



Examiners' Report June 2010

GCE History 6HI01 F



Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales No. 4496750 Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London WC1V 7BH A PEARSON COMPANY

Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at <u>www.edexcel.com</u>. If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our Ask The Expert email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link:

http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/

Alternatively, you can speak directly to a subject specialist at Edexcel on our dedicated History telephone line: 0844 576 0034

ResultsPlus

ResultsPlus is Edexcel's free online tool that offers teachers unrivalled insight into exam performance.

You can use this valuable service to see how your students performed according to a range of criteria - at cohort, class or individual student level.

- Question-by-question exam analysis
- Skills maps linking exam performance back to areas of the specification
- Downloadable exam papers, mark schemes and examiner reports
- Comparisons to national performance

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit <u>www.edexcel.com/resultsplus</u>.

To set up your ResultsPlus account, call 0844 576 0024

June 2010

Publications Code US024072

All the material in this publication is copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ Edexcel Ltd 2010

6HI01 F

General comments - 6HI01 Option A/B/C/D/E/F

Once again the current cohort of candidates were generally well prepared, answered two questions without apparently experiencing difficulties with timing, and made a genuine attempt to engage with the question. However, it was noticeable that even well prepared candidates underachieved due to an increase in formulaic answers which did not directly address the specific question asked or show explicit understanding of the key issues. The comments below are intended to encourage both centres and future candidates to improve on past performance.

An important issue which was raised by many examiners this year concerns candidate interpretation of the questions set. Many candidates appear to believe that all the questions on the paper require them to consider the importance of a given factor in relation to a number of other factors. It is important that centres impress on future candidates that this is not the case; if it were, it would reduce the examination to a simple formula. The mark scheme requires an essay to 'present historical explanations and reach a judgement' and this is achieved through a variety of different question stems such as 'to what extent', 'how accurate',' how far',' how significant' and 'why'. Although the majority of questions require an analysis of relative importance not all of the questions do. Questions requiring the candidate to analyse relative importance are indicated through the use of trigger words such as 'main reason', 'key factor', 'primary reason', 'due to', 'responsible for' and 'explain'. Questions which do not refer to relative importance require a balanced answer with a counter-argument giving reasons for and against the given statement/factor. Questions which refer to 'significance' or 'importance' can often be addressed using either approach whilst 'why' questions do not require a counterargument (although this is often present in higher Level answers) but an overall judgement of the different reasons put forward.

The following examples indicate how some students underperformed by misinterpreting the question set:

Question A13: 'How accurate is it to say that Lambert Simnel and Perkin Warbeck were both serious threats to Henry VII's security?' Some saw this as an invitation to deal briefly with the two pretenders before considering other threats to the king's security, including the nobility, the Yorkist rump and the taxation rebellions.

Question A14 : 'How far did Henry VII's financial policies strengthen the power of the monarchy?'. Many candidates wrote about other ways in which the monarchy was strengthened.

Question D7: 'How successful were the Five-Year Plans in transforming Russian industry in the years 1928-41?' Many dismissed the plans briefly before investigating the role of terror and the purges in transforming industry.

Most candidates produced a readable answer with, at the least, some historical knowledge and, at best, a sophisticated analysis. The analytical and evaluative answers at high Level 4 and Level 5 were impressive for their breadth and depth of knowledge, and by their sharp focus on the question. However, a significant number of answers were less directly targeted on the question; these seemed to be answering practice questions, or were a prepared response. As always, there is evidence that candidates were not answering questions on the current paper, but those on the previous one. This often leads to responses which 'relate well to the focus' (Level 4) but not 'direct focus' (Level 5) e.g. in Option E/F Question 5 candidates often referred to Mussolini's rise to power rather than growing support for the Italian Fascist Party. Now that there are ten sample

questions for each option (the four examination papers plus the sample questions) centres might consider it appropriate not to use the most recently asked questions in trial examinations.

A lack of both general and detailed chronological awareness is a growing concern. The importance of covering the timescale in the question is still a discriminating factor between candidates, and is dealt with in the reports on various questions. Candidates do need to be aware of the importance of key dates in the topics studied and, quite simply, the order in which events took place. A failure to understand why the dates in the question have been used led to a significant number of candidates failing to cover the whole period set, and thus to miss out some key events or developments, or referring to the wrong time period altogether.

Perhaps the most significant discriminator between different answers was the range and quality of supporting information. It is impossible for a candidate to frame an analytical response if the evidence offered in that answer is lacking in depth of development or is not directly relevant to the question. The generic mark scheme makes clear that progression through levels depends on the answer displaying two linked qualities; the strength of the explanation or analysis offered, and the range and depth of accurate and relevant material.

Examiners are required to reach a judgement on the quality of communication before awarding their final mark; it is an integral part of the mark scheme. Future candidates should be reminded that slang and argot have no place in an AS History answer; that spelling, punctuation and grammar may influence the quality of their argument; that it is worth learning how to spell the historical terms used in the topics studied; and that abbreviations, and the absence of capital letters, should remain in the realm of text messaging. It is also important to use correct terms when referring to people of different races and cultures.

Questions 1-14 Option F

Virtually all of the candidates who enter for Option F answer questions on Topic F7. Alongside F7 the most popular Topics are F2, F3 and F5. Many of the candidates produce well-reasoned analytical responses with appropriate accurate and relevant supporting evidence. However, it has become increasingly noticeable that, although some candidates approach each question with a similar analytical style of response, the mark for Topic F7 is often lower than that of the other Topic studied; this appears to be mainly due to a lack of secure chronological and factual knowledge deployed as supporting evidence. A significant number of candidates appear confused with the chronological order of events; as pointed out in previous reports the hyper-inflation and unemployment of the 1920s are often confused but it is clear from both questions this June that a significant number of candidates are insecure in their knowledge of the key events and dates. As many of the alternative Topics are not taught at GCSE, it is possible that candidates who have already studied Weimar and Nazi Germany are either not revising F7 as thoroughly as the 'new' Topic and/or are not supplementing their previous knowledge with the 'different' requirements at AS Level. There were also a noticeable number of candidates who answered questions on Topics F2 and F5 with reference to the 1919-1945 time period. Centres may wish to consider this when devising schemes of work and exercises to test chronological and factual knowledge.

IMPORTANT NOTE: EXEMPLIFICATION SCRIPTS FOR QUESTIONS 1-8 CAN BE FOUND IN THE REPORT FOR OPTION E

Topic F1 - The Road to Unification: Italy, c1815-70

This is a popular topic and the responses were evenly balanced between Q.1 and Q.2. Candidates are very well prepared with both detailed factual knowledge and a good understanding of the 'big questions' involved in the process of unification.

Q.1. Most candidates were aware of the need to select relevant material appropriately considering the lengthy time period and number of revolutionary outbreaks involved but were not always able to deploy the material effectively. Some candidates followed a narrative commentary whilst others chose to analyse the failures using a variety of different factors. Good time management was vital in both cases with some narrative commentaries failing to reach the 1848-9 period and more analytical responses failing to provide appropriate accurate supporting evidence. A significant number of responses were able to generalise about the strength of Austria but were surprisingly lacking in support with little specific reference to Metternich, Laibach, Troppau or the events of 1848-9. There was also much evidence of candidates writing responses which, although adequately dealt with the failures of the revolutionaries, seemed pre-prepared about obstacles to unification rather than directly focused on the responsibility of Austria. The best responses were able to identify the strength of Austrian power throughout the period and to compare this to other external factors and internal weaknesses using specific, relevant factual supporting evidence. Some candidates suggested in the conclusion that Austrian interference during the period ultimately created the seeds for the eventual unification of Italy in the decades after 1849.

Q.2 produced some very interesting and well-reasoned responses with examiners commenting on the many thoughtful responses which engaged well with the question asked. Once again candidates tended to approach the question either through a narrative commentary or through a more analytical thematic approach addressing the territorial, political, economic, social and cultural unity of Italy during the period. Those who provided a narrative commentary were often very effective but many were unable to manage their time to reach 1861 or produced pure narrative. Some candidates' chronological knowledge proved to be very insecure with the period between Garibaldi's success in Naples and the meeting at Teano particularly weak. There also appears to be some confusion as to where and when the different plebiscites were held. There were, however, some excellent answers which clearly showed the territorial consolidation within the Italian peninsular during the period but questioning the 'nationalism' behind it and the extent of political, economic and cultural unity.

Topic F2 - The Unification of Germany, 1848-90

This is a popular topic with majority of candidates being well-prepared. However, the overwhelming popularity of Q.3 and the quality of the responses to Q.4 would suggest that the candidates are less secure in their knowledge of the period 1871-90 which is covered in the fourth bullet point of the specification. Candidates should be encouraged to revise adequately for questions covering the whole time period.

Q.3 was by far the most popular question. Many candidates were well-prepared in terms of factual knowledge, chronological security and understanding of the process by which Prussia became the dominant state. However, this question, in particular, seemed to suffer from a failure to focus directly on the question asked and many responses achieved high Level 3 or low-secure Level 4 as a result. Many responses took economic factors to mean exclusively the role of the Zollverein (too many candidates believe that it was created by Bismarck), the focus of a previous question, or interpreted the question as being about the process of unification rather than reasons for Prussian dominance. Some candidates took a narrative commentary approach which, although at its best allowed candidates to analyse the emergence of Prussia from the 'false start' of 1848-52 to dominance over the German Empire in 1871, resulted in many being unable to cover the time period involved. The best answers were able to discuss the role of economic factors in comparison to the political, military and international advancement of Prussia and the growing weakness of Austria with some candidates able to manipulate the various historical debates surrounding the Bismarckian concept of 'blood and iron' to considerable effect.

Q.4 was answered by relatively few candidates. Those candidates who were secure in their knowledge of the period were able to produce succinct responses clearly showing the methods used against the socialists, the counter-policy of social welfare and an awareness of the role of anti-socialist legislation in Bismarck's downfall. However, there were a significant number of responses which were only able to access low Level 3 due to a generalised understanding of Bismarck's anti-socialist legislation and social welfare reforms or Level 2 due to a lack of accurate knowledge (candidates confused the attack on socialists with Kulturkampf and some even suggested that 'attempts to undermine the socialists' was a factor in the process of unification concluding the response in 1871).

Topic F3 - The Collapse of the Liberal State and the Triumph of Fascism in Italy, 1896-1943

This is a popular topic and, although Q.5 was generally more popular, a significant number of candidates answered Q.6. Candidates are generally well-prepared for this topic but there is much variability in the quality of responses with many candidates being able to analyse effectively but lacking the ability to select relevant supporting material, particularly in questions concerning foreign policy.

Q.5. Most candidates were able to address the issues surrounding the consequences of First World War on Italy well with knowledge of the indecision, events of the war, the 'mutilated victory' and post-war economic problems. They were able to contrast/integrate these with the long-term problems of the Liberal State, the rise of socialism and the methods of Mussolini and the Fascist Party itself in the increasing popularity of the PNF. Some excellent answers were able to focus explicitly on how the 'disappointment' with outcome of the war, particularly the 'mutilated victory' and the economic hardship, linked directly to the ideas and methods used by the Fascist Party whilst making it clear that this support was growing slowly rather than creating overwhelming support. Other responses focused on support from different groups in Italian society.

However, many answers, although able to access high Level 3 and low-secure Level 4 did not achieve the higher Levels because there was a lack of focus on the question asked. Some spent too much time describing the Italian war effort rather than focusing on the 'disappointment' with the outcome whilst others responded to this question in terms of Mussolini's rise to power or the failure of the Liberal State. Many answers merely stated that the territorial 'disappointments' of the war led to an increase in support without explaining why. There were a significant number of candidates who did not address the end date and mentioned events which happened after 1922, particularly the Acerbo Law, death of Matteoti and the Lateran agreements. There also seems to be a growing tendency to assert that the north-south divide explains most of Italy's problems during this period with little evidence of reasoning.

Q.6 produced some very interesting answers. The focus of the question was the success with which Mussolini increased the international prestige of Italy. It was expected that most answers would concentrate on the success with which this was achieved through his foreign policy. However, there proved to be a variety of different responses with some candidates balancing a discussion of foreign policy with comments on the role of Mussolini's domestic policies in enhancing Italy's international prestige. Many candidates produced engaging responses with well-reasoned discussion of the fluctuations in international approval of Mussolini's actions. There was sound discussion of early attempts to increase prestige in the Mediterranean and at the international conferences of the late 1920s, the domestic 'battles', forays into Abyssinia and Spain, relations with Britain, France and Germany in the 1930s and the final disastrous period of the war to 1943. Some candidates followed the change over time through a succinct chronological insecurity. In general, most answers were discursive and success depended on the ability to provide appropriate and relevant supporting material. Some weaker candidates focused solely on domestic policy and/or prestige in general.

F4 - Republicanism, Civil War and Francoism in Spain, 1931-75.

Candidates studying F4 are becoming more confident with the factual and chronological material involved and it was pleasing to see more candidates attempt questions covering the Franco period this session.

Q.7 was the most popular of the two questions and candidates used the material at their disposal to produce some very interesting answers. In general, candidates were able to bring together supporting material to discuss the political, military and territorial strengths of each side at the beginning of the war alongside discussions of the nature of support both internally and externally. The best responses were able to focus on the situation at the outbreak of the war itself providing some detail on the support of the different sections of the military, support from foreign powers and territorial strongholds. However, fewer candidates than might have been expected referred to the German aid given to airlift the Army of Africa. Also there was a general assumption that the Nationalists were potential stronger and more united at the outbreak because Franco was already the clear leader when his position was initially less obvious. Weaker candidates tended

to explain the reasons why the Nationalists won the Civil War but were able to include enough relevant material to achieve solid Level 3 answers.

Q.8 was less popular but the responses generally showed a good understanding of the pattern of change over time in Franco's Spain. Almost all candidates were able to give some definition of totalitarianism to establish extent and were able to refer to initial repression in the 1940s and 1950s followed by some liberalisation through technocrats, economic policies and foreign relations. Better candidates were able to discuss the nuances of totalitarianism as opposed to an authoritarian regime and were also more aware of subtle changes over time with the continuous control of information and the return to greater repressive measures in the early 1970s.

F5 - Germany Divided and Reunited, 1945-91

The quality of responses concerning this Topic on post-war Germany is very variable ranging from extremely well-prepared candidates with excellent detailed knowledge to candidates with a very insecure understanding of the chronology of events. The textbooks available for this Topic often cover material which is useful for both AS and A2 units across a variety of boards and so it is important that centres select supporting material for the 6HI01 specification carefully. In general the Topic produces interesting responses which are often very engaging.

Q.9 was by far the most popular choice and many candidates were clearly aware of the need to determine the success of the West German economy during this period and whether it really constituted an 'economic miracle'. There were many well-reasoned answers with strong supporting material however, most struggled to discuss the whole period to 1969. There was clearly an understanding of the arguments for and against 1945 as being 'Year Zero', the role of external and chance factors and the extent to which the West German economy was the built by Adenauer and Erhard. Weaker responses often narrated the story of the recovery in the 1950s with little judgement or seemed to be prepared only to answer a question required a comparison with East Germany rendering much of the answer irrelevant.

Q.10 was both less popular and less well answered. There were generally two approaches with candidates addressing the long-term reasons for the collapse of communism or focusing on the events of 1989. Both approaches led to some interesting answers integrating the external pressures from both the Soviets and the West with internal developments in East Germany. Most candidates were aware of external factors but a few candidates stated that Gorbachev's role was a completely separate factor. There was a general weakness with knowledge of both the chronology of events over the long-term, with some candidates confusing the Berlin Airlift, the building of the Berlin Wall and the opening of the Wall, and the lead up to and events of 1989.

Put a cro		you change you	r mind, put	question you have a line through the in another box 🛛.	chosen to answer 🛛. box 🐱
Chosen Questic	on Numb	er:			
Question 1	\boxtimes	Question 2	×	Question 3	
Question 4		Question 5	X	Question 6	\boxtimes
Question 7		Question 8	×	Question 9	×
Question 10	\boxtimes	Question 1	1 🖂	Question 12	
Question 13	×	Question 14	4 🖾		
(This page is for	r your fir	st answer.) Ho	xu fa	r was th	e collapse of
Commus	01500	in East	Cerry	Lawy in 19	et sub C8
				-	
was bee	end high	- 	woo	not bece	
external	Pre Sã	NAC CO.	pressi	<u></u>	*
1		1		-	time pressure
elected	peres	toive and	en to	st German's	Such as Berm
					ted Bermulan
- Red A	TW-7	no longer	- inte	ernal disc	greenents for
supported	s Ho	necher	rel	\$ -000	~
- debts	to t	He BRD			
made	DDD (mder			
its inc	Wenc	×.		(1)) (1))	
T					
- 1111117-11-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-	ىمەرەر بەرىمىرىغە ئەتەر مەرىپ				
		D			pset but exten

This is a high level 3 answer

LA-3	ee C	tremse	Wes
------	------	--------	-----

situations allowed Har to

(This page is for your first answer.) By 1989 the East Cerman had gone through much repression people Since the SED was established in 1946 and SED ~ 1946 and was Ubercht until the early 703 Bertin Uprising governed by Walter Watter Ubricht Leader of the SED East German people had put up with sector and economical agitation from the government, an example being the 1953 Berlin Uprising was due to a cert m wages and a which in working hours for the East Germans. Mcseeve The East Germans suffered from a low standed Immy, especially compared to the standards of two of Herr neighbors West German which between 1951 and 1966 were experiencing huge economicad growth known as the economic Besides economic repression from miracle. the lach of consumer goods and high working hours. the East Germans also had to put up with tough social climates due tex the East German police, He Stasi, and Special Ive in constant fear due to a program created the Stari known as the IM which consisted af. clotted and civilian informants, creating an environment repression and suspicion which was bound create enrity towardy the communist regime and would have a large role to play in the collapse

(This page is for your first answer.) East German in 1989, Another important point to consider way Honeeliers, East German Leaden about after Walter Ubricht from He early 703 until the He Collapse of communism in East Germany, decision Construct the Berlin Wall in 1961. The æ. Wall was very significant to the people of East Germany as although a period of economic stability pursued it's construction due to the decrease in fleeing the the West by the East German people, it still colated a added to devolation of the East Coman people, especially those in Berlin, and created resentment towards the regime that all them all from their camp, friends, and neighbors in the Westwhich would meate be significant in the downlash of communition. All this resentment lead to it's explosion in 1989 when borbader was elected president of lor reform, as the East Geman people and called saw this as a time to case ther living efficientions and increase fler standardy of ming and gain freedom of speech which lead to large protests months collaring Gorbacters words of ate reason that He Call of communism in 524 AnoHer Germany was internal is because of hour Hondle Honecher reacted to the protects, as he wanted to gun flem down, and then lead to an internal

(This page is for your first answer.) divide in the government of the East as many oppossed Honecker's retuehonce to Kelorm policies (3) Bu However allow ref. Go, backer 5. considering external Cactory, it mult be understood that since the creation of the SED in 1956, it was always just a puppet for the sover country lesse a would above by what stam and the USSA to be it. So, the 1953 Berlin upising that caused so much resentment, for example, was put down by the Red Army, Russia's military, to prove it's influence over East Germany. The seven year plan which where was introduced in 1957 and caused a decrease in wages and an even larger increase in working heurs than before plus the Bestin attimation Khaushen's, He USSR president at the time, Berlin Ultimatum and He U-2 cosis created He instability which lead to the construction of the Berlinwall which would create resentment in the Cerman people and later lead to the fall of we must consider that it was in East Germany Ale Gorbacher announced that there would be reparms in the Eastern Bloc countries which lead EL East Germans' rush for freedom and later He collapse of the communism. Another significat matter to consider with external influence is He role Willie Brandt's, leader & West Germany

(This page is for your first answer.) 1969 - 74, OSTPOLITS & and Policity which lead to be west going 1.2 bn dellars East This crea to the where over the East unvillingness to provide Codealera of armed resistance, led to the nesignation He Cell of commission in Horeeker and East O EN MANcondusion, it was although the external influences of Dussia and He BRD lead Honecher's inability to withstand the East German populace's protesty, it must be considered that more significent reason for the Call of community the the internal factors which lead to tte Ind. R. T. C. people's disatisfaction and wanting to throw communism out So, brexample, although Contrala referms in the Eastern Bloc countries unced , it should be taken into consideration Corbader was still a communist onthe leason be announced the reforms perestrolea and glainest was to make communismm the Eartern Bloc Sterter population CD. azued in this Can be essay That communism in East Seman mad mare bain et spanse to and dissatificaction in the country than POLICIES the external influences of the country

F6 - The Middle East, 1945-2001: The State of Israel and Arab Nationalsim

As with Topic E5 the textbooks which cover this period are generally written to cover a variety of AS and A2 units across different boards it is, therefore, important to identify the relevant supporting material which corresponds with the specification.

Q.11 was overwhelmingly the most popular questions. Most candidates were able to explain why Israel was so successful in surviving suggesting a variety of reasons such as national unity, external military and diplomatic support, disunity amongst Arabs and military tactics and to suggest which was the most important and/or the inter-relationship between the different factors. The best answers were able to focus on and, in some cases, question the phrases 'so successful' and 'threats to survival'. Some candidates concentrated on explaining Israeli success through a narrative commentary of the Arab-Israeli wars whilst others produced a multi-factored answer. Most candidates are very well versed in discussing each of the Arab-Israeli conflicts succinctly and as such are able to cover the time period well. However, the multi-factored answers tended to struggle to produce the most relevant and appropriate supporting evidence connected to a particular theme.

Q.12 appears to have been answered by very few candidates and, in general, seem to have been very weak. A few responses showed an awareness of the role of Iran in Middle East relations during the period 1979-2001 and/or were able to discuss differing reasons for instability such as the Palestinian question, Iraqi policies, non-Iranian Islamist politics and external factors. However, several answers also showed virtually no knowledge of events with Iran being mistaken for Israel and the time period discussed finishing in 1979.

Topic F7 - From Second Reich to Third Reich: Germany, 1918-45.

Overall the answers to questions on Topic F7 were disappointing mainly due to the lack of secure chronological and factual knowledge. There were a significant number of responses which had some general understanding but were awarded Level 2 marks due to a lack of awareness of the time period being discussed and due to major factual inaccuracies.

Q.13 was by far the most popular question with a wide variety of responses. Candidates used two approaches to the question with either a focus on the events of Hitler's appointment in January 1933 or a broader response addressing the longer-term reasons as to why he became Chancellor. Many candidates had a detailed understanding of the intrigue surrounding the appointment in January and the electoral progress of the Nazi Party. The best responses often argued that it was the political intrigue which led to his appointment but without the electoral success essentially created by the consequences of the Great Depression Hitler would not have been in the position to be appointed in the first place. There were many competent responses which although wellrelated to the focus did not address the question directly with seemingly pre-prepared answers on the Nazi rise to power. Some higher Level 3 responses clearly had some understanding of the factors leading to Hitler becoming Chancellor but could not see the links between them; the ability of Nazi propaganda to manipulate voters was often stated as being entirely divorced from electoral success, for example. Disappointingly there were many answers with major factual inaccuracies and confused chronology. Some candidates answered with references to the period 1919-23, many candidates believed that Ebert was President at the time, hyper-inflation was regularly cited as having been caused by the Great Depression and, despite January 1933 being explicitly stated in the question, many answers focused on the period January 1933 to August 1943; a number of candidates believed that Hitler was elected Chancellor through elections held in January 1933.

Put a cro Your seco
Chosen Questie
Question 1
Question 4
Question 7
Question 10
Question 13
(This page is fo
the In stas a) only chancel Resident Several Chancel Fir electoral secured Secured Secured

History 6HI01 F

Thereis majõntes. tho (This page is for your second answer.) support for the Su Narus from the postestantf rid 80 MASI elites Honrever on of the achieved due to the political æ Substantial 20 proportional personatation This large perentage & the the Hitter seem the other had the charcellor inis appointed by Hinderhers .. On, necessarily have I be the leader of ord dight the lingert pertin reason for Hittens appartment sintead & Schleier Puper charcellor has Jailures pocrisis Changellos The Bruising the Charles had frequently Chengod the years before 1953 ñ GA has instable Schleiber and Puper post stubility to the postition. Retric 500 tailures suttered. the te whitnes is the 5 gain hape Marc Maris acurad waterd the tentures of the pravious chance gani that Strong leader serel Gutter Stabily to Altan systems the hierstan a periasty distites Hindenlain Mass In appointed Shatt cartrareon? and the take alathan South 900 gran from

(This page is for your second answer.) town as ce has unles action into possible concerta His Jactos ere. month tandred Hottes Unale thour Unis as 60. Mile appointed tor Alter appointment hearson. cress medunes an anoten German the G. mon 150 the dr inder lenth Unamo owner 930 6.14 MUX 50,00 dier stat AD ... AD ... AD many and 5 major banks ppin atu (de This mount tree et crad the porement Carl rot to da CLA.C by Hindebury & appoint C Stores -92MAC A. 0 of the patitud - weatness h aster also 5 A Hite ara continuo В 6 gais support he haver the support an 10 Magan Δζ. Q., erestimate itte trangest the into pontes then let l a significant dain h appantment & Yutter recial 1 anero arlor er J. amit time tistly lead to the .81 4000 secondly prayerated le failures <u>G</u> ah Chancellors, the most with reaso

lenton (This page is for your second answer.) ultinalely persua 14 charle AJTA as evennen Der 1932 Q. BOOM

Examiner Comments

This answer relates well to the focus of the question by discussing the given factor and other factors and coming to a judgment in the conclusion. There are a reasonable range of factors with adequate although not always detailed supporting material. However, there is some lack of development and although there is judgement in the conclusion this is not integral to the essay as a whole. Q.14 was answered by significantly fewer candidates many of whom, as with Q.4 (F2), had an insecure understanding of the chronological and factual detail required. This question covered elements of both bullet point 3 and bullet point 4 of the specification. Bullet point 4 of the specification perhaps deviates most from the 'traditional' coverage of Weimar and Nazi Germany as it requires a specific understanding of the events in and impact of the Second World War in Germany. There are several episodes of the documentary series 'The World at War' which specifically deal in some detail with the situation inside Germany during the war years showing clear changes over time. A significant minority of candidates were unable to recognise the dates 1939-45 as corresponding to the war years and did not refer to Germany being at war at all whilst a larger number of candidates saw this as being incidental rather than pivotal to the question. Many of these candidates read the question as covering the period 1929-45 (with Nazis coming to power in 1929) or even 1919-25 whilst others wrote out the title accurately but used material almost exclusively from 1933-39 with a brief reference to the outbreak of war making things worse. As many of the social policies being described did carry on into the war years candidates were often able to achieve high Level 2 and low Level 3 but did not show enough understanding to access the higher marks. The question was also framed with reference to German citizens in order to broaden the responses in terms of German society and to allow for a greater discussion than just that of minorities (with some presumed awareness of the loss of citizenship rights of German Jews). However, many candidates wrote almost exclusively about either German Jews and/or minorities; a significant number of candidates beginning their answers with a list of minorities followed by a generalised description of social policy towards 'asocials' from 1933 onwards.

(hosen (lucetu	on Numbe	or:			
Question 1		Question 2		Question 3	
Question 4	×	Question 2	×		
Question 4		Question 8	×	Question 6	
Question 7	×		×	Question 9	X
-	×	Question 11		Question 12	×
Question 13	×	Question 14	\boxtimes		
		He Rog			
durin	g th	egeos	1330	3-451	alam
	****		I wohing	to the second se	z ndustri
did		*****	. <u>\</u>	did no	2(5-
			N I		-
		lecree	1		9
1 - 5 - 5	B. N			- autorn	
				tobard and	
				locusor,	
	, o d		-1-		23. L. C.
					235C3
					- & z. b
					2.3. <u>1</u> . C. I.

(This page is for your second answer.) In 1939 Hitter, He leader of the Noris party and futures of Coermany attacked Polend to begin his conquest Europe to consider how the attents of Germany's lives were affected forstly its pre-war policies must be considered. 1939, Dermany was enjoying a period of economic growth and industrialication and 3 years before the attach on poland had established a hour-year plan which was meanly to increase armament productor and altan autacky so that the county can survive without imports. So by 1939 the most German's hed good way stendard there way good employment tates. The German's benefitted from the emergence of World War Il which lasted from 1939 (41 was the beginning of Telal War) and ended in 1945, ay the morease in accupied lands meant fleir way cheaf land to be purchased which created a huge benefit, especially lor Landowners lendowners conjeged Nozi gears Also, as were waged large industries had more and more to more jobs for the German shick meant people and rater Garmans, This made the living standards of the German People quite

(This page is for your second answer.) Ligh Plus as Germany accupied country's such as Polend and later most of Russia, Hey began to gain more more political prisoners, Jaws, Sub-humans waser then when ENSLOWE Albert Speer's, mnister of economics during World War II for Germany, radicalication decree of la cheap labor which further increased the capacity of industries in armong. The German, by the stort of the war m 1939, had almost achieved full autoring as only rubber and some after goods needed mpsching, which helped the German people during the war. However, as the years into the parsed the German Economy began to fall due for economical decisions made by Hermann Cover way Minister of economiss before the start his four-year plan dishnot do - Securite of good of word expected plus enset of an early ware which began before -Jear plan - Jours for the 10 the He German 1943, At 6200, population would begin to hill the sting of fle economic downtum, which would worsen the trag stendards of the German people. Fer one thing so as the four-

(This page is for your second answer.) ______ ear plan hoursel mainly the production on rear mannente of food imp to which 50 00 loeg.ch. 21 V2 TON Mage probablem when outer hy chid not in Mully by the beginning of the war consequences of these were so draght that He end of the war n 1945 millions of Germany were starring. Throughout the years of war, Germany created more and more evening (He 0.5 enterna of He Ces in 45) more guins and ammuntron needed So Here more focus were the for armament production than even basic 00 so that by the end of the geods Jeliot. MOLE Paper ses serve of geld, this shows rolora the dechne in taking standards. the production of weapons and (00 Jacrease to which were falling due to bledeath a. http://weild men mear 255 Laborer to be recruited erel Co work in the that plus the mitroduction of rationing due to and at faltones - Hitler's the end of the large B inflation. degreequationalizme a very standicant lactor of the 5Ar the standards of mag decrea Se of the people was the allies we blenket bombag lead to Luhida 12 destruction of largey populated industrial

(This page is for your second answer.) or easy 3 uch as Cologne, which made many Germant homeless, Thus conclusion, although Some people Germany benefitted from the in 96 marcale due to btitler land Cong Lord + for a short period of time 17 ARON Pollog plen's use of re-armement lead to an increase in employmente. alre Hitters hast decision to imade foland and the Sale preperation time loc the Cremon erons He Coerman people Sor. 60 Starr ers. on R. and significant deboner VICIA Seates and wing determenter analamates. until finally in 1945, Marilions the 20-0 Corman's were wondess and pose poor

Results lus

Examiner Comments

The answer shows some understanding of the focus of the question and attempts analysis. However, the essay is weak in organisation and the supporting material although often relevant is insecure.

Having brought attention to the disappointing nature of many of the responses, there were, however, some very good answers. Many candidates were able to organise the knowledge available to them to write good Level 3 and low Level 4 answers which attempted to show change over time during this period with references to German 'prosperity' combined with high morale at the beginning of the war and the changes which inevitably occurred as tide of the war turned with rationing, bombing and invasion. Others were able to access the higher levels with a clear analysis of the situation in 1939, acknowledging that certain elements in German society were prospering but that the 'guns versus butter' debate was already going on, that minorities were under threat and that some German citizens were already questioning the Nazi propaganda machine, compared to the changes over time as the war effort increased. These answers often referred to rationing in more specific detail, the requirements to provide for the war effort, the debate over women in work, decreases in working conditions, specific bombing raids and the experiences in different parts of Germany.

In conclusion, as one of the examiners commented in their final report, "Able candidates wrote articulately and coherently and demonstrated a logical mind as well as good linguistic skills. Weaker answers were characterised by poor sentence construction, spelling and poor writing shills, which was a limiting factor in some answers where candidates clearly knew their history but struggled or failed to produce a coherent readable response."

Grade boundaries

Grade	Max. Mark	А	В	С	D	Е
Raw boundary mark	60	43	37	32	27	22
Uniform boundary mark	100	80	70	60	50	40

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publications@linneydirect.com</u> Order Code US024072 June 2010

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750 Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH





Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru Welsh Assembly Government

