



Examiners' Report June 2011

GCE History 6HI01 E

Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at <a href="https://www.edexcel.com">www.edexcel.com</a>.

If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our **Ask The Expert** email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link: <a href="http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/">http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/</a>

Alternatively, you can contact our History Advisor directly by sending an email to MARK BATTYE on <a href="https://historySubjectAdvisor@EdexcelExperts.co.uk">historySubjectAdvisor@EdexcelExperts.co.uk</a>.
You can also telephone 0844 576 0034 to speak to a member of our subject advisor team.

# **Results**Plus

# Get more from your exam results

## ...and now your mock results too!

ResultsPlus is Edexcel's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam and mock performance, helping you to help them more effectively.

- See your students' scores for every exam question
- Spot topics, skills and types of question where they need to improve their learning
- Understand how your students' performance compares with Edexcel national averages
- Track progress against target grades and focus revision more effectively with NEW Mock Analysis

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit <a href="www.edexcel.com/resultsplus">www.edexcel.com/resultsplus</a>. To set up your ResultsPlus account, call 0844 576 0024

June 2011

Publications Code US028130

All the material in this publication is copyright © Edexcel Ltd 2011

## Introduction

#### **General comments**

This is the third year of GCE 2008 and the sixth examination session for Unit 1. Candidates are now aware of how to approach the examination. They understand the need to produce a balanced answer, and they know that the most effective way to structure this answer is to make a number of relevant points, support these with examples, and establish clear links to the question set. However, many of these well-focused and organised answers remain at mid-Level 4 rather than progressing to the top of Level 4 and into Level 5. This is due to a variety of reasons including a need to inter-relate or evaluate the points being made more directly, a failure to cover the whole date range of the question, a lack of chronological awareness and a lack of the convincing support required to gain the higher level marks. Fewer answers than previously were awarded Levels 1 and 2. Most candidates appear to have gained something from their course, and thus are likely to be awarded at least Level 3. Almost all answers include some kind of plan, though some were very long and detailed, to the detriment of their answer overall.

Many candidates still struggle to maintain a focus on the question set. Some are quick to assume that the questions set are similar to those they have met while revising. They must remember that past questions are exactly that, and cannot appear again in the same form. They need to read the questions carefully and respond to what is asked, rather than what they hope to see or have practised during revision. Knowledge needs to be adapted and employed effectively, rather than taking the form of a prepared answer that may not focus on the question set.

Equally, candidates must ensure that their entire answer focuses on the question. Question D7 required an analysis of the consequences of collectivisation. However, a number of candidates began with a lengthy explanation of the reasons for the introduction of this policy. Similarly, Question D10 required a focus on the civil rights movement, yet many discussed Black Power in their answers. Question C6 was often focused on why the slave trade rather than slavery was abolished in 1807 rather than why it took so long for slavery to abolished. These issues could be of some relevance, but were rarely made so.

Past reports have highlighted the importance of focusing on the timescale set in the question. Many otherwise strong answers were weakened, sometimes substantially, by failing to cover the period set. Question A11 targeted 1455-61, but many ignored this and wrote only on the causes of civil conflict. Question B1's timescale was 1517-25. Some ignored this completely, focusing instead on the long-term causes of the German Reformation. Question D11 set the parameters of 1954-63, yet many candidates discussed the roles of Truman and Johnson in their answers. Question E/F 5 required a discussion of the period from 1896-1922 but many responses focused entirely on the period from 1915 whilst Question E/F 6 covered the years 1925-1943 in Italy not the rise to power of the Fascist Party. An understanding of chronology, and the accurate use of dates, was often a factor which discriminated between Level 3 and Level 4 answers.

It seemed that many candidates did not have the specific knowledge which is essential for accessing the higher levels, but provided generalised examples which showed little depth. Dates, numerical evidence, reference to key individuals and events are all important if candidates are to produce a convincing analysis. If we assume that each topic is studied for at least six weeks, the majority of candidates should have this depth of knowledge.

Many candidates appear to have been armed with a writing template for their answers. The formula appeared to be taking note of the factor raised in the question and then mentioning that other factors (usually three) were also significant. Key marker phrases, such as 'however' and 'on the other hand' were then deployed before reaching some sort of conclusion. The use of these connecting phrases often seem impressive and do lead to a more organised answer but too many candidates increasingly employ them without a real understanding of how they should be used. In many responses the use of 'however', 'consequently' and 'therefore' just led to the introduction of another factor rather than creating a discussion of the points being made. This approach can work as long as effective links to the question are established. Several answers looked at other relevant factors but failed to establish their significance beyond asserting that they were important.

Those candidates who were well prepared in terms of knowledge and understanding of their topics produced answers within Levels 4 and 5, and some of these have been included in this report. For students who completed GCSE just one year earlier, the best answers are remarkable examples of young minds at work.

## Question 1-12

### **Option E**

#### **General comments**

As in previous examination series, the Option E/F candidates were generally very well prepared for the topics studied. Most candidates had at least sound knowledge of the content and were able to show understanding of key issues. Responses were found at all levels with many excellent level 5 responses seen, particularly for topic 1 and topic 2. However, it was noticeable this June that many good responses seemed to reach midlevel 4 but not progress further up the mark scheme. Most of these responses were able to provide a range of factors or yes/no points but did not go on to address the evaluative concepts of 'how far...', 'to what extent...', 'how significant...' etc. Alternatively there were many responses with well -developed conclusions reaching sound judgements that had not been convincingly or securely justified in the main body of the response. In particular, many of these responses were hampered by a lack of chronological awareness within the timeframe of the question. There were many examples of candidates with sound knowledge of events or individuals but responding with little regard to the context or chronological order in which developments happened. For example, responses to Q3 on Bismarck's diplomacy including paragraphs within which there were references to the war with Denmark followed by the Franco-Prussian war and then moving back to discuss war with Austria with little acknowledgement of chronology or dates.

NB – please note that the comments on individual questions are applicable to Q1-Q12 for Option F as well. There may, however, be added exemplification scripts in the Option F report and so it is suggested that centres refer to both Principal Examiner reports.

### **Topic E/F1 – The Road to Unification: Italy, c1815-1870.**

This is a very popular topic for Option E centres and candidates are invariably very well prepared. Q2 was more popular than Q1 but there was a healthy balance between the two. Most candidates for this topic have a good understanding of the chronology of events but many responses lack coverage of the whole time period within the question set. There are some factual errors beginning to creep into answers and there are an increasing number of candidates who do not seem to be aware that Cavour died in 1861and so giving him a leading role in the acquisition of Venetia in 1866.

Q1. Most candidates were secure in their knowledge of the provisions of the Vienna Settlement with regard to Italy and were, thus, able to create a discussion of the changes that occurred in the following years. Answers were differentiated by those who were able to directly compare the extent to which the settlement had been overthrown by 1849 and those who narrated the events of the revolutions in between with varying degrees of secure knowledge. Most candidates were able to refer to the extent to which Austrian power was challenged and there were some perceptive comments about the extent to which the events of 1848-9 may have appeared to have achieved little but prepared the ground for future

events. At level 5 candidates were able to compare directly the situation in 1815 and 1849 and select relevant and appropriate supporting material from the intervening years to make points about Austrian influence, revolutionary weaknesses and the development of nationalist ideology.

Q2. Most candidates who attempted this question were secure in their knowledge of the events of the period but many were unwilling to venture into time period before 1859 or after 1866, apart from brief references to either the Statuto or the Franco-Prussian War; those who did were often able to develop and explain the role of Victor Emmanuel more fully. The question did not ask whether Victor Emmanuel was the most significant individual but asked 'how significant?' he was in promoting Italian unification. Most candidates chose to develop significance by comparing Victor Emmanuel with other individuals/factors but many more successful answers evaluated his contribution or lack of contribution directly through a process of a yes/no response. Many of the responses which used the comparative method tended to dismiss Victor Emmanuel's role quite quickly moving on to a prepared response about other individuals which gained mid-level 4 at the most. There were a few responses that dismissed his role almost out-of-hand and so could not move out of level 3; Victor Emmanuel is one of the few specified individual in the 'bullet points' and his role should, therefore, be studied explicitly. Most candidates identified him as a figurehead rather than a driver of unification. At level 5 candidates were able to show the role of Victor Emmanuel across the whole period and to discuss the role that he played at different times and the influence which he had, both directly and indirectly, on other individuals. Many of the better responses were able to identify 'promote' as a key word and discuss his contribution in terms of active support.

This is a level 5 response.

Chosen Questio	on Numb	er:			
Question 1	M	Question 2	×	Question 3	×
Question 4	Ø	Question 5	×	Question 6	×
Question 7	<b>X</b>	Question 8	<u></u>	Question 9	×
Question 10	×	Question 11	×	Question 12	×
(This page is fo	r vour firs	t answer.)		- 9 Stutes	
Intro	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	V	onna	- Austrancian	ona
naninimadiliiiiiiiii	us n	ade 7 1848	State	(Co	***************************************
1 7 77					
PZ-hopro		> Still ruge	Austi	rian Criphiene	
		Just of a 4 bytes/to	Aust,	can instruence	
		Still ruge  Just of a  4 bytes/fo	Aust,	can instruence	e plan. govs. FeM
P3-nop/J	7/255	> Still bunge Just 03 a 4 bytes/60 > ho vouse > bros con > no unique	Aust,	unity	e plan. govs. Fell
P3-nop/J	7/255	> Still bunge Just 03 a 4 bytes/60 > ho vouse > bros con > no unique	Aust,	unity	e plan. govs. Fell
P3-nop/J	7/255	> Still bunge Just of a Jack of a > Luck of a Ly brag con Malana	Aust,	unity	e plan. govs. Fell
P3-nop/J	7/255	> Still bunge Just 03 a 4 bytes/60 > ho vouse > bros con > no unique	Aust,	unity	e plan. govs. Fell
P3-nop/J	7/255	> Still bunge Just 03 a 4 bytes/60 > ho vouse > bros con > no unique	Aust,	unity	e plan. govs. Fell
P3-nop/J	7/255	> Still bunge Just 03 a 4 bytes/60 > ho vouse > bros con > no unique	Aust,	unity	e plan. govs. Fell

1849 (This page is for your first answer.) By 185, Ituly had undergone three sets of revolutions starting in 1820-1 and with perstutions in Padmont, Nuples & sicily to 1831-2 with repolutions in Porna, Modern und the Papal States to 1848 in which almost every Italian State had some torm of revolution. However, during this time we must hearde whether the terms OF the Vienna Settlement 1815 had at all 6 cen overthrown in that Italy either removed Austrias Influence or managed to unity its is mine separate states. Firstly, it is clear that some progress was made. For example, Following the 1848 wor in Piedmont against Austria, Charles Albert, King of Predmont, younted a constitution

Wor in Pedmont against Austria, Charles

Albert, King of Pedmont, younted a constitution,

the Statuto. This warked a definite move

away from Austrian inthunce as its howed

Piedmont becoming independent. Although

unnited, of course, and bursly democratic

Conly a very small percentage of the population

were granged the vote) the statuto marked

a dear change and shoned Piedmont as a

Strong base for possible tature Unitedtion.

The statuto survived after 1848 and want

on the to become the basis for the Italian

consistantion. Further physics was ilso seen in

(This page is for your first answer.) the numerous (5 hort wed) provisional yovernments that were established throughout this period especially in the Roman Republic, led by Maszini, which hold out For 100 days during which time several regions were made ducliding that reforms and the removal of the death penalty. While of Lourse, the Roman Republic was also crushed, its Great success Yuve hope to the kisorgiomento and we the taste of Italian independance to the westrondrist morament in & Italy. It is therefore clear, that in some ways espenally in the more away from Austrian incheence, the terms of the longress OT Venna were fin somewhat overthrown However, this was not entirely the Case. Austria retained a stronghold over the Ewhan penninsula. We must remember that and three sets of kersturions finded and this is mostly due to Austrain intervention Austria trygonghout this period exercised its Strong Kh over Italy, dughing umost every Vershirson, hispers in revolutionaries and Vestoring the monorchs put in place tollowing the 1815 Longress of Venna. Any progress that had been made was quickly reverted and although not entirely as it had been in

(This page is for your first answer.) 1815, Austria Succeeded in Keeping its in home strong in Itsely right up to 1649. This was uso seen in the Austrian vitory over the redmontege in 1848 and the continuing down strong to of the Austrian army. In other way or the 1815 settlement coo, I taly remained the same. The longress of Vienna had sought to deter autionalist Reeling 64 Vedining Italy into a seperate states, each with disperent leaders and yoverments. The vershiting up to 1849 stronly restect this lack of Unity in the complete lack of cooperation between the Stutes. Even by compaining the remutions it is clear that there was little wordmitson on the parts of the resolutionaries. A whole ten yours passed between the terstitions or time 1820; and 1830s. It is donot ful that they can even be withed strongly everpting in ompletely diskerent states to those From before. Furthermore, excluding the brief go appointment or a sount mintary commander for Moderna # und Parma in 1831, there was no collaboration what goever during these early Warmpto at versusion. In Fact, the period 50m no attempt at any water uniquation hespite the ideas of Writers such as Marzini

(This page is for your first answer.) and Gioberti in Publications such us 'On the hopes of Italy 'who had hoped for a unified Italy. This therefore shows the turbup OF trace versutions in yentinga Unity that would overklyon the dividing aspect of the Longress of Vonna. Augh The reason perhaps why the terms or the Vonna Sattement were not overthyour son could possibly be as a recent of the many different aims of the revolutionies. While white Marzini had pushed for a unisted truly, the resolutions our often had little to do with this aim. For example, in 1820-1. Kne Nageling Neopolitan and Signin rayshiting had been in Fuvour or independence from each other ruther than any univication. Their brief separation is perhaps one of the only examples of thoughing the borders settled out Vening in 1815 and that was Lontrary to Uniteration. This lock or common aim also links in way the latt of Dopular support. The revolutions were organised threaty by the elite nombers of organisations such as the automore Who rasely achieved the support of the working classes. This is party to do with the high Miteracy Varies hasaks shill we toward and the is complicated ruture of ideology such as that of MOZZini These roasons are or wurse a further explanation for Why the terms of the congressor voins war not

(This page is for your first answer.) Overtworm.

In conclusion, as suplained, Italy on the Whole Kinded to overthrow the proxision made in 1815. Other than the Statuto and the establishment of the Roman Republic in 1449, without progress was made in tollowing off-the chains of Austrian withbours as Italy remained and repressed by the Austrian withbours as Italy remained and Amy. Drowse, things wore beginning to change with the yrough of purming thempent of it is clear that it was not until later years that any significant plogless.

Not made in Unity in the orders made in 1815.

Or removing Austrian withsence.



This response is directly focused on the question asked. It considers a range of issues which affected the provisions of the Vienna Settlement between 1815-1849 using well selected supporting material. This is a good example of an answer where the conclsuion suggests that little change has actually changed but the candidate has found more than adequate supporting material to argue the case.



Some of the most effective answers are those that can clearly show change over time.

## Topic E/F2 - The Unification of Germany, 1848-90

Once again this is a very popular topic and most of the candidates are very well prepared for the first three bullet points of the specification. Q3 was universally more popular and there were very few candidates who attempted Q4. It would appear, however, that some centres are neglecting to cover the whole of the content. Questions can cover single bullet points or multiple bullet points across the specification and may address any part of the time period from 1848-90. Centres who do not cover all of the content are in danger of limiting an already limited choice of questions for their candidates. As with topic 1, there are some factual errors beginning to creep into answers. Many candidates seem to believe that Bismarck was appointed in 1852 rather than 1862 and attribute him with the foundation of the *Zollverein*.

- Q3. Most candidates were secure in their knowledge of the events leading to and the factors responsible for the unification process. There is also a clear understanding of the term 'diplomacy' in connection to Bismarck's foreign policy. Some candidates do refer to his 'diplomacy' on the domestic front but the earlier tendency to refer to this to the exclusion of foreign policy altogether is now very rare. However, as with Q2 the question did not ask whether his diplomacy was the 'most' significant factor but 'how significant' his diplomacy was. As a result there were many answers which seemed to consist of prepared answers which referred to all the factors in turn with a statement at the end as to which was most important. These answers were often list-like rather than discursive and, although often secure knowledge, lacking in an evaluation of the significance of Bismarck's diplomacy. There was also a tendency, as suggested in the general comments, to discuss events out of chronological order and with few dates to create a secure context. Most candidates suggested that Bismarck's diplomacy was very significant but that underlying factors allowed him to manipulate events. At Level 5 this approach was addressed directly with reference to longer term economic and military developments, the favourable international situation and 'chance'.
- Q4. There were very few responses to this question. Responses tended to be either very strong or very weak. Many of the weaker responses tended to narrate events from 1871-90 or to evaluate the successes and failure of *Kulturkampf*. However, examiners did see some very confident answers which were able to evaluate change over time clearly. The timeframe allowed candidates to chart the move from the political alliance of the 'liberal era' through the more conservative/protectionist 1880s to the more complicated relationship surrounding Bismarck's fall.

Below is a script in which both answers reach Level 5.

		and then put		_	
hosen Questic	on Numbe	er:			
uestion 1	×	Question 2		Question 3	
uestion 4	×	Question 5	×	Question 6	
uestion 7	$\boxtimes$	Question 8	×	Question 9	$\boxtimes$
uestion 10	$\boxtimes$	Question 11	×	Question 12	⊠
his page is fo	r your firs	t answer.)	figure	of Viite	- Emmend is
411		w 1	1 11		₩ ·/- 7.
Mar of a m	en who,	, alkough mod	entel :	uportat u	Ka unificati
	***************************************				
Dress Jara	1 set	exaccially river	l'ant	Til den	manual + Cause
prince 20, -0.00	21107	especially again	fallately	j	ompared to Cavo
		•		*	
0 /	, //		***************************************	444411111111111111111111111111111111111	
and Said	halli.		***************************************		
and Sais	balli.				
***************************************					
***************************************		manuel's tim		L Loss	Piednost
***************************************		manuel's tim		L. Louis	Pidnot
***************************************		manuel's tim		L. Line of	Piedmont
Z	tor Em		***************************************		ata-atan maranan maranan marin marin
Z	tor Em	manuel's tim	***************************************		ata-atan maranan maranan marin marin
Z	tor Em		***************************************		ata-atan maranan marana
ws m	ilor Em	ih Psidmontesi	por	ne greetly	espanded.
was me	ilor Em	ih Psidmontesi	por	ne greetly	espanded.
was me	ilor Em	ih Psidmontesi	por	ne greetly	ata-atan maranan marana
Despite Lis	ilo Em	ih Pidmontess personal	e pou	se greatly.	expanded,
Daspita Lis	ilo Em	ih Pidmontess personal	e pou	se greatly.	expanded,
Despite Lis	ilo Em	ih Pidmontess personal	e pou	se greatly.	espanded.
Daspita his	kep	ih Pridmontess personal	e pou	listics, to	e statuto q
Daspita his	kep	ih Pridmontess personal	e pou	listics, to	e statuto q
Despita his	kep	ih Pidmontess personal	e pou	listics, to	e statuto q
Daspila Lis	kep	ih Pridmontess personal	e pou	listics, to	e statuto q

(This page is for your first answer.) The everyly running of the Kingdon he left to politicis, and he was also no apportunite alvertuce. Victor Emmanuel can be said to have been held so an important figurehal and ruk of plative stability, therefore Yet, Vieta Emmercel was not for from the perfect monarch of a unified I taly. He seamed to show very little intest in the virification project so is shown by his title (as the first King of Italy ) - Victor Emmand II. He would also be autoratic and some stone to ruining Piedmont's intrests - notably by ensuring the remod of town from power and acceptance of nearly peace terns of Villafrance - tay influence Victor Ennance differe, was Therefore, reutral at best to the unification project However, the Pine Missele of Prichard for much of

(This page is for your first answer.) This period - Canillo Benso di Tavour, Lat a more large influence on the unification process. Taron's sponooship of the National Tonisty ledter the growth of liberarian and Nationalisis in Piadment Ha halpady though fearing up take and through subsidy, go to ensure Priedmontese industrial growth in the 1850 s - so that Pidnost would become - wantly ally of France. It was Comm's personal great victories one Victor Emmanuel that the Statute was retained Domesticuly, therefore, Tame was vited to me Piedmont Comon was thus, able to occrise his skill as a consummate realpolitikar- utlerly without priniple, and intential only in his own state's suces. His allean vil Napokon at Plombieres can be held as partiages the most important single moment in

(This page is for your first answer.) majorities Zavor was ble (at the rost of Nice and Towney - to the himmey of Victor Emmanuel) to some French support As the evertant 1848/9 showed, Ithis could not make "toeff" It was the Freeh military muscle which had to the expulsion of the historians from Tomberdy Carrows was also able to, after Victo Emmanued's foolishess, somer the Contral Duchies to Predmont It was (in the name of Victor Emmanuel) but that I among who wested as a "Kingdom of North Italy-said lawon would probably lave been content to stop thee Throw, theefre, the gerius of one man hivseppe Faribaldi- which ledt the wrion of North and Joll Piednortese opinon, including that of Victor Emanuel that "Africa beginson Rome" had little effection the Mazzinan idealist and nomante- Failelli Through his

(This page is for your first answer.) queille taities (Beako cekons hint be the gestest queill leader of all time ) Guribaldi was able to an onque the Kingdom of the Two Sicilis. It is had to magine full unification taking place without triballi's influence. The handows at Teams (despite Consen's visit to retirent the redshirt') made the sayth of a packetslep between Saidable and Victor Z morenuel. The equalled intensional constitution must also be mentioned Cavour would not, of rouse, have been able to service his realpolation without it. It was French intervation which ledle the establishment of the Kingdom of North Itely - and the answerlin of Verthaman Livet result of the peace settlement after the trestor-Prustian was The taking of Rome was only facilitated because of

(This page is for your first answer.) French willbarral from the rity due to the freeze- I musice was, and without the passive support of the Birtish vary Gaiballi on wouldn't have been able to was the Start of Mersina. It was therfore he said that were it not for He uniquely projetions international scene flowing the discretization of the Lonant of Europe' is 1898 that Virginition sould were have Lapparet. Victor Emmanuel's significana, Marafre, moes lazaby symbolic - as the figured of a victorious Piedmont. It was often in spite of his (outradis)) wishes that caron would occorise his realpolitisk and he was fortunate enough to have the Touth harded to him on a plate. After the Squatton of tavore, all the myor stops to full writication were -

(This page is for your first answer.)
Emasaud's attent to defeat the furtion at the June Bettle
of Tuelogga stows that he in fact, was very the figurehead
of sufficient. It is realised that were with the foliable
contellation, without town's respectible and Freiheld's Janies
(bill of which he initially corrected), there so Victor & conserved
tullithan broght about writerin

Put a cross in the box indicating the SECOND question you have chosen to answer **M**. Your second question choice must be on a different topic to your first question choice. If you change your mind, put a line through the box 🐺 and then put a cross in another box . **Chosen Question Number: Question 1 Question 2** Question 3 X **Question 4** X **Question 5** Question 6 3 **Question 7 Question 8 Question 9** Х **Question 10** X **Question 11** X **Question 12** Bismark's diplomany (This page is for your second answer.) was pedaps, the sigh greated factor in Teman wificition despite the importance of other fectors, such as Besievek's own ) publical ashievements. These did however, furtitula his diplomary and the were ofly factors which led to his plans being brought to fristion. Brownerk's dylonacy was pelops the best possible example of recessful real potetik - The utterly nothersand amonal pursuit of the entrost of his own state. Biomark was able to present the invasion of tellering. Hobis (along with fishin) so the enforcement of international law. He also, in his meeting with Nagalan III at Brisity, assered that France would remain realised in the Souther Constain war, while aligning with I bely, essering that

(This page is for your second answer.) The hydrian army would be split He also used the Whengollern cardiday as a way in which to artagonise France into to war particularly be been that his publication of the edital Eas telgram would infrinte the likes of Can Olivier and Napoler III. In daing this, Brimerk was able to kill Two bids will one stone-Le Ladar a defiste, agressive the which of Genans weld rally behind, and the French invasion led to the activation of the North - South Lepasine military testy. It as therefore be (fairly safely) said that without Bismark's Siploway, the was which forged the German state and the subsequent outroses of the was world never have beganned. Yoursen were it not for Brainwork's Strelling of

(This page is for your second answer.)
the Promise say well and have been the the till
Authin and Treach arrives Von Ross's measures were andy
possed as a result of Sumant's George of the 'Landley'
The readle zen which will five five lines more quickly the
ite dustria equillat - was sited in the dustra - laurier was
Similarly, the (stat) riflet carron in use in the trans-
Possies was, as well as the sector Pressies suches, gave
French army. If Bismarch had not wited cuttlenty is
Amertic polities; Harefore, all his diplomary would have been in
Horrers, the Prusien vitories were essentially, enabled

(This page is for your second answer.) any because of the thinder of Prussia's enemies. Hed Beredek stacked the Poission centre who two-fifts of the Possian army Led failed to turn up at Johnson, the course of history would have been very different Tirilary, Bayaire (who was, in fact, late his for trason on account of this ) withher the French army to Netz, where, to gute one Frank great, they were surrounded by Poussian mon on rilges and were in the shanker port and were going to be shot in Bismarch 's diplomary would also have some to nothing were it not for the virunstation evers of his opposents Bismork's opposents also condemned Timselves due to their diplomatic vistates. Author, for example, and teaf of from it greatest ally, Russia as a result of it

(This page is for your second answer.) newtonling in the Trinian Was. The Daniel government prohibly continued to fight on in Juleswing Holstein and the Treat, barries deployed hubedo of thousands of trops to France and Macino, allowed themselves to be increased and go to was to a seemingly timing wratter of Lorons- than Ena Alegrania Brianank soras therefore, although a ballist diplomatic proce, doubt a vary good hand. Jame Lave also considered Brismark's rise to have aly been enabled through Pressin's economic growth It was nothing to do with Bismarch Slaf Austin was excluded from the I direction ( which some have labelled "klein dectached in embys'). Allough it is the that waltert an expanding the and inductive base Bismand would have fear unable (This page is for your second answer.) to any seforms, the fact that Austria would have won at Sadowa and that the French economy was slightly larger than Pressin's upon the exe of the France Prussian was news that it want be counted us most inported B isnark's distancy was quite singly the key to Samue unification. He was able to, as a result of it, maripulate sis exercises ( for example in tostion '64-6) and put Prusia into a position wheet could safely deline was SU & Businesh's subsequent mastery moves, such as the establishment of the North Termen Tonfadantin and the Reich stem from this However, were it not for an expanding economy, which facilitated Bismarch's enforcement of army reform, as well as

(This page is for your second answer.) of his exerces, it is unlikely that Bromank would have soid to be soon the single factor which most engineered unification, but this was enabled though his own Sometic political skill and the international constallation in place at the was only brought to frishion as a limetic footshess of Othice, and the nititing ineptitude Becadelk Birmonk, the arbitrat of the Garmon nation, was , is reality, only able to take his place in history as a result of Those men.



Both of these answers are directly focused on the significance of the individual/issue highlighted in the question. Signficance is established through a discussion of the individual/issue involved in comparison to other factors. Despite other factors being discussed the reader is always aware of their significance in comparison to the highlighted individual or issue.



Conclusions - an evaluative conclusion reaches a judgement and/or shows how the different factors/issues discussed in the main body of the essay are interconnected. Both of these conclusion show how it is possible to interlink factors succinctly and convincingly.

# Topic E/F3 – The Collapse of the Liberal State and the triumph of Fascism in Italy, 1896-1943

Both questions were popular and it has been a pleasure to see the improvement in candidates' understanding and knowledge of the Liberal State since the first examination. However, there are still issues of chronological awareness with this topic with many candidates treating the years 1896 to 1915 as a homogenous whole and many responses unable to differentiate between the years 1925-43 and Mussolini's rise to power.

Q5. Most candidates were aware of the key issues relating to the political instability of the Liberal State in the years 1896-1922 but many responses seemed to be essentially prepared answers listing each of the underlying factors involved with little real discussion of the given factor. The concept of Italy's search for 'great power' status is outlined in the specification and, although the majority of the responses did highlight Italy's foreign policy, a significant minority of candidates assumed the term 'great power' referred almost exclusively to Italian domestic policy combined with the effects of World War I; this minimal approach to the given factor resulted in many lower band level 4 responses. The timeframe of the question allowed candidates to discuss a variety of foreign policy events including the defeat at Adowa, the war over Libya and World War I in relation to political instability and those responses that discussed these in comparison to other factors were able to access the higher levels. Some of the most successful answers were also able to show the changing nature of the threats to the Liberal State over time.

Q6. The majority of responses were able to explain and develop the role of propaganda in establishing Fascist control over Italy during the years 1925-43 but with differing degrees of detail. Fewer responses considered the extent to which 'effective propaganda' was the basis of Fascist control. Many candidates suggested that the cult of the personality combined with the positive propaganda surrounding Fascist policies were more effective than the use of intimidation and violence. Other responses discussed Fascist attempts to control or co-opt the elites of Italian society. However, a significant number of responses referred exclusively to the activities of Mussolini and/or were unsure of the timeframe of the question with emphasis on the rise of Fascism in the years 1919-25.

Put a cross in the box indicating the FIRST question you have chosen to answer 図. If you change your mind, put a line through the box 缀 and then put a cross in another box 図.						
Chosen Questio	on Numb	er:				
Question 1	×	Question 2	$\boxtimes$	Question 3	×	
Question 4	$\boxtimes$	Question 5	×	Question 6	×	
Question 7	$\boxtimes$	Question 8	$\boxtimes$	Question 9	×	
Question 10	$\times$	Question 11	×	Question 12	×	
(This page is to		st answer.)				***************************************
		Ínfro	8-1			
	171772788888888888888	VEIL	PapalStat	<u> </u>	111117778888444141117777884444411111177788	
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		<u> </u>	allamaja anado alta para da anada (maja ana	
		Cavour	- Industri	alisation an		
			Planbia	ues - Ladarendence		
***************************************	1110-1140000000000000000000000000000000	# f	Drachios	in the factor of the second section of the section of the second section of the section of		
idenner i ved i ved lækenenenddendæker	****************************	Napoleon	_ Venetii	1		*************
	***************************************	Conideabli -	Rome	and the state of t	(1111)	***********
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	GGTOWOU L	Naples			***********
	(11144441111111111111111111111111111111	+ tr}====================================	272	)>>>x8>xx4xxxxxx4411111+>>>>>8666664444111>>>>>8xxx4xx44444444	4114119999999994444411111555555554444411115959959	
======================================	++Ff22+E222#E22277044471	))		######################################	***************************************	***********
			***************************************	**************************************		E1811244444
.288874884444	***************************************	***************************************		19939-934444111111199999999111111199999999		
FFERENCE	***************************************		·····	Organizacija (li pleshagaje i nali i birokoma	ngjappi kryppan kanapanjaki jaji krypan kaharak ki nyi kakina	
	***************************************	***************************************	444444444444444444444444444444444444444	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	10040-1	***********
***************************************	11***11**********		14************************************	>>->>=================================		

This is a script for which both answers achieve level 5.

(This page is for your first answer.) How significant was Victor Emmanuel in promoting Italian Unification in the years 1850-70? In 1850, the Italian peninsula was a collection of states, each with their our rulers, languages and traditions. However in just tuentex years those states had become united, under a ting: Victor Emmanuel II. His Piedmont had been at the forefront of the push for unification, and under his reign they had annexed the other states and were now united they had to comprise the Kingdom of Italy while Vidor Emmanuel was important in promoting Italian Unification, he was by no mouns the only contributor. Significant roles was undertaken by cavour, Napoleon and avribabli. of Predmont Victor Emmanuel \* I took to the throne in 1840, following his father, charles Alberts abditation. It was under his leigh that Piedmonts power grew, and it became and by 1360 it was the only state capable of challenging kistrian influence. Vidor Emmanuel Precliment ware an ally of France in the Crimean War (1854-56) and this offert earnt them a pear sect at the peace table, which lead to the Mombieles meeting. While VEII was important, Italy needed a ting to unite order, much of Piedmont's strength came from the

This page is for your first answer.) Locator ship of Camillo Cavour Methodox Victor Emmanuel role should not be understated. He's speeches were inspiring during the Second was of Italian ludependence and it was his troops that tout the Papal states before Garibaldi could attack Rome His leadership also was key in Garibaldi handing over the seized territories, as Garibaldi, who had become a royalist was was amicable in handing over the south to the King white Honever, VEI was quite significant, he was not the most important inclividual.

Carbor, the forms Finance aninister, became prime minister in 1852, and under his quidance Predment Houristed, Carour had experte towardedge of industrigisation and the in Predment grew, togging trade increased by 300% and Predment had a third of all training track in the Italian peninsula. Not only did Carour increase the economic capabilities of Prodment, his decision to enter the Crimean war proved vital in the unification process. This was because he had make fredment a poweful ally in Napoleon II and this allrance prove vital in the eventual unification. As both Carour and VEII had both had significant power in fredment, it is word to determine who was responsible for what. However the Plombiases meeting (1858) is a fine example of where Carour made the big selisions. Carour met Napoleon at Plombiases in July 1958 and it is thought the decisions made, were vary similar to the agend a Carour borright with him. With Preduction

(This page is for your first answer.) and France in a greenment over war with Austria, (avour informed UF II of the outcome in a letter. This is an example of Covours significance, Unite Cavour dled in 1861, his impact was decisive. Held had set Italy on the path of Unification and his impact was anost significant, thousand however there were also that significant contributors.

Napoleon was incredibly important and his role was integral to the inification process. Napoleon sent 200,000 trops to gin Predmonts 100,000 in the second war of Interpendence (1859) Not only did he support fiedment in war, he was also important in Predmont's decision to go to new, as he promised at Plambiares to support Predmont providing they had a rist reason. In 1866 Napoleon almost single handedly brought about he annexation at benetice as he made severe abouts with both Prussia and Austria that promised newterlity in return for Varetin. On the other hand Napoleon also was in some mays an obstacle to unification as his tooks remained in Rome Edloning the 1649 Roman Republic, and fleg prevent two fixter attempts on Rome (1962 & 1867). Overall Napoleons positive contribution out neights his acquitive but while he was a mayor contributor, cavour was more significant.

It is aquable that he only reason Full conficution

(This page is for your first answer.) came about, and not get the chifficultion of the north, was acidental coasibabli had against all odds, managed to take Sicily and Naples in the space of 5 months in 1860. Heavily autoembased in Sicily, acaibabli's speed leadership, questilla tadics combined without he chaos of an earlier revolution in Pulesmo, allowed him to seize the tobad and become Pictobor of Sicily. He then work on to secure the mainland in Naples and it was this adian that prompted hedmont to move down and take the head states. action that prompted hedmont to move down and take the head states. action that prompted hedmont to move down and take the head states. Authority and in November 8th 1860, and without him unification might have been restricted to gift the north.

In conclusion UE I was somewhat significant in promoting Hahan unity. He was a good leader and his army tooks there Papal states. However most of the work done in Piedmont was down to cavour, the industrialised, printed allies and made crucial decisions such as preventing anibaldi from taken Rome. VEII did add to cavars work, and after cavours death, he was the main source of power from Predmont Unification was heavily aided by Napoleon II contribution, notably in Vendia and total wrification may not have represent were it not significance but he was the most important individual, that was carillo cavour.

	on Numbe	and then put er:			
Question 1	<b>3</b>	Question 2	$\square$	Question 3	
Question 4	E3	Question 5	EX.	Question 6	<b>⊠</b>
Question 7		Question 8	×	Question 9	×
Question 10	$\boxtimes$	Question 11	×	Question 12	<b>×</b>
(This page is fo	r your sec	ond answer.)			
	***************************************	Collago	e lik	oel state	
					***************************************
		I (m. 4	٨	0 1 1-	
		1, Great 2. Weat p 3. Early pr , Libert f	Paner 1	& War	
		2. Weat p	olitical	System	
		B. Earla pr	o dems	,	
	U	( bool f	ilina s	>??!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	inimimississi (10 manimissi 11 m
**************************************		, 61000	0,,		
					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
The poli	fical	instability in	Hooly	· Liberal Ho	dy was evident and 29 prime
Jorg QI	ri kent	Between 1870	and	1922 . Italia	and 29 prime
Q	ţ.	ten and	w alala	to the male is	Library 121, 5
and lea	re not	YINX D	1.1.	io manipy le	L W. A
ministers w	Luca Fel. O	Can Can	alition	gorgments ~	are constantly feating
ministers Alvisloms w					
miniska Nivislams w	promíse	and Italy	remained	a divided	country, Italy
ministers MV1510m3 w to com	ipionii 2	and total	emanen	a assided	country, may
ministers Alvisloms w to com had	esired	to become a	great por	a assisted	country. Italy cong time and and trank as

(This page is for your second answer.) instability was not yes a coult of He desire to be a European power, internal problems and divisions as well as a lack of identify and a weat political system mount that the failing of Liberal litery was almost inavitable, Nationalists were teen on taley becoming Italiam nationalists were constantly calling for a move aggessive socian policy. They wanted to return Italy to do former glory and they thought that an empire would be a good stat. While an attempt on Abopinia (1896) failed, Italy gained Libya in 1411. Italy's decision to enter the First World War was cultimately because it martal to gain territory and hence proce she was a powerful country. The decision to go to wor was a peace error as Italy lost 600,000 men and 1,000,000 were wounded. The economic imput was equally terrible, debt notional debt rose frome 14 billion line in 1914 to 85 billion in 1914 and inflation excited as the government sust printed more money Italy's desire to be considered a power had cost them tearly and this was a major fector in the political instability. Furthermore He Treater of Usailles and other settlements failed to award Day Finne, Palmatia or any of Garmany's African colonies. Nationalists blaned the liberals and this signalled a decline in stability houser other fator were also important. Mach of the political in stability stemmed from the weakness of the political rogotem. Italian politics relied on Tras formis and

(This page is for your second answer.) and the Liberals were usually proposed up in Coalitions. Buy either groups, This meant 1: He was actually achieved and many coalitions ended over petry disagreement. Other political groups amonged and in 1921 He Socialists grined more seats (1561 than any other party or group. One result of the weak political sugtem was parties polarised, unable to composition the middle, people moved further last or right and with the emergence of radical parties compromises and coalitions were even harder to make. This political suprem, therefore, was partly responsible for the instability in Hally.

If could be argued that political instability has inevitable. In 1870, on completion of Unification, it was remarked, "We have built Italy, now we must built Italians" and this problem of look of national identity was important. Coapilities were more family orientated and only 2% of the population spoke Italian. Another problem inherited by liberal Italy was the Morth-South divide, poverly of the south, in Sicily 0.01% of the people owned 50% of the land and the rest lived in poverty. Most of Italy (68%) relied on agriculture and Italy, which was mainly unindustrialized, was a poor country which lacted in any real natural resources such as coal or iron ore. Therefore a major factor in the political instability were the problems that dated lanck to anification.

(This page is for your second answer.) It and also be argued that the failure of the Librals was directly responsible for the crisis Italy Faced. Utile Liberals had inherited a dise situation, Hey didn't fix many of He problems. Despite a growth in national income from 61 billion line to 92 billion line between 1895 and 1915, Italian workers had comperitively low wages so and longer hars than most of the sext. of Western Europe. This wealth was squandered on foreign endeavours agreed the socialists and they felt the morting class had been continued ed to be exploited. Moreover the Caberal sprenment was blumed for the "Mutilated Victory" of the peace settlements The Liberals had reduced taxes and increased industrialisation of the north, but this increase te prosperity gap between the North and with and created more class divisions between the middle class employers and norting class employees. In conclusion Italy's attempt to become a superpower was to some extent to blame for the political instability Honever it was not the only factor. The weat political system meant it was herder to make progress and coalitions and compromises were obstacles to real change, It would also be arried that political instability was In eviteble after the inhainted problems associated with unification. While the liberal government did not success their failure was not the overwhelming reason, and success

(This page is for your second answer.) was nearly impossible in a with the inherit problems and weak political suptem.

Overall it was the desire is be a power and the fesulting war that proved most significant. If the early problems made stability hard, war and the grest to be a power made stability impossible.



Both answers are directly focused on the question asked, have explicit understanding of the key issues and supported with relevant and detailed knowledge. Each essay begins with a solid and relevant introduction, is developed through discursive paragraphs and reaches a judgement. Both are well organised and well written.

## Topic E/F4 - Republicanism, Civil War and Francoism in Spain, 1931-75.

This topic is growing in popularity and candidates are increasingly well prepared. However, examiners commented on the lack of secure knowledge of the chronology of events covered by both questions. Q7 was by far the most popular question with very few answers attempted for Q8.

Q7. The majority of candidates who answered this question were able to develop the given factor with some confidence. However many responses tended to describe foreign support in the Civil Wars in general rather than in specific relation to its importance in securing Nationalist success or at a slightly higher level wrote a list-like response of developed paragraphs about different factors with little attempt at evaluation. There were also weaknesses in chronological awareness. For example, the airlift of the Army of Africa was often mentioned after examples of German aid given later in the war with little regard for its role in saving the Nationalists from an early defeat. The best responses were those which were able to show that foreign intervention not only aided success but had different consequences at different time of the war, as well as evaluating the importance of foreign intervention in relation to other factors. Despite good knowledge of the factors contributing to Republican failure and Nationalist success, candidates would benefit from a better overview of the progress of the war during its different stages.

Q8. This was only attempted by a minority of candidates. Examiners noted that the better responses were able to show change over time confidently and clearly and were able to come reasoned judgements which suggested that despite some amelioration of control there was still a great deal of underlying repression and highlighted the growing tension in the early 1970s. Weaker responses often found it difficult to define repression and there were a few answers which answered the question exclusively with reference to the late 1930s and 1940s.

## Topic E/F5 - Germany Divided and Reunited, 1945-91

Centres which cover this topic invariably prepare their candidates well but once again it is clear that many candidates have a weak understanding of the chronological order of events and are unaware of the key dates with regard to turning points in the development of Germany post-war. There are a worrying number of candidates who confuse the Berlin Airlift, the building of the Berlin Wall and the fall of the Berlin Wall. However, most candidates deal well with the different events in West and East Germany and are aware of the separate patterns of development. Q9 was overwhelmingly more popular with very few candidates addressing the question of why events in Berlin were so important to the relationship between the two parts of Germany during the post-war period.

Q.9 Most candidates were secure in their knowledge of the role of Adenauer in the economic development of post-war Germany. They were able to refer both to specific examples of his influence over economic policy and his symbolic role as the head of the newly established Federal Republic. Many responses were also aware of other possible factors affecting economic growth and prosperity citing the role of Erhard, support from Western powers, the underlying strength of the German economy and the impetus gained from the supplying of resources for the Korean War. However, many answers remained at low-to-mid level 4 as they developed but did not convincingly evaluate the importance of Adenauer in comparison to the other possible factors. The best answers were able to show Adenauer's underlying importance interlinked to the other factors. Some of the best answers also address the different concepts of 'economic growth' and 'prosperity'.

## Topic E6/F6 – The Middle East, 1945-2001: The State of Israel and Arab Nationalism

This is a relatively popular topic but candidates often seem confused as the chronology of events and the dates of the key turning points. Answers on the pre-1979 period are often a narrative-driven commentary on the Arab-Israeli wars and candidates often feel the need to mention the wars even in a question which is firmly based in the period 1945-48 or post-1979. Candidates often also seem very hesitant to answer questions which do not refer directly the events surrounding the creation of Israel or the Arab-Israeli conflict. Centres should note that these are only directly covered in two out of the four bullet points in the specification and that all bullet points need to be covered to ensure that candidates have even a choice of questions to answer. Questions can be set on individual bullet points or any combination of bullet points joined together. Q11 was by far the most popular question with few candidates attempting O12. Those who did were often confused over the chronology of events and found it difficult to define the concept of self-interest. There were some good responses, however, which were able to focus on Arab disunity rather than Arab-Israeli conflicts. These answers discussed the role of Egypt in pan-Arab attempts to create unity, the difficulties created for individual states by Palestinian refugees, the significance of individuals such as Nasser and Sadat and the geo-political tensions of the region in general.

Q11. Most candidates were aware of the different factors influencing the creation of the state of Israel in 1948. Some candidates dismissed almost out-of-hand the role of American influences discussing only other factors and these response could only reach high Level 3 at best. There were also a significant minority of answers that could only develop points generally with little specific reference to the events of the years 1945-48; discussing

the impact of the holocaust and the growth of Zionism in general terms. The majority of candidates, however, were able to develop their knowledge of American support but with varying degrees of detail. 'American support' was given a wide definition with responses referring to the role of American Jews, American business, politicians and President Truman. Many responses were made up of a series of paragraphs about the different factors leading to the creation of Israel but only those which attempted some evaluation or judgement were able to progress into the higher levels. Some excellent responses suggested that, while the more direct influences of British mandatory rule, terrorism and the UN played a major part, the underlying influence of American support was vitally important in giving Jewish leaders the confidence to declare the state of Israel.

This is a level 5 script. Both responses have direct focus on the quesiton and have explicit understanding of the key issues.

Question 1	[2]				
	2	Question 2	$\times$	Question 3	×
Question 4	×	Question 5		Question 6	×
Question 7	×	Question 8		Question 9	×
Question 10	×	Question 11		Question 12	$\boxtimes$
* ~~	pa al: I fa	enatics Socia ilures (m		divicting + Cal	e. )
2) Tras.			***************************************		
* wea * allow	4				
* Easy.	to to PP	le gov.			

(This page is for your first answer.) . During the Period 1896 - 1912, The Liberal State in Italy attempted to use foreign policy to heal the social and Political divides in Italy, that has been Present Since unification in 1870. However, the failure of the campaign in Abyssinia and in wil greatly affected the stability of liberal Italy and Probablit to the Point of Glopse. By 1922 massolini had taken power and while the disastrons foreign Police destabilised the state, Political challenges and weak Governments 1 also led to i-stobility in Political Italy. The Liberals Loped Foreign victories would halp unite a divided population. However from 1896 these Can paigns often did more horn than good. The defeat in the battle of Adora humiliated the liberals and led to the emergence of a strong Nationalist movement that would challenge the Liberals in the 70th century this causing instability. Also this defeat was used by the Lascists to display the liberals weaknesses and this had a destabilizing exect further down the line. Also, PM Giolifi's decision to invade Libra in 1911 also caused instability as it alienated the socialists and led to Socialisms more anti-Liberal Stone in the 1910's and 20's. However, the most destabilizing effect was left in the attempth of www. The Liberals afterested to become a great

(This page is for your first answer.) Power through world war one was throwted due to major defeats such as Lapacetta in 1917. Like in 1896, the pollution was Lomiliated by 162/5 Poor toreign Conflict and this Led to an offshoot of Nationalism from more extreme moderates to Join Nationalism and this oundoubtedly Strengthened the Nationalists. The Mutilated victory destroyed Stability even more. The inability of orlando to secure the Lord Le was promised in tondon in 1915 led to the Public Seeking a more extreme brand of Politics which increased the opposition to the liberals and so decreased stability. It is also important to note that was divided the Liberals between Salandra and anti-war Giolitti, These divisions would allow Mussolini to rise to power by 1922 and so increase instability in Italy's Politics. Ibaly's desire to be a great fower increased instability as it divided the Italian people and Government and Incres opposition to the Libert State. However, while the foreign Policy of the Liberal State increased offosition, the opposition itself Caused mass instability in Italian Politics. By 1900 the Socialists had 32 Seats in government and the ribe of Socialism would have a great effect on the stability of the state.

Socialists demands for more retorms after Gioliki's

1911 Social retorms Split Liberals and alionated Nationalist

(This page is for your first answer.) as they offered the fro-socialist Policy. Socialism also carried out the Biennio Rosso at 1919-1920 and Odulation at the factories and this destabilized Italian Politics as Gioliti Seemed incapable of Quelling these Socialist outbursts. Also as Socialism became the largest Party in 1919 Day began to Challenge the Liberals position and consed instability in the liberal regime. Another cause of instable was the Pape. His anti-liberal State views, alienated Catholics from the Halian Political Spectrum and without the Support of this moss group the Liberal State was weak and often to the threat of Socialism. The tailure of the Librals to answer the 'Roman Question' only increased instability as majorate cattalics transdo a faily who from sed to resolve the threat of socialism and the Roman Question', the Fascists. The challenges of the church and Socialism destabilized Italian Politics as there was now a logitimate challenge to the Liberals, the Socialists, and their activism and onfi-Liberal Stance while the lagost party application installing in Italian Politics while the church's lack of separt drove People away from the Liberal State and reduced it's Power base. However, from 1919, fascism would emerge as Scions challege to the Liberts. Mussolini's anti-Libert

(This page is for your first answer.) Stock on reduced Support for the liberals and his agressive demands over being made PM in 1922 Pushed the Liberal State to breaking Pointant led to the instability that would lead to Liberal Italy's college. The fascist's use of violence also destabilized Italian Polifics with a Civil was developing between Sociolism and fascism and with Fascist Occupations Such as in Ferrara and Bologna in 1921. This increased Foscist Power in the localities and built local resentment of Libertian thus destabilizing the liberal regime. However, it was the March on Rome the created the most instability. This divided the king and the PM with; and with these divisions Mussolini would bake power in 1922. The Fascist Policies 1919 - 1922 destabilized Italian Politics as it removed liberal support from the localities, created a rivally with socialism and with acts like the March on Rome it forced the Liberals to act under great Pressure which would eventually lead to the liber's collater in Oct. 1972. Firelly, the underlying weaknesses of Trasformismo Politics caused instability in Italian tolitics. These weak Coalition governments meant Italy failed to make major economical advances in the years 1998-1922 and the fact the average PM's reign was 7 months show instable Italy was due to Tastomism

(This page is for your first answer.) Politics. Also the actions of Ollado to introduce universal Suttrage Vinceased :-stability as this increased the power extenion had in Italy Post-www. The floorishing of Trafformismo Politics weakered Italy as it meant there was no Strong leader to quell Political challenges and so Political rivals grew in the instability of Liberal Italy. while the Strength of Political Challenges and the weakness of Trasformismo government introduced instability into Italy, the role of Italy's dosire to be a great Power was the most destabilizing factor to Ibalian Politics from take 1896-1972. The failed invasion of Abossinia and the disappointment at wwl Split the Liberals and increased a prosition Massively. Even Successful foreign ventures de treire of Solialists. Therefore, the foreign Policy of the Liberal State caused the most instability as it greatly increased Offosition and weakened the Library rosition. Also, as FASCISM, the Paray that took Power in 1922, rose due to wwi tre effect of Italy's foreign Policy was clear to See by Oct. 1972.

		nd quest	ion choice must be you change your m	on a dif ind, put		e chosen to answer 図. r first question choice. box 曇				
	Chosen Question Number:									
	Question 1	$\boxtimes$	Question 2	$\boxtimes$	Question 3	×				
	Question 4	×	Question 5	×	Question 6	⊠				
	Question 7	$\boxtimes$	Question 8	×	Question 9	⊠				
	Question 10	×	Question 11		Question 12	×				
			cond answer.)							
	1) Ame	ri Ca.	a Support	*******						
	- Tun declaration, 1966									
	- use of poner in the UN aid									
	- report to Palestine in 1946									
	2) Zionism									
	- Biltmore declaration									
	- Jewish lobby									
	- Lack of Amb body									
	3) Terrorism									
	- Murder of Lord Moone (stern gary)									
	- Bombing of Ha , 1946 Hayings									
	- Piots 1967 / Haganoh									
	4) British Policy									
	- Pro-Zionist Ballour + white Bar									
	- waited to lave									
	-led to creation.									
		***************************************		***************************************		The state of the s				
			rreichteitii prenamentti tuunamenitti ta		lači v v t v v semenáru v v v javenkamaje († 11 tr) jehový					
			***************************************		saatti tiinii					
-							J			

(This page is for your second answer.) Answer:

By 1948, Dovid Ben - Gurion was Prinz Minister of
an independent State of 15Gel. This may have seemed
a far may of at the end of Will Lonever the
increased suffert at the US and President Trumon
greatly aided the Zienists Position and was a Key (who
in the creation of 15Gel. However, the Jouish
Lone land also became a reality due to the Holocast
and Zionism, the increase in Terrorism from 1905-1968
and British Policy in the region.

In 1965 the Zionists cause lacked the influential some required to make Israel a relity. The British were no longer the global superflower they once had been and so their support didn't greatly aid the Zionists. In this way the Support of the US was crucial, as it gone zionists a means to make Israel a reality as America had the Power to help create a state in the attenuath of world. The Truman declaration of 1946 Shows the extent of Support the US gave and this Support gave weight to the Zionists demands in the UN. The American governments report on Palestine in 1946 also benefitted as they declared the best option was to create a Jewish state. This American booking Swing opinions in the UN as Country's followed American policies in order to receive the backing of the US of the Start of the Cold war. The Americans

(This page is for your second answer.) USE of Pover in the UN

Greatly Lelped advance the Zionists Gause and the US

Lad a great effect in a creating the landslide VKtos

for UN resolution 181 in 1947. The US Suffort

helped Create an independent Israel as the gave the

Jens the influential backing heeded to make usual a

reality. The US also gave aid to Zionists and this allowed

Jens to Solidify their Position in Palestine as Civil

War broke out in 1947.

However the Strengths of the Zionist movement skined Support so their effect on the creation of israel must also be examined. The Zionist gave a strong united movement that gained the SUPPORT OF GOVERNMENTS around the world. The gaining of this Supar mode the deams of an independent se wish homeland a reality and So Zonists gratis advanced the senish carso. The Biltune declaration of 1942 meant that US Politicians Started to back Z: on ism and by goining this suffert the Zionist movement had made the Jourish Love Good more likely. The Jewish Lobby in America was also used 60 great effect by the zionists as the used their influence to Swing the policies of us polificions So they increased the amount of Supart the zionist Cause had in America. The Zionists also used pologonia Very well using the Holocaust to bring Jewish Diastra

(This page is for your second answer.) to the world Stage. The zionist

Dobla ganda Saired the Support Zionism had with the

Public not just Politicians and so Zionism had with the

Mass Supported by 1947 due to the Zionists was of

the Holo Cast to day a homeland. The zionist cause

helped create the State of Israel as it suggested

Views of the Public and Politicians alike and gained

the influential Support needed to create a Jonish

Lomeland.

While the Zionists used Politics to tix to create lossel, many Jews in Palestine resorted to feverism to goin a hongland. In 1965 the Jewish Agency Sanctioned the Use of violence to dive Blitain from eles Palestine. The actions of the Sten gang reduced anti-zionist Voices in Other Countries through blackmail and ossessmony Such as the Murder of Lord Moyne in 1964. The bombing of British HQ in 1946 and the harging or British Soldiers by the Irgunia 1947, Eurned British Politicians and Public against renaining in Palestine and under fear of more affacks or murdes the British government Pulled out of Palestine. It is important to note the reason they let Palestine was due to the violence caused by Jewish terrorists not the SUPPART of the US for a Jewish Lonelaw. The violence in the Palestinian Civil war in early 1848 also helped

(This page is for your second answer.) Secure an independent Israel as

the Haganah book British reasons and military installation

needed to maintain Israeli interesterie in the 1968 mor.

Terrorism was infortant in the creation of Israel as

it drive the British from Palestine and left a few

Vacuum book would be filled with a Jewish booklood

in 1968.

finally as Britain held the mandate until 1967 there Policy in the region had an affect on the creation of Israel. The British had tended to be Pro-Zionist Prior to 1945 with the Dalfour delaration of 1917 Promising Jews a honeland and the white Paper of 1930 Confirming tiese aspirations. This meant Jewish immigration went unchecked for many years and this allowed Jeus 60 Strengthen their Position in the region increasing the call for a homeland in Islael. The British decision to end the mondate in 1967 may also trucial. without the British deciding to leave Palestine Islael would never to have been treated and So this decision was one of the most important factors in the creation of Israel in 1948. Therefore British Police aided the creation of the state of Israel as it save the was he force base needed to donord a State and their decision to leas Polestine in 1967 was the tigger for the creation of Islael.

(This page is for your second answer.)

While American Support for a home land greatly aided the creation of (Stope), the Strengt's of the Zionist movement were the most important factor in the creation of (State) in 1968. The Zionist workness was responsible for the Support from America and they also garnered support from America and they also garnered support than other influential Countries and this assured that resolution support for the hours love to the lack of and group Soorching for an Arely Lome land in fales fine Shows the Strength of Zionism and mithout this movement the Street of Issue mas never back been created. Zionism was the most important factor in the creation of a Jewish home land as it made the dean of a homelow, a realism.



Each of the paragraphs in these answers are connected to the previous points or develop the discussion being created. The paragraphs are well developed with appropriate and well selected material. The conclusions summarise the argument in the essays and weigh up the importance of the given factor while showing an understanding of change over time.



A good introduction will show an understanding of the focus and will establish the context of the time frame of the question. The introduction to Q5 clearly does all of these things briefly but with enough detail.

Grade Boundaries					
rade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this nk:					
http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx					

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481

Email <u>publication.orders@edexcel.com</u> Order Code US028130 June 2011

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit <a href="https://www.edexcel.com/quals">www.edexcel.com/quals</a>

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE





