cos how all has accounted by the Ohurch and pressure from abor. Lastly, political notivative mas assign important Boundary + rios Cooker The show how between 1623-483 Time Roser ad paracoused witches to gain Card and in bangapard in of enines service of 804 mast busporph becomed give noticed six buttern incurrence of as mayor or ust to home him Where during the 80 fees nor pernoon Legions id Controles. In the rulers so the Holer Korton This paiding all such sidn war to dear with Gothied and Terrina community would also have been affects by war-The Scenicis stans his enidence shows

(This page is for your first answer.) Losisce also caused persecusions and not social conditions but accust these commontion many also solory , In releases consul bod - neteriores 2001/20 120 100 100 no dowing how wide 168 in Conclusion allie trials were caused by solio the spread of theresure and 42 JUPRST MESSERVED MOHNAMINA bambera did come which this suggest all it had

(This page is for your first answer.)

Considerable Constant Considerable Constant Considerable Constant Consta



A secure range of factors is offered here, on social and religious problems, the importance of literature and of prevailing political conditions. Support is accurate though uneven in places, but good range allows for a mid Level 4 award.

Question 8

The two most prominent individuals mentioned were James VI/I and Matthew Hopkins. Most understood the intensity of persecution in Scotland, and ascribed the outbreak of the Scottish witchcraze to James' belief that witches tried to drown him at sea along with his new wife. Few noted the political dimension at work, especially attempts to incriminate Bothwell and remove his influence. Hopkins' campaign was well known, and most mentioned that he was able to operate only because of the breakdown in order caused by the civil war. The persecutions launched by Balthasar Nuss, Ferdinand of Bavaria and Christian IV were also well known. Many used the example of Queen Christina of Sweden as evidence of the power of individuals to prevent witch persecution. Other relevant factors were developed quite effectively, notably the changes to legal systems with the replacement of the accusatorial system with an inquisitorial one, and how the use of torture could lead to an individual to accuse others, and thus instigate a small witch hunt in one area.

Put a cross in the box indicating the FIRST question you have chosen to answer \boxtimes . If you change your mind, put a line through the box \boxtimes and then put a cross in another box \boxtimes .

Chosen Question Number:						
Question 1	×	Question 2	×	Question 3	×	
Question 4	×	Question 5	×	Question 6	×	
Question 7	×	Question 8		Question 9	×	
Question 10		Question 11	×	Question 12		
Question 13	×	Question 14	×			
(This page is for y	our first an	swer.)	*******************************			
the role	οξ	the indiv	ichal	un daubtab	ly played	
					oss Europe.	
. 1					Essex trials	
		Remy i				
,				4 .	Luitch	
accusa ha) C av	ince this	J nec	and How are	er they were	
and Ha	- al 1 a	M.S.P.)	1 10	- La Va	a section	
NOT ONE	MUJ ,	, Leworch's	21	weall of	governett being	
GOWOTVENT		10	PO1	O+ 25	pury pury	
					Roper's idea	
of religio	on al	J show	Mort	convincing	rasons to	
the cuit	d c	ronze acros	S Eu	rope.		
					role of on	
Acie th	ev i	s withou	t que	stion tho	t the individ-	
val 1860	in	rechans	OC.	Europe	nost certainly	
had an	occort.	AAR FOY 0	acus of	Mr. Hopen	Hadins	
LNG	080	4 05 00 0	Kilomoki jugo. Uza	thals 1	Hopkins Sow the	
~ /C	Transing	COVE	VIL L	ysex a cho	over of	
accusation	og	rear huno	McG c	is witche	S. 200 /s	

(This page is for your first answer.) of with were good to be women, No In addition Are Haplins position at the time 454 him powerful, with the eno English civil war in 1642 - 1647 giving him a chance to exploit this power vaccum, and do as he pleased. This shows also backs up Levack's theory of weak government and shows the actions could be down to the lack of authority. However to comparison Ob King Christian IV of Dennade shows water governent wasn't the reason, was responsible for many deaths. His role as a king allowed him to lown people alive and with the Maurean spleed case shows this key individual certainly contributed to the witch trials. However from the Denmark trials we can see a clear link as as government and power grown above being the reason to allow such actions. For example the essent hials telling place duing the English Civil war shows a More convircing reason and without this Hapkins wouldn't og heen able to use his intergation' methods and explain the courts in the way he did. Mar so in Dennark with the prominent leader of the trials king the king at the time shows that his authority to may be

(This page is for your first answer.) the only rason he was able to make such actions. Also the 30 years just ending at the time Christian was in Jones oould show that the government was stranger than ever and had a firm grasp on the events which to took place, once organ showing Levardes argument theory to be important in helping key individuals in their actions duing this time. However theor Ropers theory that religion played the more important ble and is un doubtably the more convincing one for example in tries Schoenburg, a Key irdividual in the Lith trials, was a Jesuit- mis shows that religion certaintly ingluenced him and was he did. It could be said his strong catholic & views pas the ware the rapid so intensigned his actions showing religion was more influential. Also Hoplins, a punton punton again highlights the \$ sact that religion is responsible for the actions of key individuals. In conduction In conclusion I gel B individuals did play a part in the with trials across Europe. to People such as James 4411 introducing his

This page is for your first answer.) book 'deamanglogy' had an impact an individual's achian * However it is more convincing that religion played the mare important role. Even though the civil was in 1647.

Opened up a parker uncaum sar Hopkins, ichigion stills plays the care are as the was ignited gam a religions disputed between Catholics and Profestrut and This is seen with the BO years war showing that without religion being the driving sare behind such events, individuals wouldn't as been adde to the tale the achians they did and still have the same impact.

* such as Remy in Force.



The prominent individuals discussed are Matthew Hopkins and Christian IV; and one other factor, the role of religion, is addressed. While there is some understanding of key issues here, the answer is narrow in its range of factors, though material support is accurate and relevant. Mid Level 4.

Question 9

Many answers focused exclusively on Mountjoy's leadership, but were often unable to develop their answer beyond brief references to Essex and the siege of Kinsale. Better answers noted that Mountjoy carried out a successful scorched earth policy in Ulster which provoked a famine and the consequent weakening of Tyrone's forces. Some strong answers referred to the Spanish invasion, noting that Tyrone's forces were forced to leave their familiar territory in the north, only to be overwhelmed by Mountjoy's fierce and successful campaign. Other relevant factors which were frequently mentioned include the division of the Irish nobility, with the native lords in the countryside favouring Tyrone against the old nobility in the towns and cities. In the end, as many answers noted, Elizabeth's determination to crush the Irish rebellion was successful simply because the English had the men and the money to determine a favourable outcome.

Question 10

Some answers described the outbreak of the Confederate War, but then omitted the intervening years to describe the intervention of Cromwell after the end of the English Civil War. Such answers were only modestly successful. Better answers were able to range over the whole chronology, noting that Charles I's difficulties in England prevented a rapid defeat of the Irish rebellions: the role of Ormond was generally well understood here. Some of the best answers displayed impressive range and depth of material. These candidates noted that it was the deep bitterness felt towards English rule which fuelled the rapid spread of rebellion throughout Ireland, and that both the plantations policy and the religious divide played a prominent role throughout the course of the rebellions. Most noted the significance of the formation of the Confederation of Kilkenny, and of papal intervention through the person of Rinuccini, who supplied the Confederates with money and arms. While many answers accessed Level 4, only a few went beyond this: most provided a number of relevant factors, but did not make effective links between them, which is a feature of many Level 5 answers.

Put a cross in the box indicating the FIRST question you have chosen to answer ⊠.

If you change your mind, put a line through the box ₩

and then put a cross in another box ⋈.

~h	Question	N Li i ma la a un
Unosen	Cluestion	Number:

Question 1	×	Question 2	×	Question 3	×
Question 4	×	Question 5	×	Question 6	\boxtimes
Question 7	×	Question 8	×	Question 9	X
Question 10	×	Question 11	×	Question 12	×
Question 13	×	Question 14	×		

(This page is for your first answer.) There are many reasons why it took England so long to defeat the Irish Rebellions of 1641-1653.

During 1641, there was a major rebellion which led to many protestants being killed. This was due to the fact that the Cotholics in Ireland were angry at the fact of the plantations policy in 1608. This mant that the amount of Protestants were significantly reduced. 4000 Priestants were killed by the Cotholics and 8000 died of formine, dusease and exposure.*

During the course of the rebellions those was a Civil War going on in England against the king and Parliament. This meant that it was very hard for England to devote all their troops to Ireland as they needed their troops

(This page is for your first answer.) to be fighting for England.

This caused strain on England as they aid the house enough money to be able to brain up new soldiers to help defeat the rebellions in Ireland. Also with the Cuil War in England and the Irish rebellions in Ireland it was a drain on English resources. As these was a call what in England.

During the rebollions, Charles I sent out a pepres entative to Dublin, Ormand, to help keep an eye and take control in Ireland.

Ormand had a hard challeinge as many groups like the Irish Confederates and the Scottish covenentors were against ormand at some points. In 1648 Ormand created his Second Ormand Peace Treaty, which was to try and get as much support for Charles and defeat the rebellion. However the Peace Treaty was made too lake and Charles was executed on Fawer Hill in the laker part of 1648.

This meant it was hard for the English to

(This page is for your first answer.) to take full control as the Irish had much more support and the Irish had enforced Laws.

Rinuccini was sent on behalf of the Pope to help the Catholics gets depeat the English. He had always opposed the Protestants in England and tried to take over Dublin from Ormand. However Ormand gave Dublin to the Parliament in England, so it wouldn't be handed on a plate to Riniccini.

** However in 1650 (romwell got revenge on the Catholics and Killed many Catholics and Protestants at Wexford and Drogheda in the September and October. This may have been seen as a way to supress the rebellions, but Cromwell Killed hundreds of Protestants as well.**

The reasons why it took the English so long to defeat the rebellions in Ireland from 1641-53 was because everytime the English tried to supress the rebellions the Irish come back with another tactic. The fact that England had a poor leader in Ormand and not getting enough support in time, can be seen as the main

This page is for your first answer.) factor, for which it took

England a long time to defeat the rebellions.

However the other factors like the civil war

in England and Riniccini being sent held the

English back by not having enough troops

and Riniccini being sent by the Pope to help

the Catholics in Ireland.

Overall the major factor why it took the English so long to defeat the Irish Robollions of 1641-53 was because amond did not get enough support in time and Charles was then executed.



The answer includes material on a number of relevant points, and does range over the whole chronology. However, the shape of the answer is essentially narrative rather than explanatory, and there is a lack of depth in several places. Descriptive with some implied relevance: mid Level 3.

Question 11

The best answers started with a sharp focus on the Bohemian revolt of 1618, and noted that it had a double significance. The offer of the Bohemian crown to the Protestant Frederick V gave a religious dimension to the war, while many states were worried that the accession in Bohemia of the Emperor Ferdinand II would lead to an unwanted expansion of Habsburg power. This linking of two discrete factors was often developed very effectively. Some candidates promoted the idea that the Thirty Years War was essentially a religious conflict, noting that the Religious Peace of Augsburg of 1555 was beginning to break down with the growth and expansion of Calvinism. Others noted the growing challenges to Habsburg power evidenced in the War of the Julich succession: the expansion of Habsburg power in north-western Germany threatened the interests of the princes, as well as France and the Netherlands. The formation of the religious leagues of princes also had a double significance for some. Set against these strong answers were others who missed the point of the question. Many decided that Habsburg power triggered the war, but then went on to describe how the nature of the war changed in the 1620s and 1630s with the intervention of Denmark, France and Sweden: the material offered, though usually correct, was not relevant to the question.

Question 12

Some answers went off focus with a lengthy discussion of the gains made by other states, notably Brandenburg and Sweden. However, many answers proved highly successful. These compared the apparently modest territorial gains made by France in Alsace and Lorraine with her success in restoring a sense of balance to the European state system, and the ending of Habsburg encirclement exemplified by the defeat of Spain in the 1650s and the Peace of the Pyrenees in 1659. There was some excellent discussion of the effects of the war on the Habsburgs. Some noted that the Spanish branch of the family suffered extensive losses and defeats which weakened Spanish power considerably. For the main branch of the family, the German Habsburgs, the picture was mixed. Candidates noted that the effective ending of Imperial power in Germany was a blessing in disguise. It meant that the Habsburgs fell back to their core territories, and this contributed to the growth of Austrian Habsburg power in the eighteenth century.

Put a cross in the box indicating the SECOND question you have chosen to answer . Your second question choice must be on a different topic to your first question choice. If you change your mind, put a line through the box 🔀 and then put a cross in another box . Chosen Question Number: **Question 1 Question 2 Question 3** [3] [3] **Question 4 Question 5** Question 6 3 13 **Question 7 Question 8** X **Question 9** X **Question 10 Question 11 Question 12 Question 13 Question 14** (This page is for your second answer.) Plan: htro - France gained, but little Habstangs lost, but gained a but. Para 1 - France gained but not enough social issues 2 - Hebsburgs last a lot , Improv. Improv. - Halosburgs strengthened themselves, still big power Conclusion - France gained a little land, but so include problems

Hobothungs lost quite a bit, but so indified position.

France - in stronger position after 30 you.

(This page is for your second answer.) While some may argue that neither France or the Itahoburgs gained from their participation is the Thirty Years war, this Statement is simply incorrect. It is true that the French gained relatively little land by the Peace of west phasia when you take into account the amount of resources of they powed into the war, & that the Habsburgs lost all powers as Emperor. However, the French were in a stronger position at the end of the wor than they were at the beginning, howing ended the threat of flaksbury incicliment, a trey were still on the assert rise. The Hubsburgs too, while Seemingly losing everything, achially strongther strengthered Their position as hereditary rules of Austria, to run an Extens that they were still the dominant force in the area for the best part of a century after the end of the wor Therefore, you cannot say that reither trance & sor to Hastings gained outling from the war ; the bother test gained and lost, but France certainly ended up stronger after the war. The per leave of westphalia very seen lite little reward for a lest of effort for France. They had poured huge anounts of resources who the war before getting and militarity involved, they had essertially funded to Swedish was effort in 1631-32, with a subsidy of 400,000 nitsdaler, & they tept funding the Swedish-founded Protestant League of Heilwoon.

(This page is for your second answer.) France also become heavily in volved after 1635, sending armies into the Holy Roman Engine and fighting extensively from right up until the end of the war. And their remainds for this considerable amount of efforts were a comple of Bishoprics and a portion of Alsace, healy a filling reward turnemone, the war caused quite considerable Social de economic problems for France. The French people too were forced to pay quite severe tax to find the was effor westually leading to great discontent want welly alminoting in 1847 with a rebellion, called Le France: However, France had achieved his pinnary aim for the war; to stop encirclement by the spenish & Austrian Handungs and distract the Spanish from a possible invasion of France through the Spanish Netherlands After the war ended, France's Har was certainly on the rise, while the spanish were waxing a bit. The temporal gains France made coupled with confumed independence for the United Provinces stopped the threat of oreidement. I France's victories over spain in a few was after to keep of westphalia made them the premier power in Europe. On one level, the Habsburgs did really quite body our of the Peace of Westphalia. The Spanish Habsburgs, certainly, made only a small territorial gain, which must be considered as a loss for them as they had powned quite possibly the most into the war, housing ent thousands

(This page is for your second answer.) Nen, millions of Monins and being involved right from the start of the war. More importantly the longstanding alliance between Austrian & spanish Habsburgs one to an end when the Emperor, Federard III signed a seperate peace treaty effectively to in 1648, effectively leaving his sparish covers to feed for trenselves. This weatened the Habitury cause overall. He tripers himself lost almost all power, as with the ight to make treaties with foreign powers, the German Princes achieved independence, I the title of Emperor was porchy next to uxeless. However, in the Lendidary Austrian Habsburg domains We Austria & Maravia, Habsburg anthorty incrossed enamously. The Habsburgs lost almost none of their own lands dering the war, & ferdinand III now really stamped his authority over these areas after the Peace of Westphalia. The Pace of westphalia was by no means to end of Habsburg pose. As tings of Austria the Habeburgs would go on to dominate array Europe for the best part of a century until the rise of Brandenburg - Prussia. In Conclusion, while superficially I may seem like nother Frence nor the Habsburgs gained from the Thirty Years war, as a general statement, this is viccined. France did gain relatively small terntorial gains in regards to the amount the powed into the war but their aims of stopping

(This page is for your second answer.) Habsburg encirclement had been achieved It & France's power was on the rise while

The correction between Smish & Austrian Habsburgs was lost, I their power of Empeor were awart non-enishal to Habsburg dominance over their own lands were reinforced.

Therefore, I would be more appropriate to say in the short term, France very have been unsatisfied, but It did gain from the way have been unsatisfied, but It did gain from the way, I while to the between were actually stroughtened in their hereditary lands, and gained in their way.



This answer directly addresses the question, and has a good understanding of the key issues involved. The candidate notes the intangible gains made by France which made her the leading power in Europe, and the variable outcome of the war for the two branches of the Habsburg family. A range of appropriately selected material is deployed, and the broad balance overall makes for a low Level 5 award.

Question 13

Many answers remained within Level 3. This was because candidates were either unable to support their points about power and money with clear and specific evidence, or because answers went beyond the settlement itself to describe features of the later part of Charles' reign. Better answers noted that the financial settlement was a modest one, and that the expected revenue from excise duties rarely materialised. Candidates were able to link this point to Charles' frequent demands for parliamentary subsidies, which caused conflict for much of his reign. Answers also noted that, although in theory royal power was quite broad, there was insufficient clarity about the separation of powers, and this was linked to Charles' drift towards absolutism later in the reign. Few noticed the promises made in the Declaration of Breda, or that religious differences clouded the whole of the 1660s.

Question 14

The question required a focus on the years 1678-85, and most answers were restricted to this time frame. However, the course of events in these years was sometimes confused, especially on the number of parliamentary sessions and the frequency of the exclusion bills. The harnessing of Monmouth to the Whig cause was not always accurately explained. Some candidates were content to provide a narrative of varying quality covering the given period, but others did attempt an explanation, usually focused on the weaknesses and mistakes made by Shaftesbury and the Whigs. Only a few noted that, during the years in question, Charles displayed significant political skills, which few realised he possessed, in outmanoeuvring his opponents.

Paper Summary

Centres might consider the following ways in which future performance might be improved.

- Candidates must answer the question set, not the one they were hoping for.
- The whole chronological range given in the question should be covered.
- Candidates should be familiar with, and comfortable with, historical words and phrases appropriate to the course of study.
- Candidates should study the factors relevant to an aspect of their course as a set rather than as a number of disconnected factors; and their relative importance could be weighed up accordingly.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:

http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481

Email <u>publication.orders@edexcel.com</u> Order Code US032392 June 2012

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE





