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## Introduction

This was the first time that this specification has been examined, and judging by the queries raised by centres in the run up to the examination in June, there was considerable trepidation on their part. It is to be hoped that they will have been re-assured, first by their sighting of the questions and more importantly by the results they receive. No complaints about the paper were received and it is to be hoped that this indicates that candidates found it fair and accessible. Clearly the ability range of those entering was wide but the paper appears to have worked in the sense that the most able were stretched and the less talented were still able to attempt answers to both parts of the examination.

The new specification is a direct descendant of the old Unit 4, which has become part A of the new examination and old Unit 6, which has taken on a renewed life-form as part B of the new examination. There are, however, important differences, particularly between old Unit 6 and the new part B. As far as part A is concerned, the traditional essay, the major differences relate to the mark scheme. The essay is now marked out of 30 not 60 and the level 5 is broader so that essays which formerly would have attained high level 4 in old Unit 4, will now be awarded level 5 in the range 25-27. Part B like the old Unit 6, addresses AO1 and AO2 but it is important to realise that it is AO2 b not AO2 a. Candidates are not required to evaluate the sources in terms of provenance for the most part and are not invited to assess their reliability. All the extracts are from secondary sources and it is to be hoped that candidates will recognise the differing 'views' presented or emphasised and be able to set them in the wider context of the nominated controversy. A minority of candidates appeared to believe that they were required to assess the reliability in terms of the date when the extract was written or in terms of the title of the book, from which it was taken. In general this was a mistake and added little to the quality of the responses. Part B answers are given two marks, one out of 16 for A01, both a and b, and one out of 24 for AO2b.

As expected, there were far more entrants for the French option than for the British and within the French option most candidates chose the first controversy option, The Fall of the French Monarchy. On the British alternative, the Standard of Living controversy attracted very few takers. On all four controversies, almost all candidates were able to appreciate some of the differences within the sets of extracts and in this sense all were accessible. However a clear area of differentiation was in appreciation of the nuances of meaning and emphasis and this is addressed in detail below. In each of the two alternatives, Part A questions addressed, two bullet points of the specification.

## Question 1

Question 1-was clearly challenging to many candidates who lacked the precise knowledge of 1793 to answer the question. Centres should have taught this as it is mentioned in the specification 'students should have an understanding of the bitter divisions within the new Republic between the supporters and opponents in 1793'. Also 'Students should understand how the Jacobin terror evolved and the work of the Committees of General Security and Public Safety in securing the revolution against its internal foes and invading foreign enemies in 1793-94.' Some candidates did appreciate the scale of the opposition in France and the range of foreign enemies threatening the frontiers at the same time. This together with the economic challenges amounted to a very large crisis indeed for the new Jacobin rulers in Paris. However the very best did try to assess the limitations of the threats as this candidate does.
> "The Vendee Rebellion and the Federalist Revolts of 1793 were a threat to the internal security of France and thus the Jacobin Government as they lost control in these aresas and important towns of Lyon, Marseilles and Toulon. However, these groups of rebels never co-ordinate their efforts against the Jacobin Government. Indeed the rebellions were largely small scale affairs, the rebels in Marseilles could only muster 4000 men and even then they were unwilling to stray far from their homes, thus the threat to the Jacobins was in localised areas."

The candidate goes on to stress the importance of Paris as a centre of Jacobin power from whence that power could be projected to control France, and the importance of the Levee en Masse in creating a vast army with which to defeat invading forces. The response gained a clear level 5.

## Question 2

Question 2 was almost equally popular and on the whole much better done.
The best answers did appreciate the significance of the preamble to the Charter and provide some detail on the Charters' provisions. Clearly candidates had to range over the whole period of 1814-1830 before reaching a judgement.

SECTION A
Put a cross in the box indicating the first question you have chosen to answer $\dot{\otimes}$. If you change your mind, put a line through the box and then put a cross in another box $\boxtimes$.

Chosen Question Number:
Question 1
Question 2 区
Question 3
Question 4
The Bonbon restoration in 1815 wow
Achieved witt the condition of Lows XVIII's aueptame of the Chanter. Fear of the possible eroritu of the gains during the Napoleonic era, Louis XVII was required to accept the Charter, a document whish sought to safeguard some of the previonoly establish ed gains before the Bow bon restoration. The Charter, diving Louis' reign, could be argued to have successfully established a constitutional monarchy in France. However, under Charles $x$, the idea of a constitutional monardny seemed to havebeen gradually eroded, and Charles had, infarct, revived a lot the proutices reminiscent of the amen regime. Therefore, to evaluate whether the Charter had Constitutional monar chr, westiould consider the key potieties balance of power and the limit of power of the monarch.

The charter, deigned to protect Frame from arbitrang me and to sategnard the gains in the Napoleonic era, specifically stated the freedom of press,
(Section A continued) religion and basic vigut enjoyed by the citizens. Louis, despite his acceptance of the chanter, was stir strongly convinced, of his on divine right to vile. Therefore, he declared that any lights and freedom granted by the chanter in as not the barr human right of the French citizen. They were only the "personal gifts" Lows gavetuem. Whether or not homs himself believed in the ia a of constitutional monarchy was unknown, since he was a firm believer in the divine right of King and that he had issued the Verona a Declaration on 24 June 1795. However, the establishment on and restoration of a constitutional monarchy seemed to be the safest solution for France in 1815. Therefore, Loms'intentionto be a constitutional monarchy and the eff ely effectiveness of the charter for was questioned fran day one.

Examiner Comments

A good start was made by this candidate.

## Question 3

Question 3 provided plenty of opportunity for candidates to debate the proposition and thus secure levels 4 or 5 . Very few simply assented to the proposition without

## SECTION A

Put a cross in the box indicating the first question you have chosen to answer $\mathbb{\text { . }}$ If you change your mind, put a line through the box and then put a cross in another box $\boxtimes$.

Chosen Question Number:

## Question 1

Question 3 ,

Question 2 区
Question 4 区

The Fruch Renchution urns watkhech closely /nan ascmoss the chound and it indigence vas undeniable. The events that book place there almost sensed as an experiments for these with sidailer sautiments in Englunal. This wenbl pane bun as calls per yous ateuld incense. or dee reuse depending on antheither event in France the in or out or favour.
At the start, yyernens mere encouraged by the
 extreme they kagu to hecone oficenayed pons laky sinter


[^0]nyanding breusonous cuures and meebings and combuntion Abs. Ins mones if chew thent the jue of govennent aks of nohut nenabiou, exculty aifont houl heen seen th Finuce Alawnern Dins pear huel hon pre-empobie,
(Section Acontinued) levching to the lange success of the cuntiryoum manerueut; by the bive the minitens should hune bewn scaned the rugonity wis an their side Itma lecuals no to The couchersimn throw, while the Funch Anokutien dol vineuse pressure por cyorn the the IEpo's, it actwully sened rione as a deberent por it par the rusionity of peovk.
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Examiner Comments

This is a fascinating response which is printed in its entirety. It provides the basis for an excellent answer but in view of its extraordinary brevity, it was only awarded 10 marks.

## Question 4

Question 4 clearly addresses the old chestnut of 1822 as a turning point in Lord Liverpool's administration. Candidates were well aware of the changes in personnel in 1822 and of the reforms executed by Peel at the Home Office, and Robinson and Huskisson at the Exchequer and Board of Trade respectively. The best were also aware of the continuity and of course the importance of Liverpool himself, a far from reactionary figure in terms of finance and the economy if not in political reform. An excellent succinct introduction was provided by the following candidate who eventually received 28 marks for their response.
> "There is much debate among historians as to whether 1822 marks a shift in the nature of Lord Liverpool's government. Some historians argue that the ideology of the government became what was known as 'Liberal Toryism' although what seems more likely is that there was a continuity of the period 1812-22 and natural changes in the economy made the government appear more liberal."

## Question 5

Question 5 on the downfall of constitutional monarchy in France was the controversy question answered by the most candidates and there were some very good answers with candidates appreciating the obvious and less obvious differences between the three sources. It is important that responses be source driven with additional knowledge integrated where possible with the sources. It is of course highly appropriate that issues not touched on or merely hinted at by the sources be also brought in to the response and developed. In this case the issue of the impact of war, indirectly alluded to in source 2 might be extensively developed. A common error in many responses was a failure to focus on the economic problems of 1791-92 referred to in Source 1. A distressing number of candidates wrote extensively about the economic and financial problems of $1788-89$. In contrast better candidates supplemented the points made in the source by explaining the inflation caused by the depreciating assignats. As always the best responses inter-linked different sources, as well as developing points with own knowledge. An excellent paragraph showing this is the following:
> "Source 3 argues that the real role of the deteriorating economic situation was not the breakdown of order but 'demands for a republic returned and developed.' This suggests that the economic situation was important in the downfall of the monarchy as it allowed the radicals to link economic protest to their ideological demands for a republic. This added strength to the republican movement indeed source 1 supports this argument writing 'the political temperature in a rapidly polarising situation was raised by the deteriorating economic situation."

After nine sides of well argued debate using the sources and own knowledge the response achieved marks of 14 and 20. It is worth pointing out that AO1 involves not only recall of appropriate facts but the ratiocination requisite for structuring a response. Some slight misunderstanding of source 1 weakened what was otherwise a very impressive answer.

## Question 6

Question 6 was slightly less popular but attracted some excellent answers. Most candidates appreciated the general outline of the controversy and could set the extracts into the debate. Most could recognise that the essential difference between the two sources lay in emphasis not contradiction. In fact the explanations could be rendered complimentary. Candidates were able to develop the reference in Source 4 to a prime cause of France's success being the 'division of its enemies' and illustrate the cooperation of 1813-14 as a cause of France's downfall. There were plenty of points in source 5 to develop with own knowledge as the following example from an able candidate does, using the 1809 campaign against Austria. There is also a very apposite cross reference with source 4.
"In conlust, Johnson highlight the clelenarchan on Napoleons greatship way the ample collopsed The "cleclue $n$ his mental faulies" in pubhulr 1. o shag agueman. Tease hr, earle barthes he hal been rescaled but the Ashe camaign of 1809 dthagh unnig power Nopoleos leslie we nor succeeding os sta sven a the hat done in 1809 he us sale frighting Asia, not a codilian an has laths veer begun be faille yous? the ...revamped, merit based Ashe amy Th. delve in "mantel fachlis" ca he supposed by ane opel. of Dour 4 , were the tomb, egress Doe of Nopoleas
(Section B continued) collcopecd, merely been reduced to les) expenxic borders and hots more he vol sill he the Emperor of France
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## Examiner Comments

Very few candidates were able to develop or even pick up on the reference in source 5 to Napoleon's time having gone i.e he was no longer the hero of reformers and progressives but an overbearing tyrant.

## Question 7

Question 7 was almost popular amongst the very small number of entrants for this alternative. Candidates were in general well informed about the Peterloo Massacre and able to place it in its historical context. The following brief introduction has focus but could have done with a little more supplementary knowledge and a recognition of the differences between the extract by Read and that by Thompson. Very few drew attention to the local dimension emphasised in Source 7 by Read.
"The Peterloo massacre has been an event of historical controversy since it occurred in 1819, and remains in debate with historians. While R J White agrees that it had little significance, Read and Thompson maintain that it was a significant event which 'hardened' political antagonisms in Britain."

## Question 8

Question 8 offered plenty of scope for discussing the standard of living debate and of the small number taking this option there were some excellent answers.

SECTION B
Put a cross in the box indicating the second question you have chosen to answer $\boxtimes$. If you change your mind, put a line through the box $\varangle$ and then put a cross in another box $\boxtimes$.

Chosen Question Number:

| Question 5 园 | Question 6 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Question 7 | 园 | Question 8 |
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(Section B continued)

Debates abut changes i stondaxs 1 living are always diffielt - even now. for the fermion 1770 io 1830 they are even more difficult. Th es difficulty centres awn questions of -harris 'standurin a Ewing' deferent, problems wat che statistics of 200 years azo charges i the oxferince of different gaps 1 the population, and charges between goon terrines and bud tories within the whole period.

Focchue russ, historians are often seen an faulting ito two S mu group, the optenistics like more, and pessimists like Mobsbawn. milord comes aver an a qualified splemist.

More uses feinsteins data to argue for that 'red earnings may haw grown by arm $20 \%$ between 1170 an 183.'. However, thin 5 only an annual Implement 1 'Is one third an a percent a year. Thin is a vier, small impisenent, me wen where the margins of debate.

More goes on $k$ quote feristain saying chat 'much of the gain coming is the final twenty
(Section B continued)
Years! Mis reflents the Vien that priees cose fuster than wages until aroun 1813, an chet treereafter wages lose faster than prien.
melord agrees with thin broud vien pa slow increme owh there was, on averape, a slow siponenest is the geas $k 1830^{\circ}$. Mowever Gith more ast Mc lord deraw attentin to 'sharp reauctions i perioms q defrusion' and 'tesnpolay deprenion colde hit employment an earnerigs.

So white Soth agree that standares of lwing dis compove before 1830 , bith areept that Che were for periors a deprunion when the reverse would heve been frue, ant Jtandaras a Incisy wall have falen.

$$
\text { (hare } 33 \text { arkay } \quad \text { (Line 58) }
$$

Both more and micord, concentive on rationial avernge figures, ond an fin mulual dale-wages and prices. Many histovins world arque that Cnis gives olly a pattial picture. First 'standads $q$ living rught to be definial a terms a quality issues like hasing or the anailability 1 ealucation, ol heath and ingevity statisticis. mecold recogniseat hin ì line 60, sut
(Section B continued)
offers no vien a how the inclusion of heach at frossing en eqviatio mignt afteet the J'dgenent. most would muept shat, agruin on average, the average ag.e at deuth iscraned durity the penion, and extucatinal opfoltriilies cicreased. On Unese giands Chee is a pood deal of suffit for the vein chat lwing stindares confermed $i$ the geass $t \frac{1830}{}$.
mocord reconnisut the need to qualify then borad openistie judgement hy repering $t$ the defrenin feriodl when stordians feil beeause sosing wages fent and unomploy ment gren. (Lire 57) Mccoin also reionnises that the picture chorges is depperent farls of the carntry. This refleets a common veir taut the kiving standaens of the apnicllulm Caborler became worse ver che penion becavse the population gren so fast ( $10 \%$ a devade, ant often closer $\mathrm{F} 20 \%$ ) thm fo the supply 1 rulal labws cicreasen fast, an at the same terie Chat vicremsing form mechanisatin rutucen the olsed demard for agniultural labowr. Te mesult was that rulal wryes feel, rulal unemployment rose. The effect wa sugnifuanty resucen
(Section B continued)
Slemelais a hiving for then gavp, ard for south and western rgions pthe country. Dis was is contrast to the more industrial arem of lancashere, yolkshive the aorth miellands on central scoltornd wete aring standards iuplored faster.

Hobsbawn takes these dufference between ryions and gorps 1 tho populatin, asd betwen fincocial and mon firmuial data mich further. He is a fessincist. He much more aboul- he sinfast on the 'onglish country labouler' saying lact 'everythery conspion It Anfoverish an denoralise them'. Gobsbawn does not expleiity a oque that Standards I lwaing declived is the perion. Instead he fousses on a subset of the populatin - the Cabover ì bhe cautry. For ohin gmp stardads a uning did fuel - for the reasms on tho previns page..
toobshawn goes in (lire 44 e 45 ) $k$ aque thut in non firincuil tens ferms his grup sufteren, 'Iost Ghe trabtisial right and securily' and 'didt vol gain the hope of impwement' Chat the ulbon Cabover did. This vein also ridicates chat Hobsbawn is sceptienl abnt wheuter tau Ulbon Cabnier saw an cnerease a luing stonlards
(Section B continued)
offets no vient before 1830. This would be consistent with the vien trut unstailleel labwrers i fowns died out seer impimen luving stondaghs. Thin woull be a replution of a surplus of labur caused bythe very fast growth a'the fofulation terding $k$ degren wages of the unskilled.

Hobsbawn goes furlter to arye that sinfora the gap between the nch and the poor grent bioc - Ge village lich took bo their commons (lard) ard gave then chaity in roturn for there servility.
thobsbawn canen is concentiatring hir fouss on the ruim and ulbon cabower, and arjuing that be bher standerds 1 wing dis nt īcrume Safore 1830 . mist historais wored agree wirk bhin. But then woll aryse chat thi was mainly berause 9 the lupid cicreme is the ppulation (reary dobbleing from 13 to 24 muliin $i$ chin ferion), an not because 1 the adwent of the cidustrid revolution.

Nore pthe three surces gwies much evidence abut the 'hosing, Neulth, diet, levels I education mappotunily' port 1 the stondeds 1 living debale. Inould amue chat an average,
(Section B continued)
The populatii did see definite but slow unvoroments ithese oress betwien 18 1770 ax 1830 . But Chat Some sections of the fopulation did aot-see benefiti here, althaigh the majpitz did.
the fincmial pichre does look more cettan, authup woth sumilar reserations. Both Source 9 can 11 point Ke cony cun invtormests in the finconcial stemedads 1 lwing as measured by faster ricreasen is wages char is pries. But they soth point E ferons o 1 defrusim when stonderds of lwing pot-wolse texpolarity ct asso reeds $t s$ be relognised that stamlards of lwring for agnicultula labners an the viban unsteillen got worse. In contrat, the stondards of lwing for the mexdle clavses ond the ulbon skilled will have vicramed fasler tam the $20 \%$ quormen by more.
(inset A a pege 21 )
most histonins woild aceept with lathe cen qumifientiv, that Ewing standards inerment mich faster after 1830 Unm Chun dis kefore 1830.

In canclusin, More conse astes suepers to the 'stonderd of living untwersy an so reconnisen that this is an area where defperencenct Veir
(Section B continued)
exist even so, Goth more and miclold agree that there was a slow but certain incrume in standards of living between 1710 and 1830 , as measured In a larger vicrune in wages than in prices.

Both also recognise that living standards went its reverse in times of deprenin. Equally stordads lose for some gaps o the population, on fell for others. Mobsbawn, as a pessimist, concentrates on the pot rural fabovier, and rears a ques, nghty, that Cher standards 4 living did farl.

So, a mixer cmchsion, in average, an measured in fin ancial terms, where was a sean but certain circruse a using stampers for the population an a whole. But tui masks perims a decline, some gawps who saw puls is their standards a Wing here is pap probably greement a that so haulth, hosing and education unposed on average for most of the population over che period. But, on wee the firmuil evidence, some grips gavel more, and some grep, saw a ditenovation i"Cheir heat, having on excutzi.
(Section B continued) $\qquad$
A crisert this fart on frye 19)
Some historians would challenge the very reluabilty 1 the statistics. However, too many studies have reached broody simitar conchesuris above the relate movements 1 wages and pries. In the sources, Ire shies all give the same bray umasins, (feinstien \& line 32 , Horred e flumpirien is line 35 , and milord i surce ll). Rare are many other studies by economists am histonañs Gnat reach the similar Judgments. It is difficult to find mary studies looking at the whole poplatici and the whore country, that reach materially different undusims to the slow inpowement over the period.

Results Plus
Examiner Comments

The introduction to a response sets up the debate and identifies the 'views' of the three authors in an effective fashion. Why the candidate uses two paragraphs is a mystery and one would have been better. The overall essay was of a high standard and achieved 34 marks

## Grade boundaries

| Grade | Max. Mark | A $^{*}$ | A | B | C | D | E |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raw boundary mark | 70 | 54 | 48 | 42 | 36 | 30 | 25 |
| Uniform boundary mark | 120 | 108 | 96 | 84 | 72 | 60 | 48 |

' $a$ * is only used in conversion from raw to uniform marks. It is not a published unit grade.'
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