

Mark Scheme (Results)

Summer 2012

GCE History (6HI01/A)

Unit 1: Historical Themes in Breadth

Option A: England in the Middle Ages &

the Transition to the Early Modern World

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the world's leading learning company. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information, please visit our website at www.edexcel.com.

Our website subject pages hold useful resources, support material and live feeds from our subject advisors giving you access to a portal of information. If you have any subject specific questions about this specification that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our Ask The Expert email service helpful.

www.edexcel.com/contactus

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

Summer 2012
Publications Code US032390
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2012

General Marking Guidance

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.
- Mark schemes will indicate within the table where, and which strands of QWC, are being assessed. The strands are as follows:
 - i) ensure that text is legible and that spelling, punctuation and grammar are accurate so that meaning is clear
 - ii) select and use a form and style of writing appropriate to purpose and to complex subject matter
 - iii) organise information clearly and coherently, using specialist vocabulary when appropriate.

GCE History Marking Guidance

Marking of Questions: Levels of Response

The mark scheme provides an indication of the sorts of answer that might be found at different levels. The exemplification of content within these levels is not complete. It is intended as a guide and it will be necessary, therefore, for examiners to use their professional judgement in deciding both at which level a question has been answered and how effectively points have been sustained. Candidates should always be rewarded according to the quality of thought expressed in their answer and not solely according to the amount of knowledge conveyed. However candidates with only a superficial knowledge will be unable to develop or sustain points sufficiently to move to higher levels.

In assessing the quality of thought, consider whether the answer:

- (i) is relevant to the question and is explicitly related to the question's terms
- (ii) argues a case, when requested to do so
- (iii) is able to make the various distinctions required by the question
- (iv) has responded to all the various elements in the question
- (v) where required, explains, analyses, discusses, assesses, and deploys knowledge of the syllabus content appropriately, rather than simply narrates.

Examiners should award marks both between and within levels according to the above criteria. This should be done in conjunction with the levels of response indicated in the mark schemes for particular questions.

At the end of each answer, examiners should look back on the answer as a whole in the light of these general criteria in order to ensure that the total mark reflects their overall impression of the answer's worth.

Deciding on the Mark Point within a Level

The first stage is to decide the overall level and then whether the work represents high, mid or low performance within the level. The overall level will be determined by the candidate's ability to focus on the question set, displaying the appropriate conceptual grasp. Within any one piece of work there may well be evidence of work at two, or even three levels. One stronger passage at Level 4 would not by itself merit a Level 4 award - but it would be evidence to support a high Level 3 award - unless there were also substantial weaknesses in other areas.

Assessing Quality of Written Communication

QoWC will have a bearing if the QoWC is inconsistent with the communication descriptor for the level in which the candidate's answer falls. If, for example, a candidate's history response displays mid Level 3 criteria but fits the Level 2 QoWC descriptors, it will require a move down within the level.

Unit 1: Generic Level Descriptors

(30 marks)

Target: AO1a and AO1b (13%) Essay - to present historical explanations and reach a judgement.

Level	Mark	Descriptor
1	1-6	Candidates will produce mostly simple statements. These will be supported by limited factual material which has some accuracy and relevance, although not directed at the focus of the question. The material will be mostly generalised. There will be few, if any, links between the simple statements.
		Low Level 1: 1-2 marks The qualities of Level 1 are displayed; material is less convincing in its range and depth. Mid Level 1: 3-4 marks As per descriptor High Level 1: 5-6 marks The qualities of Level 1 are securely displayed; material is convincing in range and depth consistent with Level 1.
		The writing may have limited coherence and will be generally comprehensible, but passages will lack both clarity and organisation. The skills needed to produce effective writing will not normally be present. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present.
2	7-12	Candidates will produce a series of simple statements supported by some accurate and relevant factual material. The analytical focus will be mostly implicit and there are likely to be only limited links between the simple statements. Material is unlikely to be developed very far.
		Low Level 2: 7-8 marks The qualities of Level 2 are displayed; material is less convincing in its range and depth. Mid Level 2: 9-10 marks As per descriptor High Level 2: 11-12 marks
		The qualities of Level 2 are securely displayed; material is convincing in range and depth consistent with Level 2.
		The writing will have some coherence and will be generally comprehensible, but passages will lack both clarity and organisation. Some of the skills needed to produce effective writing will be present. Frequent syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present.

3	13-18	Candidates' answers will attempt analysis and will show some understanding of the focus of the question. They will, however, include material which is either descriptive, and thus only implicitly relevant to the question's focus, or which strays from that focus. Factual material will be mostly accurate but it may lack depth and/or reference to the given factor. Low Level 3: 13-14 marks The qualities of Level 3 are displayed; material is less convincing in its range and depth. Mid Level 3: 15-16 marks As per descriptor High Level 3: 17-18 marks The qualities of Level 3 are securely displayed; material is convincing in range and depth consistent with Level 3.
		The writing will be coherent in places but there are likely to be passages which lack clarity and/or proper organisation. Only some of the skills needed to produce convincing extended writing are likely to be present. Syntactical and/or spelling errors are likely to be present.
4	19-24	Candidates offer an analytical response which relates well to the focus of the question and which shows some understanding of the key issues contained in it. The analysis will be supported by accurate factual material which will be mostly relevant to the question asked. The selection of material may lack balance in places.
		Low Level 4: 19-20 marks The qualities of Level 4 are displayed; material is less convincing in its range and depth. Mid Level 4: 21-22 marks As per descriptor
		High Level 4: 23-24 marks The qualities of Level 4 are securely displayed; material is convincing in range and depth consistent with Level 4.
		The answer will show some degree of direction and control but these attributes may not be sustained throughout the answer. The candidate will demonstrate the skills needed to produce convincing extended writing but there may be passages which lack clarity or coherence. The answer is likely to include some syntactical and/or spelling errors.

5	25-30	Candidates offer an analytical response which directly addresses the focus of the question and which demonstrates explicit understanding of the key issues contained in it. It will be broadly balanced in its treatment of these key issues. The analysis will be supported by accurate, relevant and appropriately selected factual material which demonstrates some range and depth.
		Low Level 5: 25-26 marks The qualities of Level 5 are displayed; material is less convincing in its range and depth.
		Mid Level 5: 27-28 marks As per descriptor High Level 5: 29-30 marks The qualities of Level 5 are securely displayed; material is convincing in
		range and depth consistent with Level 5. The exposition, will, be controlled, and the deployment, logical. Some
		The exposition will be controlled and the deployment logical. Some syntactical and/or spelling errors may be found but the writing will be coherent overall. The skills required to produce convincing extended writing will be in place.

NB: The generic level descriptors may be subject to amendment in the light of operational experience.

Note on Descriptors Relating to Communication

Each level descriptor above concludes with a statement about written communication. These descriptors should be considered as indicative, rather than definitional, of a given level. Thus, most candidates whose historical understanding related to a given question suggests that they should sit in a particular level will express that understanding in ways which broadly conform to the communication descriptor appropriate to that level. However, there will be cases in which high-order thinking is expressed relatively poorly. It follows that the historical thinking should determine the level. Indicators of written communication are best considered normatively and may be used to help decide a specific mark to be awarded within a level. Quality of written communication which fails to conform to the descriptor for the level will depress the award of marks by a sub-band within the level. Similarly, though not commonly, generalised and unfocused answers may be expressed with cogency and even elegance. In that case, quality of written communication will raise the mark by a sub-band.

Unit 1 Assessment Grid

Question	AO1a and b	Total marks for
Number	Marks	question
Q (a) or (b)	30	30
Q (a) or (b)	30	30
Total Marks	60	60
% Weighting	25%	25%

A1 Alfred the Great and the Vikings, 793-c900

Question	Indicative content	Mark
Number		
1	The question is focused on Viking successes against the Anglo-Saxon kingdoms in the 860s and 870s, and the extent to which their military skills explain their successes. An important tactic that was frequently used was the element of surprise. The shallow draught of the longships enabled the Vikings to sail up rivers and attack almost without warning. Surprise was also achieved by speed of movement. Edmund of East Anglia was forced to provide a large number of horses which allowed the Vikings to move rapidly against Northumbria and then against Mercia. Battle tactics were quite basic. There were no organised formations; instead, the Vikings relied on the shield wall, spears and arrows; the berserkers sometimes influenced the outcome of battles. Other factors which contributed to Viking success include the sheer size of the Great Heathen Army of 865 and the Great Summer Army of 871; and the strong leadership of Ivarr the Boneless, Halfdan and Ubbe Ragnarsson, and Guthrum. The Anglo-Saxon kingdoms were essentially too weak to combat the Viking threat alone, and alliances between kingdoms usually collapsed. Answers may also note the divided rule in Northumbria, and Burgred's long-standing domestic weaknesses. A simple description of some of these features will be marked in Level 1 or 2, and progression will depend on the relevance and range of material offered. Answers at Level 3 will begin to frame an argument on reasons for Viking successes, though there may be passages of free-standing narrative. Level 4 answers will provide a range of relevant material which supports an analysis on Viking successes and with some reference to Anglo-Saxon weaknesses. Answers at Level 5 will include some attempt to evaluate Viking success and Anglo-Saxon failure, and will draw reasoned conclusions on the question.	30

Question	Indicative content	Mark
Number		
2	The question is focused on the extent to which Alfred's greatest achievements as king of Wessex were accomplished after 878. Candidates are not required to consider the whole of Alfred's reign in order to access the higher mark levels, but an attempt to examine the entire reign is an equally valid approach. Edington and the Treaty of Wedmore established a long peace with the Vikings which enabled Alfred to carry out a programme of reform in Wessex. Answers may refer to the improvements in both military and naval power. Existing coastal fleets were strengthened, and the burghal system and reorganisation of the fyrd proved their worth in the 890s against Haesten and the Appledore Vikings. There were significant developments in culture and religion. Alfred reestablished good relations with the Papacy, and his monastic foundations and court school encouraged the rebirth of education. The codification of law was completed. Cultural growth was fostered through translations of major works of literature and the sponsoring of leading scholars such as Asser; while the Abingdon sword and the Fuller brooch are evidence of the growth of skilled craftsmanship. The growth of the kingdom may be referred to with reference to both London and Mercia. A simple narrative which describes some of Alfred's reforms will be marked in Level 1 or 2, and progression will depend on the relevance and range of material offered. Answers at Level 3 will have some explanatory focus, though there may be passages of free-standing narrative. Level 4 answers will analyse Alfred's reforms and their significance. At Level 5 will be those who make some attempt to evaluate Alfred's achievements, and to draw reasoned conclusions on the question.	30

A2 The Norman Conquest and its Impact on England, 1066-1135

Question	Indicative content	Mark
Number		
3	The question is focused on the twin invasions by Harald Hardrada and William of Normandy in late 1066, and requires a judgement on why Harold Godwinson was victorious against the former but not the latter. Hardrada invaded with a substantial force, and defeated the northern earls in a fierce battle at Fulford Gate. The battle exhausted his troops, a contributory factor in Harold's later success. Godwinson's rapid march north in just four days caught the Norwegian forces by surprise; most had left their armour on their ships, believing that there were no hostile armies near them. Harold's leadership qualities and military experience were significant in explaining his success. William's landing on the south coast forced Harold to return to London and then to Hastings. He did not wait for reinforcements, and his tired troops were soon forced into battle. Answers may refer to the course of the battle of Hastings, and the reasons for William's success. These may include the different qualities of the opposing armies, with the largely peasant army from Sussex and Kent opposed by well disciplined knights used to military service; the excellent generalship of William of Normandy; the importance of the feigned retreat; and papal support displayed via the papal banner. A simple outline of some events during 1066 will be marked within Levels 1 and 2, and progression will depend on relevance and range of accurate material. Answers which begin to provide an explanation of the outcome of the two battles will access Level 3, though there may be some sections of narrative material. At Level 4 there will be an explicit attempt to analyse the reasons for Harold's success and failure, though the answer may lack balance. At Level 5 there will be some attempt to evaluate the relative significance of a number of factors which were influential in contributing to the outcomes at Stamford Bridge and Hastings, perhaps including Godwinson's disbanding of the fyrd armies in September and his reckless haste in forcing a showdown with Willi	30

Question	Indicative content	Mark
Number		
4	The question is focused on reasons why the English were unable to resist the Norman conquest of England in the years after 1066. Answers may refer to William's increasingly fierce suppression of revolts against him. The risings of 1067 and 1068 were put down with relative ease, and William showed considerable clemency towards the rebels. However, the northern rising of 1069-70 was ruthlessly handled, with much of northern England left devastated. The building of up to 500 motte and bailey castles during William's reign, all at strategically important points, cemented Norman control. Resistance could not be effective unless there was strong leadership, and this was lacking after 1066, especially as most of the Anglo-Saxon leaders had come to terms with William. The risings of 1067-75 were localised and without coordination, allowing William to pick off each rebellion in turn. Answers may also note that reforms in government and the church placed greater power in the king's hands, making it easier for him to deal with opposition to his rule. A simple descriptive outline of some of these or other relevant points, perhaps focused on castles and military power, will be marked within Levels 1 and 2, and progression will depend on relevance and range of accurate material. Answers which begin to provide an explanation of the failure of effective resistance will access Level 3, though there may be some sections of free-standing narrative. At Level 4 there will be an explicit attempt to analyse English failures, perhaps setting English weaknesses against Norman advantages, though the answer may lack balance. At Level 5 will be answers which attempt to evaluate the reasons for growing Norman control after 1066, and which draw reasoned conclusions on the question.	30

A3 The Angevin Empire, 1154-1216

Question	Indicative content	Mark
Number		
5	The question is focused on the reign of Henry II, and on the extent to which the king may be considered a great reforming monarch. The conflict between Stephen and Matilda had weakened the authority of the crown and allowed for the rise of over-mighty subjects, as well as increasing the power of the Church. Henry carried out a wide range of reforms, all designed to reassert royal authority. The legal system was reformed by the Assizes of Clarendon and Northampton, and equity in law was furthered by the itinerant justices and the Court of the King's Bench. Royal income was stabilised and increased by reforming the Exchequer and introducing effective audits of sheriffs' returns; the recovery of royal lands alienated by Stephen further improved Henry's financial position. The power of the barons and sheriffs was addressed, with the wholesale replacement of the latter and the restoration of royal authority over the nobility in many areas of the country. Henry's attempts to address the growing power of the Church were only partially successful thanks to the opposition of Becket; clerical trials and the election of bishops remained matters in dispute. The whole reform programme was supervised by the king, whose itinerant kingship tried to ensure that his policies were being carried out. A simple descriptive outline of some of these points will be marked within Levels 1 and 2, and progression will depend on relevance and range of accurate material. Answers which attempt an explanatory focus supported with some material will access Level 3, though there may be passages of free-standing narrative. At Level 4 there will be an attempt to analyse the extent and success of Henry's reforms, though the answer may lack balance. At Level 5 will be answers which attempt to evaluate the extent and success of Henry's reforms, and which draw reasoned conclusions on the question.	30

Question	Indicative content	Mark
Number		
6	The question is focused on the turbulent reign of King John, and requires an explanation of why opposition to the King became so bitter during his reign. John inherited serious financial problems from his brother, and these remained a significant feature of his reign. Opposition to the King grew when he interfered with justice in order to impose huge fines, sold offices, and made heavy financial demands on the barons. John was also despised because of his failures in France against Philip Augustus which led to dramatic loss of territory by 1204, and his efforts to recover England's position in 1206 and again in 1214 failed. There was also much opposition towards the King's small group of advisers, and to the growing centralisation of power in the Crown, which reduced the barons' role in government. Many were appalled by the murder of Arthur, John's nephew, and by quarrels with the English church and the papacy which led to the Interdict of 1208 and John's own excommunication the following year. As John's difficulties intensified opposition led to the baronial revolt of 1214-15 and the King's reluctant acceptance of the Magna Carta. A simple outline of some of these points will be marked within Levels 1 and 2, and progression will depend on relevance and range of accurate material. Answers which attempt an explanatory focus with some support will access Level 3, though there may be passages of free-standing narrative. At Level 4 there will be an attempt to analyse the different factors which caused opposition to John to grow, though the answer may lack balance. At Level 5 will be answers which attempt to evaluate the difficulties which John faced, whether of his own making or not, and which draw reasoned conclusions on the question.	30

A4 From Black Death to Great Revolt: England, 1348-81

Question	Indicative content	Mark
Number		
7	The question is focused on the spread of the Black Death in the years 1348-50, and the extent to which existing social and economic conditions were responsible for that development. Answers may refer to trade links joining coastal towns to European and other destinations, noting the role of trading vessels in bringing the plague to these towns, especially Bristol and London. The growth of towns and cities in the early 14 th century contributed to the rapid spread of the disease; overcrowding, insanitary conditions and filth in the street all played their part. Villages and isolated communities, especially in the north, were not immune from the plague; records show that whole communities were rapidly wiped out. Other factors linked to the rapid spread of the Black Death include the limited medical knowledge of the time. Contagious diseases were not understood, nor the existence of germs. Thus the crude disposal of bodies was a factor, and those who handled bodies failed to protect themselves. Ignorance of the cause of the plague also led to a high death rate among priests and those who ministered to infected people. Moreover, the population was already weakened by the famine of 1315 and frequently inadequate supplies of food; and soldiers returning from the Hundred Years War were potential carriers of the disease. A simple descriptive outline of some economic conditions will be marked within Levels 1 and 2, and progression will depend on relevance and range of accurate material. Answers which begin to provide an explanation for the plague's rapid spread in town and countryside will access Level 3, though there may be some sections of free-standing narrative. At Level 4 there will be an explicit attempt to analyse reasons for the speedy spread of the plague, though the answer may lack balance. At Level 5 will be answers which attempt to evaluate the reasons for the spread of the Black Death, and which draw reasoned conclusions on the question.	30

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
8	The question is focused on the Peasants' Revolt of 1381 and requires an explanation of why it failed. Answers may refer to the nature of the complaints raised by the leaders of the revolt. Many of these were economic factors. Some complained of the unfair system of taxation, especially the successive poll taxes of 1379-81, while there was also opposition to attempts to freeze wages and to the restoration of traditional labour dues. Attacks on the Sumptuary Laws were perhaps a lesser concern. However, other demands were more extreme and threatened to undermine the existing order in church and state. Much anger was directed at the king and his ministers for the military failures in France and the attacks on English coastal towns by the French. There were suggestions to confiscate church property, abolish titles and, urged on by John Ball and others, demands for a more equal and just society. While the king might have been able to amend complaints on taxation, other demands were too extreme to be considered. A simple descriptive outline of some of the peasants' demands, perhaps focused on taxation, will be marked within Levels 1 and 2, and progression will depend on relevance and range of accurate material. Answers which begin to provide an explanation of the reasons for failure will access Level 3, though there may be some sections of free-standing narrative. At Level 4 there will be an explicit attempt to analyse the failure of the revolt, though the answer may lack balance. At Level 5 will be answers which make some attempt and to evaluate reasons for failure, perhaps pointing out the localised nature of the revolt, and which draw reasoned conclusions on the question.	30

A5 Anglo-French Rivalry: Henry V and Henry VI, 1413-53

Question	Indicative content	Mark
Number		
9	The question is focused on the Burgundian-Armagnac feud within the French ruling class, and the extent to which it contributed to Henry V's successes in France in the years 1415-20. Answers may note that the feud was so bitter that France degenerated into civil war in 1414. Henry's landing in 1415 and the siege of Harfleur were both unopposed because both parties to the feud were concerned with possible conflict over Paris. Despite Henry's successes at Harfleur and Agincourt, the two French factions could not agree on a common strategy against the English. The murder of John the Fearless in 1419 at the hands of the Dauphin's supporters led Philip the Good to form an alliance with Henry against the French rulers. It was this disarray within the French ruling class which contributed to Henry's successes in 1415, and to the reconquest of Normandy by 1419. Answers may also note other relevant factors in explaining Henry's success, including the king's military, diplomatic and political skills, the value of the English archers in pitched battles, and domestic support for the king and the war. The insanity of Charles VI further added to the chaos within the French government. An answer which describes some of these points with little development will be marked within Levels 1 and 2, and progression will depend on relevance and range of accurate material. At Level 3 candidates will attempt to explain some reasons for Henry's success, though there may be passages of free-standing narrative. At Level 4 answers will offer reasonable range and depth of accurate material, and will attempt an analysis on the given factor, and perhaps on other relevant factors, though the answer may lack balance. At Level 5 will be answers which attempt to evaluate the significance of relevant factors, and to draw secure conclusions on the question.	30

