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## Introduction

Within this option, a significant number of candidates were able to achieve marks at Level 3 or above in all assessment objectives, offering a considered analysis of the given source evidence, focused towards the demands of the questions, allied to strong contextual understanding and with effective deployment of well-selected own knowledge. It was pleasing to see that many of the issues raised in previous sessions which had hampered candidate performance were reduced, with skills in handling evidence generally being demonstrated in relation to the specific issues raised. That said, certain common errors were apparent, in some cases, where candidates appeared to be attempting to apply source skills in a manner not appropriate to the questions. Therefore, this report attempts to set out certain areas in which future responses could be improved, whilst also illustrating different levels of response across the various questions.

## A questions

In January it was suggested that the most common reason for low performance in the part (a) question was an inability to comprehend and interpret the source material effectively, and that, more often than not, it stemmed from rushed and careless reading. Fewer examples of this issue were found this time around, although there is still a correlation between the degree to which candidates examine the content of the sources, considering the detail within in the context of both the provenance and the issues raised by the question, and the degree to which the subtleties of the sources are explored towards a successful response. Together, the sources offer a range of views, and the majority of candidates were adept at identifying and developing from these. However, the evidence provided by individual sources often has certain ambiguities which can be developed in different ways, and some otherwise sound responses fail to consider this. The following reasons seem to account for some of these limitations:

1. Some responses took sources taken at face value, or seem to ignore the information given in the source header.
2. Whilst most candidates seek to evaluate the sources, some tend towards generic or stock responses which fail to really engage consideration of provenance with the specifics of what the sources have to say. Although many candidates are able to draw upon taught approaches to provenance, nature and the like, it is those who are able to balance this with independent thought who tend to produce the strongest analysis.
3. Most candidates were able to cross-reference successfully. However, a significant minority of candidates still conduct a sequenced trawl through the sources. Such responses thus focus too heavily describing the sources and/or drawing inferences from them, resulting in a limited summative comparison.
4. Some responses still tend to deal with reliability as a separate issue, either sequentially, or as a comparison of the reliability of the three sources.

In the main, candidates seemed well prepared concerning the issue of the application of contextual understanding for question A, an issue highlighted in January. Many candidates were able to consider evidence in the light of historical context, using this to consider discrepancies between sources or towards giving weight to the evidence. However, a small minority still seem to desire to go beyond this, offering an explanation of the issues in the question in depth, with limited reference to sources. Such responses at best became sidetracked, and in the more extreme cases failed to address the demands of the question, which is the analysis, interpretation and evaluation of evidence from the sources in order to reach a judgement.

A small minority of candidates were hampered by time management issues, in terms of devoting too long to the a) question at the expense of the subsequent question. This was often where candidates had described the content of individual sources at length.

## $B$ questions

Candidates were, on the whole, focused on the question with many at least attempting analysis. However some responses offered relevant and in many cases well detailed factual knowledge that they did not always link to arguments in the given sources. The sources provide viewpoints on issues or stated factors that candidates can utilise, offering an analysis of these drawing on contextual knowledge. A number of candidates engaged with sources with clear conceptual understanding but failed to support their answer with sufficient contextual detail. These disappointingly relied on the sources too much, without showing their ability to balance the presentation or argument, although this was not in itself a barrier to the higher levels. On the other hand, a significant minority of responses were both well detailed and with a very secure connection between own knowledge and the sources. The best candidates synthesised sources and knowledge to develop a clear line of reasoning and to test the validity of the views provided using their knowledge. Such answers were able to reach Level 4 in both assessment objectives by offering a balanced analysis, integrating contextual knowledge with source material.

There was some overall improvement in candidates' handling of evidence within the part b) question, with greater focus towards the demands of AO2b. However, there is still a minority of candidates who attempt to address issues of the provenance and reliability of secondary evidence in answers. Whilst reasoned and focused consideration of historiographical issues can obviously play a role in the analysis and evaluation of the given views at the highest levels, this at times tended towards doing so for the sake of it. Speculative comments regarding the authorship of one source being twenty years after another, or assertions based on the title of the book it is from does little to help candidates engage with the views and interpretations. At best this means candidates are wasting time and at worst it became a substitute for valid argument and analysis. Many candidates addressed the question as they might a part a), by simply analysing the sources and commenting on the provenance. Stronger responses often clearly identified the views within the given evidence as a starting point, analysing these through interrogation and corroboration using their own knowledge, exploring the relationship between and relative strengths of the different views, offering judgement on their overall strength and validity, or aspects of these.

One further aspect in which candidates could develop their work is through considering the specific demands of particular questions and what higher level analysis would be for these. Where a question has essentially asked which is the most important factor in bringing about an outcome, the majority of responses are well able to identify these from the sources and own knowledge, offering a mainly focused response with some analysis. However, fewer candidates offer real explicit awareness and development recognising that factors are often interrelated. Similarly, many candidates reach Level 3 by broadly examining success and failure on such questions, without weighing up the relative merits in order to judge whether successes outweighed failures. The given evidence often gives consideration to these issues, either individually or as a set; whilst students are clearly free to reach alternative judgements, students giving careful consideration to these in the first place are more likely to achieve the highest levels in both.

## Question 1

## Question 1(a) and 1(b)(i)

Most candidates could access at least Level 2 by recognising and exemplifying the basic contrast between Sources 1 and 3 (supporting the contention) and Source 2 (challenging). However, at this level it was common for the sources to be tackled sequentially and for any cross-referencing to be largely implied. Better responses, through close reading of the text, attempted to reconcile apparently contradictory sources. Thus, in Source 1, the reference to 'the wild words of Mr Churchill' was used as evidence that the rest of his party held more moderate views, while in Source 3 it was noted that the Conservative manifesto fell short of promising an allencompassing denationalisation programme. Although most candidates made some reference to the source attributions, many of these comments were little more than assertions along the lines of 'Attlee is biased'. A few attempted to use their exploration of source provenance to weigh up the strength of specific pieces of evidence. For example, it was noted by a number of candidates that the purpose of Source 3 was to accentuate ideological differences and hence the acceptance that some industries would, in all likelihood, remain nationalised pointed towards a not insignificant level of consensus. At the very top, candidates used close textual reading and application of provenance to arrive at developed judgement on 'how far'.

There was a fairly even split between the two part b questions. In question 1bi virtually all candidates could use the source material to outline the debate and appreciated that the causal focus demanded a weighing of long-term and short-term factors. Most candidates could pick-up on the references to Conservative problems outlined on the stegosaurus' spikes in Source 6 and use their own knowledge to expand on one or two of them. Those performing at the higher levels displayed an impressive range of contextual knowledge and managed to supplement the issues raised in the sources with additional relevant material. At the very highest levels there was a recognition that Wilson's personal popularity, as outlined in Source 5, could, at least in part, be attributed to the fact that he seemed to personify the longer-term social and cultural shifts mentioned in Source 4.

The following extract displays all the characteristics of a Level 4 response.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking the box $\boxtimes$. If you change your mind, put a line through the box and then indicate your new question with a cross $\boxtimes$.

Chosen Question Number:

Question 1 区
(a) Theersbakrok acgorane , ', diragpee


The sources all agree to save exnent that the Consemanne and Labaur parnies held duthenent ueirs on nakenahisatheis in the yeons 1945-59. AU thene saures Shaw the nelanile stances of the peurties; but they also mogilignt the surall shuntanines of parny poricy

Source 3 innnedianely asseurs the dea mar the Cansemanie nein or nanavalisanein was duasneally dutheneur bo har of Labeur: it wegine with me clain Mar 'Nahonausenian has proned thelk a Rallune', and geves an to stave mar the Consernanines 'shall srop furmer nakeralis ahan'. This is cleary a nasically ditpeneut mernpuevanai of nahenalisanien yer it is alnorar bo be expecreas: this saure cenver trom the
 in which hakeus nool ner genered an anavall majoity. The
((a) continued) exs Centennanies would be likely to be cuncial at haneur pelicy, in onaer to sunay me elecrmane in Meir Ravaur: the Consemahises srouto woun ho sxpleit enny dissatishaction win Labourr by punsenning hounsolues en navicolly owhavent.

Nenentraless, thause is suggeshen art a nanoucal outhenence lonetmoen he wro parnies frous ans earnier onis: saures 1 a anig prom lane 1945 , hrguhopus is parhaiklar Whska cunnenill's furu opposinem lo tes nangmantatain. 'Mrcuurchill says mar eur proposals
 necanstuchin', whest Attee asseuts hat nahenacisanan, fer many undushies was a necestemy mrasusule: 'saveluing has to be dene wion the ceral undusly,'. Bom seuren 3 and soures 1 spank ar a desine an me Centemanies patt fis mar'mings shaula be lett as mey ane', whilst unpliciny ackuantedging. mar hapeur wes in hanreur at nahanalisaheis. Hankaner. Seurce 1 roo unany vero be queshenalde in rems af ofjeceminy: ir centes frus a spenen by Clement Atthes, Leoper of Mo habave. Paory aus Prins Mivisher, speaking we year nofene exmans newhanalisanien ingrosenmes mons untaduced. Whilsi ir may have been in Attre'smhenesirs, is une athemean of wrmed whar one.... bo tuggest a counsentus behrenen partien, ir is atpa akely har he wruitd waut ho cendeunn gexs Consanahie spposinin vo mhar le urulda augue ens the nopur peliciy.

Saurce 2 othens a sugunyy dratanent view. It suggesrs a unaiging
((a) continued) af party purciples, in thar 'UN [me Lanawer panty] have long ago cenve vo accepr sems aome at acenomy'. and . aldo sugegest the unuhanain er namanallasian "... wheprepperse ro uananalibe enerynhing, bus olo whe?'. It is a ckenempeogerd Mar namenalisahain wruth nek wruk for ennony avea ab undusiny, the cmu at the consennahire angunents and hence suggejers ceuruten grenutd benneen the Renmies. The Ravermar His connes tran Hugh Geinsell, fenuer chancallen at hre eschequer, and hakevr couder in 1959 sargoladoll chedibility to vis clasin: he wruld ner uecessainly nowe benur onliged to adurit uninhanians of nanasalisanin. ance ir monehone seouns wane afemunise.

Seures 3 and 1 alse, sownenter unexpecnexly sungocer the whenial beliets of contentahies and Lavenr source 3
 aund in Source 1 , Ather suggears mar Cunrenills new ohess nat wethece 'the opinione pressuthea by many at his an Parny'. The sole mat nese neins ave shaver acers opposinhin paries suggedrs hiar panhaps how wnat ner suon a nadncos difbenence

Wrile all male seures aue suiguny aurbinatent, it appoary mar may all acknaneoge the dihberenceshemven
 is the subore singegesthen of condensus wehureg the powties:
((a) continued) durning Mis penaia, hey mene ner so dubherrent ather all.

Answer EITHER part (b)(i) OR (b)(ii) of your chosen question.
(b) i) Lemben's nexuy:
dutheneute benneen leorens: Mactullan han nahried, nepraced Alec Denglaen. Mave


Whan Harent Macmullan retured in 1963, at me age at 60, he was replaced, ner as many expeched lay R.A Buter, bur usvead by Ale Dargras. Have; appeninned Pruire Minisher wim Marcullan's pensenal suppent. The shan eaur bemreen Dargas - Hews and the opposinin leader, habour's Harrol wissen was shank, and undenbody plouse or a lange rese, in the ture of 'socion neveluthen', in secuning Labaurvikny.

Alec Danghas. Hawe was seen by many to chanachenie the ond ways of the Tany Panty, and was been aescuber an 'the ultmane grouse-merer Tany' (Andnaw Manr), sugegeshect in seurce 5 to be are ar 'me old Etrmiens'. Harol wisen puesenhed a rubnanty ohtheneut unage: he 'suraut alec' ro'shol Alex' Danglas-Mave Wisean seeurer his opponew. yeurual ara dynawic when cempened with in thene with the emenging attctuaes of the 'sminguig scisches'."
 boing untelligent: the arly perten ho gain a devder piss in ecenomice ar unmersiny. Witson athened a nems shyle of coacensuip, hamensing 'the muine unat at peatost hecumerlagy'. As santce 5 purs ix. ' a chewer new unam... botter Man me dor let:.
 har 'The nise in Lataenr's faurhenes was our ropensonarihes' it aldo suggears 'sugnuhcaut lang-neme thek, working in habour's harcur' wherheuing 'susthorined poospering' as couse. es seguencaut fanexer. Inalecal, house was uncurasing prospering across Macuullan's huie as lenover: a grawn in wheal whages at $10 \%$ acroms $1951-64$, and a herem in
 Hrars 1.5 willien ro S:Sbilhien. Noito Noverueless, hase develepurans jenceuld just heve easily hon hoted hed Cansennanie pourty: cleoney a denenain propanent in hanour's vetry was the 'decleir of Consensatios dournance' (seurre 4).

The enneme Macmullan's genemmant had been beleaguned win deffrcuthies. The haulune vo goin aceern ko Fig, he EEC due ro France's uro unodroma was a bevs Macuullan's puive: Brinain ariolner Q $\rightarrow$ appos shreng and unaependent, naluer 'a begegar.
((b) continued) pleadung cap in haud to se allewed to join the heast' (Mrueal zynci). Unounpaynhout too wao heon a
 year in penner, minoumplequeur hofing had reoonea the embounnatrigigy high hapire of 878.000 .

Sunularly,
Saure 6 suggears 'hne Teny lmage' as a promuneur chunse her Censensasnive olheor - rather hran undunounat pertanalinies, Ar is suggeonen the nein of the cendernanies overals playerd a Langge paare in uts dehour. The seuree wakes nehenence vo
 Scandals: suten as the case at Kin Phiphy in 1963, a seuler wir senvaur une ir evenged. hara been manding secuen wins the Sanier Unein hor deconers. Such unteral Bneter scoundals uneakeneor he consennanies Sopuhcoury: ir appeared they whene nar in consra at Meir am pentry. Mest inhameusly was me Prophuno Ahhair, is unich Jamen Prathuno, a hingutry supuricour cere Cousewanine, whens nenpaterd us he nexing an athair win curishine Keder, who whan anno unrewnext unim a Sovier wulunany athaché:in a Celd war socieny hris was a cauplehe scoudal. and he consennatines unar dithecther mever quine neconened.

By 1964. The Consansanies hav been in panver fer a nanyleng huie: Meir repustanin newrt been samenmar hamuhed. Shewt. inved gevenmens suan as Mar at Anrkany Gelen pmanneed
((b) continued) anty onses: the Suer alhair prened Bnhain uncapaleve of aching independenrly, and shensed the exheur of Amenca's untuence. Suer, havnoner, was nox suon a pronntent henctrer in Consemnanine oletoor: it is sunan in saure 6 in the dishance; shigury less mhpenhaut. Inderd. Macmullan nurned ir ro uis adulaunage, eauparing ir to 'Mo bathes ar Mans and Dunkink'; sanerMing he Bnhish muse and snals nopener fran. Seure 6 suggesh a muphial Of Revercus; eoven alene ner roo senoue, bur ushrich caubaine to eueane a daenting mnage. Whases, in ne cautocs seeus conhour that ho ohecruns coulod ner ' punoher the Teny's whage': it seeme hom. Nhat Laparts otsin
 Cansenvanie missdemeanours.

The Therneangsecoun Labour vicrery in 1964 was ner an arenhrerming one. The consennahire adruinishanen was exnaushed; Louruled ey scoundal and ecenouric diphculies: parincal samio suru as saure 6 had tro whokened the party. The isure at Rensanalities when undentandy kequly rquencour nir me Cenhennahie's deheat: Alec Dengras-Mour wors he epitand ant 'mo oloh let' (seurees) i the ownonato annawhatred
byes syshem hy urncm he becoune leover arehehpel at he almest smes arruaic 'Teny mage.' Medoratoradcibas Dengnas Heureis Hive ens Prnire Minishar nsas unaishngurohed : the Masin focus wreuld have been he canhast in petronalihes.

(b) continued) Yet unfraur Censanalise failings it is untukerymar Wissen noula have been aber ko expleit tre comasking unages as ve did. The outherences behnean he wo leadens useus inumause not just in temus of persanalily but in kemus at untar may srood ther: Whaen was purneely nepuesanamis of 'inferenaut ... athmoves in the posr-Suer genemanein' (seurce 4) while Ale Oagpens. Hame mas nemumscent of a beygene ena; ill-sunted to the new age.

## Resulisplus

Examiner Comments
The sources are used as a set and, through close reading of text, there is a clear attempt to dig beneath the surface to find areas of reconciliation. Thus, the admission that some industries will remain nationalised is highlighted in Source 3 while there is a valid inference drawn about the possibility of a split in the Conservative party. This detailed cross-referencing is supplemented by valid exploration and application of the source attributions. Although the conclusion is rather brief, there is a clear attempt to address 'how far' with the difference between principle and practice noted. The response was awarded full marks

## For question (b)(i)

This excerpt meets many of the requirements examiners are looking for in a Level 4 script. The candidate uses the sources to identify key areas of debate and then explores these further through careful application of relevant contextual knowledge. There is detailed reasoning from the evidence of Source 4 in the first paragraph, with a recognition that this evidence is by no means conclusive. The second paragraph follow naturally on from the earlier line of argument using some valid stand-alone own knowledge. Source 6 is then used as the launch pad for the following paragraph in which further detailed and relevant contextual knowledge is integrated with the issues raised in the Express cartoon. This candidate clearly has an excellent understanding of the topic but has avoided falling into the trap of leading with own knowledge and paying only peripheral attention to the documentary material. It is important for candidates to remember that although the mark allocation is weighted in favour of AO1, for Levels 3 and 4 own knowledge must be integrated with the source material.


## Resulisplus

## Examiner Tip

In evaluating the significance of a source's provenance try to avoid sweeping generalisations like 'the source is unreliable' or 'the author is biased' instead link your argument to a specific piece of evidence to show how the source provenance strengthens or weakens the point being presented.

There was an impressive range and depth to the contextual knowledge displayed in many of the responses to this question. However, a sizeable number chose to reinterpret the question as one focusing on the successes and failures of Thatcher's time in power rather than concentrating on the specific demands. Most candidates could access the basic debate through reference to the sources and, although many questioned the objectivity of the Wainwright's view in Source 9, the conflicting arguments were frequently supported by cross-referencing between the sources. (There was an error in the date given in the attribution for Source 9, 1990 instead of 1991, however, this question performed as well as the other questions on this paper and there is no evidence to suggest that candidates have been disadvantaged). Higher performing candidates picked up on the importance of the use of 'immediate' in Source 7 and 'focal point' in Source 9 and, as with question 1bi, explored the relative merits of long-term and short-term causal factors. At the very highest level the source material was integrated with detailed, accurate and focused contextual knowledge and used to arrive at a developed and supported judgement.

Answer EITHER part (b)(i) OR (b)(ii) of your chosen question.
(b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { PLANII } \\
& \text { (Bome } 7 \text { ) } \\
& \text { Poll tax was her dowinfull-Cendemined ke colleaques } \\
& \text { - regersive } \\
& \text { (somne9) - mass non-payment } \\
& \text { - } 8 \text { monme cher-driconent. } \\
& \text { - in conjanction aill dGS }
\end{aligned}
$$

Poll tar wasn't - 4 ciremplapment

- 4 mortgacie reves
- Slow econome (ke evire)
- Trade union pewar t, Labow support fhonoer
hael good Murids - Falklands
- Trado cimion pasier (flcired citres)

Answer/1
Margaret Thatcher's reighn was a long and unexpecied reign of 11 years from la79-90. In hene time as leader there were Many connoveson events and it isn't $100 \%$ dear which one gicaky assished ber downpeli. It coculd houe bean a neiskue evonb, or wes it majorly Me moplementumion of the roll bax?

There were many other events mat culd
(b) continued) have caused her downfall, firstly it could howe keen due to a suffering eumony. As source 8 staves "unemplapent had begun to vise" and "Mortgage rives rose to $15.5 \%$ ". As Thatcher pretered less govemnent intervention. such as lower cenemployment benefits, IE meant the new chomped had less money to live on So were more lIkely to show heir support to labour as they favoured higher benefits in heir wlthene sym. Furkmore, they wanked to leave ko mourner to run isslf, therefore the interest rule wasnit Controlled and hompowren suffered. The fact Hat one of hor early policies, 'Me right to bay council housing' with discounts, meant a large majority of We UK population owned homes. These People clearly whrn't being helped and bad bo desconhent against the fins tomale prime minister. In addition, hor hight controls on the hade unichs lead a lot of peode switching to Labour because they coudn't achieve wage rises as easy or better working conditions, the viols Mat induced violence
racer hor seem harsh and un-Sympanetic notch geadvier fer a democratic leader.

However, Soure 7 does strongly agree thar
(b) continued) the insrocliction of He porl lax did gnearly effect her downfell. One neason it given is that it was" "inerficient" and "neguesséve". Thenetore, it= affeciod the fower dans move and disolusioned them even mone than they allneady were. This sovere a agnees. WiM it as it provokod "Moess non-papment" and "tapsled one of the nor Ganed prime ministes". Howevor the peebicsoies en't the only view. Her perty Nomeen even slowed discontent as it "cenderined the govemonents chances of staycing in office". This moant that the foll tox hacl trened hor own Darty nembers agouisst hor as the believed it would cose ther power, and at did. As sheled ecortier, the Consemvatives neduced bearfits, thense Mis rognosiue tox in conjurchich with oker negrossioe buxes asseshod in bosenga lor of Support. Ft Also ke timeng of his har introduchin woen't a good choice, it had come apter bin-wen stiken, menerstriker which prooohed a lof of desmess andicenhapciness at Thather, Weretone the Poll-tux trppect the views on her over the eclge and wais the las strawfer her hime in power.

Howewer. Thatcher was successkel in a
(b) continued) areas, such as the Falkleends war. This victory showed she and Britain were still a strong intenarioncel power and Mat the is strong,' 'he iron lady' and wont give ep on the Brthish empire. her extremely hight consol also pleased the elite culong with the poll-bar as it reduced Meir bills. Consovative cere right wing, they favour the rent more so so she only in hear, done as expected. So Margaret Thaveners down foll could hove only In my opinion the booed clue to the fact hor time was up and Here was a reed for change.

In ma exinion the Doll-tax was the main reason Fer Margaret Thatches dcronfoll: All hough there were many other Rectors, such as a long reign, bod economy and disconhenhed cheuplato. The introduction of the regressive poll-bur sureyos middle-wewbed towards Labour and lar in temal Seepport Prom her party mambas. With this severe lien of support and amount of pubic discontent ct grocetly appochodvor loss of power. Furmamone, by many people not peeping it and the govemment hawing to pay for ene legal action, net only was
(b) continued) the economy bad but so wa, te $\qquad$ goremments budget and spending patten. It was a step ho for in her shrang-pinionaled regime and just added to hor oker 'bad'' events apter her suconses which occuend a Veer years in on her reitanreign.

Resulisplus
Examiner Comments

This excerpt from a response is operating at Level 3 for both assessment objectives. There is some close reading of Sources 7 and 9 in the first paragraph and this is extended by supported cross-referencing. However, the extent of the reasoning from the evidence of the sources is limited as a result of rather restricted and generalised contextual knowledge. Although some of the material deployed in the next paragraph is relevant to the topic under discussion, it is neither linked to the source material nor focused on the precise demands of the question. The conclusion does show a clear understanding of the focus of the question but would be greatly improved by the inclusion of some evidence drawn from the sources to buttress the line of reasoning adopted. Overall, Sources 7 and 9 have been analysed with points made to challenge and support the contention; (Source 8 has been dealt with in a similar fashion elsewhere in the essay) thus, the candidate received an award at mid Level 3. For AO1, there is an understanding of the focus shown, though this is not sustained, and some relevant if restricted and occasionally descriptive material is deployed; an award at the bottom end of Level 3 was given.

## Question 2

Most candidates reached a secure Level 2 by outlining and exemplifying the superficial contrast that existed between Sources 10 and 11 (for the contention) and Source 12 (against). At this level, any attempts to evaluate the significance of the source attributions were generalised and assertive - 'Braine comes from a working-class background so is biased'. It was also common for candidates operating within Level 2 to tackle the sources sequentially and thus limit their opportunities to develop detailed and supported cross-referencing. A few candidates allowed themselves to drift away from the demands of question and presented lengthy overviews of the 'new wave' cinema of the 1950s without any reference to the source material. Higher performing candidates, through close textual reading and application of provenance, interrogated the sources more carefully and, thus, arrived at an overall judgement as to 'how far'. It was, for example, noted that Lester's focus in Source 11 on London (the hub for 'swinging Britain' mentioned by Jarvie in Source 12) might not fully represent working-class experience across the country. The best candidates addressed the sources as a set, showing an awareness of textual nuances and applying provenance to the evidence in order to arrive at a considered judgement.

Here is an example of a candidate answering question a and bii.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking the box $\mathbb{\square}$. If you change your mind, put a line through the box and then indicate your new question with a cross $\boxtimes$.
Chosen Question Number:
Question 1 区
Question 2 x
(a) It can be arophed thant to some extent filing of the tate 19so's and 60's presented working class life as to really was-Awever is is clebateable as to haw for scares bs 11 ard 12 agree whin this view point-

His clear that bath secures bo and In agree with the fact, thant films in the 50 's and Go's pattreycel the working class bruthillily Both sconces mention ha the working class were ambitions. Score lo stating" "fo rthe fAirest time, a bay from the working classes" wesn't being presented as a a downtrodden vietion," whit scource 11 says" they were filled with as sense that there were new opportunities for the wonkmg-ckers," both statements indie ate trent films had stumped to portray the working class as opotimiffite hedivicurats who wanted to freda way ant of their situretion. Biotin scources bulk abut" "a new structure of lice," aral veing"horest about the whole bis ress of class," While the se opmions to highlight
(a) continued) The optimism of flles at the tome it muth be nosed thent boh scarces come from people who head made finns about the workng class and hed contrilated to the fth manstry. This may etfect the valielity of the scorces as the producers of these thas are likehy to see the'r cortribution ax arewate and positive becouse they prochnced the films-
Hasever scource 12 seems to disagree with beth scarrees 10 and H. Clenmngy thet the timbs beareel"Ithe resemblanle to Contemporary Britanln," praing that mfact bre fim mentroy mearty glamounised the Wes of the Lorking class-Scance in further contradiets scarces lo and $n$ by ckaning thet the workng-cless aceenthel manners are takenfor granted as being charmmey," furthe prowing that firms m the Sos and Goss didn't represent the true stinegfes of the woorling class-Haverer the validiny of the scarce maibe affected by the ratt Prett $t$ comes from a meelia magap ne or book which ams to critisise. some of bre contrínations from fims, meanmey that some of the fatement may home been
(a) continued) exagygneted to artract puble attention In conchraien both scarress 10 and 11 appear to ugree with the opmion of at Sos and 653 fins presented the working class as they really were. They clam thet the films were herest abant the whole buisoness of cluss-" hawever scorree 12 clearly dieagoass unth thi's vew point ctarning that the re was "Hthe vesemblemee of conteM porary Butanln." A) three scourees together seem to say Bhent to some extent fims didpotbray the wooking class as they reallywere-

Answer EITHER part (b)(i) OR (b)(ii) of your chosen question.
(bi) Do you agree whin plate view thant the internet has resulted in be conning more politically active? so ming.
Plans


It is clear thant to some extent the internet has made an impact on people becoming more, politically astive-With sites such as yartibe, which was tanned in 2OOS, helping people to post beer own campaigns and news stories internationally further more socialising sites suchas facebook and Twitter have contributed to Campaigning groups such as WhF to increase supporters and donations to the cherity-Fven MP such as Gordon Brown and Dansol Canneron howe Pwifterand facebook accounts to engage the public more in bleir political activities-To some extent all 3 scources agree win this view paint-
((b) continued)
Its clear then to some extent all three scaurces agree with the view that the internet hess resulted in people beconningmore poltically active-scarces 16 and 17 bowfin agree thant the internet was resulted in more people becoming politically untrue, scarce 18 sons" the internet hes made the indwidual more politically active," white Stave 17 agrees saying thant "is helps allot to hove a werbte: "from this it is evident that the use of the mternet and websites has infeecsed the eure and accessibility of political atinity this is further proved by the fact that during, to Zolo elections the BNP best silstiantion support as a result of a fight between a Bht member ard a member of the public being published on yatube. The shows then not orly can the public form opmiens upon material published on the internet they can also puts their awn material on the miternet which could result in major failures for certain political parties an el campaigners.
futhermore scouree 18 also partially agrees with scources 16 ard 17 say then" the
(b) continued) WAter net adds to the ability of air campaign," suggesting that the use of the internet can enhance popularity and support for poiltical panties and local Compaignes-This is further proved by the mass worldiyide support t tor grams such as PEPA and WW F who both use the internet to communicate to people cueross different comtries ease and suffteierny tither more the speed of which people can find news stories Les ncreesed dramertieally for news stations such as sly News people can virtually withers live ne uss 24/7, st only does this increase the coat of pubic attention butt it also ncreoses the ability poole have to crtisise orel amahs the information trent is being green to themes they cam compare effects the information given by chitter ext news chemmets-
Boy the evidence green so tar it 6 s apparent That the internet hes resulted in people becoming more ponticallyy active.

Havever wive scares 16 and 17 appeento entirely agree with this viewponht scarce 8 seems to partially disagree with this
(b) continued) Viewpoint. He claims the et "the fundementel way that we cree going to carryon campaigning is by engagmey people on the street," Br's proves thee whit the internet does help produce more public support, some groups sill prefer to tall to people face to face. The fact that the scarce comes from on of Britain's larejest envinomental pressure gran es may further vallelate the evidence as $t$ props brat even large campaigning groups still fuel other methods more useful them using the internet to moreare political activity. This is further proved by the fact thant hewpupers such as the Times and The Inekpendent still prefer to put a heavy mituerke on their, primped material. More over it lis is evident then the majority of the public would rather read printed copies of newspapers rather them the internetiversion.a
futhermore the comment made in scarce 18 that only" 2,000 of these have became active pontrieipants, "for Sos 000 man's being sent calls ito upestion the validity of the comments made mscources 17 and 16 . Source 16 is from a
(b) continued) boobk "Cy berachivismi" indieating theat itis main ails is to pick up on ard expand upan recert internet trerets. This effects the validity of the scource as it's am may have beas to exagefrote the truth so cs to ciftraet more people to bny the botk- fuither more, since the stant of the miternet in the mid 1940 's political partres ard comparghers have \&All preterred to communicate to the publie orally for mittance oflvangh the tiv debsites arel pointical adverts.

From this enidence its evident that the internet may not have resultied m increcased political actanito.

In conchision it can be argued that the intrnet has resulted in ec people becomhey more politieally active as comparigners anel MPs have thown an meneuzed interestin twitter and voutinbe wivich s proved by scavices 16 and 17 clamngy thett phese people are becoming aetivist themselvestavever it can be argined that the interpot has hasn't impaeied as much as pritted nenspapers are ftlll fowoured and betore


## Results Plus

## Examiner Comments

This response for part A is typical of a solid Level 3 script for an a question. The candidate focuses on the demands of the question throughout and provides directed and detailed cross referencing to highlight areas of support and challenge. There is an attempt to consider the provenance of the sources in weighing up the evidence. However, this is rather restricted and, in the case of Source 12, somewhat speculative. An awareness is shown in the final paragraph that a judgement should be reached and the sources used as a set but the conclusion lacks development and support. The response was awarded a mid-Level 3 mark.

This response displays many of the characteristics of high performing responses for question bit. The sources are used throughout, and although they are not interrogated as fully as they might be, there is developed reasoning through cross-referencing between sources and by linking evidence drawn from the source material with a solid range of accurate and relevant contextual knowledge. The piece is carefully structured with a balanced analysis and focused conclusion. The counter-argument is slightly less convincing than the beginning of the essay and the speculation about the reliability of Source 16 based on the title of the book is unhelpful. However, overall the candidate displays a good grasp of the key issues raised by the sources and a clear awareness of the skills demanded by the two assessment objectives being tested in part b. It, therefore, received a mark within the top level.


## Resulisplus

## Examiner Tip

Remember you are not being tested on your own knowledge in a part a question. Only use your own knowledge to help weigh up the strength of the evidence contained in the sources. This means you will never have long passages in which there is no reference to the source material.

There was a fairly even divide between the two part b questions. At the lower levels, candidates who opted for this question focused their responses largely, if not exclusively, on the source material. The debate contained within the sources was noted but any reasoning from the evidence was limited to generalisations. Some candidates attempted to mask a lack of familiarity with soap operas by citing supporting evidence from other genres, film and sit-coms being the most common. Those performing at higher levels were able to exemplify their arguments by use of case-studies drawn from a variety of different soaps - and here some flexibility was given in the precise definition of soap opera with credit being given for evidence taken from long running drama series. At this level candidates were also aware that both Sources 13 and 15 were talking about educating the viewing public about issues outside their normal experience and that this could be used to argue both for and against the representation in the question. The very best deployed a wide range of relevant contextual knowledge to develop the issues raised in the source material and arrive at a considered judgement.

The following excerpt from a script exemplifies a typical response to this question.

Answer EITHER part (b)(i) OR (b)(ii) of your chosen question.
(b) Soap opearas are based on real life events. It can be argued to how for they reflect, rather than shape public operon;

Fusty, it a variety of ways this statement could be sea as accurate Source 14 f p an extract from 'The Soap Opera, Mirror or Shaper' agrees with this to a large extent. The fact that the book is completely about the argument makes it more useful and wellresearched This source states that, "Telursion has amplified what has gore on society' thin is in relation to "The Bull." This shows that the soap only attempted to neglect what won going on society, but may be acred that is exaggerated it Race roots, an for example Bruxton and Voting Hill caused the public to get a new dea of police using Violence or not intervening and soap operas attempted to follow this up or balance it. Susularly, source 14 dams that the Bul has 'not made bad policemen or is it responsible for changing the vows of then' This shows how the programme was unending to change operon, just reflect the opus that sure people chose ho have. it simply unit 'reflected what is seen in
((b) continued) realty' Sattine programmes such an 'Drop the Dead Donkey' and 'Mary Hull's TV burp.' ussues raised in soap operas, as means of mockery of how aver-exaggenated there were, and to the huge extent that they dice not reflect true life. This shows that it could possibly be argued that \$a ap operas attempt to reflect public opuren fouls as the shows are boo exagerated in order to provide More ontectammont (I)
many would argue that pubic opinion has advally been shaped of s. On the the hand, Albany servos but more unknown or overly- sceredyped issues that have been shown in 7 Soap shows have shaped or completely changed public opunen for example, source 15 an untervew with the director of an Albs charity, talking of Mark fowler's HIV positive stongure a Exolianders states," haw the Storyline, "achieved more pubic awareness than any of our campaign'!" Thin suggests that scop operas were shown g the public the 'issue and true farts' in a sew light which shaped the pubic Opinion Comedies of the 60s and 70s would depict Mil and AIDs as a 'gay cusease' egg 'Til Death us do Parl" which arlo contained racist
(b) continued) stereotypes Soap operas began to elumake prejudice and cruel sterotypical opinion. As this sura is from someone from the charity it is dear his aim was to shape opuriony as the option of the tine was false and prejudice: Moreover, source is continues this idea as it talks of now coronation street examined the situation of transsexuals. This was an unknown topic that many people did not have an opinion on, or disgraced more traditional veers. Soap operas attempted to shape opinion as it was ian example gt an experuna of life which is completely unknown to many people.' This shows that pubic opinion needed to be shaped as there was not one formed to be reflected from Overall, same 13 and 14 both suggest that soap peas were able to educate U's audiona, even un pesint day eg Eastenders gay muslim. sporyure. Moreover in 1950 only $4 \%$ of people owned a television set, but lag 1960, 80\% owned one. The most popular TV shows were soaps,.. especially Coronation street unmeduately after the Queen's coronation. Therefore, it was mposseble for soaps not to shape people's opinions as they were 10 vastly watched and people began bo follow all ideas put
((b) continued) forward by them, an people got comfort and social awareness from watering they
Apply, many wot argue that many a dean
In conclusion, historians wound argue that
IV and shape ratter than reflect public opinion at the time as many 'contentious. storyline were not reglectable. Source Is states how soaps manage to shape brand new videos on certain issues Also, as soaps were so popular, it was dyfscult for people not to behere all that wan said on them, particularly housewives of the $60 / 70 s / 80$ s that watched. this shows everyday. Although some unould argue to a small extent that soaps did just reflect opinion, as they were meant to a depiction of normal life Overall, a could be argued to a higher extent that soap operas did shape and form public prion, even American soaps the Dallas gave the public the new dea of the America dream and Amervanusation began, something that was rarely seen before, and could not be reflected on.
((b) continued)
 many would argue that ideas from music, youth subcultures and a growing permissive society was what soap opvan
reflected. Everyday life and other shaped these rs. opinions, eeg Beatles muir and rebellion of youth and soaps just reflected this, as they were not as inferential.

## Resulisplus

## Examiner Comments

The candidate shows a clear awareness of the representation in the question and attempts to present a balanced analysis. However, restricted contextual knowledge means that much of the exemplification is drawn from evidence which has, at best, only tangential relevance to the focus of the question. The source material is interrogated closely and there is an interesting attempt to support the contention by referencing programmes which lampoon soap operas. Similarly, some valid knowledge of the cultural context is used to develop the argument that soaps shape opinion. Overall, the candidate has used the source material well and made a valiant attempt to reason from the evidence but restricted contextual knowledge has prevented this from reaching Level 4; for AO2b the award was, therefore, at the top end of Level 3. The response is less secure in AO1 and lack of breadth and depth limits the mark to the bottom end of Level 3.

Spend 10 or 15 minutes carefully planning your response. Draw out the themes contained in the sources that agree and disagree with the view in the question. Then, think how you could extend these themes with your own knowledge.

## Grade boundaries

| Grade | Max. Mark | A | B | C | D | E |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raw boundary mark | 60 | 44 | 39 | 34 | 29 | 25 |
| Uniform boundary mark | 100 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 |
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