



Examiners' Report January 2011

GCE History 6HI01 E





Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at <u>www.edexcel.com</u>.

If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our **Ask The Expert** email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link: http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/

Alternatively, you can contact our History Subject Advisor directly by sending an email to Mark Battye on <u>HistorySubjectAdvisor@EdexcelExperts.co.uk</u>.

You can also telephone 0844 576 0034 to speak to a member of our subject advisor team.

ResultsPlus

ResultsPlus is Edexcel's free online tool that offers teachers unrivalled insight into exam performance.

You can use this valuable service to see how your students performed according to a range of criteria - at cohort, class or individual student level.

- Question-by-question exam analysis
- Skills maps linking exam performance back to areas of the specification
- Downloadable exam papers, mark schemes and examiner reports
- Comparisons to national performance

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit <u>www.edexcel.com/resultsplus</u>. To set up your ResultsPlus account, call 0844 576 0024

January 2011

Publications Code US026439

All the material in this publication is copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ Edexcel Ltd 2011

Introduction

General Comments

As in previous examination sessions the candidates for Option E were, in general, very well prepared for each topic studied. Candidates are increasingly aware of the need to answer the question asked rather than write about the question themes in general but there is still some need to focus more on the key words. Supporting evidence is generally secure but candidates often do not select the most relevant or appropriate material, and there is still a disappointing lack of chronological awareness and an apparent lack of confidence in using dates. At the lower Levels, however, there was a tendency towards the description and explanation more appropriate to GCSE than has been seen before.

The scripts reflected the full range of levels. Most students wrote between three and five pages for each answer, though a small number were unable to write more than a few Level 1 sentences or paragraphs. The overwhelming majority of students followed instructions, writing their answers on the appropriate pages and indicating the question number in the appropriate box. Legibility was generally not an issue, and, where it was, this seems to have been about the colour and type of pen used.

Students understood the questions but some key words and phrases were misread, misunderstood or misinterpreted. Some candidates found difficulties with focussing directly on 'economic grievances' in Question 1 and 'political problems' in Question 5 apparently assuming that the question referred to grievances and problems in general. As has been commented on before, many candidates find it difficult to discriminate between political, economic and social factors or themes. Responses to the very popular Question 6, in particular, often failed to focus on the key phrase of 'growing power' assuming that the question referred to growing support.

Most candidates were able to identify and evaluate at least some key points. However, some scripts, especially for questions 7, 9 and 11 were conspicuous by an absence of appropriately selected factual evidence. In such scripts, worthy points were often supported by very thin detail and candidates of often resorted to repetition. As suggested above, however, there were more Level 3 scripts this session with a tendency towards adequate narrative supported by brief commentary.

Some responses, although showing obvious evidence of knowledge, could only access Level 3 and in many cases Level 2, particularly Question 7, because of a misreading of the time period referred to in the question. For Question 1 some responses focused on the whole revolutionary period up to 1848-9, some responses to Question 3 assumed an end date of 1871 and many Question 6 responses only focused on events up until 1922. The most significant misreading of dates, however, occurred in Question 7 where a significant number of candidates appeared to ignore the dates 1931-36 completely in favour of a discussion of the event of the Spanish Civil War.

Future candidates might consider whether a generalised 'prepared' opening is an effective way to focus on the question set with only 35 minutes available to plan and write a response. A large number of answers began by repeating the question set, prefacing this with 'To a certain extent I agree that...'. Others declared that 'many historians' agreed with the point made in the question, but were never able to substantiate their claim. Better answers avoided these approaches, producing their own opening statement which usually suggested individual confidence and a personal viewpoint.

It is highly recommended that centres read the reports and exemplification documents produced for the other Options available in 6HI01.

E1 The Road to Unification: Italy, c1815-70

Once again this was a popular and well-taught topic. Although there were more descriptive style Level 3 answers than have been seen in past sessions, candidates have very good knowledge and are well-prepared to answer questions on all aspects of the unification process.

Question 1

This was attempted by relatively few candidates. The best answers were able to refer to both the general economic grievances which developed in Italy during the 1840s and more specific references to the situation in Lombardy, Venetia and the Kingdom of the Two Sicilies; these were then balanced against other relevant factors usually with some consideration of the different causes in different parts of Italy. Some candidates, however, wrote about the causes of Italian revolutions in general or wrote weak narratives of the events of 1848-9.

Question 2

This was by far the most popular question and was, in general, answered very well indeed with a secure focus on the extent to which France was an obstacle to the unification process. Even Level 3 narrative responses showed a sound knowledge of events and were able to access the higher bands. Most candidates were able to identify examples of both help and hindrance to create a well-balanced answer. However, many responses chose to concentrate on the middle years of the time-period with only a brief reference to the events in Rome of 1849 and a cursory mention of the withdrawal of troops in 1870. There was no requirement to refer to other significant obstacles to Italian unification but many were able to compare effectively. Too many candidates wrote whole essays referring to the 'obsticles' to Italian unification.

This is an example of a low Level 5 response that directly addresses the question asks, explicitly understands the key issues and reaches a judgement.

Put a cross in the box indicating the FIRST question you have chosen to answer ⊠. If you change your mind, put a line through the box ⊠ and then put a cross in another box ⊠.							
Chosen Questic	on Numb	er:					
Question 1	×	Question 2		Question 3	×		
Question 4	\square	Question 5	\mathbf{X}	Question 6	\boxtimes		
Question 7		Question 8	\times	Question 9	\boxtimes		
Question 10		Question 11		Question 12	\boxtimes		
(This page is fo							
y y	es: fri	ench gamisu	nin R	ime 1849.			
	NO	preen did r	wa	nt a too un	fred Italy-		

NO: Lonihardy recieved in 1859 - Austran war. Poustro-Prussian war-veneria in 1866. 1870-Remie also parce of Icaly-France-Prussianuar.

2. France's involvement in the unification of Italy played a maijor role, and especially during the years 1848-70, France had played a mee chicial part in Italy or many of the important wars were carried out during this time period. As for the quertion, to unat extent did France's involvement an obstacle to the unification of Italy, it can be said mat france was responsible for causing the Reman Republic in 1849 and therefore proving to be an obstacle and also Nappleon's intentions of not alloning that to be come too unified, especially geographically where is also unified, as an obstacle. Nerverer, They France was also one of

(This page is for your first answer.) the main reasons as to how Lumbardy, Venetia and then eventually nome become part of the new Kindgum of Italy.

Firstly, the French get were to blame for not all ming unification of Italy to progress variage after the 1848 revolution. When the revolutionaries had successfully taken over the Papal Stales and forced the Pope to free from Reme, they set up a provisional government and a Roman Republic. This was to be a very crucial point in

promaking progress Towards the cause of Italian unity. The people had wanted this and had finally Fought ruces of your for mein dreams to come to fruitihon. Nonever, then areany were chushed when France untration in reinforgement marched into neme and got rid of the Remain Republic. In Micase, France were very much knercarosa of un analge of throng und hack the oppressive nue of the Catheric Church. They stationed a gamison in Rome where they didn't leave until 1870 in order to protect the pope minuar a mapir obstacle towards the unification of Italy as nationalists usere net strong energy to fight this foreign influence in their country & thus made them singgle harder. This involvement by France had lengthened the unification process as who knows that perhaps untre Pope Pius IX and of the city, they may have should

(This page is for your first answer.) a chan a of speeding up unification in mare peaceful, les voolent manner.

More over, aneme obstacle unich hance had presented started off unen Italian Prime Minister Cavour had met Enperer of France : Naperean in a secret meeting at Plombières. This neeting formed an alliance between the two commes in 1858. Annange for most parts of the neeting, trance had told Italy hat it would help them drive ant he Ausman influence in Italy armey

where the traditional eveny of the thrench , throng Napoleon also made sure that thay not get too unified to that they may pose a preal to prance thele. When Cavour and Napoleon avewup a plan in what he new kind gromest Italy should appear geographically, he made sure he states were still divided as one united laby could beame overponential and dangenous. The proved on Obstacle in the process of Italian unification as anahonalists saw one geographically united Italy an alof shonge and Superor man a divided one. Nowever as it was made certain by France that the would with appen it showed that althings France were using to get not of Austrian influence in Italy, may were not prepared to make Italy too self sufficient because periape Napricon had an of idea of replacing mismion influence in Italy with Mench.

(This page is for your first answer.) powerer, mere were anumber of occasions between 1848-70 where France had not proven hemselver as an onstructe to Italian unification but instead had help a cheere Italian impraition. In 1859, when Piedmont and went owar with Austria, France had beent t agneed to side with Haly so that they would recieve have how human donnol Lombardy and result: & By providing the moore needed for this way noop France escentially led Italy to notiony in the way. This incident cannot be labelled a Prance

hindering Utalyan unpeakon ar it was Napeleen's careful and clever regoriations that made Anima agree to handing tembady over in the Armina of Villafrance. Afrance had contained Lombady thorough this armistice and then ceded it to Utaly to save Anima face. France can be seen as the near reason of Haly's inclosing in the way, as interest their help, the Utalians invold have been so arthumbered that it may here used a Italy even ters unpeak that it may here made Italy even ters unpeak to be before. Therefore, France was not an opstracte to the Italian unphiation an it allowed Anon-controlled Lombady to be given back to Italy without theman intervention.

Furnemore, in the Auman - Phissian war, France had very intelligently negonated with bith sides of the so that no nietle union country work, Prance and therefore

(This page is for your first answer.) That would make gains . Avance told Austra hat if they remained neutrous in the new then, they would have to give up Vendia to me french who hould comprequently hand it to Italy. He men and the same thing with Prussa and gained Venetia in 1806 uner Phissia won the War. Venetice was added to the Kingdom of Italy at which king Notor Emmanuel I warking head of France in this occasion also had show that may mene not above obstacle to Itulian unification

but by caneful dealing, and negotiations could obtain back parts of Italy and were prenously heres whent even being involved many kird of bloodbam. Sinulary, Italy had neceved Rome in 1870 (intherit insholming in a war men one franco-Prussan war hleant mat French reinforcements were needed to the uithdrawal of the mench gamison in Rome was important Rime was finally added to the unified Italy and could be declaned as one sugre arinhy togethe. Again, the greiten of hero Rome was united with Traly don'the answer of france alloring it to happen. The withdraval of their hopps wearnt hat the annuar could now be free furn oppressore rule on the tope backward, reachenary Pope Pius IX was no longer the head. France wanto be again credited her alluring the one last addition of Italy to be unpled with the rest-of he king dure, by helping hat hindering un prahou of Italy

(This page is for your first answer.) In conclusion, it can be it hongy Stated hat the French involvement in the years 1848-70 in Half war not an obstacle to Etrahan unification. on the town 1859 Automanwar, This ho-Prissian War of 1866 and French removal of the Kgarneim in Keme & The all be major contributors to a unified - atleast geographically united Waly. There may have been some instances, such as the frushing of the Keman Republic

in 1849 and limitations to unifying Italy at the Pimbiers eeting in 1888 with proved as an obstracte to unification [kily but overall Brance's involvement as an ally to s orienshadowed by US henderig Halian



This response directly addresses the extent to which France was an obstacle to Italian unification across the whole period. The candidate clearly addresses the ways in which France was and was not a hindrance to unification through developed, detailed and secure paragraphs. The question does not require a discussion of alternative obstacles and the approach taken here allows for the key issues to be developed more fully across the time period. There are some weaknesses in written communication but the script is a low band response.



Use the opening sentences of paragraphs to build on from one another creating a discussion which directly addresses the question.

E2 The Unification of Germany, 1848-90

As with Italian Unification this is a popular and well-taught topic to which most candidates bring detailed knowledge. There were, again, more descriptive answers than have been seen in the past but the knowledge was usually secure and able to reach Level 3. Candidates show increasing awareness of the inter-relatedness of the key factors involved in the process of German unification.

Question 3

Very few candidates attempted this question. A few candidates misread the end date of the question leading to poor responses but several candidates produced analytical and well thought out answers which were interesting to read and achieved the higher Levels. There was some good discussion of the concept of 'progress' and the extent to which the revolutionary years created the foundation for future events.

Question 4

This was overwhelmingly the most popular question for this topic. There were some narrative-led responses and a significant minority which mentioned the three significant wars briefly but failed to discuss their contribution. It is vital in teaching both 'unification' topics that the chronology of events is made clear, as weaker candidates often make valid analytical and explanatory points but confuse the events. Many responses showed a very good understanding of how the different factors influencing the unification were inter-related. The best were able to suggest, with supporting evidence, that the military success of Prussia was only possible through its economic superiority over Austria combined with the diplomatic machinations of Bismarck. However, many responses were unable to move out of Level 4 because of the generalised and, often assertive, nature of the comments about the way in which factors were inter-related. It is not enough to say that there was no 'blood shed without iron' there needs to be supporting evidence focused on the comparative significance of the given factor. The very best answers also referred to the specific territorial and political gains made at each point of the unification process, for example, the creation of the North German Confederation in the wake of Austrian defeat.

This is a high Level 4 answer. It focuses on the question well with a clear understanding of the key issues but some of the points lack development.

Put a cross in the box indicating the FIRST question you have chosen to answer ⊠. If you change your mind, put a line through the box 经 and then put a cross in another box ⊠.							
Chosen Questio	on Numb	er:					
Question 1		Question 2	×	Question 3	×		
Question 4		Question 5	\mathbf{x}	Question 6	×		
Question 7	×	Question 8	×	Question 9	\boxtimes		
Question 10		Question 11	\boxtimes	Question 12			
(This page is fo	r your firs	tanswer.) Mili < IN Unisyi	tari ing G	y force we recommend and	s of course A without		

deseating Austria and France some may argue that Unigication would have been impossible due to the Intergerence of the two European powers. However other important sactors contributed towards Unisication in 1871, such as the diplomatic Skills of Otto Von Bismark, Vask & onomic progress Lue to an Mindustrial Revolution and a dramatic Increase in the rise of Nationalistic feelings and Hill German Identity. The Use of military force during the years 1862-71 cannot be underestimated as War Minister Roon and General Man Moltke led Prussia to I three comprehensive victories during this period enabling Unisication. Prussia's superior guns made by their arrament industry kRUPP gave them a huge advantage over their evenies and led to the victories, notably in 1888 at

(This page is for your first answer:) - the battle is a Sadowa where they faced an evenly matched Austria but the superior Leopons proved decisive. REASSING ALL three Wars gave Prussia a larger stranghald on the consideration which eventually allowed for Unification the convention of Gastein following Austria and Prussian tripmph over Denmark come the decision

to split the Ming of sheswig Holstein resulting In Prussia gaining the German speaking shlesicity in which was a step towards uniquing the Germans people as one United nation. The Seven Weeks War with Austria was also a crucial victory for the Prussians as His allowed them to exper Austria from the Consederation and steer Germany towards. a kleindeutichland Unisication Unigication however could not be achieved while tonce until France had been deseated. due to Emperar Napoleon III'r sears of an energing Central European Power dominating France and more Importantly ruining his legacy as Napoleons nephers Bismark, appointed in 1862, purple the was extremely institution in winning these Wars

(This page is for your first answer.) In my opinion however, these Wars would not have been withouted and won with such essiciency and decisiveness had atto von a Bismarck not been appointed chies minister 10 862 80 Bismarcks secret meeting as Biarititz in 1865 with Napoleon III was a tuge success in ensoring the soll support og the french in a war core with Austria French neutrality in the event of an Austro- Prossian ward and can's accur

Bismarch also connight intervened in the Hohenzollen crisis of 1808 - 1870 by changing. the EARS telegram sent to him by king Fredrick Onti- French seelings in Germany and mathematic anger France Into Declaring Walnut Prussia: The 2011/LeRein, created in 1834 to abolish trade barriers in the consederation was a total Success and this eventually led to an industrial in revolution in thossia during the 1850's this In the Industrial revolution ment resulted in a power shigt sover the consederation from the Austrians to the forstand PRISTIANS due to their AS well as the Failways that had been built throught the consederation with the exclusion of

(This page is for your first answer.) Aus Kia. All these economic successes resulted in Prussia being able to deseat phikially Austria and the France in the 1870's. In condusion I believe that while unisication could not have been adjested the without the military. militaristic brilliance of the Prussian leaders in deseating Dermark, Austria and Franke. This could not have been achieved without the combined essarts and successes of Bismarck's diplomatic

ploits and the prossion Prussian/German ourishing economy due to the Introduction of exp A gave PNS verein L *Resources* with and FOR Waging Wa deseating ation 5



This is a well focused answer which has a clear understanding of the contribution of military force and shows the connection between war and the expansion/unification of territory under Prussia. The answer goes on to develop several other factors with some attempt at integration. However, the explanation could be more developed with more detaild supporting evidence.



Introduction and conclusion are important. In the introduction try to put the question into context and to outline the key issues to be discussed whilst in the conclusion come to a judgement using the argument outlined in the body of the essay.

E3 The Collapse of the Liberal State and the Triumph of Fascism in Italy, 1896-1943

This was a very popular topic which results in responses reflecting the whole range of levels available. It has been a pleasure to see candidates increasingly willing, able and confident enough to tackle questions on the 1896-1914/5 period; it is now only rarely that responses to these questions focus on Mussolini. Many candidates do, however, continue to make insecure statements about the number of Prime Ministers who governed Italy over this period. Many of the textbooks refer to the period from 1870-1914/15 as whole and it may be necessary to identify specifically the situation in 1896 at the beginning of the course rather than refer to general statements about the post-unification Liberal State.

Question 5

Although not as popular as Question 6, a considerable number of responses were answered on the pressing political problems of Liberal Italy. Most candidates were able to identify the general, if not specifically political, problems facing Italy in the 1890s and to describe and comment on the response of Liberal government or to comment on the situation in 1914. However, fewer were able to combine these together to create an evaluation of the extent to which the problems had been solved. The best answers were able to focus on political problems such as the lack of representation, influence of the elites, challenges of nationalism and the consequent rise in socialism, determine the extent to which governments, particularly those of Giolitti, were able to deal with them and reach a judgement as to the situation in 1914 as Italy decided whether, and on whose side, to go to war.

This is a well focused Level 4 script.

Put a cro
Chosen Question
Question 1
Question 4
Question 7
Question 10
(This page is for been a governmen pressing were cor anempts succeeded

Ag the Isaac Dring the 1890s the liberal State and in parrialar, Giollin, Again to rate had undertaken several successful steps in attempting to modernise and stubilize the Liberal government. Previously, only 2% of the Italia population la vere enfranchised. Giovani Ciolini's decision to introduce universal male Suffrage had good in to some estent reduced the image of the liberal State as being to democratic compt and a of articly discouraging bottom-up involvement from the Sections of Italian peasants and industrialisty,

(This page is for your first answer.) However, the Liberar Share was Still timations sale the subject of claims of complian and patronage whiles imposing its own form of governone and high raparion withour Jully involving itself with the masses. Austra The Liberal State's continued application of Trasformismo style politics was to also remain a pressing political issue by 1914. Following the instability of 33 difference liberal capiters before 1896, the Liberal government attempter to stabilise itself through deploying po its political tactic of Trasformismo. This did at times help to Keep the list succession liberal governments Stuffe.

but fine Ministers continued to change on years bacis providing less and less shalility to what way an already infopular government The issue of increasing political support remained The at the turn of the twentieth century and I the liberal state only seemed to be loosing support The growth in Kopportion had been fulled by Lindushialisatia in Northun Ibalia cities and by Ciollitis extension of the franchise. Migratia to developing cities such as Miler and Turin Brougher industria by Gillin's extension of the franchise. workens into socialism, which was to provide increasing criticism of the Liberal government. Moreover the abspection of the Catholic Chiral from

(This page is for your first answer.) Liberar police continuen to be a pressing issue for the government. The liberal government was deemed anti- Cathoric as The Church had incurred losses from the Wars of Unification. & Their rejustic of induced in policios boh a significant proportion of the population with them and non the liberal State was being attacked by 65th sides of the political spectrum However, as highling appeared more anti-Socialist, Camplic apposition way reloxed, helping to create when political unity.

GCE History 6HI01 E

To king of Memorian politics, the bogs of the martieth century has a ser liberal they take a fun for the worst. A nationally hunitaring defent at Advan in 1886 as the hands of A he Alyssian army was to bring shame on the Liberal government. For hermore, 1/2/2 was reliant on the Triph Alliance and white its European rivals hadn't managen to acquire actuable Empire, and therefore international problems hadin server to allerte any of the pressing polition issues of the investeenth On Ange him In conclusion. The pressing political problems of the 1890, jond kan continuer white despite attempts from (This page is for your first answer.) Light to allering hom. by 1914. The liberal state tore remained uppopular and way open to greater attack, catchets remained instructe beaut They have piles in its foreign appis and - Unimately The continued political problems to were to be bruterly exposed by Heligs entry into Word War One

	/		
/			
	_		

Put a cross in the box indicating the SECOND question you have chosen to answer . Your second question choice must be on a different topic to your first question choice. If you change your mind, put a line through the box . and then put a cross in another box .

Chosen Question Number:

Chosen Questio	m Number.				
Question 1	×	Question 2	×	Question 3	×
Question 4	×	Question 5	X	Question 6	
Question 7		Question 8	×	Question 9	×
Question 10	\boxtimes	Question 11	\boxtimes	Question 12	\boxtimes
(This page is for	your secon	d answer.) The	Second	Span A	Republic
from 1	931-6	had forles	as k	he summ	J 1936
		,			Le opposition of
					- was man key
fontor	and the second	emonog hur	NGC.	contribution	to pre
Wide -sc.	le polo	anisaria the	y bro	get dom	he lepoblican
Jurenne	<u>, .</u>				
The oppo	stin of	he amo	and m	July Franco,	served as a chicial
My Jackon	r ti e	xplaining the	mhre of	the republic	v- grennet.
The Left	Republi	:c (1931-33)	(legand	A he arm	
				1.co	The government
decided t	o dog	militury a	caderic	, and also	Mered Rhimmere
to army	officers	a pll f	ray of	which has	y allepsed.
Sur a	decision	isolute	~ nil	huns general	a Still as
				V	on why only
	· / .				rought them
					itia was
illustrated	when	- General	Sanje	je alungt	ent sevent conjes
in Anda	lusia o	igning Mu	Jores	nmy Yel	nore importantly,

(This page is for your second answer.) The opportion of the army was show during the Atolar Astorius (ising in October 1934 The army when Franco's control crushed a socialise Minen's shike in the northern province of Spain and showed that the Fight Republic not only had the armings support, but the method of Christence would seen successful in he puture. The left & were to be more reliand on legal tools in order to pursue Change. The reforms made inder the left Republic served to assilise the population agains the republican government and cause funder morest contributing to the events frihme of the copylin greener The left Replics & Sudden secularization of Spain saw an explorin of outrage. Working The bining of the converts and the complete and of Church inducere in the stage mostilier a large proportion of the population away from the applicant instead into purier such as CEDA or the Falonge. Additionally, the Left Republic's Agrain and Reform where large estates were take over by the state and the land was re-distributed to the peacents was not & unsusper in custing agrain inefficiences by also Server to los may separat from middle cluster and landonners caring from polaization and helping Fo bring down the republican governman. Moreover,

(This page is for your second answer.) he global economic Depution Limited the avalishing of credit to the control left Republic in order to involve fuller aform. Which was deemed show by orany forms of fight the left and too radian his Light. The Right Republic (1933-0), purgued more reactionomy policies and so persions needs increased as the republican government rearest 13 allapse. The revend of many of the help Republics Coforms saw the left call it's time is governance the two black years. The Right represent socialist and anarchist uprisings including the events at Alston Astrinas, and the left confirment to denan greater thongs and sform. Such then issues san greater plansation of Spann politics and again in cary 1936 the government had changed hands, The Popular Front saw the first part of the Sparit Republic; yes they were no more successful and saw he pipe and of the construction government. The Popular Front was responsible for not only a continue aberra in change but sending army hereits to various pars of spain France was proper isstated when he was sent to the Grang Islands and sending Mola to lamptona simply gone him the operations to reguliate a with the Carlism in Navarre. This increased the

GCE History 6HI01 E

(This page is for your second answer.) of a military ris (ising trans for Morros Sm the ond Lepublic conclusion. opportion the arm The Mort way The in explaining. ha hir The actions against enne as Saw arm Oppose The Key generals dispersed 1936 Asta and Wh HENTER las into (ISINA mr. Shad a Then end of the Repub 1-cm of Civil War The marcah



Both of these responses are well focused on the question asked. Each answer is developed with paragraphs that create a discussion and the key issue is considered. The first response focuses on political problems which the second response addresses the opposition of the army before dealing with other factors. Some of the paragraphs could be developed in more detail and with greater explanation.

This is a low Level 5 script.

t	Ex Always focus	focused directly	e and key		uestion - in this case of the PNF during	
Question 4	×	Question 5	×	Question 6	25	
Question 7	\mathbf{X}	Question 8	×	Question 9		
Question 10	×	Question 11	×	Question 12	X	
(This page is	for your first	answer.) 🏙 The	epower	ful and a	ndiallenged	
leadenti	p qr Mc	sodiri wa	o a l	age foc	for in the	
graving	iplue	ice and pour	er q	the Faperic	at Parry in Iooly	
because	he ur	no able to	<u>.</u> , Q	isco by h	ro excellent	
public .	peaking	ability,	exploit	the we	skness of the	
	\checkmark	<i>v</i>			were other padoo	
	<u> </u>				uch as the	
-		÷			t the Trooby q	
L .		0		<u> </u>	ighted the lock	
	· · · ·			*	errationally.	
The M	ubilared	Victory in	tensified	the stic	l discontent, with	
reany	peoplele	polizing to d	ne fac	LOG Broy	as a pedr	
alterat	ive to t	the paltering	Liber	el garonn	(ST	
		~				
It coul	à agued	that bet	toer di	e peudo	ion q- the facilit	
1					en in pare.	
			v	-	to of smendayment	* *
					Lor 1, Mesordini's	e
1					io seen by the	Ang. of

24

_

(This page is for your first answer.) September 1919 electron, when the Bry wow just pour months dd. Unable to ever its control, it was depended resoundingly by the PSI and Repulari coalition. Further still, in the election of 1922 the Paciot Pary un just 35 aut of 535 seeks - a dear indication q its lack a popularity anongst the Indian people. One year laver, however, the Paray was in power.

It is this huge jump from whining just 7% of the votes to being is power that highlights the washness of the Liberal state. Through his use of street vidence (using his parapilitary wing - the Ras) Musadini strute peopristo the Italian people, and the government; who began to people por their sapety and pointion. Not doubt with by the police or any, Mussolini exported this is October 1923 when he threatened a March on Rose. Designed to display his leadeship qualities through his estendy expective use of propaganda, the March on Rome eventually led to the paining of part por russolini, baining toen decred Prine Minider by King Ennancel III. The pailure to deal with the streat of military prosoure by the state was seen when D'Amurzis accupied finge is 1921 por 13 months, and its could be argued that this encavoged Mucostin to carry sut his March on Rome an example q his stong leadenting explanting garements washingter.

(This page is for your first answer.) Once in proof, Mussolini's stronge teaded ip was able to insease the popularity of the forist Porty. He did this by re-assessing the vering gisten, and eventually, in 1925, establishing a Lictubohip. By altering the voting system, newsolin secured 65% of the vote is a supposedly pair election in 1925, allowing him to establish a one-party state Grach arewelming popularity, Between his appointment as Prine Minister in 1922 and 1925, Mussodini specially obliverated any source of opposition of event to his power. This can be seen by the muder of Masterti is 1924 after he gothe out against the facit Party.

The muder of Massessi, howaver, was extremely uspopulation many Italiano. The Foociat lost many menters and the induential Catholic Churchpriend of the faction Bry since the 1923 Lateran Acordaspote out against the muder. Musodini's Brong londeahip can be seen by his ability to distance himself For any incluements, and despite prototy being aware of the plat, solving the following orisis by sentencing the inclued facilit Parry member to joil sentences depite being very soft benue. The crisi was shen almost, with Mussolini raitaing tis part trough told leaderip.

(This page is for your first answer.) Light to allerian hom. by 1914 The liberal state the remained appopular and was open to greater attack colored remained instruction liberal Italy has paier in its poreign appris and - Minutes The continued particul problem to be brutally exposed by Italijs entry into World War One

Put a cross in the box indicating the SECOND question you have chosen to answer Your second question choice must be on a different topic to your first question choice. If you change your mind, put a line through the box and then put a cross in another box .

Chosen Question Number:

Question 1	×	Question 2	\times	Question 3	×
Question 4	\boxtimes	Question 5	\mathbf{X}	Question 6	×
Question 7		Question 8	×	Question 9	×
Question 10		Question 11	\boxtimes	Question 12	×
(This page is fo	r your see	cond answer.)	inn	ediate transiti	on to manardry
in Spain	apté	the death g	franc	in 1975 w	Ligocours as
becourse	y the	increasing	diaa	ntentedreso usi	th one Franco-
regime the	t ste	med pon t	the	bourbaries pla	ced upon the
Spanish pe	ople	ty the aut	horit	arian Franco.	In addition,
the regin	e had	d began oo 1	elaz	, it loso p	accist-minded
crininter #	ø an	d lawer level	D.q.	anochip of	the press. Aarco
had plan	ned pe	x the end g	hio	riga, righting	g Juan Carso 4
heir bo d	he that	one in 1969.	and the second s	r Such prepar	stian ultrinately
les to a	5~01	oth bransibion	60	a renardy.	in 1975.
1					

As France grown dder, it can be seen that the regime became less botchitarean and increasingly moderate. The international supplicions that were indicted on Spain. throughout the 1940, began to releas in the 19850,5 when Spain was advited to the UN (1988) and OECD. Furthermore, Spain allied with the USA is the 1950, with the 1953 Pact of Madrid allowing US area to be placed in Spain as the Cdd War rundled on.

(This page is for your second answer.) Increasingly, the Fano-state began to relax, ultirately allouring for a successful tranition to renordy in 1975. In 1963 and ip of the peop woo relaxed and non-political shippo were made acceptable. This is the burn les fishes regarding pay and poid balidary becoming increasingly common, and & the Spainds people thus becaue less content to without the eigens of the new? state. The most volatile menter of spain in the 1960, were orguably the students, who began to potent couldly over education and university poor.

The basper to monardy in 1975 was also successful because of the economic statility of Spain that reculted from the moon tourism boom of the 19603 GDP per apple rose to 40% is this period, the satisfying the spand somer the and sparish industry and written, who were integral in this bourion boom. Increasingly important in the Spanish commun,

GCE History 6HI01 E

bourion offered Spain a key industry on which to pour and impore a in the 1960s and 1970s, minimizing discontent for the basilion to a monardy, The lack of hey passion players in franco's abiret les to a redenioning of the political spectrum in spain, with loss expresse inclusion draugh which to maintain the require apret franco's death. As a result, Pranco appointed Juan Carlos putere king in 1969 - allouring time por the

(This page is for your second answer.) branchion to monardy to be damad and received by the people of Spain. Furthermore, as fraction's health began to pail in the late 1950s, he minimized his rde in politics, stepping down as Hood of Grannent in 1958, but still renaining Head of State. This allowed por a development of government statility prior to france's imperdig death.

In conduction, the baseltion to honorally is Spain after france's death in 1975: who so successful because of the public discontent with the require, highlighted by the many shiles from 1963 annards, and most notatly the Bacque berrowing that expled mosts before france's death in 1975 - which prompted Marked Low to be brught in in the Bacque region. Furthermore, the modernisation of Spaind appris, nostably foreign policy (joining the UN) and most hourism allaved por a shooth bassistion into a well-planed Monardy pon an increasingly moderate Franco-regime in 1975.



Both of these answers are focused directly on the question asked showing explicit understanding of the key issues. The first response focuses directly on the growing power of PNF with direct reference to power in the electoral process and government rather than more vague reference to growth in popularity or rise in support. It adheres to the time period of the question and reaches a clear judgement of the role of Mussolini. The second answer attempts to explain the reasons why the immediate transition to monarchy on the death of France was successful with reference to relevant events before his death. The transition to monarchy is established in the context of the later years of Franco's rule and although not always completely secure in its reasoning uses the supporting material available well.

E4 Republicanism, Civil War and Francoism in Spain, 1931-75

This is an increasingly popular topic within centres and candidates are becoming more confident in writing about very complex situations. However, there is still a tendency for many of the weaker responses to assume that every question set on the topic that refers to the period before 1939 is about the Spanish Civil War itself. Many candidates clearly find it, understandably to some extent, difficult to distinguish between Republican government in the years before the outbreak of the war and Republican forces during it. This is a topic for which a strong chronological foundation needs to be created and those candidates who do master it produce some very interesting and often thought provoking answers. Please refer back to the exemplification scripts for Topic E3.

Question 7

This question gave the candidates the opportunity to discuss the long term opposition to republican government by elements of the army and the dissatisfaction with the Popular Front government in 1936 which led to the rebellion in July in relative comparison to other factors. Although the early months of the Civil War have some relevance to the question the time period specified was that of 1931-36 and it was focus on this period which was rewarded within the mark scheme. A significant number of candidates did not recognise the key themes in the question at all except as a simple Republican versus Nationalist battle and focused wholly on the Spanish Civil War itself. Responses in which there was little recognition of the period before 1936 often only achieved Level 1 or Level 2 whilst a few were written in such a way as to achieve low Level 3. Candidates who did focus on the years 1931-36 often wrote generalised answers with much detail about church reforms and political in-fighting but little about the opposition of the army except the dislike of the initial army reforms. There were, however, also a significant number of very good scripts at Level 4 and above that were able to deal admirably with not only the complexity of the chronology but gave detailed information in supporting evidence. Some responses suggested that an underlying distrust of Republican government was evident throughout the period, and that pro-republican politicians did attempt to divide and rule the army hierarchy, but despite spreading them far and wide geographically significant army generals were able to use this to their advantage in the planning to overthrow the Republic in the spring/summer of 1936.

Question 8

This question was designed to allow a discussion of the situation in which Franco left Spain on the occasion of his death and the extent to which the 'nature of Franco's provision for a successor' and the 'role of Juan Carlos to 1975', as stated in the content clarification, was a success. Dealing with the very end of the topic this was focused on a very specific period of time but it was expected that candidates would deal with this by a consideration of Franco's legacy and why a monarchy was acceptable to Spain at the time. This was exactly how the small number of candidates who attempted the question responded to it; the majority of responses clearly met the requirements of the mark scheme. It did not require a detailed description of the events following Franco's death or a need to question the extent to which it was 'so successful'. However, any candidates who were able to use this in their analysis were clearly rewarded. Most candidates were able to give a variety of relevant and, often very interesting reasons, as to why they felt the immediate transition to monarchy was so successful; there was a clear sense of the nature of Franco's legacy, the traditional role of the monarchy in Spain and the popular need for a new style of government. The responses were differentiated mainly through the amount of explanation, development and supporting evidence candidates were able to provide for their analysis.

E5 Germany Divided and Reunited, 1945-91

This topic had very few entrants and the responses were either very secure in their knowledge or understanding or very weak descriptive answers.

Please refer to exemplificaton report for Option F for an example of an E5 response.

Question 9

Very few responses covered the whole of the time period involved. The best answers were able to show how the influence of the USSR both in political and economics changed over time and that East German influences were also very significant in themselves. Most answers were limited to Level 3 as they focused almost wholly on the period from 1949 to 1961 or referred to the period from 1945 through to the 1950s.

Question 10

There were even few responses to this question and those candidates who did respond tended to concentration not on the period of re-unification but on the downfall of the East German government. A few very good answers were able to compare the role of Helmut Kohl with external influences in the international community.

E6 The Middle East, 1945-2001: The State of Israel and Arab Nationalism

A small but significant number of centres enter for this Topic. Candidates invariably attempt questions set which cover the time period before 1979. Those candidates who attempt to address the later period often produce responses with weak analysis and detail and are often confused chronologically. A significant number of candidates appear to mistake Iran and Iraq for each other. Centres should be aware that the nature of the specification is such that questions can be asked across the whole time period and across time periods covered by individual bullet points; a lack of preparation for the period after 1979 could result in candidates being unable to meet the high Level 4 and Level 5 requirements of the mark scheme.

Question 11

This was the most popular of the two questions. Although a chronological analytical approach can result in high level answers, with the number of wars and the time-scale needed to be covered candidates who write such responses often run out of time; a thematic approach using the discussion of different factors is usually more effective. Most candidates were able to discuss the effects and influence of foreign intervention with the very best responses showing a clear understanding of the changing circumstances over time. However, some of the weaker answers became confused between outcome and causation.

Question 12

There were very few responses indeed. Those that did, attempted to show how Iraqi actions were connected to different events in the Gulf between 1979-2001 with references to the Iran-Iraq war, the invasion of Kuwait and the resultant Islamist response to the western presence in the Gulf region.

Finally, this is an example of a Level 3 script. The response are sound in reasoning and understanding but do not development of the given factor adequately and lack secure, detail supporting evidence.

Ŧ

	Chosen Question	n Number:									
-	Question 1	\boxtimes	Question 2	\times	Question 3	×					
•	Question 4	×	Question 5	×	Question 6						
-	Question 7	\times	Question 8	X	Question 9	×					
•	Question 10	×	Question 11	×	Question 12	\square					
	(This page is for	your first a	nswer.)								
	Arench involuement was an obstacle to an extent, but it										
	was not	the st	rongest rac	tor. Thi	s is borau	se the					
	church ar	nd four	trià anna	42 trio	1-10 hundo	-the					
	unicati	on pro	0022 - USHOLO	as - (ra	nce helpod	and hundered.					
	However, rench involvement did to some extent make										
	Obstacles for Hally to wripy.										
		เพียงสาวเกมส์ต่องต่องการ	ก่องจะจึงแก่แก่งองส์ก็แก่บางหล่								
	-fursity -fre	ench un	Nowemant	waso	nmartz do	ing to be					
	nocossary	bocaux	or-uno s	trong i	unts with	italy and					
	Napolans	catho	ic and 'ro	mantic	attatchma	ind to the					
	country.	Nevert	no 1055, -Aran	bib o	hunder -u	2200039 01					
	of mutica	πίοη	hance sued	AUST	a tor poar	b and					
	betrayed	italy o	t vila -fra	unca (tu	reaty of ze	vich) which					
	complotely	, wert	against -	ino pu	supported an	greement					
	botween	uarr	and trance	. Tho	agreement	ebem zeu					
	to drive	out -l	na austri	ian ro	1005 which	buourd					
	have led	40 -11	re untricos	ion pr	ocoss happ	envig					
	much qu	uèror .	in addition	n, it u	sas eviden-	t-lhat					
1											

(This page is for your first answer.) france wasn't completely loyal to Italy. It seemed that france was more interested in their own interests and what they could gain. france was always interested in Nice + Savoy - which wasn't theres at the time of the Treaty of zurich, Austria auourd them to have savay and Nice - as long as Austria kept Iombardy, france accepted and stabbed Haly in the back therefore, france does also help the unification process. The meeting at Plembures showed their willingness to help and provide support to drive out rustria, which shows that france was an obstacle to an extert, but was not the main obstacle.

AUSTRIA Was the main and most important obstacle. The dominance of Austria was much more visible and evident than that of france. Austria stopped every opportunity for tally to be unified. This can be seen from the 1868 revolutions where Austria crusted them with ease due to strong leadership qualities of Metternich and eadesby in the Army. In addition, at the first war of Independiance, Austria crusted the Piedmontese Army whilst stull countaining the Quadriasteral.

(This page is for your first answer.) AUSTRIA CLID not want stally to be unipsed as it eners that is it was, they would be driven out and Lose their dominance and strong connection with the Pope and the Papar states: The strong firmy stopped uprisings and remosts which Rept tay in it's place and un-unipied. This shows how-france was to an externt a threat, but Pustria was a much more dominating obstacle.

Another obstable was the church pope puis XII claimed to be uberal, and revolutionary in his ideas but this was not the case when the subject matter of a war with Authria came up, the pope was very displeased. He provided italy with the Auscution' and could not conclore a war with another costhetic country. This was a great obstacle for Hally unifying as it did not have the legitamacy of the pope and was seen as wrong to wart unification. The syliabus of errors also showed that the pope did not recognise any unification process and everything up to that point was not right in the eyes of God' furthermere the church had such a great unification process and everything (This page is for your first answer.)

unipication would make them lose dominance.

This shows now important the pope and the

church were, and how they were even more of

an obstacle -than france

Munchenbooken the mutatory due

To conclude, H is clear that france were an obstacle due to them betraying Harry at villa-france. Hewever, they were only a small extent of the Obstacle. The church and Popo were a dominant force in an matters and tried to diegetamise the uniplication. Here'r the greatest obriacle was fustria as they crushed a revolutions and predmontese army, which would have led to the uniplication happening much paster. Therefore only to a small extent were-france an obstacle is the uniplication of italy.

		and then put	a cross i	n another box 🛛 .	
Chosen Questic	on Numb	er:			
Question 1	×	Question 2	\boxtimes	Question 3	\boxtimes
Question 4	×	Question 5	\mathbf{X}	Question 6	×
Question 7	×	Question 8	\times	Question 9	\boxtimes
Question 10	×	Question 11	\times	Question 12	\boxtimes
(This page is fo	r your sea	cond answer.)			
The oppos	serrou	of the fir	my v	vas not -the	2 main
reason_r	or th	s faiture a	or-inc	Republicar	Government
1931-36.	HW	as other fo	uctors	such as n	o sorting
out of 10	ong t	erm problem	s, wa	arnesses with	th induvidual
aums an	d-inc	BLACK YEAK	s. The	repore, to a	small
extent	it w	as the am	щ.		
			•		
-rustiy	tno a	rmy were	canser	vative eus	tes who
wanted	-10	reep them	server	in power-	Even though,
the prm	y ne	e "top-hear	y " ws	th -100 mar	ny generaus
to men	they	wanted to	roop	the tradet	mai vanues.
may diè	L not	approve of	the r	republic as	it was
0				t would m	
	9	_		en this accu	
				a new ro	~
		-		-Lno Govern	-
Governme	9985	d Charles Burgher Press Result	**************************************		

(This page is for your second answer.)

the Republic had no trained depend if anyone tried to attack and take over paver. However, this is not the most important factor as the Republic could fam prmy's from the prarchists (CNT) or socialists (pour) which shows it is not to a great extent that the Army was the reason for the fail of the Republic,

The main reason and extent to using the Republic Gavernment railed was the "long term problem" regarding the land and the lottipundlos, church and it is dominance, secularsation of the Rasque + catalonia country and social weighter. These were supposed to be the main ; problems sorted out by the republic but they were not. The Republic promused very write and demered while for the poople, This lace of trust botween the government and the laver classes caused the prolotarial to raise protests and revolt against the new Republican Government. Even though, this Gavernment did grant catalonia autonomy-and attempt to sort out the problems early on - it dudn't last which made the people lose raith on this government and start to LOOR for a new

(This page is for your second answer.) one. This shaws how the Republican Garconment failed due to not sorting out long term problems which is more vital that the mutary

The two Black years highlighted how the Republicans Government failed as they Lost the support of the people and Nationalism was the way forward. The Right were writed and how had a conservers aim not induvidual ones.

Another problem + reason for the familie of the Republic was their individual aims. Even though they claimed to be for "all the people" in their poucies this was not the case. They each had hidden agendas and individual aims. The Anarchists wanted to furfit their dreams of having co-operatives on the land they aimed The Socialists (cabellero) wanted a socialist revolution whist the equiplicans wanted democracy. these individual aims meant they caud not ware together and mare poucles for spain as they all had individual ideas on what should be done which units back to them not cleaung with long term problems.

This shave how the induvidual aims meant they users a wear republic and caud be said "densturied to rail" this raitor is more important than the multiary as this affects decision making and stabulity of the Government.

To conclude, apposition from the army was a minimal pactor in the pailures of the Republic. If the left were not constrained to deferent aims and lace of support after they caudon't soit out long term problems then the promy may have had more of an impact in the factures. However, the fact that the left had deferent aims for the Republican army, individual aims and the DNO Black years in botween meant they falled in the Republic, and the mutitory was a small extent in Dis

ResultsPlus

Examiner Comments

Both responses are similar in that they clearly show some understanding of the question asked and can identify some of the key issues. The paragraphs are developed and make points in relation to the question asked. However, in the first response the given factor is weakly developed with little coverage of the time period and in the second response the given factor is more clearly developed but the other factors are developed with general explanation rather than more specific supporting evidence.



Always support analysis and explanation with detailed and accurate supporting evidence.

Overall, once again, however, centres should be commended for the preparation and obvious enthusiasm with which they approach the Topics; the knowledge of candidates is more tailored to the themes each year. The best responses are analytical, detailed and reach interesting, well-supported judgements.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link: http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publications@linneydirect.com</u> Order Code US026439 January 2011

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750 Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH





Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru Welsh Assembly Government