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
10	The question is focused on the gradual collapse of English rule in France from 1429, and the extent to which the revival of the French monarchy contributed to the virtual end of English rule by 1453. Answers may note that the French monarchy was weak and with little effective leadership in the years from 1415 until the late 1420s. The intervention of Joan of Arc might be seen as a turning point for the French monarchy. The siege of Orleans was lifted, and the coronation of Charles VII at Reims in 1429 strengthened the position of the French king. Henry VI's coronation as Henry II of France in 1430 did nothing to enhance the English position. Charles VII was given a substantial boost when Philip the Good abandoned the English alliance at Arras in 1435. For the next twenty years the French experienced growing success, notably at Formigny in 1450 and Castillon in 1453, the latter ending English rule with the sole exception of Calais. Other factors influencing the collapse of English rule include the death of Bedford in 1435; growing divisions in England over the war; and the inadequacies of Henry VI. Changing French tactics in battle, notably the effective use of cannon, also contributed to English defeats. An answer which mentions one or two of these points with little development will be marked within Levels 1 and 2, and progression will depend on relevance and range of accurate material. At Level 3 candidates will attempt to explain the revival of the French monarchy, though there may be passages of free-standing narrative. At Level 4 answers will offer reasonable range and depth of accurate material, and will attempt an analytical focus on reasons for the collapse of English rule in France. At Level 5 there will be an attempt to evaluate the significance of relevant factors, and to draw secure conclusions on the question.	30

A6 The Wars of the Roses in England, 1455-85

Question	Indicative content	Mark
Number		
11	The question is focused on the outbreak of civil conflict in 1455, and the extent to which the financial weakness of the crown caused that conflict. The crown's financial difficulties had been increasing for many years, and were largely linked to the steady collapse of English power in France since the early 1430s. War was ruinously expensive, and the loss of territories, particularly Normandy, only added to Henry VI's financial problems. Answers may link the virtual bankruptcy of the Crown to problems of government, especially the obvious inability of Henry VI to rule effectively, and to the ambitions of his wife, Margaret of Anjou. Other relevant factors which may be considered include the growing ambitions of Richard of York, for himself and his family, local rivalries among the nobility, and the spread of private armies. The defeat at Castillon in 1453 and the almost complete loss of French territories only deepened the crisis within England, and divisions between the supporters of Lancaster and York led to the battle of St. Albans in May 1455. An answer which mentions one or two of these points, perhaps focused on Henry VI, though with little development will be marked within Levels 1 and 2, and progression will depend on relevance and range of accurate material. At Level 3 candidates will attempt to explain the importance of the bankruptcy of the crown in causing civil conflict, though there may be passages of free-standing narrative. At Level 4 answers will offer reasonable range and depth of accurate material, and will attempt an analytical focus on financial and some other reasons for conflict. At Level 5 there will be an attempt to evaluate the significance of relevant factors, and to draw secure conclusions on the question.	30

Question	Indicative content	Mark
Number		
12	The question is focused on the reigns of Edward IV and Richard III, and the extent to which they both restored royal authority in England. After his restoration and the deaths of Henry VI and his son, Edward worked to extend his authority throughout the country. He relied heavily on the authority of both Gloucester and Hastings in the provinces, and on loyal sheriffs and JPs. The success of this policy might be assumed by the absence of any serious risings against the king. Edward also improved the state of royal finances by bypassing the Exchequer in favour of the Chamber, and his representatives increased the income from crown lands. The humiliation of Scotland, and the establishment of peace with France in 1475, all pointed to enhanced stability and royal prestige. Although Richard III's reign ended in defeat at Bosworth, there are signs that royal authority was maintained during his short reign. Buckingham's rebellion was easily defeated, and there was little serious opposition to the usurpation of 1483. Most of the nobility pledged their support to Richard, though a few malcontents fled to Henry Tudor's court in France. An answer which mentions one or two points with little development will be marked within Levels 1 and 2, and progression will depend on relevance and range of accurate material. At Level 3 candidates will attempt to explain the restoration of royal authority, perhaps focused on Edward IV, though there may be passages of free-standing narrative. At Level 4 answers will offer reasonable range and depth of accurate material, and will attempt an analytical focus on the extent of royal authority in these years. At Level 5 there will be an attempt to evaluate the nature and extent of royal power, and to draw secure conclusions on the question.	30

A7 The Reign of Henry VII, 1485-1509

Question	Indicative content	Mark
Number		
13	The question is focused on Henry VII's reign in the years 1485-99, and on the extent to which the king established himself firmly on the throne in these years. Answers may note that Henry had a stronger claim to the throne through victory in battle than through his descent from Edward III via Margaret Beaufort. The king took firm steps in his first years to secure his position. Both Parliament and the Papacy confirmed his title, which was further strengthened by his marriage to Elizabeth of York. The Simnel rising was a serious threat to the king's position; the defeat of the Yorkists at Stoke in 1487 did not prevent Margaret of Burgundy and others from supporting Warbeck; his threat ended only with his execution in 1499. Henry worked to establish his power over the nobility through bonds, recognisances and attainders; and there were no genuinely over-mighty subjects to threaten his rule. The king established domestic and financial stability; the former through suppressing the Northern and Cornish rebellions; the latter by using the Chamber as the main channel for receiving royal funds. The execution of Warwick and Warbeck eliminated the last serious pretenders to the throne. Answers which provide a simple description of some of these points will be assessed in Levels 1 and 2, depending on the range and depth of material. At Level 3 candidates will begin to explain steps taken by Henry to establish his position, but answers may include significant passages of free-standing narrative. At Level 4 answers will attempt an analysis of the degree of success achieved by the king, though the answer may lack balance. At Level 5 there will be a clear attempt to evaluate the extent of Henry's security in these years, and to draw secure conclusions on the question.	30

Question	Indicative content	Mark
Number		
14	The question is focused on the extent to which Henry VII used foreign affairs to strengthen his position as king of England. Although the king had secure papal recognition of his title, there were significant threats from foreign powers which could destabilise his position. The Treaty of Medina del Campo was one of Henry's greatest foreign policy successes. He was recognised by one of the great powers of Europe, and the alliance was cemented by Arthur's proposed marriage to Catherine of Aragon. Although Anglo-Spanish relations deteriorated later in Henry's reign, the alliance was one of the cornerstones of Henry's policy for many years. The threat posed by France, especially after the annexation of Britanny, led to the invasion of 1492, but the Treaty of Etaples established a lasting peace between the two countries. Burgundy's support for pretenders threatened the king's position, but relations improved with the death of Margaret of Burgundy and the Magnus Intercursus. Scotland threatened Henry for many years, but Ayton and the Treaty of Perpetual Peace, along with Margaret's marriage to James IV, ended the threat from the northern kingdom. Answers may note that several agreements with European powers were also designed to improve England's export trade, notably Medina del Campo and the Magnus Intercursus. An answer which mentions one or two of these points with little development will be marked within Levels 1 and 2, and progression will depend on relevance and range of accurate material. At Level 3 candidates will attempt to explain some features of Henry's foreign policy, though there may be passages of free-standing narrative. At Level 4 answers will offer reasonable range and depth of accurate material, and will attempt an analytical focus on Henry's intentions in foreign policy, perhaps noting the marriage alliances and trading policies. At Level 5 will be answers which attempt to evaluate Henry's policy overall, and to draw secure conclusions on the question.	30

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467
Fax 01623 450481
Email <u>publication.orders@edexcel.com</u>
Order Code US032390 Summer 2012

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit our website $\underline{www.edexcel.com}$

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE





