



Examiners' Report June 2011

GCE History 6HI03 A



ALWAYS LEARNING

Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at <u>www.edexcel.com</u>.

If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our **Ask The Expert** email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link: http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/

Alternatively, you can contact our History Advisor directly by sending an email to Mark Battye on <u>HistorySubjectAdvisor@EdexcelExperts.co.uk</u>. You can also telephone 0844 576 0034 to speak to a member of our subject advisor team.

ResultsPlus

Get more from your exam results

...and now your mock results too!

ResultsPlus is Edexcel's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam and mock performance, helping you to help them more effectively.

- See your students' scores for every exam question
- Spot topics, skills and types of question where they need to improve their learning
- Understand how your students' performance compares with Edexcel national averages
- Track progress against target grades and focus revision more effectively with NEW Mock Analysis

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit <u>www.edexcel.com/resultsplus</u>. To set up your ResultsPlus account, call 0844 576 0024

June 2011

Publications Code UA028151

All the material in this publication is copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ Edexcel Ltd 2011

Introduction

This was the second time that this specification has been examined, and judging by the feedback from centres and student responses on the examination, centres and candidates seem increasingly assured of what is expected of them. As with last year, the paper appears to have worked in the sense that all candidates were able to attempt answers to both parts of the examination, whilst the most able were stretched appropriately.

The paper requires candidates to answer two questions (a Depth Study question and an Associated Historical Controversy question)in 120 minutes. Whilst the length of responses varied between candidates, there was no evidence of a lack of sufficient time to complete thorough responses. Thankfully, very few candidates approached questions by attempting to include everything they knew about the option, with the vast majority managing selection and focus in responding to questions.

Across both sections, responses continue to demonstrate that planning and structure are crucial in shaping effective responses. Whilst a small minority seemed to over plan, at times producing detailed plans of two or more pages and seemingly at the expense of substantial answers, the trend continues that relatively brief but focused plans help organise thoughts and use of material.

One issue that did appear to distinguish quality responses was effective use and consideration of key terms and concepts, either directly from questions, sources and in general writing from own knowledge. Candidates who had an assured grasp of these were able to explore these effectively within the question, such as the issue of 'stability of government' (question 1) or 'military dictator' (question 8) below. At times this also appeared to link to how careful a reading of the question had been made, such as with the issue of 'serving of Spanish interests' (question 2, below). There were also a small minority who did not appear to fully understand issues central to questions they selected, such as the 'factional rivalry' (question 1, below). Whilst this may be down to selection of a preferred period or topic regardless of the specific analytical demands of the question, preference borne out of preparation for only limited aspects of the course or candidates not reading a question thoroughly, such responses are unlikely to attain the higher levels.

In section A and to some extent B, candidates should be aware of the varying forms that questions may take. Some candidates demonstrated a tendency to attempt to open up questions to consider all possible related factors. Whilst at times candidates were able to do this successfully by relating material back to the issue at hand, such as to qualify the extent of significance, some were essentially attempting to answer questions different from those set. Additionally at times some gave scant regard to the stated factor in a question, almost dismissing it out of hand or offering assertions with little substance. That said, the majority of candidates demonstrated a good knowledge and understanding of topics studied.

As far as an issue raised last time in section B, fewer candidates spent time considering issues such as the reliability of a source, in terms of the date when the extract was written, or in terms of the title of the book from which it was taken. An increasing number of strong responses had well informed grasp of the historiographical debate. Whilst it is not a requirement to bring in knowledge of named historians, many did so effectively. A small minority did so without full thought as to how this related to the specific demands of the questions and the given extracts, and thus were less successful in this respect, such as on question 7, below, making at times what were incorrect assertions over 'Whig', 'Marxist' or 'revisionist' schools with limited application to the question. Generally speaking, candidates who sought to identify the arguments the extracts made, relating them to the question, examining them, integrating their own knowledge into a focused discussion and often cross-referencing the sources, performed well. Beyond this, candidates who explored the more subtle nuances offered and applied selected knowledge to analyse the views and offer reasoned judgements reached the highest levels.

	SECTION A				
Put a cross in the box indicating the first question you have chosen to answer \boxtimes .					
f you change your mind, put a line th					
hosen Question Number:					
Question 1 🕱	Question 2				
Question 3	Question 4	×			
tweate	ned religious reform	A			
crommet	1	ine parr			
	Larne	Ara	O White Rose		
F	actional Rivala	i3	threatened		
	Henry TILL	5	threatened a		
Herritora	NILL F		nimself		
threatened dry Educid dry	stamp				
() Factional	Rivalry did		- set solo		
MY THEOROXIAN	2 No three 3 No more	rened Form	with retigious		
LINK UP!	A Not a three	catas he dea	It with it		
Factional rivalry in	the last eight	+ years of	Henry's reign		
caused radical change w	itnin governme	ent and ;	therefore to		
a certain extent threatene	<i>v</i>		V		
downfall of Gromwell and					
Henry's previous religious					
itself. In fact Henry ofter	P				
policy Thus it does not					
policy. Thus it does not					
the stability of Henry's go		-	iaus reform		
of the 1530s and his son	n's minanta ru	de.			

(Section A continued) Nevertheless factional rivalry was often considered a threat by Henry. The actions of the White Rose faction their alternate claim to the throne led to the imprisonment of Lord Montague and the Marquess of Exerer. The Counters of Salisbury was also tried for treason in 1539 after runours of a plot in York. The fact that Henry acted so decisively against this White Rase faction shows how threatened he felt in terms of his claim to the throne. The Wars of the Roses were not an all too distant memory and Reginald Pole's direct opposition to his divorce could not be condened. Another family that Henry faced factional struggle with was the Perry family, part of the Aragonese faction. Their wealth and independence from the Crown was again a threat to Henry's authority and not to be tolerated. Yet Henry succe of ly managed this factional problem through permeding throne Percy to name him as heir. These challenges to be viewed as a threat to the stability of government. However Henry effectively dealt with them - whether through trial and execution or inheritance. Moreover Henry effectively dealt with other factional disputes - the attempts to bring down Garmer and Katherine Parin the 1540s. Garmer was Henry's trusted advisor, willing to do the king's will rather than pursue his own personal ideological/material gain. Hence Henry would not allow his downfall to come to pass. Kan's involvement in the Anne Ashew affair is a similar case where Henry successfully pits the two factions against each other and then over-rules them, stamping his authority. At first he

(Section A continued) agrees to Kathenine's arrest but after she pleads to him. We However he fails to mention this to Whithesely and when men come to arrest Kathenne Pary in howor he arrests them instead. Therefore Henry did face factional opposition but whether it threatened the stability of his government can be called into question. In particular faction did not threaten the stability of Henry's reign as when when this seemed possible he dealt with it with an overruling authority. threaten Henry's the latter parts of his reign = but Edward's. The King's illness gave an increased urgency to factional disputes as courtiens wished to become part of Edward N's regency council. Edward's minority rule meant that Henry Vill devised a scheme of ruling by majority to avoid the dominance of one particular faction. In theory this was a good way to protect Eduard from the problems of faction. On the other hand Henry failed to see the rise of the Progressives happening around him. Norfolk's implication in his son's treason and Gardiner's exclusion from the Pricy Council meant that Henry's own Pring Conril, and the Regency Courcil set out for Edward were dominated by Edward Seymour's faction. Perhaps not dominant in numbers (the aim of the Regercy course was to be divided half Protestant half Catholic) but Seyman, Dudley, Paget and Denny's faction had control of the Privy chamber and the dry stamp. Thus they had control over Henry's will pennading the King to add a gift clause (allowing Seymour

(Section A continued) to be come Duke of Somesser) and denning giving whetever powers the Guncil full powers (allowing Seymour to become Lord Protector). This undermined Henry's Regency Council through the Protectorate of Lord Somerset and later the dominance of the Duke of Northumberland. Edward's rule was manipulated by Somesset and Northumberland throughout - resulting in even a change of succession to Northumberland's daughter in law Lady Jane Grey. Henry's factional problems may not have been problems to the stability of his government but they posed a definitive threat to Edward's minority rule and in 1553 to the Inder regime itself. Yet by poring this threat to Edward they faction was pooing a threat to the stability of Henry's government as Henry's government was based on the continuity and changeover of his male heir. Thus it cannot be suggested that faction in 1547 posed a threat purely to Edward as it undemined Henry's authority too. Faction could also be viewed as more concerned with religious concerns than political gain or threatening Henry. The Jall of Cronwell was not a grievance to Henry whose marriage and too radical religious reform was the result of Gronwell's enthusiasm. Although cronnell had instigated the reforms of the 1530s necessary for Henry's divorce, Henry was no reformist In fact as Pollard and Scansbrick suggest to Henry the break from Rome was more about the supremary and power than religious endeavour Norfolk, amongst othen, also disliked the pace of religious reform-championing the Act of six

(Section A continued) Articles and Gromwell's dewafall. After Cromwell's fall 1540 (and later attempts to vidicule crammer and Kathenine Parr) a religious reform was undere or half hearted. Therefore it can be suggested that religious reform or conservative religions views were what inspired factional disputes, thus they were not a threat to the stability of Henry's government

Fundamentally faction in the latter years of Henry's reign was considered a threat by Henry. Henry shiffally balanced factions, arrested other demants to the throne and denied the Regency Council to protect his son all shaving that Henry considered faction a threat to the stability of his government. Yes, some faction was religiously Metivated rather than attempting to overthrow then yor control his son. However religious and political motivation were inextricably linked in Tudor times - if not exactly the same thing. It is anachronistic to suggest some faction was notivated by an attempt to end religious reform rather than a political motivation. Religious' faction therefore also played a mojor role in undermining Henry's authority and thus threatening the stability of his government. Despite this the most significant threat to the stability of Henry's government was The factional dominance over Edward VI that Somerset and Northumberland would go on to have. There fore faction did threaten the stability of Henry's government in the last eight years of his reign, yet for the most

(Section A continued) part he controlled it. The major threat that faction posed was to Edward VI's reign through the dominant Seymour, Dudley, Paget faction in 1547.

Results Plus

Examiner Comments

The sample script highlights many of the features of a very strong response. It offers a clear focus, with developed analysis, strong support and considerable evaluation throughout the essay, building to overall judgement considering both the varying nature and extent of faction during the period. The response was marked at level 5.

Results Plus

Strong responses often give a thorough and reasoned judgement at the end of each point of issue they deal with. These individual points are usually explored, considering 'how far' within that point.

Question 2

(Section A continued) Showing a leck of Reped for the spanah King. The spanish revenuent the ecological during 1554 with the wyatts relation. The Wyall 5 rebellion was an upaking mainly in Kast but also accurd and be reason for the unrest was the because of the disposed of the Main age between This and May and the distant of spanach religious and pelied influence. The full that the Gentry was orsing up rather than the lower clarges ton illustrated the level if event most of the marnage and showed how previous religence poley may have had a by collusie on the actions of the their Action Achois Al Man upring logo in Kint and was bad by Wyatt and Na pew Housand What had formed to march landen hoping to force Mary from transichy and replace this with Minay V. U. 'S Ford Legilamote Doughter, The fit that the garlog wanted Elizabeth may have synalled the desire for

a more liferal allilide to Religion . Mary Start Northik so to put down the rekellion but where his any deserved and janed the Rebellion. This may have been an indication of the back of Stopped for Mary and although the population Recognized his tight to rule they were in descontrat over spanish influence. The Rebelies everywally reached london in live it was eventually and do an The are different hadrocal theory's surrounding the importance of the sugatt's repulsion and the danger of had put on Hays klips. Some peliere that due to the parameter of the Alleck to may beself it can made extremely dangerous and the fact that many's Council was show to act and in-decisive on the matter added to He rick, however on the all & hand of is argued that allough there was a threat it was not of a significance Reasons for the include the fact that than chose not to use international troops - which preved to be important as it would have increased the gruing keling of See Xenopholas which was the what Caux of the rebellion and fiddew ncreated the feeling could have lead to petrore revolb. Nery was also advancely weky that there ward an invalion by Bance of the trai as the Marshalle political scheeting of Scheation

ResultsPlus

Examiner Comments

The response offers relevant but unfocused description, narrating events which do not directly answer the question. A response that is mostly descriptive is unlikely to get above a level 2, no matter how detailed or accurate the information is.

ResultsPlus

Examiner Tip

When planning points, think carefully to ensure these are arguments that directly answer the question. If not, you may wish to consider amending or leaving this out to concentrate on more focused points.

This was the more popular of the two questions within this sub-option, and most candidates seemed well prepared to deal with a question on the factors deciding the outcome of the First Civil War. The stated factor of economic resources was generally well dealt with, with many strong responses exploring the varied advantages Parliament had, or the extent to which these advantages increased as the war progressed, particularly focusing on Parliament's strength in the more prosperous regions or control of London. For many of the strongest answers, economic factors were a thread which ran through the whole essay, exploring the interaction of economic superiority and the impact it had on other issues such as the New Model Army. The changing fortunes of the two sides was also largely considered in a successful manner. Other popular issues to consider were alliances with Scotland and Ireland or the respective leadership of the two sides, although some otherwise well argued pieces seemed unaware that Pym died in 1643. A minority of responses were let down by scant range or a failure to actually link detailed knowledge of superior economic resources to the issue of debate. Strongest responses commonly explored the relationship between factors, weighing their relative significance throughout.

(Section A continued) 3) In the First English Civil War of 1642 until 1666, the royalists started strongly, dominating many battles and winning many key trategical towns and eities, such as Brutal. The parliamentanans then reorganised and eventually in 1646 triumphed in the War. The participantarians had superior economic resources when compared with the rayalists. Was this the decisive nonson for intory? Crucially for the partiamentarians, one of their main strongholds was in London and the South East. This was essential, because this area was the most densely populated area in the country. This meant that there were more people to pay taxes Another allow vital point is that the South East and London was home to many rich people and was considered as the wealthiest part of the country. So not only could people be taxed, but a huge collection of wealthy could be This was a huge economic advantage because the royalists could not rely for the partiamentarians on such a large number of wealthy people to help pay for the war effort

Upon realising how important and decisive a factor that this could be John Pymala partiamentinar) created a new kind of tax, the excise tax. This was too on everyday goods and was the first of it's bird, It enabled the participantaments to tax (Section A continued) people on foods such as flow and sugar. This additional tax on top of regular tax ensured that the parliamentarians had vost sums of money to help finance, the New Model Army and the war effort. Having control of London was exertial for economic benefits, as it was I a main trade lak, parliament could charge and receive customs duties of from merchants. They could also receive vital weapons, clothes and foods from trade After Pyr negotiated the Solern League and Coverant with the Scottish, he also call on the west resources and money in Scotland and use it is conjunction with the tax that was being collected in England to The more money that partisment received meant the higher Walihood of victory. This is because, more morey can help to pay for better meapons and equipment, inform and pay for the soldies which would beep then happy and more focused on the overall ain of wrining. Another factor as to why the parliamentarians won the civil war was because, after starting badly and having three parties within itself (The war, middle and peace parties) it recognised the importance of having a unified command structure and a clear ain Another problem for purliament was that this was causing divisions

(Section A continued) anongst themselves Initially Manchester and Essex were in charge of the war effort, but they were from the peace party. This made victory highly unlikely. The new events to some from this were the Self Derying Ordinance and the New Model Army, These were two revolutionary ideas because they very much relied - promotion on merit. The Self Denying Ordinance stated that no MP could become an officer or hold a najor position in the array which meant they relied on the best man for the job, from a low birth or from the gentry, it did not matter The New Model Army was a key factor in winning the war because it was a 20,000 plus force and could be mobilized anywhere in the country. It was also trained, had regular pay, as better weapons and a uniform. This was the first of it's hind. It was a content, well trained and Godly army with a clear command structure and a dear air of winning Evidence of this being decisive is winning the over is because after it was created, the portiamentarians started winning crucial battles, such as Marcton Moor 16Lele and Nareby 1645-Another factor as to why the partiamentarian won the war is because London and the South Best contained mony printing presses and the huge weapon

(Section A continued) store in the Weald. The importance of the printing presses were that they could help spread pro - parliamentarian propaganda and also arti - rouplist propaganda. This was vital ofter Noseby in 1645 because Charles baggage train was captured inside were documents which shaved he was prepared to deal with the artichrist Clrick Catholics) - This was an easy propaganda opportunity for Partiament and with more printing presses they task it There were a few key individuals who helped parliament win the war. These were John Rym and Oliver Cromwell. Pyr, despite dying in late 1643, helped regotiate Scottish support in the Solemn Leogue and Coverant, which ensured the royalists could be attacked from two directions. He also helped create the energy tax as previously stated. Cromwell was vital as his vision of a godly England helped him to create the Self Perying Ordinance and NMA, and his great leadership and military stills were essential in winning key bettler. Royalist indiscipline eventually hindered their came especially Prince Rupert who is farrously remembered for charing top parliamentarians of the battlefield for personal from glong instead of heeping discipline

and ensuring an averall royalist victory (Section A continued) CONC harrens eronom 0 Ram

ResultsPlus

Examiner Comments

The vast majority of this response is focused and analytical. There is a good range of points, mainly well developed with analysis to consider the significance of different factors, supported by accurate detail. Whilst this was not always fully consistent, the response was strong enough for a secure level 4 response.

ResultsPlus

Examiner Tip

When dealing with questions which include a reason or factor within the question, it is important that you deal with this thoroughly, even if your overall view is that it wasn't the 'most important'.

This was a less popular option for candidates dealing with option A2. Whilst many were able to give a good account of the reasons for restoration, a minority did struggle to relate this effectively to the issue of popular pressure, at times leaving potentially strong material as implicit. Some responses also attempted to consider extended accounts of the problems faced from the execution of Charles through to the restoration itself, with limited analytical focus. There was also a small minority who seemed to have limited understanding of the political structure and processes of the period, e.g. with assumptions that there were popular democratic elections at this time. Stronger responses clearly set popular pressure against other factors such as the role of Monck, clearly focused arguments on the failure of Richard Cromwell or the reaction to the attempt to govern by the Rump, making appropriate connections to the issue of popular pressure throughout.

		SECTION A	
	•	y the first question you have chos ough the box 齧 and then put a c	
hosen Question	Number:		
	Question 1	Question 2	
	Question 3	Question 4	
		, ,	
	v v	the monarchy i	
undouble	dy was p	antly the result	- of popular
pressur	e as whe	in Charles return	ed in
1660 \$	here was a	wave of ent	husiasm and
		sive for the mona	
		ne had been a	
royalist	peline a	nd this prescure	encouraged
~		consider the	
		vere other impor	
		is the prilure A	
		ment, and mor	
		army and	
	Morek		
	mayan	er() er er en i heler) fonten i nå mener att er er er i henneket (att en tre i helere er efter er er er er er e I	
Popul	Ar Phoseum	definately was	partly
	/	e restoration of	
noner	he because	it recrupted	that a i
Jehand of	4 constant	it persuaded	wever 1
		- the idea. Ho	
15 Nelly	issary to	highlight that	noughour
the	rotectorati	although man	y may

(Section A continued) have distined cromwell's rule or just aspects of it such is the Majon Generals there had been little royalist sentiment, One historian has described one of fur royalist uprivings such as Renaldoch as a "damp squid" which promoted nor some support. Similary Beath's uprising in 1659 did not call for the return of the nonarchy by t for free elections and a return to traditional rale. Although, there had always been Whent royalist sentiment and many had not liked the beheading of the King the derive for a monarty was finily suggested Thus perhaps underreath the eventual enthusiasm for a monarch was the none important desire that the people wanted to return to a period of stable rule and law. The economic depression exacersated by increasingly power stongyles between the army and the rump galvanized many to seek a secure settlement this manifested when sondon raters comparigned against the amy's committee of safety forcing it to capitulate to the nump and Monte. To a certain extent popular pressure way

(Section A continued) responsible for the restoration of the monarchy as the it was part of a Lesine to see the return to stadition and order. Maturated by the economic conditions and the practions army and ramp the people demonstrated their displeasure. Thus added significantly adding to the growing impetus for a return to a stable reign which advantageously the Charles' declaration of Breda could offer. Aonever, whilst perpulser pressure is inportant had the republican settlement not piled to college the monarchy would never have been restored Various historians have suggested reasons for the jailure of the patectorate such as its underlying finacial weakness on the death of tronwell whom had held the various faction together # No settlement whether the Instrument of Government or Humsle Petition and Advice had succeeded. However, the uttimate failure must go to the Kump who squandered any attempt at securing a new settlement in 1660 when

(Section A continued) Month restored Hem to eaven had preisonsly lost the confidance They of many of the conservative dife when trying to ontmanonver the army they put weal militians in the hands of religious redicals. Thus they promote severe mease and intensified feelings of stability. More importantly however, they failed to gain the confidence of the copulation when restored to power and settled scores with the army by refraining removing affices. Arguably, the failure of confidence in a republican settlement and the actions of the Rung dienated popular support and increased the desore for a stuble settlement. Therefore the restoration of the nonarchy way partly a result of the prihase of a stable republican settlement. Moreover, a lack of faith in the regult monp increased desires for a stable settlement which could ensure peace and the rule of low, as the monp could rad after this many moned to the alternative of the monarchy-

(Section A continued) Cricial to the responsition of the monarchy though was the rale of the army. The army writed had been a dominant force throughout the protectorate, and probably the army were nost opposed to a settlement with the monarchy they had instigated Charles I death in 1644. A Ket, the growing tensions between the grandees and rank and file in the army where instrumental in the monarchy!, reploration the most inportant military and political force way in chaos as first the sump was restored, and this heightened tensions as reither side a wanted to religyuish power to the other Furthermore, General Monek turned against the army and declared for the Rump thus causing putter instability. The Navy, and centuin militias declared for the Monch Thus the destruction of the greatest opposition to any pree parliaments, settlement or even the return of the King was in disarray. Also, the arming's naked attempt at ming without the map, to use to'll's phrase "sitting on bayones " produced tumultores appensition for

(Section A continued) have distined cromwell's rule or just aspects of it such is the Majon beneals there had been little pyalist sentiment, One historian has described one of fur royalist uprivings such as Renaldoch as a "damp squid" which promoted nor some support. Similary Beath's uprising in 1859 did not call for the return of the nonarchy by t for free elections and a return to traditional rale. Although, there had always been Whent royalist sentiment and many had not liked the beheading of the King the derive for a monarty was finily suggested Thus perhaps underreath the eventual enthusiasm for a monarch was the none important desire that the people wanted to return to a period of stable rule and law. The economic depression exacersated by increasingly power stongyles between the army and the rump galvanized many to seek a secure settlement this manifested when sondon raters comparigned against the amy's committee of safety forcing it to capitulate to the nump and Monte. To a certain extent popular pressure way

(Section A continued) that could enable the King 's restoration. the through his swift & ontmanormening of the Kump he engineered the return of the secluded members of pride's purge in 1648 and effectively ended the republican power in the commony this enabled elections that produced a conservative and noderate parlianent, infiltrated with Royalists. Thus the conditions for the restoration were provided, and all that way needed way an acceptable settlement Although, it is uncertain when Monek began corresponding with Charless I he certainly helped shape the declaration of Breda into a document that was acceptable to parliament the the liberty of conscience, the promise of free pardon and promise to work with parliament to resulve land land issues of exploited the new moderate parliaments desires they Thus Monk's role in the restoration is irreplacable as he helped create the parliament that would return the monarchy as well as the document that excited and persuaded everyone

(Section A continued) Overall, to a certain extent pressure was responsible for the public 01 restoration the monarchy demonstration and crowing varous cruid in either undemining went amy regimes like the returning σ Convention fo m restore of the republica whe the any towards people narchy and not m settlement. Ultimotely con the monarchy was the of restora Monh's aj result Lower "palifical realism He ۰ any pour مى politically and Kunp b recognissed He amy had the 01 people en The the monarch **Plus Examiner Comments Examiner Tip** When making individual points, look for links to This response is clearly analytical, exploring a range other points. This helps keep answers focused, of interconnected factors whilst sustaining a strong and at the higher levels like this, highlights focus. A thread of argument runs through the whole opportunities to explore the nature of these essay towards the ultimate judgement about the connections and give an ongoing relative relative importance of the different reasons why the evaluation of the points you raise.

monarchy was restorated.

A majority of candidates entered for option A1 attempted this question. Subject knowledge was generally strong and many candidates offered detailed own knowledge about both of the main rebellions of 1549. Stronger responses tended to draw valid distinctions between these, in terms of causation, and thus the extent to which they demonstrated there was strong resistance to religious change. However, whilst it was relevant to consider other motivations such as economic difficulties or local grievances, something that was very well done by a number, a minority of candidates doing so did drift from the question. Most candidates were able to offer some analysis of the sources and relate these to the debate and each other. One factor discriminating the quality of analysis was care in reading and interpreting the sources correctly, such as those only recognising 'the South West Rising as substantial evidence for the unpopularity of the Edwardian reforms' (Source 2), failing to recognise Marshall's meaning with the preceding qualifier of 'We should hesitate before dismissing'. Where key arguments were hinged upon such use of evidence, it was detrimental to the standard of the response.

SECTION B Put a cross in the box indicating the second question you have chosen to answer 🖾 . If you change your mind, put a line through the box \bigotimes and then put a cross in another box \boxtimes . Chosen Question Number: Question 5 🔯 Ouestion 6 13 Question 7 Ouestion 8 Plan Western Rebellion + Kett Rebellion febells in nature. 1549. Prayer book Sauce 1= Las rest Stame Z= Crash 4 3=Mille Intro- Sources first = for Source I (wester rebellion + source 3 compare! second=against 5 aure2 was a major turning part and year 1549 changed allt during the rule of Edward VI. been a minor it was the rule of rine still his reaction to the dave in this year that 16th ing During the Conture common from to become rebells as plesple abjests a become part of their native hting had Henry VIII Edvard was left of the empty bank an account but configed that na shill had to religious change. All three sources admisted loding at did not come from I will be

(Section B continued) the period in question and are all secondary accounts of events. This baves us rather septical about them as its hand to distinguish the cause of a rebellion without having been there yoursenfrond seen if there was a strong restitance to religious change & Source I which is a book called Edward VI clearly believed theire was a strong restistance to religious change where as source 2 which bodies at reformation England son different reasons for the rebellions Drat drid not link to religion and lastly Source 3 as is middle ground loding at both vens. Firstly looking at the view that their was a strong resistance to religious change in the reign of Edward VI. Like his father Herry VIII Edward was cutting fies with the catholic auch have antitue his Fatur he was folling an extremist way. Agreeing with

Sance I that The events in 1549 Suggest

in the hingdom, saw Edward Faced with the

western Rebellion at solinam as the prayer

which caused a major applir in the west this

book rebellion. Edward released a prayer book

+ here was a strong resistance to religious change

(Section B continued) rebellion supports the view that there as a stong resistance to religious change This repetition was primarily influenced by religious change as the radical reforms were not velicomed evengone. Many of the rebells were common foll and did not want to see reform but For things to go back to the way they here. With Edward introducing the Lible into English people creve revolting and as it states in source 3 specifically to geted religious innovation. Although the vestern rebellion was poorly manged and poper leader shills of shared a ached Strong reprotance to religious change as the organisation of it meant not alot of As source I and three Readle were Joning agrees people Eargy at the removal of relies Source 1 states agrees with and religious feet to same three that There expirited a lage amount OF anti-gentry feeling therise same 3 states change to the the pare of annoyance of any less politically minded rangelical English The hett rebellion although largely aimed at anour of enclosures still produced some Examiner Comments The response has a focus on the question and overall Examiner Tip offers some analysis. However, some parts of the Rather than describing relevant issues and answer lack development, with points that are stated events, the answer would make a more or explanations without analysis. The views of the focused start by setting out some of the sources are recongised, although these are often arguments that could be made concerning used to support points without developed discussion. the debate in the question. These could be

drawn from the sources and own knowledge.

for both assessment objectives.

Responses such as this are likely to receive level 3

This question was attempted by a smaller number of candidates from this option. Whilst response were found across the range, there was some polarisation. Weaker responses tended to fall into two categories (i) those who seemed to misunderstand aspects of the question or sources, at times interpreting this more as an assessment of the extent of Parliament's power, or struggling to understand distinctions such as that between the Privy Council and Parliament, and (ii) those which ranged beyond the boundaries of the question, exploring at length the reigns of other Tudor monarchs or concentrating extensively on Neale's Puritan Choir thesis, with limited application of this. Successful responses in the higher levels offered considered analysis which made critical distinctions between Parliament's desired role, Elizabeth's perception of this and/or the changing nature of this as needs dictated. Extensive knowledge was found in such cases, e.g. considering the extent to which Source 5's evidence of Peter Wentworth's actions could be seen to have a broader significance.

	SECTION B			
Put a cross in the box indicating the second question you have chosen to answer \boxtimes . If you change your mind, put a line through the box \bigotimes and then put a cross in another box \boxtimes .				
Chosen Question Number:				
Question 5 🖾	Question 6			
Question 7	Question 8 🖂			
Adviser	to the Croin			
Yes	No			
- Manipulated through Pring Council	- attempts to pressure Queen into devisions			
	decisions			
7 Suggests looking LFL& Q-een's interests Migh	Ly execution of Many 1587 Norfolke 1572			
	Ly cnti Catholic legislation 1571			
- Odych prerocychike				
- pulliaments role Las' to assist	- Peter Verturth 1576, 1587			
rather than gain ascendary over	- Villiam Strickland 1571			
tle Queen R Slow	Ly supported by MPs			
Mar david Pi C 1				
- MPs chosen by Privy Cancil.	-royal prerogative			
11111112111111111111111111111111111111	- culled for subsidies Perry villians			
	- propaganda tool - John Guy			
	- John Neele interpretion			

(Section B continued) Parliament on a number of accassions played verying roles in relation to the monarch. it must be decided from the various interpretations which the main role of parliament was Certainly there were periods of opposition of and of conflict but as John Guy aptly describes these vere exceptions and more with the norm being periods of unity, harmony and protection the sovereign's reign' suggesting the ultimately Parliaments role which it dearly inderstood us to both aid and advise the monard Hovever there are strong arguments to suggest that the view role of participant I advise the Queen may not be as simple as this Certainly John Buy in Tudor and Strat Britain ' notes that when Elizabeth would not heed the advice given by Parliament her oun Councillars orchestitated debates and used their clients to mobilise public opinion in Heir Fauour'. Christopher Heigh supports this argument that more after than not it uss Elizabeths' qovernment in allignce with Parliament that tonflicted against ler our interests Certainly Michael Graves notes that Parliament of one accession arme together to

(Section B continued) advise the queer and consider legislative action against Mary Stuart houever we know that after Fulling to take her Privy Cancillers' advice then it was offered, as John Guy notes happened on a number of occessions, the Queen uss 'a later stage pressurised into agreeing to He execution of Mary Stuart in 1587. Christopher Maigh certainly argues Graves opinion by suggest that the Privy councillors viewed pulicment an excellent means of pressurising the Queen, ve see from the execution of Norfolk in 1572 and the introduction of anti Catholic reforms in 1571. There were containly a number of accessions took the that parliament Fluctuated from its rale as to advise the Queen to one of pressure or force. It is crouchle of course that this co-operation between councillors and MP's more often than not Villiam Cecil us involved, suggeds that the Privy council were merely maintaining the Ruleons beneficial interests and protecting her from any threat to the crown. Michael graves also puts Forward the argument that more often than not "the council rarely missed the apportunity to seek financial assistance Indeed in all but one parliamentary session was

(Section B continued) a subsidu requested suggesting the main role to great Financial assistance of pulliment VLS This argument is supported by Penry Villion is the regards subsidy grants as the main drive of parliament The matter of Royal Prerogetive also suggests that the role of Parliament was not to advise the monarch its advice Freed cm of 14 and Koy Slow Makes Speech was to be restricted. us expected to quait the point that government legislative proposal of the Queen to diauss tle sin Mat ers. Cer y the far Rendell regards perliment for the Queen an incoveniest necessity' by which to be grated subsidies suggests on a number of accessions overstep partiment a enstepped its role to GUISE Movever as Navid Loades aques even in He notorious case of Peter Vertucith, parliament vas not attempting to demand or strengthen its A rather to perunde Elizabe oun pover to the voices of private mem PSU Leed bers her lec on fairs of state. they attempted to counsel A key punt as stressed by & John Guy is that Himstely purliament was had the same desires

(Section B continued) as the monarch. Graves stresses that 1571 purliament us summuned to strengthen national security an issue which would of been vital to Queen and subjects alike. Itimately the Queen held complete control although she could not rely up purliament and vere amerally COM Dligne. theu co-operatin Perry Williams. Graved by compliace This Built sicund the strength OF chase a MIP's personally KREX COULD vho and cantral Consequently parliament u their actions. composed of complicit and like minded authoritorians who vished only to aid and advise the Queen cases as the Westworth brothers VPD Sich in 1576 and 1587 show the general compliance and support for Elizabeth amongst MP's Elizabeth VES able to rely on the other members Perlignent te imprison Peter Ventuarth Who i as a solitary political loner. The J n Necle interpretation 16 is a group amongst & parliament, the ita Chair Vere opposed direc to a +10 and became Queen's pulicies Focused on strong Heir own position has become general

(Section B continued) ed Certainly as Vallage Madaffrey discredit acces 1ed ses 2.1 'n role

Results Plus

Examiner Comments

The response offers mainly good analysis, clearly identifying the arguments within the sources and relating these to each other and the view in the question. Issues of debate are extended using own knowledge. Such responses are typical of level 4 for both assessment objectives.



ResultsPlus

Examiner Tip

To achieve these levels, make sure that your answer is firmly focused on the debate in the question and that your use of the sources cross-references them in considering this debate. Using own knowledge and other sources more to assess the given views would raise the mark even higher.

This was the more popular question of the two on this section for option A2 and the majority of the candidates seemed to have a good understanding of the views in the sources. Stronger answers were able to relate these well to excellent knowledge, and were usually typified by some or all of the following: (i) clear analysis of the motives for side-taking considering a range of issues, from localism, religious, socio-economic affiliations and some excellent discussion on neutralism (ii) exploration of the variation and complexities in the period, such as consideration of the development of the middle classes within the context of the question, and (iii) considered and effective application of historiographical knowledge, with reasoned application of theories and knowledge in analysing and evaluating the given views. However, the latter in particular was also found, but less successfully, in weaker responses, with assertion or description relating to named authors or schools of history, at times as a substitute for genuine analysis. There was also a small minority who tended to focus more on causes of the civil war rather than the specific demands of the question.

SECTION	B				
Put a cross in the box indicating the second question you have chosen to answer \boxtimes . If you change your mind, put a line through the box \bigotimes and then put a cross in another box \boxtimes .					
Chosen Question Number:					
Question 5 🖂 Que	estion 6 🖂				
Question 7 🛛 Que	estion 8 🖂				
Plan: 1a hro					
Nevgian - Ye. u	vender 7				
	store of				
	Sweritt 82				
LOCALENT - YEST:	Everice &				
	stone q				
Ecoronic Sprice					
	Worden 7				
*					
Basing Disputes are no no	ane and director of the				
government a verigeour polici					
is to the English civil have ?	The Bishops wass and				
service relation tout pron 16	39-00060 1620 had				
been a revenuention to charles - extempt to excure religious					
withing but it had had one effects, by affing					
he which is such a narrow a	<u> </u>				
	Û ,				
Violonia of te tolerant Eliza	As yarles have pure				
reopre into opposition to him it					
the store taning during the con					

(Section B continued) those now in opposition against chose, However bol sources & and a coggest althier metices for note toming such as location and expression motives. It is therefore more appicult to accurer mether side tolding in the wind was weterhined solely by people's religion concerns. worden in source 7 attempts betapping to draw broad distriction beneen he coyalisti by anguing Not the hospinity of Parametanan a cover approved to the projerbook and the regionity of togalists were per: There is a lorge arour of evidence to 1-29 per not this may be have, in Yorkswine for example our U/2 of Paniaetheria, we kept antien and over 1/3 g Royality we convenie. Noe is also enjoyence algosting wide loging on on about rews in frien to the civil ver as prost peritions set to Parianent we about remaining. It is also interesting not although both store is some of and Everity in some & with side terring down to other matiles new both rentrian verision in chinamon. Store agrees with worden in that these against charles were "would purite the new relation opinion." and Example and an great EDDERARD COLOR HOWEN WORDS LEDDERARD I'L would affer her worden is drawing to wide a dustinhion by anguing net resign so the devalor preser alter it so we were in a lot of cases and him heritant

(Section B continued) like marrill wave a green have it was eren worden adjusts hat religion is "the rearest thing to a clear division;" and partience transform nor he only partor painting curcle terring. Everitt gies an example of the poortion to post a case where this and not apply "almost until the attorest q he win wer, he Hostigs had been as strongly Provision on the Greys" worden then goes whom being to general to too rarrow by the putting the durinish colety down to the Prayer book with was tot he only enserg successive effection in England at the time; fear g a popin plot within goen ner us also nightent 1018911 Problem: excarbord by the Killh relevison in Chrober 1641 in word the complice relevis doubled to be prightly for alles. It is herefore aller hor religious larcorar were aite but, an Earth indicated nee are too non exceptions for it to be come a gener the about he rature of dry 20 and 10 de rowing the 1642. Worsen is also too sperifice by argening her the conservar were based on whether or not you more offered to or in person of the proper book on paint be pear steamines when the viar certaint of a pear of policy within England and so receive concerns when on succe but, broad them in it has wonder there and it is not were how and press is a rough and you for verder's deterent for they are he and any

(Section B continued) Everit notes a case per localism being the most ----, or the Robellion right cont pactor in side taking, Approximation at one revel, ringly a put he stage in the long - drawn and bottle per local deminion " Locawen io, ceranly peretor in side toking in placed like bothistom example the deaduren for which side -0- -0 chanimous that people who sat agoin't it had be more. Prove shore and mention localish as a pector and sineriary to Everitt any ver pot the an'shourday seemed to be the devicer in now a locality would declare, store and memors methodion onother personse fining that would byten he demined on as a lecouring, in seve placed like pordet line and share and and the the same mathematic and altera heir location against popular have sceles. ones love mathine decided to year more in retting up neuronity parts. Errorit and Dane diregence havener to a correspondagree, Event anguls in تا يا بعد picked use achided over the action of side-taking needs store angues who hey ware manine to the hearing he and be deviding in work and nor untually exclusive power top this to Everit perily to account per a large lorning the population. thereit saves the view has he arishoway weard derive or in twis cose of Leicesterstains, pight ever it, with

(Section B continued) 2 th a sol to rest g fre population. There forme lies pays the seen able to command ner but the her our y her control grands mound have had to have delivered another ing. ne-epone give localizon in outso no haven a view of how group people box when any explained indervident cases. Stope in source of materia case for localin and Although we extend to it to jour decorotic passes. ar well. Store argues her is not the rise of an new close of gently hor caused ride toming of an attack against the hing who tended to person the and orisho cracy and their monopolies on trade, "There were new men in new periods of enterence the onefed or he folition and econolyce inconsighted of the order ertebland manopolices " storer rales not be used toking you are a result of a visiting class of genty las been chitiched hover by historia There - leave no agree pot it is rother the decline of the "depression genty" we had becore have were from lond at a time when when war dirighty land prices worder teens to agree with shere herever by aging there in light a low aloge con colours of its pollover of eering that shope i an which part he was not a general up purchan pria so anonally anython. It is also glareside not the purple satis

(Section B continued) space is reflacing to have do not go to early the indusing the circle and therefore with partieners and productions rana g the thing pamoning old entothological panvilion. Stores initic Respect TRENOT-Roper Nor more avo Len by there is thill ad zogona cribiand 100 basing was arguments on rhetonic innead of factured endence. The report shows the only sating deens to carry sore weacher and has a reare range of reason to be use addiced in the different claused and heir reasons for side tening. In conclusion border and the way not side belies hoppened on the bouir of vertugious concerns Noy be a currete to some bit nor widels opplyable to all of some long Euriter hears of locale in pails to account for mose with more ravoouset proved on her the anishectary or the provent. Shone's peron of the north games are all another range volding andrology is the same son approvable to erosty yours of Deale to exportant a with basic hos side total in the Enguine comes work.

Results Plus

Examiner Comments

The response has a clear and confident focus on both the question and the views taken on this in the given extracts. It examines these drawing on evidence from the sources and own knoweldge, offering reasoned evaluation of the strengths of their arguments. Such a response is typical of a level 5 for both assessment objectives.

ResultsPlus

Examiner Tip

A clear understanding of the issues and arguments within the controversy is needed for the exam, so this should be a priority in your exam preparation. This doesn't have to be learning the names and views of different historians; it is more important you understand the debate and can select information which helps you explore the given interpretations.

This question was attempted by a smaller number of candidates who were entered for option A2. A broad range of responses was found. At the lower end, there was some misunderstanding of aspects of the sources, with a minority of candidates seeming to struggle with the concept of a dictator as it related to this period. Where such issues were found, it tended to be allied to a limited range and depth of own knowledge and analysis. Stronger responses tended to offer much greater confidence in the issues grounded in detailed knowledge and understanding, which was used to place the sources in context and explore the views in this light. A significant number of these were source driven, concentrating on well selected own knowledge rather than extensive range and in this sense were in-line with the mark allocation. A range of conclusions were found with equal validity, although several excellent responses were found which offered variations on the theme of Cromwell's intent not matching the reality of government during the period, particularly under the period of the major generals. Additionally, critical distinctions were made between the terms military and dictator, with some consideration of historians such as Austin Woolrych in this.

Paper Summary

A general summary of the areas for improvement in the approach to the Depth Study question and the Associated Historical Controversy question on Option A may prove of benefit to centres.

Depth Study question

Candidates need to ensure that their subject knowledge conforms to the specification. Weaker responses usually lacked range and/or depth.

Candidates should ensure they understand key concepts appropriate to the topics studied, as discussion of words and phrases from the question is a key part of higher level analysis.

Candidates need to be more aware of the time frame attached to a question. Many lower scoring responses devoted much time and space to discussing the years before and after the period targeted by the question.

In order to address the question effectively, candidates need to offer an analysis not a descriptive or chronological account, and so structured responses are vital for the higher levels.

Associated Historical Controversy question

Candidates need to treat the sources as a package to facilitate cross-referencing and advance a convincing line of argument. Generally speaking, a source-by-source approach is less effective in examining the debate within and across the sources.

Candidates should avoid memorised 'perspective' essays and base their responses on the issues raised by the sources instead. The Associated Historical Controversy is an exercise in interpretation not historiography.

Following the above, a confident understanding of the range of the debate on the set controversy is very useful in giving a framework with which to explore the evidence and views in the given sources. Again though, this does not necessarily have to be a case of naming individual or schools of historians.

In order to reach the higher levels, candidates should aim to identify the arguments within the given extracts relating to the questions and examine these, integrating their own knowledge into a focused discussion, with cross-referencing of the sources.

Candidates who explore the more subtle nuances offered and apply selected knowledge to analyse the views and offer reasoned judgements can reach the highest levels.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link: http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publication.orders@edexcel.com</u> Order Code UA028151 June 2011

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE





Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru Welsh Assembly Government

