



# Examiners' Report January 2011

# GCE History 6HI02 B





Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at www.edexcel.com.

If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our **Ask The Expert** email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link: http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/

Alternatively, you can contact our History Subject Advisor directly by sending an email to Mark Battye on <u>HistorySubjectAdvisor@EdexcelExperts.co.uk</u>.

You can also telephone 0844 576 0034 to speak to a member of our subject advisor team.

## **Results**Plus

ResultsPlus is Edexcel's free online tool that offers teachers unrivalled insight into exam performance.

You can use this valuable service to see how your students performed according to a range of criteria - at cohort, class or individual student level.

- Question-by-question exam analysis
- Skills maps linking exam performance back to areas of the specification
- Downloadable exam papers, mark schemes and examiner reports
- Comparisons to national performance

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit <a href="www.edexcel.com/resultsplus">www.edexcel.com/resultsplus</a>. To set up your ResultsPlus account, call 0844 576 0024

January 2011

Publications Code US026447

All the material in this publication is copyright © Edexcel Ltd 2011

#### Introduction

#### **General comments**

There were many good responses seen in this examination series, with many candidates achieving marks at Level 3 or above in all of the assessment objectives. Such candidates generally offered a considered analysis of the given source evidence, focused towards the demands of the questions, allied to strong contextual understanding and with effective deployment of well-selected own knowledge. Some of the issues raised in the summer report had been addressed. The purpose of these comments is to help to achieve further improvements in the levels of attainment.

1. There are three specific assessment objectives that are tested in this examination and it is important that candidates understand how these should be tackled in their responses to particular sections of the examination.

Question a requires the application of AO2a. This requires candidates to analyse, cross-reference and evaluate source material.

Question b requires the application of both AO1 (the selection and deployment of historical knowledge) and AO2b (the analysis and evaluation of how issues have been interpreted and represented, in relation to historical context).

An understanding of what each assessment objective tests would help candidates to focus their comments more securely. For example, candidates who spend considerable amounts of time exploring the provenance of sources in question b may very well be addressing AO2a which is not rewarded in this question.

- 2. Although there was a significant improvement in the understanding of the sources for question a, there remains a minority of candidates who would benefit from spending more time reading the sources to ensure that they understand both the content and the provenance fully. Many candidates have understood the requirement to cross reference, although they do not universally understand exactly how to do it. For some candidates it amounts to little more than merely asserting similarity or difference or matching up quotes from the sources.
- 3. In question b, many responses were characterised by a strong understanding of the sources and the issues that they related to. Examiners were impressed by the extent of own knowledge that a number of candidates brought to their arguments so that well argued responses were seen. It is important that candidates remember to integrate the sources and their knowledge and not to treat them discretely. There were however a number of scripts which demonstrated no knowledge beyond what was offered in the sources. Where this material was well used, it was possible to achieve top level 2 in AO1, but in many cases it was linked to limited understanding of the sources.
- 4. In both a and b questions, candidate should be encouraged not to approach their answers on a source by source basis. Where candidates take this approach in question a, they are unlikely to have engaged in substantial cross referencing throughout their answer. Where this approach is taken in part b, candidates may have addressed the key issues within the approach, but it is unlikely to be the most effective approach in enabling them to fully engage in an argumentative and analytical response, and thus is unlikely to achieve the top level.

#### Question 1 (a)

Candidates were able to demonstrate generally good cross referencing skills in their responses to this question. Most candidates were able to identify that source 2 offered some support for violence and most recognised that it also contradicted Grey when it talked about the control of the main crowd. Fewer candidates were able to recognise the subtle point about radicals wishing to give the impression of violence. Most candidates also saw that source 3 could be used for both support in the comment about violence potentially bursting forth, and challenge in the description of London being calmer than expected. Many candidates made reference to the sources' attributes. A number of candidates commented about the potential problem of Grey's letter being of less value owing to his aim of persuading the king to support reform although relatively few commented on the dating of the sources. Only the very best responses reached conclusions by explicitly taking the sources as a set and considering the weight that could be attached to them.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box $\boxtimes$ . If you change your mind, put a line through the box $\boxtimes$ and then indicate your new question with a cross $\boxtimes$ .
Chosen Question Number:
Question 1 🗵 Question 2 🖾
(a) Lord Grey in Some 1 is uniting to the King, William
IV uging him that partiamenty reform is necessary
to and revolution. The Count is written in 1830
a time before the violence connected with the
réjertion al tre réform bills, but shil extra
parliamenty preuse is ning The revolution in
Europe are a close memory & so the Kinsighoun
publical union has been formed Sources ?
23 ave faker from 1831 2 1832, both in hines
of exhane partinenty pressure here they
are likely to be suggesting the belief hard
Grey advacates that pallamenty reform is
redded to avoid a revolution Source 2 is written
by a radical Francis Place, he had set up the
Mahanal Reform Langue as was advacating

John Selieve is is occurring source 3 is written by a well hypomed pour which shows he was a sure of the first to which a result is about to occur. The Source set is from a wall ourge af a flephe which shows different areds of south to occur.

(a) continued)

away the hypically by the Sources.

Source I agrees with Source I hat faline
to respond to extra partiamenty preuse would
lead to revolution. Source I says how their is
a "universal feeling reform is neurousy this
is barred up ix Source I key the violence tabled
about in the has line Joune I also says that
blive for the gav to ast would deprive
them af all authority this again is support
in Source I ky the "violence". Beyond
av convol' historinae Grey believes in Source
I that the atmosphere would croute a
resplacion which is whantly continued
by the violence is Source I and the thread
if continued violence is the GRA' was further
obstructed. However chare I partially disagree

With South and Supplied the state of the sta

((a) continued)

Leprived of all auguary and make to

Lead with pop ourrage. Therefore Source?

purhally agrees with source Clost

pulsione to respond wand search close of

pulsione 3 postsally agrees with source

(were the issue of popular uporsing.

It mays that there is expectation of

popular uporsing which agrees with group

Hat public operation is shouthand guiset;

which implies that there is an expectation

af popular upority which partially agrees

with Source that they would force

a readurion? However Source 3 says row

Month Common State of State of

(a) continued)

Shiring Shirin



In this response, the candidate clearly understands the focus of the question, has engaged in cross referencing throughout and shows an awareness of the significance of the provenance. This is a strong level 3 response.

### Question 1 (b) (i)

This was by far the more popular of the two questions. The sources were generally comprehended, with most candidates identifying support for violence in Source 4's reference to physical force and Source 5's comment on there having been violence. Better responses developed the point about this losing support from the middle class and giving the government an excuse to be repressive and dismissive; this was often supported with comments on the rejection of the Charter and the use of force. The best responses were able to cross reference this with the information in source 5 about violent radicals being enemies and source 6's comment on the government's swift action at Kennington Common. This was supported in better responses by reference to the special constables and the development of the railways. Most candidates were able to use source 6 to reference other reasons for Chartist failure, such as the development of separate middle class and working class organisations. These were often developed to explain about the government passing social legislation which increasingly reduced working class fervour for Chartism. Most candidates also picked up on the point in source 6 about divisions in the leadership and were able to extend this on the basis o their own knowledge. The extent of own knowledge was widely variable. The best responses were very well informed and used this knowledge to extend the points raised in the sources; some candidates made use of virtually no own knowledge. Candidates should ensure that they read the question carefully this was not a question on did Chartism fail.

Answer EITHER part (b) (i) OR part (b) (ii) of your chosen question.
*(b) Do you agree with the view that in the years
1838-48, attempts to enact the Charter fulled
becase fle Chartists Political Campaigns were
Underwired by Chartist Violence? (4,516)
May Many Historians devide up the Chartist
movement into two comps, the Physical fale and
the moral face. The acts of violence used by Chartists

from the Physical force side arguidoly undermined the Chartest magnet & led to a faiture to enact the Six paints of the Charter. Sources 4 and 5 support the view, and argue that it gave the government on excess to appose one it. Sale 4 speaks of how the act of violence would undermine the marmont, that the immediate Consequence and the loss of respectable support, and a gift to the yarmount of perfect sustification for repressive altien. Sale 5 supports this view point by explaining how this slinke for the Charter would bring him, if those and Claimal to be its supported by the law. This included became the case with the New Port Rising of the Cealing up to the first Petition of 1839. Many Chartists energed in backs of violence with members of the special constability and the army when represented the government. However, sale 4 carries significantly more weight than sayle 5, as it is written with

((b) continued) hindsight about the events. Sace 5 of the other hand is a Sace within at the time of the events.

Coallyng a Speech used to pessule on adjects.

It can also be argued that the violence incorporated into the Chartist movement caused it to loose Supart, notably from the Middle Classes, which case it to fail to enell its Six paints. Saces 4 and G Supart this claim. Sacre 4 Speechs of how an immediate

Consequence of vidence wall be the 1055 of respectible supports. This view is mirrored in Sarle 6, & He Sarle States how its appeal was being undering This when decided to leave the movement all bythe to soin other organisations Such as the onti-Corn law league which Saynt to get the contains, repealed which Peel did to in 1846. Many of the middle classes possessed many and political some which was recessor, to exect effectent Supert on Porliament. Both Souries offer a balanced view and account of the events and this cornegue with the use of violence ensured that the working class did not goin the vote which is porty what the marement was about. Sals 4 and 5 Con Justify this organent. Sale 5 Speaks of how this Strike for the Charter would bring ruin, if... Supportes broke the law. The view is showed in where save 4 explains how Violence would be a

((b) continued) aft to the government of perfect justification for sepressive action. The argument here is that Violence would prove that the working class were not working of having the vote jinheal the government fewer enfanchisered more that the prospect of a revolution. This view is sistified by the overall failine of the Chartists along to impliment the 6 points to achieve success. Many

of the 6 points were eventrally implemented in chiting Expanshisement of the curring thess party by the 1867 refer Act where boroughs had working Unss enfunchisement. Infalt, representation in the counties increased by 134% in 1867 and by 40% in the canties peren though there was Still no waking class vote in the counting by 1867. Save 4 houever cornes Significantly more weight than Saise Seft as it is, is with a pescule on order It is also possible that Thomas Cooper was a meral force Chartist, whom apposed the use of violence, although this dain Cornot be fell, sustified. in the other hand, It can also be agreed that it was not Violence which undermined the marener, but other factors such as the relief of the economic depression. Saile & supports this view by Stating how the economic Crisis of 1847-48 gave it a find lose of life. Prior to this the econom was Stable and people had more and sabs. The A Social

((b) continued) tension Chart will reveal how People will
only seek for referm and change if they are in Need.
This can be justified by the decline of handloom weres
in the North-Their loss of its also the to machinem replaces
then to makinise profits is justification of how people
will support a cause when they are in Need.

Finally, it may be argued that it are infact the divisions amongst the political leaders of the novement which cousal the Chartist movement to fail. Sor 66 again ofes this alternative view point that indeed its appeal (the chartist Mannert) was being Cades. This view is not Shared by eithe Sources 4 or 5, source 4 telts mare of the effects of violence, as does 5. But the Point about the differences between Doniel O'Connois physical force and William Laetts mand forle ains of the Chartist movement Chalemining it is a volid ore. Lavetts disagreements are physical tractics led him to faril the National Association which looked to compaign for re fem independently. Indeed O'Conner con be Said to be battering buttling with himself at times over which methods to use in porticular at Kennington Common in 1848 O'Carror aus Son to buck dans fin using physical fate to impliment the Charte.

(16) continued) There are however some gops in the enderly
in portular, there is no nention of how further reform
by the operations of the market such
as the 1825 Minicipal Corporations All or the Fulley
Alt and 1836 abolition of Slaver. There is also
no mention in the Sales of how exertically all 5 points
Of the Charter were in planented all bor annual general
plettics.

Violence did cooler mine the marginant and coused it to fail Alts of violence Such as the New Part Risingard riots Such as that in the Bir mingham Bull ring proced to the experiment that the chartist marginant did not deserte to have its prints implemented. However, I believe that a collection of other fulles were such as it not more significant such as the growth of Trade Union, the Stength of the government and be dissins amongst the leader. The views in the such a could be dissins amongst the leaders. The views in the such a could be dissins amongst the leaders. The views in the such as the could be comed that violence was themsel imparted fit. Saile by Carios made weight that Saile leaders.



This candidate clearly understands the question focus and presents an argument which integrates both the sources and own knowledge to produce a clearly argued response. Level 4 in both AO1 and AO2a

### Question 1 (b) (ii)

This was not a popular question and tended to be either very well done or poorly done. Most candidates were able to use the point in source 7 about Tamworth and in source 9 about Disraeli to show that political power had shifted to the political parties. The weaker responses often misinterpreted source 8, failing to see that it said little had really changed. The best responses were able to recognise that change occurred but that it took a long time to take effect, as suggested by source 9. Weaker responses offered very little in the way of supporting evidence, with a few unable to make any comments on political parties. The best responses made reference to the impact of 1832 on the organisation, structure and methods of political parties, such as the Carlton Club, the Lichfield House Compact and developed the point in source 4 about the Tamworth Manifesto and the Tory party. This was balanced by evidence of limited change in the power of the aristocracy, with mention of the continuation of small boroughs, limited contesting of elections and minimal changes in the background of MPs.

*(b) (i i )	839 - Peul coudrit get majority
	Pocket boroughs left
(911111) III III III III III III III III I	May MPs from andocracy
	Defeat of lards m 1832
	Reaching out to elected by pulys - Returnab
in and a second	Step in Right Drection
1115	Conflictus
Plii) I	don't agree with the view that the 1832 reform ace. The rule of the Crown and the Lords with that of the
replaces	The rule of the Crown safe Lords with that of the
Parties &	ona he électors. Pere Certainly was more demorsage in
England	after 1832 and he electors had more of a role to
Play in	Government but overall I feel that with many pocket
powal	s left in England and he huge influence of the crown
and to	Anstorcacy That the even after 1832 he crown and
he Lora	Anstorcacy that the Even after 1832 the Crown and Is had control of the government
***************************************	The state of the s

There is a case that the people and Parties had incressed influence it after 1832. A prime example would be the king being unable to det feel as prime minister in 1834. The King forced Melbourne out of Power and attempted to appoint feel however despite the king's endenous he couldn't get a majority Source 7 is part of Paci's Tornibith manifesto

((b) continued) from he 1834 electron and in it the talks of he reformact making he Choice of government dependent on the electors and not the Crown. This Supports he congressed theo fact That the king couldn't get Peel elected in 1834. Source 7 Says he government was he chow of he people a not he king and he electron of 1834 Seems to prove 1200 With Peel, he Kings Chac, not getting a majority. The defeat of the Lord's in 1832 is also Significant. The Lords despite their book efforts to black reform were unable to do so and so had to On accept the reform act. This is also on example of house Lords was losing some of its inchesa Source 9 is a more moder day view on reform and it says By 1859 both Lords and manarchy had accepted a limited Constitutional role. This supports to argument that he Lorais Were less influental then before 1832. Although Source 9 does rie talk of his happening until 1859. This hints that after 1832 he Lords and crown did Still have influence Which can be shown in the 1834 electron as although

Le did & not get a majority peel's conservatives aided by the King Proted up near 100 Seats Which would Suggest that the Crown the Still had significant influence in the political system.

Another example of the perinfluence hadout to the people by the 1832 act is farty organisation. After 1832 Parries become more formal organisations rather than too loose bonds of Mps. They also Started Setting up local associations.

(b) continued) to lack out to woter who has now had to be

agistored. He conservatives set up the Carlton Club with Bonton

to as secretary. This is showing that actually talking to

and appelling to the electorates was now important and

was an example that he parties considered the people to be

more important after 1832. Source 7 Shows this with the

Tamworth manifesto which we the first form of puty

Interchine to influence wotes. Although source 8 seems to think

That the concept of party programme and a marchate from

the election had little significance on Mps. It also talks of

little loyally to their leaders as hown by the domise of

Peel after the Fetraction repeal of the can laws.

Nere use may feelts with 1832 that would suggest the larces had increasing still had significent influence in the Commons. The 70 pocket borough were laft allowed larch Who owned hem to select they M.ps. Thus giving them influence in the Commons. Also with Mps in 1832 having to own property to guility and having to be ruch to support themselves as Mps were un paid, many Mps who from the Anstocracy of hard links to the Anstocracy meaning but the lords and the cross Still had influence over them and as a result the commons After 1867 Porties appealing to the electroste and having to win electrons was commonlike but between 1832 and 67 the system had charged that much as source 8, a fairly modern viewpoint, says. It

party programes and mondains from he electorates workenot important. However thesis contracted by Source a talking of the power of the electorate before 1867. He stresses the impostance of the electors and talks of 1868 Which we before 1867 came into effect. He take of Disculi stopping and with defected in failing is un an election rather has hanging an until defected in failurement which therefore thous be took it. That he people had not elected to him ance be therefore shall also changed with Source 8 in 1966 and Source 9 in 1985.

Changed with Source 8 in 1966 and Source 9 in 1985.

Source 7 Which was on published only 3 years after
the act Seems to Completely think that reform put the
power note the hords of the people bleenes Sources & colored
Thinks it stayed pretty much the Same with only the
anothering a into a about fractions in Parliament competing
with each other. Source a later then both source \$70
and & then saw Stresses the importance of 1832 and how
it limited to raile of the bords and the Monarch
Source & minimises the role of the partys after 1832 by
tilking of anstaration fractions return to partys and we know tho
to be true to an extent. Before 1832 particle works were
Clear are with group bands of M.ps bieng used to make
a government. Eg. Wellington in 1830 baving the vitras, the

((b) continued) & tests has a point after 1832 as although party

Organization now began both in Constitutiones and in the Commons

Ne ownall effect was little and it took 1867 for the NUCCA

and he NLF to be evablished Which is a better sign that

he for parties now thought he electrosete was the main

force in electroses

Overall I don't feel their 1832 was he act that passed he power to the people and to the parties. I do & think that it was a Step in he right direction and that provisionaly it had an effect overall he Lords and he crowns influence was still fresent Up to 1884 in Some Coses. In the tems of he question all sources agree that he power and influence Of the Monorchyand Lords were eventrally curtated and passed to be party 5 and the electrogate but after 1832 he two armount of pocket boroughs Shill arround and be money they had to rivest in mps and elections mont that Rur rafluerce was Still rife. Sources 7 and 9 Seem to Think that his power chargeover happened before 1867 Where Sance 8 feels That 1867 was he act that gave pomer to the electricate. But overall Uple 1832 had on impact it Still left a lot of work to do in terms of the poguland The pales being more importent than the Lords and the Manarchy



This candidate demonstrates a secure grasp on a range of relevant details that relate to this question. These are used in conjunction with the sources to construct a clear line of argument. AO1 receives level 4. AO2a receives level 3 as the sources sometimes appear not to be fully integrated and developed.

#### Question 2 (a)

Some candidates failed to explicitly cross reference the sources. They often simply took each in turn and addressed if it indicated change. The best candidates recognised that sources 10 and 12 reflected similar views on the poor and therefore very little had changed. Most could see that source 11 was evidence of a changed attitude. The very best commented on how whilst change was coming, it was slow and limited. Comments on provenance were often simplistic, although better responses recognised the significance of the Smiles' book being a being a bestseller. It is not appropriate for candidates to treat the sources as if they were friends; not only did a number of candidates talk about David and Samuel (no surnames) but one even referred to David as 'Dave.'

				ross in the box ⊠. If you change your new question with a cross ⊠.
Chosen Question N	Number:			
	Question 1	×	Question 2	×
	10	is a	n extra	act from
the boo	k 1 princ	iples of	Polifical	Economy and
Taxation)	Publisho	d in	1817	David Ricardo
had va	rious	1 deas	about	ke foor Lau
Amendment	- act	1834 .		
He bele	ved ll	nat lle	Post (	Juld help
				he owned
				the poor
			/	essing on hon
		1 .	/ .	even mough
1.				from a book
				ruade he
				h action.
				y Should
				A copper
				fet and
' Not	look gu	to a	coretey	tk hen

undomines the foot for Amendment

He act 1834 by the saying that

no 'amendment' to the act will

not work or suffice (unless the

Ulmate objection is their about on)

((a) continue	d) Source		(s o	a ext	act
for	Punch	magazire	' this	Seurce	Could
be	Valuble	OF not	beau	se It	(salt
Mas	formal	as	a rec	sporer-	
	Henry				
Paor	al .	tre begi	nnyary	of the	
ex tra	et Stops	that	he	Las not	
ميه	re Of	te 'h	ottors)	of the	foor
flicat	lay	orly	a Sec	yards'	assy!
He	even	Scial 1	hat he	'had i	ic idea
	that f				
	eled n				
te	question	(Hou s	Should w	L' Sugge	strug
	their				
	res ku				
	, no				
Poor	and (	let al	are Cons	iden' heif	eng
Gum		Source			~
altilu		rds h			
upper			*		

((a) continued) Source 12 15 a valubre
Source because it was from a
best-soller book called self help tublished
in 1854. The name of he 6000
Usself can que us a hunt that
it's about belong getting paupes to
help fremzelves.
The opening Sentine Suggestis that
be poor need not seek help from
oners' but rather help4 themselves. That
Soutence Can be luced back to
Source lo in which David Suggest's
be some hing:
9
Smile's sura Suggests that people Should
not even here the poor but make
bum Comporativley helpless. He also regas
to ones wine by Suying (men) Suggesting
that here is no equality between
aum.
In Conclusion 1 Believe that each Some
has some points of good affitude towards
has some points of good attitude towards be poor but mostly bad attitudes.



This demonstrates a weak response to question a. The candidate goes through each source in turn and has not fully understood all of the sources. In places, this verges on level 1 work but it finally nudges into level 2 on the basis of its final page where it shows some understanding of the provenance of source 12 and makes a limited attempt to cross reference.

### Question 2 (b) (i)

Candidates were fairly equally divided between the two questions, with slightly fewer answering this one. Some candidates struggled with the concept of 'sound principles' although most candidates were able to make some valid points in relation to the question focus based on their understanding of the sources. Thus, most were able to use source 13's comments on the lowering of costs and source 14's comments on deterring the undeserving poor to support source 15's views that the New Poor Law was seen as 'progressive and praiseworthy'. Most candidates were also able to discern the disagreement in source 15's comments on modern views which was often supported by reference to the workhouses and the Andover scandal. Candidates were able to explore the implications of 'less eligibility' and the harsh conditions such as separation of families on the basis of their own knowledge. The best responses explained the key problems such as cost, lack of centralisation, waste and corruption, demotivation of labourers and then assessed how each of these were addressed and if sound principles were applied. Some responses made effective references to the continuation of outdoor relief in the North and failure to build workhouses in many areas.

Answer EITHER part (b) (i) OR part (b) (ii) of your chosen question.
*(b) 15.000000000000000000000000000000000000
i) As source 14 suggests it can be said
that the New Poor Law applied 'sound
principles' to sellows problems, on some
ocasions this may be the case
The Old Poor Your created many
problems. For Instance, the Speenhamland
system encouraged irresponsible attitudes to family
and mamage Because the parsh would
provide money for poupers so they never
fall to Fax into destation that they
starve, paupers would be care-less with how
many children they had and how many
by their families were as they knew
the partsh would provide them with
more money the bigger the family 50

they would never starre the New Poor
Law thed to tache this by previding
less extdoor relief and instead maring
able-bodied parpers work in workhouse
to gain their relief the situation of
putting able-bodied poor into the workhouse
also helped the problem of 'alle ar
vagrant' poor as in order to gain
relief they would have to go into

((b) continued) the workhouse singleing out those who desperately needed the relief and hould go into the warrhouse Another consequence of the old Poor Law was that it lowered the morale of those who would work hard for their uving that because of scripion emplayers who would pay them minimum wage for labour as they knew the parts would make up their wages, would still end up with the same amount of money as an idle powper who may have worked little or none but the pailsh would give them money to meet the standard appletine. Hard workers were no better aff than iale-poor. The New As law brand about the idea of new eligibility and

that the paper show not be so evalue

as the station of the lowest day' expressed

in source of the lowest day' expressed

in source of the lowest day' expressed

and principle' of the way Fox Coop

enrowaged the idea of "less eligiblety"

and tacked this paperns

The cost of the old pox law

ups also a major patern that

((b) continued) the sound principles of the Wew Pour Law Ladued Source B shows that after the Poor law reform act of 1834 the cost of poor relief degreased significantly. It had gone from '95 8d' in 1838 the year before the amendment act to '65 2d' several years after This valueable use of statistics shows how cost effective this new reform Act was so it tacked the problem of cost the old for law brought about. On the other hand source 15 has a negative outlook on the New Poor law aggesting that it and not apply sound principles and solutions Although it gages that contemporary victorian Britan

Progressive and passessithy and fishers

Progressive and passessithy and fishers

when how some that modern historian

disagree it agrees that source B the

figures showing cost decrease and source

let that implies the new poor law

aftered sound principle' may have been

correct at the time but animal source

15 "modern historians thaght the Poor

law reform was a terrible, ghatty misake

((b) continued) Siggsting it didn't apply to principe;
for the better

In conclusion, in the victorian

Elme era it was believed the New

Poor law applied sound principles

aich as less-eligibility, self-help

and responsible attitudes but modern

historians differ in their news however

I believe that it did tadde many

prodems of the old Pair law



This response does sufficient to achieve level 3 in both AO1 and AO2a, although it is stronger in AO1 than in AO2a. There is a secure and sustained question focus with some use made of the sources, although this is not always sustained through the answer.

### Question 2 (b) (ii)

Candidates were fairly equally divided between the two questions, with slightly more answering this one. This was a relatively straightforward question although it was not always well done. Most candidates identified support for lack of knowledge in source 16 which could be countered or supported by reference to source 18 depending on the approach taken. Source 17 was used by most candidates to identify the existence of opposition to centralisation in general and Edwin Chadwick in particular. Better responses also identified opposition to centralisation in source 18. Source 18 was also used by many candidates to identify cost as a factor. The strongest responses demonstrated detailed own knowledge that was used to support the line of argument whilst the weakest responses were supported with vague references to miasmic theory and cholera, and opposition to Chadwick. Some candidates did not fully take on board the chronological parameters of this question.

Answer EITHER part (b) (i) OR part (b) (ii) of your chosen question.
*(b)(ii) Source 16 18 rather valuable
because it was a report by the Scritch
engineer to the Whitehour Board of Hally Robert
Reulisson
The deathoute in Whitehaen had risen
to 49 per 1000' Union made & Robert
Ralmon Lout to mestigate at the
State of Knings and inspect the Poorer
forts of he tour which was a
good idea because the majority or if
not all of those dealers were due
to desage and usulary environments.
The people to be asked to accompany
him deduced on the basis that frequency
had no idea of the State of things!
Which Shows the Lack of knowledge
they had. But then there to Robert

says he had browledge of every how tour be usited and of book everyor bestels 'nodeed gullene' did not know of the 'uretcledness and misery' because of meantery Conditions. That shows hat he Board of Health must

((b) continued) GE had knowledge about the insulary Conditions: Source 17 is a very valuable Source because it was from the Times news poper, which had constartly challenged the Board of Health and Edwar Chadwick's idias. The first Sentence & highlights H15 behavior failure and frees to imply that it Should hever of Censa die to the behavioral 1saces of inspectors. But this could be one sided because most of the reads would be apprelasinen and and rategagers, and as a newspear it would fry to appeal to be readers. Ratepayers

deda4	Lat	he Local	Board	of Health,
because	ıt	Lould	nale po	Les payman
trates	more	(expensi	V.	
				Eduin Chadwide
1-		70	)	ashing and splashing
9				
made	hen	Cry	The face	that Mr
Chadu	ick 3	es about	icipru	rne Public booth
				~

((b) continued) Lias haved Course of it.
Source 18 18 on extract from a
Les Lines was published in 1984,
Lay after the Public health epidance.
The first Sentence suggests that instactled Of tack of lendholder it was the
Scale and Cost that created  Problems for the improvement of
Public Health. And also the fact of
ment that 'enforcement' cas a problem
for the liberty of Some people:

But	then H	e Corrie	on.	to	Sex
					ugl of
local	Self	a overm	4)	that 1	anoundere
o J	ke	problem	bena	n to	STELL
C.S.	Scotte	sh #	Evained V	doctors	ware
					Cilios
		-			officer3
					and part
					1 a Send
of-	Knowledge	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		proude	d for

((b) continued) b	2 garment in the years to
	in the early years of Public
beause	people did not went their lines. As
Puldice 1	by people because 4 world
ween and	Mat rates would go also people vere sust so
disease	and medicated to over believe exsisted. People Stored to appose Chadwick and his ideas
	region. And because people

vere	So disgusted by poor oreas
	investigations look place which
ment	no knowledge was there:
But	be reform of Public health did
CONTE	about due to the knowledge
found	by do dos and medical officers
And	because he true of Laisser ferre
Las	over everything has Compulsion so
here	was no apposition towards it
***************************************	



This response integrates sources and own knowledge quite effectively to engage in an analytical response. It is level 3/4 margins for both AO1 and AO2a

## **Grade Boundaries**

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link: <a href="http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx">http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx</a>

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publications@linneydirect.com</u> Order Code US026447 January 2011

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750 Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH





