



Examiners' Report January 2012

GCE History 6HI02 A

### **Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications**

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the world's leading learning company. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our qualifications website at <a href="https://www.edexcel.com">www.edexcel.com</a>. For information about our BTEC qualifications, please call 0844 576 0026, or visit our website at <a href="https://www.btec.co.uk">www.btec.co.uk</a>.

If you have any subject specific questions about this specification that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our Ask The Expert email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link: <a href="http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/">http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/</a>

Alternatively, you can speak directly to the subject team at Pearson about Edexcel qualifications. Their contact details can be found on this link: <a href="https://www.edexcel.com/teachingservices">www.edexcel.com/teachingservices</a>



### Get more from your exam results

# ...and now your mock results too!

ResultsPlus is Edexcel's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam and mock performance, helping you to help them more effectively.

- See your students' scores for every exam question
- Spot topics, skills and types of question where they need to improve their learning
- Understand how your students' performance compares with Edexcel national averages
- Track progress against target grades and focus revision more effectively with NEW Mock Analysis

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit <a href="www.edexcel.com/resultsplus">www.edexcel.com/resultsplus</a>. To set up your ResultsPlus account, call 0844 576 0024

# Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: <a href="https://www.pearson.com/uk">www.pearson.com/uk</a>.

January 2012

Publications Code US030595

All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2012

# Introduction

There were many good responses seen in this examination series. The best responses demonstrated well developed analysis which was focused on the task; the sources were used effectively and, in part (b), supporting own knowledge was specific and detailed. It was clear that such candidates were often aware of the demands of the assessment objectives and were framing their responses in order to meet these demands. It is therefore worth reiterating the key issues with each assessment objective:

Question (a) requires the application of AO2a. This requires candidates to analyse, cross-reference and evaluate source material. They should aim to develop this as fully as possible in relation to the focus of the question and not merely assert similarities and differences.

Question (b) requires the application of both AO1 (the selection and deployment of historical knowledge) and AO2b (the analysis and evaluation of how issues have been interpreted and represented, in relation to historical context).

An understanding of what each assessment objective tests would help candidates to focus their comments more securely. For example, there are still a significant number of candidates who spend considerable amounts of time exploring the provenance of sources in question (b) and may very well be addressing AO2a which is not rewarded in this question.

There were far fewer candidates working through the sources sequentially in question (a) than has been the case previously. This is to be commended. However, candidates now need to develop the quality of the cross referencing that they are engaged in. A significant minority pick out a quote and match it to another quote without explaining how this relates to the question. It should also be pointed out that although a discrete paragraph on provenance is preferable to no reference to this at all, the very best answers integrate provenance within the arguments that are presented in the cross referencing. This enables the candidates to develop more specific arguments than the general comments that characterise most of the separate paragraphs. Candidates should be encouraged to use provenance as the basis for reaching a judgement in response to the question. This particularly distinguishes level 4 candidates from those operating at level 3. The purpose of testing the weight and validity of the evidence is to come to a judgement. It is always disappointing when perceptive comments made in the body of the answer are ignored when reaching a conclusion.

In question (b), examiners were impressed by many responses which demonstrated a strong understanding of the sources, the issues that they related to and which were supported by extensive own knowledge. Fewer candidates than has been usual treated the sources and own knowledge discretely. There were however many responses which demonstrated very little knowledge beyond what was offered in the sources. Where this material was well used, it was possible to achieve top level 2 in AO1. It is however, disappointing that some candidates have not prepared well for the examination.

It was pleasing to note that previous advice in examiners' reports has clearly been acknowledged and this applied also to the use of inappropriate abbreviations. There was however, this January something of a resurgence of the use of bias, with its attendant misspellings and misuse.

# Question 1

## Question 1 (a)

There were many good responses where candidates successfully cross referenced the sources and tested the validity of the sources by a careful discussion of the provenance of the sources that formed the basis of the judgement. High scoring candidates were able to debate the term "considerable" effectively. However, many candidates still work their way through the sources numerically and this does reduce the overall achievement. In many scripts provenance use was often generic, such as "we can trust Source 1 as Warham was a commissioner". The ability to move beyond this is the mark of a good candidate.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box **⋈**. If you change your mind, put a line through the box ₭ and then indicate your new question with a cross ⋈. Chosen Question Number: **Question 1 Question 2** There is evidence in the sources to suggest that There was considerable rescistance to The Amicable Grant of 1525. Source I clearly agrees with this notion as Workam tells of The dissatistaction prevailing". This implies That there was considerable resistance as it gives us the impression that the majority of The people were opposed to the Grant. Warham is writing a privace letter, and thus is likely to enclose his personal feelings. Source offers a degree of support to source 1 as Henry admits that in some places people 'avose up in owns." The element of disagnormally between These two sources comes over The issue of whother the resistance was 'considerable', Henry says that This has only occurred in some places whereas Warham says That this attitude is "prevailing"

We may conclude that Washam's account is likely to be more accurate as the King would not won't to exaggeste the extent of the apposition, and would try to press on with the Gant.

((a) continued) ... On The other hand, There is also evidence to suggest that any opposition to The Grant was not considerable. Source 2 States that in "all Suffolk, except Ipswich" people "conform" to The Amicable Grant. The Duke of Suffolk gives The impression That there was very little apposition So we may say that it was not Considerable. However, The Duke wishes to know what "authority" collectors have; he seems worried. If we consider The provenance, The Duke agreed with The Amicable Grant and would be reflictant to accept that it would not work, as with Henry in Source 3. In conclusion, one must ultimately accept that because of the Glawed probative value of sources 2 and 3, that there was considerable opposition to the Amicable Grant of 1828. The

personal opinions of Herry VIII and of The Duke of Suffork means that Warham's account is more reliable.



This response achieved high level 4. The candidate is clearly focused on the task of cross referencing and provides sound comments on the attributions of the sources which are then used to inform the overall judgement. A particular strength of this answer is the focus on the term "considerable" and the judgement made on this.

# Question 1 (b)(i)

This was the most popular question on the paper and virtually all candidates were able to access the sources and focus on the question. In levels 2 and 3 there was a tendency towards narrative description in varying degrees of accuracy but in level 4 candidates were able to weigh up the arguments both in terms of historians' perceptions of success and failure and also with regard to how Henry would have viewed his foreign policy. Successful candidates integrated sources and own knowledge and used knowledge to test the opinions in the sources.

cess outweighed Pailure
[ AC 01257: \ \
ACHINSI.
Source S
-7 Financially
unrealistic to achieve success
96,0008.
Source G
-7 "Economic weakness

While on First sight one may say
That English foreign policy was on the whole, successful in the years 1511 to 1525, an exposer inspection we can see that several successes are not enough to conceal England's failures.

A cose can be made that England had a successful foreign policy. In Source 4, M.D. Palmer states that Wolsoy's "greatest triumph" was the Treaty of London, which brought together twenty European powers for universal peace. This is clearly a success:

Foreign policy does not have to involve winning decisive battles to be deemed a Success. The other sources fair to mention Wolsey's Successful diplomacy, except Those Source G praises Wolsey's alignment with The Empire One cannot deny that The diplomacy may be presented as a failure but it was perhaps covering up England's issues. We may place a lot of weight on M.D. Palmer's views due to The fact mat as a twentiath century historian, he would have access

to a wide variety of sources and would not have a personal agenda to twict. The evidence.

We must not ignore Henry's successes in the First French War, as ne managed to win French land cuties.

Wolsey showed off his arganisational muscles. Source Go States that these campaigns were "expensive" and of "little long-term value". Although the probative value of this source is strong, for the same reasons as source 1, we may argue against Armstrong's views.

The successes in Tournai and Therovanne gave Henry an advantage when it came to regariating peace and England were able to Secure a sizeable persion as a recrute of The foreign policy. We can see, Then, That a case can be made that England achieved some successes.

A stronger case can be made that despite having some successes in foreign policy. These successes were autweighted by failures Henry had spent £1.4 million in wars according to source to and had only two small Freich towns

to show for it. Hence Armourong States that these campaigns were of "little long-tom"value". He was point. Wolsey's diplomacy, whilet paraded by source 4 cannot really be seen as a success as the peace failed to last and This was England's way of boking powerful and taking centre-Stage without bankrupting Thenselves as was The real issue Financially , it was difficult for England to impress on The European Stage as They simply did not have the funds to compete with Their rivals. As Washam Suggests in Source 5 an invasion of France would cost England "greatly", Warham's comments come in 1525 when England's foreign desires to invade France after The Imperial Success at Pavia Caused problems at home as a result of Wolsey's Amicable Grant. We may place a lot of weight on Worham's opinions because he is a contemporary source, and his opinions are put accross in a personal letter, Thus containing his

personal feelings If we cross-refer

Sowces 5 and 6 There is a high

level of mutual

level of support as Armstrong States

that "England's economic weakness

limited effective action". This agreement
is convincing as both creatible sources.

England's lack of financial power

meant that they could only achieve

small successes with Greign policy
and France and The Holy Roman

Empire aways seemed more pareful.

In order to be able to conclude that English foreign policy was more of a failure than a success, we must be able to dismiss Wolsey's diplomacy. Source 6 States that "Charles V increasingly pusued his own aims and ambitions", although allied with England, the Empire only had their own ambitions in mind. They emborassed England in the French Campaign by failing to appear at the arranged date. We may say that Palmer's account is not entirely accounte as the neglects to mention the diplomatic failures. \* ESEE LATER ]

Ultimately, one must conclude that England's foreign policy was more characterised by failure Than by Success There were, admittedly, some successes, but These were outweighed by The failures. England's real major failure, That Herry "Squandered" farmer's sewings trying to convince that England was a major sopean power, when in reality They were unable to compete with France and the Empire as England had a population only a fraction of the size of Their rivals, and Thus could not generate The same level of financial power despite holsey's best efforts, English foreign policy was not in general successful. # If we cross-refer sources 4 and 6, we can see that source G refutes source 4, especially with regards to relationships with Charles , The Emperor.

# Results lus Examiner Comments

This is a very focused response which achieved high level 4 for A01 and maximum marks for A02b. The response is driven by the arguments in the sources and knowledge is displayed effectively to develop the argument. The conclusion provides an excellent example of reasoned judgement.

## Question 1 (b)(ii)

This question was less popular and often not as well done as b(i). There were a range of excellent answers with a tight focus on the question and developed reasoning from the sources. However, a significant number of candidates did find it difficult to focus on the dissolution of the larger monasteries and tended to offer own knowledge that explained the first dissolutions in 1536. As such many were placed in level 3 for implicit focus.

Answer EITHER part (b) (ii) of your chosen question.
*(b) *\(\bar{1}\)
Plan Ses
71535-47 13 vielia fran neostras
Sold exalling, scots, widows Lots
- nontros Los necrolle codo d'ule, plete, jude, bodo de
- Church arread both sen 1's & 1's of lead, note than ting
- rd doing book not at of 800 Jeans
No
- and compt (sines, broking coleban)
-8 alton - Larbhit great disoce
7 Set up Let good rich bidger poor grieds

It's possible to assess that the discholic of the nearly of the service of the service of the nearly of the nearly

operation to have a formation of the provider of the discussion to the provider of the discussion to the provider of the discussion to be considered to the provider of the discussion to the provider of the discussion of of the di

Con the other lead, if we cook policione Source & hith Source Source & hith Source Source & hithough the chalific was dusted by a made in course the absolute brought growth about the discultion was dusted fracial reasons. To outher the made the discultion was duste fracial reasons. To outher the backs their inference to point frages, source that the King has interest ISGE IST! therefore the IS said proof that the King has interest his fraces the source even provide reason, in code to microse his fraces the source even disculsion was also to know into explaines. From an knowledge, this point as he backed up because there said executions of the name of the transfers to bods. So he about her selling aff to the names

over the short term The Orch also presided a bit of land which could be sold of on it awas between 13 & 15 of the lord Hid no now the the King. I hadd son this source was reliable because it no wither to inform (a text book) by a noder histories If he cross reference Source 9 with Source 8 he can see eaged and of dea to local at some despes the discopood It agrees with Source & because it down the King selling of Church land Love it sens, End of Suscer take a miester of all the preport, treated he was ving the last to go todood is sist saint and at the est where I sons it has to the King's proft - if the disselfie Long E fraises it rould there be anothing to de with the Viis & Lisies? On the other hard Source & supports 7 5 wifering that the rose for the discher was at a to compton He can see that it sees make of on Locat set should be one near terdere the saire des that it is and count notes the desert to be purished by the dischlar & through sain es simply a by product of the dissolution If he look at the colorbety I had son that the surce is not reliable This is become it is written by Home stee Africe, who would not to the file the official rooms that the dissolution was due to comption & not frencial gain It could also be said, from our brouteday, that

Therefore in conclusion I would so that the discultion of the noroties could have been dean to the correction & the fraicid sois was a by product by new likely I teas a vive a politice because the newst be used by the Pape to reacin power I also remaisoled the people of the do resume the other rooms being from because Hem Lested to be a revousance Lorio prince We coved his some fighting & also the apportunity France is 1523 possess de to lock de travos Lo knows to to land are lost attack on known. Attloop, Rosen for rising frances has have been delicine bookse nos norried de a Carlei moion from Evege, but alles her I belove that the recom to the dissolving of the monatries de to Para Frances corredomisate while also problem (although all of the 800 herotios in a large proportion have that compt that they needed to dissolved & the confete disseller no patch now Henri some expended to to the horard socre



This answer was awarded secure level 3 for both assessment objectives. The answer is driven mainly by the sources with clear reasoning developed from them. The candidate uses cross referencing of the sources to develop the argument, but does waste some time in describing the provenance of the sources. This is not rewarded in A02b and so its inclusion does waste time for the candidate. The own knowledge is rather thin, but a good development in the conclusion does raise the AO1 marks into secure L3.

# **Question 2**

# Question 2 (a)

Many candidates were able to focus their responses on cross referencing, and very few were unable to recognise the conflict between sources 10 and 12 favouring James' extravagance with source 11 that suggested other reasons for James' financial problems. Where provenance was discussed it was often done well but many candidates did not attempt it this time or gave little more than stereotypical labels that did not allow progress beyond lower level 3.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box ⋈. If you change

your mind, put a line through the box ∰ and then indicate your new question with a cross ⊠.
Chosen Question Number:
Question 1 🖾 Question 2 📓
(a) Times extravegut giving: cause of fin problems:
Source 10, is a latter expressing the Jeurs amoung Junes subjects.
coursed by his entravagent giving, that Archbiship Huttons believes will
exhaut la loccision . Il This, this source, presents a similar argument
to source D, who uses the metaphor of a cisten, to exemplify the that
effet financial problems resulted from Juna's "giving". The concurrence
hetween these two sources provides strength to this any view.
Frobussore, le evidence la souver 12 presents tous as a primus
account cus he deemal reletivity viable herouse although this
primar course is subject to contextual influences - indeed
he cites "common talk" and speaks in generalications - his motives
can be ussued to be unbicised us he is merely reporting
to his employer The growth goodpy talk source 12 me alluster
too however, is confirmed by the Archbishop of lost, who
empresses these concerns to tesil, forcing in a munau which
implies Tures extravagence will - in his view - cause
financial problems. The suggestion is only given weight by the
fut that assurptions and heartd) words of 1604 (source

16

10) are confirmed by source 12 (1610) which species us
though the concerns of course 10 hore some come
into effect and June's grathere is coursing pullans.

However, this well written toller written observations we besel from external accounts, and their agreement is indeed United by source II, which is written by the Lord Treasurer who would have knowledge of all the mouants fits fiscul transactions, and disagress with what is nearly comment telli sure II, in basifrom claims that an not only that their we isceres Concerned with source 12's view ) but that they are a result of depts to the king to remaining unpoid, instiguting the for monetary problems the question implies were present. But This limitulien of the apposing argument is Juther by the fact it there is more helevistic, incorprating it ( a acknowledging that the King's fundly would land the to more extravagant spending) but from the treasurers view, this is minte conjunt to aller influencing Jutors House, this uguest too is limited in that unlike the offer two sources, it could be he traisted my a desire to appeare the king, porseiting him pagituly, walning excuses for financial pullus House game 12 doscribe tames a transquem us an incurble lock as finances to the fut both some 10 and 12 parent views amongst

palinetwines and gate, could explain who fulicult is unvilling to provide the payment

(a) continued) on delets, like subsidies, because of

Tures giving unsanctimeniones sixing, these tricks

This leads to condusion that not only nows Junes'

giving a pointing as down in financies, the source

(O and 12 suggest it) he that it based a secondary

impart, asulting in a loss of fully which

exacelated his fiscal inefficiency. The tuttomore,

this view incorported three primar sources, all with

aliferant social ordered and political roles, of uf

which wholistically, present this inequant. This

provides this integratuation on the volidity and and others

as it takes into account biceses, reguling them to an

extent:



This response scored the maximum mark. The response displays level 4 qualities from the start. There is an explicit focus on the question with cross referencing and consideration of source attributes which are used to reach a judgement. A real strength in this answer is the candidate's ability to use the sources as a set. Excellent communication skills aids the candidate's tightly focused argument.

## Question 2 (b)(i)

This was the more popular option in question 2. There were some very good responses here where candidates had an excellent grasp of the key events and issues and were able to develop an integrated response using sources and own knowledge to provide a focused answer which showed consideration of both a range of factors and a weighing of their significance in relation to the stated factor in the question. Many candidates however, struggled to focus on the dates given and so became heavily reliant on the content of the sources to address the question.

Answer EITHER part (b) (i) OR part (b) (ii) of your chosen question. \*(b) To a certion degree Tomer 1's attempt to follow a peaceful foreign policy in the years 1621-25 failed due to the lack of support from Parliament. Source 13 gives on extreme biased view of Participent being unsupportive of Janes' effects to report peace to Europe & due to the rejoicing of the Spainish Infanta marriage ending in faline and the welcoming of the Thirty Year Wor Source 14 depicts how Jones was brapped for his desires to for peace with Spain and aynostic commitments. Source IS Gnally is a Petition presented to James in 1621 claiming that his effects were unders to restore peace and that Parliament view with war must be considered. (. Emmott depicts on how Parliament disliked passe with Po spain. This maybe is due to the Spanish Armada won be by Elizabeth and also Parliament hattba Ropery Lava possessing a Popery attitude since spain was the Catholism Symbol of Europe Emmolt also expresses how Padiament Still believes that Spain is a a dangerous energy: the constant Catholic threats during

Elizabeth's reign, for example many obean of Scots and the Babylon Plot, and also the Composider Plot of 1605 creates masses of tension within Parliament towards Catholic Sperin and still

((b) continued) consider them to de dangeroun. This is supporte by Source 15, where in the Commons people were fearful of the dangerous increase of Popish in Phenee. Parliament would always be forful of Catholism Since the Tudor reign was is well-known for their dangerows shifts in religion. Source 12 also com Emmott would give his own biased opinion of Jones' Foreign policy since it is his own research and historical account Emmate depicts how Parliament bitterly opposed the attempt to many Charles to a Spainish princers. Parliaments strugger with many 15 Merriage to Prince Phillip of Spain would have had on enormous effect of a royal marriages since They did possess freedom of Speach. Source 14. Suggests that is was actually Europe that failed to co-operate with Jones foreign policy. Since torigen policy was a royal pre prebragive, Parliament could not have any influence over Jones Foreign affering leave quotes how the 'Cormon conflict escalated and threatered to become a ... European War. James' foregin policy was threaten by German conflict rather than the lack of support from Rarhament,

Since Protestent Germany was threatening the Stability of his daughter's Elizabeth's and rule.

Peeres etter also depicts how Jones was 'trapped;

this could represent James being influenced by other

((b) continued) people such as the Duke of Buckingham, who was behind the idea of Charles Failed marriage to the Spainsh infenta Parliament's lack of support connot be blomed for Jones Pailed foreign policy if his advices ore making mistakes. This is supposed by Source IS where the Petition States how Jomes Should abondon his own children: Parliament see the failed Spenish marriage and Fredrick's and Elizabeth's crisis on interupling and Eureatoning peace at home when they should not even be Joven problems on King Source 15 is a primary source which will present Fresher and more reliable evidence of Jomes failed Pereign policy. The lebition states how "despite Jenes" efforts to acheive peace such as the Treaty of London in 1604 and the Treaty of Antwerp. wer must be considered as the solution to the growing Lervin in Europe. The Petition depicts how Parly amont was never Supportive of Jones in his efforts to Stimulate Peace in Europe and that Parliament always desired war with Spain for revenge on the Pailed marriage and the ampowder Plot.

To conclude, Jones 1's attempt to follow a peaceful foreign follow in the final years of Jones' reign failed due to the lack of Support from Parliament. The last line of source 1's states how problems within

((b) continued) Europe were not fully and clearly understood to Johns "Enouge Knowledge, which depites a lack of co-operation between the two.



This response achieved a low level 3 for A01 and top level 2 for A02b. The candidate clearly identifies different representations in the sources and organises the response around the source material. There is some own knowledge integrated with the sources but that is focused on developing the underlying attitude to Spain rather than being focused on the time period set by the question. The sources are used for information but the candidate does not develop level 3 reasoning from them.

# Question 2 (b)(ii)

There were fewer responses to this question but those candidates who did answer it tended to have a better grasp of the knowledge needed to address it. The best answers were able to distinguish between the "minority" stated in the question and parliament as a whole. Most candidates did not make that distinction and tended to focus on parliament as a whole as a factor in the breakdown of relations with Charles. The majority were able to draw out a range of other factors identified in sources 17 and 18 and link these with their own knowledge. Candidates who were able to weigh up their relative significance in relation to the stated factor scored well.

Answer EITHER part (b) (i) OR part (b) (ii) of your chosen question.

\*(b) ii) De year agree C - P breakdown relations hought about

by actions of difficult Hof C: minerity

IE, CF, IE, IG A01

Support. but.

The curses of the breakdown one may out varied by but us sources He Hall indicates, L The work of a asimonty in the broadclower of a mount la-liventy veletions does indeed have backing by sources 16 and 18. B Historium Necle indeed desiriled a "Invitum chair" acting as a minority of 40 purition Mrs dring Flizalethers times so it is jusible hased on this and & generalisations of sources the end 18. Kent they containly had an influence However, to source IT acknowledg finites apposes the this argument, even alluding directly to source the think this engunet is united and that links played in factor. Thates and Persiament seems to have played newly un equal cole in the disregetion, but if the minority were corrensible for the falling ut, it seems to confusing their it and hoppened to the order it did in 1629, ween the intransigence of Charles, take the Flouble 3 Star The agreement of course be, is a chnordedged by

(b) continued) former 17 and presults the aryument that the majority of hadiant were legal astrongly a few disaffects of weathers. A mineral ladged the speaker of the Commons.

Lad attemptal to clisable the off actions is as had an 1621.

Forthermore, some 18 calls the 11's who passed the 3 leaded.

3 Resolutions I Elliote group, implying a mainority haded.

the 3 Resolutions naming those coducating arminicurisms, and payment of bounce and poundage capital state enemies, regulted in Chilas' Jew Get Perficuent was dominated. Attentos upon non vadical M's by the House of Loude exceptify this Ella I Even more sa, little before 1629, Chiles cleeted & troublesome man such us lape, to he shap shamiles presenting them from studing the Pulicult This Kath gracellus propagates the view (hules personly expresses in source 16, that Pulianuts well wearing put of the House was to an extent, responsible for the break up. of relations, However, this many shows Charles view ignores his own water in handling of lasticust Unlike Elizabeth D James, Charles traid to eaforce his dirine right of Kings uncomprovisingly and his limited communication shill and immediate fear of breachang bocuards an critism, can clerky explainly why forfelt not only why Chalig believed in a toocherous minority, but encouraged ((b) continued) that this These had usumed his son your will live to have a hely full of luctionats but his reglection of James aberice can explain the sitention which would encourage an opposit on not only so opposition, but a se more aggressive minority. Thus, Charles was to not of his minority, which halpel conse saw relations, het was also, in the himself a course of the purplem

Chelis cole in cousing the publicus can he seen in his funcing of Aminiums, & absolutist clasuits of absolutions at this timited civile of friends were in part responsible for this with his French wife Herriette Murice) propogating temper iclear of desolutions which iveritudity caused outlied with Parlimet, and was a Jules je dissilubion tuturnose, as our Royalist MP soid "if you do not yout him subsidies, he will he faced to tun to other & less Javocrethe ptions. This cure characterises Chulisas an aggressor, and weakens He suidence some 16 presents tis impulieur, as sume 17 puts it, lead to when bilkling to Jud Joreign policy aims and matical martial law in some exects maintain cooler, Characteristic of absolution, this was were responsible for in put counity & latinets relations, junglaing Charles, verter thus a leastcusting minemity neces peopo asille, den to mistust Fellennone, ((b) continued) Ge vallying helvind He regurousalism of hilleting, mustical law and the forcel locus implies Penliant acted together, rates their acting feetionly and in minorities. This is excuplified in some 17'3 47th live of hour Chules alienated the more moderal Al's rather them his own now from source 16, but the moderates had been lest astrony & My ones moulalse validates this, strengtheming this interretation, in the knowledge that Palimet was generally united in this period, callectively respicing it

Buchinghous death and wholehenteelly representing the King reflect the 5 Knights case 162465. llamor, whilet a unrovity my have not played a hope all Palienest as a whole containly E played in part Having deposal monantes such as Richard II In the 13-19th Certains, Uniles me me have here very surjust in dismissing Pulicut und Rece is viduo fuliant was as some 16 says, taking udvalage of the situation. This can be seen in Heir returbition the to Buchinghoms purges in 1625, attemption to impeach sim after Bristol and Anded were imprisoned This ociolise of Palicent Thing Kin muscles \* retaliation ((b) continued) as some historians have clastriled 25 can be liked us a don couse of the buch down of whitious Aft Musing to great subsidies to Clubes throughest his rolan, ensuing overseers when they did great 18 of what Chale eventul in 1625, they must oludy he accounted you The actions some 16 allulis to for a brech down in relations, does hold

Some forth flower, Neeles histers

Chair theory supports it an exicting of
minorities in the last way suggest

this gourp existed precuercy the
failures of history and his & selfish
unawing sospoures as some ix

suggest, played up them a more contint
in hallout, was the interpretate which is purpol
which is perhaps, more critical

Although as the sources suggest

flegg the minority of hope



This response scored the maximum mark for both assessment objectives. The candidate demonstrates a clear awareness that there is a conflict in the sources and develops a high level of analysis and evaluation to reach a judgement. The use of own knowledge is skilfully integrated with the sources, not by a lengthy passages of knowledge but by underpinning the argument with a developed understanding of the issues. The conclusion is brief and lacks source reference, giving the impression that the candidate ran out of time, but the overall quality of the response merits the full marks awarded.

# **Paper Summary**

In order to improve performance candidates should address the following issues:

- Read the question carefully in order to be able to address the precise issues that are required
- Read the sources carefully before beginning to write in order to ensure that all the sources are securely understood
- Ensure that in part (a) the sources are cross referenced as fully as possible in relation to the question
- Ensure in part (b) that the sources are integrated with secure own knowledge
- Leave time for a well-judged conclusion to part (b)

# **Grade Boundaries**

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link: http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481

Email <u>publication.orders@edexcel.com</u> Order Code US030595 January 2012

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit <a href="https://www.edexcel.com/quals">www.edexcel.com/quals</a>

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE





