



Examiners' Report June 2010

GCE History 6HI01 D





Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at www.edexcel.com. If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our Ask The Expert email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link:

http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/

Alternatively, you can speak directly to a subject specialist at Edexcel on our dedicated [subject] telephone line: [telephone number]

ResultsPlus

ResultsPlus is Edexcel's free online tool that offers teachers unrivalled insight into exam performance.

You can use this valuable service to see how your students performed according to a range of criteria - at cohort, class or individual student level.

- Question-by-question exam analysis
- Skills maps linking exam performance back to areas of the specification
- Downloadable exam papers, mark schemes and examiner reports
- Comparisons to national performance

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit www.edexcel.com/resultsplus.

To set up your ResultsPlus account, call 0844 576 0024

June 2010

Publications Code US024066

All the material in this publication is copyright © Edexcel Ltd 2010

6HI01 D

General comments - 6HI01 Option A/B/C/D/E/F

Once again the current cohort of candidates were generally well prepared, answered two questions without apparently experiencing difficulties with timing, and made a genuine attempt to engage with the question. However, it was noticeable that even well prepared candidates underachieved due to an increase in formulaic answers which did not directly address the specific question asked or show explicit understanding of the key issues. The comments below are intended to encourage both centres and future candidates to improve on past performance.

An important issue which was raised by many examiners this year concerns candidate interpretation of the questions set. Many candidates appear to believe that all the questions on the paper require them to consider the importance of a given factor in relation to a number of other factors. It is important that centres impress on future candidates that this is not the case; if it were, it would reduce the examination to a simple formula. The mark scheme requires an essay to 'present historical explanations and reach a judgement' and this is achieved through a variety of different question stems such as 'to what extent', 'how accurate',' how far',' how significant' and 'why'. Although the majority of questions require an analysis of relative importance not all of the questions do. Questions requiring the candidate to analyse relative importance are indicated through the use of trigger words such as 'main reason', 'key factor', 'primary reason', 'due to', 'responsible for' and 'explain'. Questions which do not refer to relative importance require a balanced answer with a counter-argument giving reasons for and against the given statement/factor. Questions which refer to 'significance' or 'importance' can often be addressed using either approach whilst 'why' questions do not require a counterargument (although this is often present in higher Level answers) but an overall judgement of the different reasons put forward.

The following examples indicate how some students underperformed by misinterpreting the question set:

Question A13: 'How accurate is it to say that Lambert Simnel and Perkin Warbeck were both serious threats to Henry VII's security?' Some saw this as an invitation to deal briefly with the two pretenders before considering other threats to the king's security, including the nobility, the Yorkist rump and the taxation rebellions.

Question A14: 'How far did Henry VII's financial policies strengthen the power of the monarchy?'. Many candidates wrote about other ways in which the monarchy was strengthened.

Question D7: 'How successful were the Five-Year Plans in transforming Russian industry in the years 1928-41?' Many dismissed the plans briefly before investigating the role of terror and the purges in transforming industry.

Most candidates produced a readable answer with, at the least, some historical knowledge and, at best, a sophisticated analysis. The analytical and evaluative answers at high Level 4 and Level 5 were impressive for their breadth and depth of knowledge, and by their sharp focus on the question. However, a significant number of answers were less directly targeted on the question; these seemed to be answering practice questions, or were a prepared response. As always, there is evidence that candidates were not answering questions on the current paper, but those on the previous one. This often leads to responses which 'relate well to the focus' (Level 4) but not 'direct focus' (Level 5) e.g. in Option E/F Question 5 candidates often referred to Mussolini's rise to power rather than growing support for the Italian Fascist Party. Now that there are ten sample

questions for each option (the four examination papers plus the sample questions) centres might consider it appropriate not to use the most recently asked questions in trial examinations.

A lack of both general and detailed chronological awareness is a growing concern. The importance of covering the timescale in the question is still a discriminating factor between candidates, and is dealt with in the reports on various questions. Candidates do need to be aware of the importance of key dates in the topics studied and, quite simply, the order in which events took place. A failure to understand why the dates in the question have been used led to a significant number of candidates failing to cover the whole period set, and thus to miss out some key events or developments, or referring to the wrong time period altogether.

Perhaps the most significant discriminator between different answers was the range and quality of supporting information. It is impossible for a candidate to frame an analytical response if the evidence offered in that answer is lacking in depth of development or is not directly relevant to the question. The generic mark scheme makes clear that progression through levels depends on the answer displaying two linked qualities; the strength of the explanation or analysis offered, and the range and depth of accurate and relevant material.

Examiners are required to reach a judgement on the quality of communication before awarding their final mark; it is an integral part of the mark scheme. Future candidates should be reminded that slang and argot have no place in an AS History answer; that spelling, punctuation and grammar may influence the quality of their argument; that it is worth learning how to spell the historical terms used in the topics studied; and that abbreviations, and the absence of capital letters, should remain in the realm of text messaging. It is also important to use correct terms when referring to people of different races and cultures.

Question 1

Many candidates accepted the invitation to discuss the shortcomings of the Qing dynasty's reforms and to weigh them against the various other reasons that contributed to their downfall. The administrative and economic reforms which were introduced in the aftermath of the Boxer rising were reasonably well known but their counter productive nature might have been more forcefully explained. Candidates were aware of the range of military reforms introduced, but few noted that a significant side effect of the reforms was to introduce radical and revolutionary ideas to the officer class. The limited nature of political changes might have been investigated in more depth, especially the range of functions assigned to the National Assembly. Most noted the restraining hand of the Dowager Empress Cixi, and that the pace of reform speeded up after her death in 1908. When a guestion on the fall of the Qing was asked in a previous examination session, the examiners report noted that too many candidates were sidetracked into providing background material, especially on the problem of foreign influence; this point needs repeating because, as always, students must answer the question set. The timescale for Question 1 referred to 'attempts at reform after 1900' and 'the fall of the Qing in 1911-12'. While contextual material can be useful, some candidates were diverted into explaining, or describing, too much background material, such as the Opium Wars and the Taiping Rebellion, and often missed the focus of the question. Others dismissed the given factor of attempts at reform in favour of other relevant factors such as the rise of the Revolutionary Alliance and the growth of nationalism. Relatively few addressed short-term factors to explain why the dynasty fell in 1911-12 rather than at any other time.

Question 2

There were few unbalanced answers to Question 2, with most students making sure to address the GMD's foreign and domestic enemies, and to cover the chronological range set by the question. The CCP's failure in the Autumn Harvest uprising and the subsequent withdrawal to the Jinggang Mountains in 1928 was well known, as were the failures of the early encirclement campaigns mounted by the GMD. Most went on to consider the Long March and its aftermath, setting the CCP's determination and strong leadership against the lack of control exercised by the GMD in many areas of western China. The Mukden incident and the establishment of Japanese control in Manchuria were both explained by the power of the Japanese forces and the insufficient military resources available to Chiang Kai-Shek. Some candidates were unaware of the significance of 1936 in the question, when the Second United Front of the GMD and CCP was formed against the Japanese. A handful of candidates saw GMD weaknesses as simply the result of Chiang's personal failings, which was too narrow a perspective overall. On the other hand, the best answers produced a hierarchy of reasons focused on the GMD, CCP and Japanese, and expressed an evaluative judgement based on that.



The answer begins with a descriptive introduction which does not link to the question. However, the rest of the response is much more effective. The poor leadership offered by Chiang to the Nationalist forces is contrasted with the more relaxed and open style adopted by Mao. The GMD's constant conflicts with domestic and foreign enemies led to poor morale and weak motivation among the GMD forces. An interesting point made is that the GMD's enemies were difficult to defeat because both the Japanese and the CCP were determined to succeed. The conclusion weighs up the various factors at work and decided that poor GMD leadership was most important; but also points out that all the factors are linked to each other in some way. The clear and organised argument, with secure supporting material and some attempts at an overview, make this a low Level 5 response.

Put a cross in the box indicating the FIRST question you have chosen to answer ⊠.

If you change your mind, put a line through the box ⊠

and then put a cross in another box ⊠.

Chosen Question Number: Question 1 Question 2 X **Question 3 E Question 4 Question 5** Question 6 X **Question 7 Question 8 Question 9** X Question 10 **Question 11 Question 12 Question 14** Question 13 (This page is for your first answer.) The GMD, or Nationalists as are often referred to, went from ruling WHE grasp or all on the con foreign but also rival powers China, ie the CCP, or Communists Firstly, it could be said were unable to dejeat them because of leadership and mutakes made by Chiang For example in the Chinese and war, it Known that Chiang was too at authorit hu approach in battle. He would movement of troops mues away, whereas his leadership, and take important decisions. have lost the GMD alot of

(This page is for your first answer.) and alot cy power to the

CCP and probably contributed towards

He GMD's inability to depend many powers,

foreign and domestic Another example is

Chiang's bad decisions At the battle ay

Shanghai, Chiang left 90,000 troops under

the control of an Cpuin smoking worlord

as Chiang fled. The troops and the worlord

gove into the Japanese ofter 3 days which

allowed the Japanese to advance This again

shows Chiang's bad leadership and how it

contibuted towards the inability to be at other

powers.

Another reason which can be said

is the fact that the GMD were constantly fighting wars with various groups, which (get them weakened. For example, whilst the GMD were bearing the brust of the war with Japan, the CCP could build up their army. The CCP go went from 40,000 members to 1.2 million in 1927 to 1935, and they went from 22,000 to 880,000 troops in the same hine frame This meant that by the Civil war Chiang's troops tood a much worse time because the CCP tood boen able to strengther, furthering the GMP's orability

(This page is for your first answer.) to beat other powers. Also He jad that the GMD was constantly at meant that Chiang's troops were poorly motivated, less loyal and generally worse of They were notoniously less loyal than CCP troops, and this may well have been down to the jack that they were constantly sighting, and were malrouristed, kired and weakened because of it Again this would have contributed towards the GMD's violity to dejeat the CCP in the cirl war, and other powers in general Finally another reason why the GMD may were unable to dejeat fareign and domestic powers could have been because of the strength and determination, coupled with the teadership of these posses. For example, He Japanese were notonionally determined to achieve dominance on China, particularly in the mineral nich North East (Marchuria). They saw the Chinese as subhuman, and their actions at the Rape of Naying of December 1937 show this Their determination combined with the lack of motivation of Chiang's troops like I said

(This page is for your first answer.) fowards the GMD's inability h boat porcign and domestic powers Another example is the determination on the CCP, and inporticular the Long March The Long March, storting in October 1934 showed the determination of the CCP 80,000 - 85.000 died, and at the time it was seen as an outright disaster for the CCP, however it helped them achieve domenance in many GMD controlled areas, and again, coupled with the weakness of and lack of motivation of Cheang's troops, dejuitely contributed bowards the GMO's inability to beat offer powers, joeign and domatic In conclusion then, I appears that the most important reason for the GMP's nability to beat foreign and domestic powers was down to poor leadership. The leadership and inparticular Chiang made many mixtakes, with the Battle of Stanghai being the most prominent, and ultimately these mistakes will have contributed towards the GMP's enablish to beat other porvers. What's more, Here mistakes may have lead to some of He poor motivation and morale of Chiang's troops, which was also a marine problem for

(This page is for your first answer.) He GMD, But also He

fact that the GMD was sighting very

strong opposition, which shouldn't be ignored.

The Japanese exparticular were extremely

difficult enemies which combined with

Chiang's poor leadership and subsequent lack

of motivation within the army, lead to the

GMD's inability to dejeat other powers.

It does become apparent that all the reasons

are somewhat come connected, and almost

cause a dominaes expect of regative

consequences for the GMD, but the main

starting point and problem was the GMP's

poor leadership, which is the most important

reason why the GMD were

unable to dejeat foreign and dometric powers



Remember that too much contextual material in an answer on the fall of the Qing dynasty may unbalance the answer overall.

Question 3

Two approaches predominated in answers to Question 3. Some candidates identified the location of power in post-1949 China, but went on to provide a narrative without explaining its nature. Several referred to the Three Antis and the Five Antis campaigns of 1951 without explaining what these involved. The Hundred Flowers movement and the Great Leap Forward were described, but a balanced argument was not developed; instead answers focused on Mao's leadership without addressing other factors. Several failed to note the timescale of 1949-62 and included irrelevant material on the Cultural Revolution and the mobilisation of young people. Others pointed out that Mao's spell in the shadows between 1962 and 1966 provided evidence against the existence of a personal dictatorship. Better answers noted Mao's dictatorial preferences, although many candidates developed an argument in support of the suggestion in the title simply by attributing all policy decisions to Mao and arguing that since they had such dramatic consequences, his must have been a 'personal dictatorship'. Having said that, candidates were well informed on the key policy areas. More discriminating answers focused on the word 'government' and used knowledge of key people and sections of the government (Politburo and Central Committee) to inform their argument. Knowledge of the role played by the army was less frequently known. On a more positive note, many candidates were able to see that some episodes remain ambiguous - particularly the 'Hundred Flowers' campaign. Some of the best answers noted the disastrous effects of the Great Leap Forward, and that several key party members were strong enough to stand up to Mao and rein in his worst excesses.



The answer attempts an explanatory focus on Mao's government and the extent to which it was a personal dictatorship. There is reference to his agricultural and industrial policies, but supporting evidence is not extensive, and there is no effective analysis of the Great Leap Forward. The Hundred Flowers campaign and its effects are handled with more detailed support, and there is a more secure link established to the question. The contrary evidence provided, on relations with the USSR and on Lysenkoism, is not persuasive. While there is an attempt to frame an explanation here, the quality of supporting material is not very strong, especially on Mao's economic policies. Material is lacking in depth in places, though it is accurate overall. A high Level 3 response.

Put a cross in the box indicating the FIRST question you have chosen to answer \boxtimes . If you change your mind, put a line through the box \boxtimes and then put a cross in another box \boxtimes .

Chosen Question Number:								
Question 1	×	Question 2	×	Question 3	⊠			
Question 4	\times	Question 5	×	Question 6	×			
Question 7	×	Question 8	×	Question 9				
Question 10	×	Question 11	\boxtimes	Question 12				
Question 13	×	Question 14	×					
(This page is for	your first a	nswer.)	***************************************					
			0 0 01	7	Consiss			
***************************************		ر کرم می			A touch a contrary			
		dictateship &	& MLO	(00)	The sulci			
			7	0,	Sc _ 2 VO			
COUNTERS			/		1 2 hed to			
1	***************************************	A	2 Colforer	~\'cic\	Zyong Kill-			
locoo	c) with in	ah Indy 19	and consider	Plicies	const			
100 not 0	J	areov	~~~	- Expers	nanii ta eega ta ya kaa aa a			
of the state	Show			Isra &	511:13 ST: 115			
	***************************************				(00)28/11/11			
		(0.00(+)-)						
3 1he	Sou	much 02	& chin	s in the	years 1445			
-62 1	~c)	Ovite lux	elh be	sed on in	er bonch dictdoship			
- 62 LCJ Quite lugely based on partianal dichardy								
Over makes and agricultural policy and the works trap								
at the la blaners Compaign placed by Mo to A cheaver any								
AN' We opened in this. Shoe this toward her other								
backers abbecling Governmen other than Simply Moo's								
dictate	shio.			·				

(This page is for your first answer.)

The tight slip that Chairman Mao Kept on egricultural and Industrial Policy in China Shows the extent to which this the Government will bases on his preme dictotorania. His dire your plan do instance where he believed think ngeded to cotch up with the west and the Soviets with beary industry; toses on comodities prouction were Set in 1952 Such as Cool at 113 Toms and ouclocked in 1957 with cool & Laching it's tuset Lith 115. This show how Mais personal agenda of ectioning up with the rest the word todast a mobiling then became the operations so conde es it has Sow a his dictology. This can de be sen with the clearing is many housed and shops to create the 100 cove Space for Timoner Soure to be buil between 56-8 c) Mas more some and indisted it was disserted the the red Squercy Mcs & Les the one man Government. Another exercise of Medis obsolve pour in Government is the has he ignered all experts with his assignment redoins asked as yeasons in social the les unpopula Collectivistic programme which eventually lead to a femine where wand So million died.

Another example Showing how do the Governing,

(This page is for your first answer.) 08 Chine between the 1949 and 1962 as losely body on the personal Power as Mas Zedang was the was he so clarge was the loo black comprise as a Sever to uncil engage apoling him as can enjore considering doing enthing why Thou enter es in example. After the size the sized breedon or expression to everyone too and critisism has being thrown at the Chinese Communist party highranding atticids ad evo milly at Ma Limself, he to did a complete U-turn as stores the ali-rishlish research. In this call the people who made critisism were dozed to retreat their statements and intellectuals have down to comit thereties to re-education, Showing Med's Complete pure over the Commont as anyone horling to tope Catibuse has Snowed at and dell with shother example a this to is how Meo have an example of Zhoo only books him to make a very homiliation applies indict or the vest of the parts for the contratal accessions of being to Slow in underesting Med's relicies. This was See to other that is this ca hopen to the Bovers logal 2 ha enki then it ca happante ager , the any to way to evail of it is to conform absorber, edding to Meo's dominace our the government is no one due challage him.

(This page is for your first answer.) However it ca be usual that without even realising it Mad did not have a Complete dictolorship over the Government, the Soviet here playing him clay indiancing him & having Your through Mco cs a pupper. Exemples of this call be Son in the Sino-Soviet pect of 56 where any 5% of what the USSR gave once was the service Investment the rest had to be paged been Lin high Introst. 30 The del LO very man in Swear of the Sociely recicular a loge parties of charle simile dor 10,000 scientist win they gave them. Storing how the Soviets were Cotrolling Med Extering in holding their our economy. Also how Miso though the weed of the rustien Scientist Lysens on egriculture were brilliant and indured them on the Unde or chine. This nivity to Med in Addring the USUR led to disstus Such as I Sparan Side ad ex com to the great form how how Mac was have expected by men the costs of Greenest but was easily bullianced. In cardosin the Government of this between 49-62 and very mun bested on Moo's preach dicktuship are to the land application and tight stip a policies.

Question 4

Policies affecting women were very well known and most candidates had no difficulty showing that changes in the law were not reflected in overnight changes in attitudes. Few noted that Mao had been committed to women's rights for many years before 1949. Most answers were aware of the significance of the early reforms of 1949-50. The Marriage Law and the right to own property were both well known, though the significance of the latter was virtually cancelled by the rapid pace of collectivisation. Several failed to provide a balanced answer, and focused too strongly on the reforms themselves. More might have been made of the problems which the government faced in enforcing the law, especially in outlying provinces, where ingrained Confucian traditions proved almost impossible to change. A significant omission from many answers was any detailed consideration of the limited role of women in the political life of the country. As late as 1959 only 14% of deputies to the CCP Congress were women. Some candidates considered the Cultural Revolution but often could not identify whether it had positive or negative connotations for women. Some answers had a narrow timescale, with little after 1966 beyond a few references to Jiang Qing. However, it is encouraging to report that an increasing number of candidates are aware of the work and significance of Ding Ling.

Put a cross in the box indicating the FIRST question you have chosen to answer ⊠.

If you change your mind, put a line through the box ₩

and then put a cross in another box ⋈.

and then put a cross in another box .									
Chosen Question Number:									
Question 1	×	Question 2	×	Question 3	×				
Question 4	×	Question 5	×	Question 6	×				
Question 7		Question 8	\times	Question 9	×				
Question 10	×	Question 11	×	Question 12	×				
Question 13	\times	Question 14	X						
(This page is for	your first	answer.)	\$	Armaniiii (maanotti miniiii) ilaa	No	***************************************			
Plan - Van	en adrieu	e equality - mac	rise	cforms 1950s a	titude of ac	Hy/Mas			
				ion/loud reforms i					
famire. Classica (forms									
In 1949 the airese Communist Party seized power with Mass as their leader Poter									
		_							
to this China had been a patriarchal society with women being viewed as									
inferior to men Diring the 1950s initiatives such as marriage and land reform									
appeared to in	nprove th	position of wom	er arg	dollars gave then	legal equalify	3. However			
the attitude	of the (CCP China's M	maining	prejudia and pease	t janorma	towards the			
the attitude of the CCP China's remaining projudice and present ignorma towards the reforms certainly as suppress that this was not the case in practice									
Thurs a serving so soldens They has very lot over row in his care									
Evidence to suggest women had actioned equality with the man includes the marriage									
reforms of the 1950s This gave women equal status with men as divorce was now									
made easier, people who had entered into arranged marriages could dissolve the union and									
the need for downies and bride-prior prior to marriage was abolished. This gave women									
The abolition of a concubinage also gave women equality as it removed the view of									
equal status as they now had an equal footing with men legally on mothers of marriage. Ond foot binding The abolition of a concubinage also gave women equality as it removed the view of and the pain and suffering they endured. Women being seem objects for sexual pleasure. Therefore, the marriage reforms up the									

Moreover, early lond reforms granted women the right to own, sell and rest land! property. This also gave women equality with men as previously all property lond dealines were dealt with exclusively by men. By giving women property rights not only were they gaining equality with men but independence from them. However, of 1958-1962 the Great Loop Formatives to collectivisation. As a result, private ownership was abdished Thus, the relative gains make by women were short lived and the equality
property. This also gave women equality with men as previously all property lows dealines were dealt with exclusively by men. By giving women property rights not only were they gaining equality with men but independence from them However, of 1958-1962 the Great Loop Formatives to collectivisation. As a result, private ownership was abdished. Thus, the relative gains made by women were short lived and the equality
dealines were dealt with exclusively by men. By giving women property rights not only were they gaining equality with men but independence from them However, of 1958-1962 the Great Loop Forward led to collectivisation. As a result, private ownership was abdished. Thus, the relative gains made by women were short lived and the equality
only were they gaining equality with men but independence from them However, of 1958-1962 the Great Loop Forward Led to collectivisation. As a result, private ownership was abdished. Thus, the relative gains made by women were short lived and the equality
abdished Thus, the relative gains made by women were short lived and the equality
abdished Thus, the relative gains made by women were short lived and the equality
they adviced was undermined by collectivisation. Therefore, the land reforms contributed
to women gaining equality with men but this was soon to be undermined by
the agricultural policies of the Great Lap Forward.
There is a great deal of evidence to suggest that women chid not gain equality with man aportionally the altitude of Mao and the CCP whilst Mao apole
of his belief in equality amongst the sexes particularly in the 1930s the reality
was very different. Mad had many consubines, which certainly does not concur the with
the idea of equality. Moreover, the party remained a male-dominated system with
female colleagues of ten being harangued. Therefore, the attitude of the leadership in
reality towards women gaining equality remained largely negative. As such it would
be difficult for women to gain equality is they did not have support from
the people in power Therefore, women did not goin equality in practice in the
years 1949-76 as demonstrated by the attitude of Mac and the party towards

Moreover, the attitude of Chinese society in general remained unchanged pollowing the

(This page is for your first answer.) reforms. Society still viewed women as inferior to men seed This can be seen in the fack that the birth of a body of girl was viewed as a financial down on resources whilst the birth of a body was seen as on investment as he would be able to possible and core for his prents in their old age. The unchanged additude of society is also seen in the groat famine that of pass-1962 occured during the Great Leap Forward blamen suffered most obving this time with daughters left to die and their first due to their financial down of steen on resources and being eaken afterwards. Mothers also left their families.

Sacrificing the little amount show of food their received for their family.

Therefore, the unchanged attitude of Chinese society towards women cartainly supposes that women did not gain equality with men.

The peasant population also exemplify that women did not gain equality in China as they largely ignored any reforms passed by the CCP regarding the issue. The rules implemented during the marriage reforms were ignored and women still remained inferior to men. Make relatives despite how distant the relation had authority over women and in provinces such as Xinjiang women were beaten for shawing too much independence. This charly shows that women did not gain equality with me in the years 1949-76 particularly in the peasant population, which remained one of the togets largest demographics in China.

In conclusion, it is evident that whilst women gained some measure of equality with men in legislation. The reality was that little changed from the days of imperial & China. Women were still viewed by society as injerior beings and before true equality could be gained this needed to change It is society who label and Judge people and who appets are daily life the most of the attribute of

(This page is for your first answer.) Society towards the issue of women gaining could got change that the situation would not change Therefore, in practice women did not gain equality with men in the years 1949-76.



The answer opens with a focused and balanced introduction, which is a reflection of the rest of the answer. The candidate discusses the early reforms, notably the laws on marriage and on abolishing footbinding, as well as the land reforms which enabled women to own property. There is a secure counter-argument developed, which notes Mao's personal attitude towards women, and that peasant attitudes were deeply entrenched and were unlikely to change simply in response to new legislation. The conclusion confirms this view, suggesting that, overall, little had been achieved in the government's attempts to enhance the status of women. This is a well developed answer, with a clear argument for and against the idea that the status of women was improved by the CCP. Written communication is good, and supporting information is extensive. A mid Level 5 mark was awarded.

Question 5

At the higher levels were answers from candidates who had clearly revised all the policies and actions of Alexander III; this gave them a head start in developing their answers, backing up the points made with detailed reference to Russification, the Okhrana and the army. Many other candidates knew the main elements of repression used by Alexander III and Nicholas II and could comment on their impact on potential opponents of the system. However, there was a widespread assumption that all repression was effective and that the Okhrana grimly terrorised all potential opponents; their amateurishness and the existence of double agents never received the attention it might deserve. The main divisions among the opponents into Populists, Marxists and liberals were explained by stronger candidates as was the divisive effect of the 1905 revolution in frightening the liberals. Better answers could also point to the way certain reforms undermined the opposition, though the October Manifesto received a lot more credit than the land reforms of Stolypin in achieving this. It is a pity that Stolypin and his reforms continue to be sidelined by candidates. Few answers explained how the so-called "wager on the strong" helped some peasants and therefore weakened potential opposition. Less secure answers came from those who struggled to give examples which related to the whole period, while some ignored one of the two Tsars concerned completely. Others failed to address the weaknesses of opposition in favour of examining why the tsarist regime was able to survive in this period. These issues are, of course, similar, but they are not identical. It is important to note that many candidates displayed little knowledge of the range of opposition groups, or the divisions within and between them. While most were aware of the opposition provided by the Social Democrats, there was less development on the Socialist Revolutionaries, with only a few aware of the ineffectiveness of moderate opposition such as the Constitutional Democrats and the Octobrists.



The answer investigates the period of reaction after 1881, noting that it was successful in suppressing opposition but was also instrumental in causing the 1905 revolution. There is a detailed discussion of opposition parties, notably the Social Democrats, and the candidate highlights the fact that these groups were unable to work together. Change over time is considered through the comment that the October Manifesto divided the opposition even more after 1905, and that the peasantry were broadly content with the government's reform plans. The conclusion is a substantial and thoughtful one, which weighs up the different processes at work over the period before coming to a reasoned and persuasive conclusion. A strongly analytical and detailed answer, communicated well; high Level 5.

Put a cross in the box indicating the FIRST question you have chosen to answer ⊠.

If you change your mind, put a line through the box ₩

and then put a cross in another box ⋈.

Ch	Ouestion	N.L. comp. In sector
l nasen	UNIESTION	Number

Question 1	\boxtimes	Question 2		Question 3	
Question 4	X	Question 5	\boxtimes	Question 6	
Question 7	×	Question 8	×	Question 9	X
Question 10	×	Question 11	×	Question 12	X
Ouestion 13	F33	Ouestion 14	E3		

People's Will in 1581, Alexander III began a senes of repressive policies—
the Reachan. These policies were continued by his successor. Dicholas II,
and were successful in quelling opposition forces to some extent.
However, the weaknesses of the Tsat's opponents were a major
factor in the survival of Tsanst rule.

The Reaction was extremely repressive and almost to prevent a repetition of Alexander II's assassination by clamping down on freedom of speech, religion and movement. This made any attempt to coordinate a challenge to Transt rule very difficult; the apposition groups were forced to use underground taches, which would publicating their news extremely difficult. The Reaction encouraged pagrons against Jews, using the national minorities as scapegoals for Russia's problems, economically and polytically; many people believed that the Trax was not to blame for Russia's problems, as shown by the peasanting calling the Trax their "little Father", and were therefore unlikely to

emplayed by the apposition parties. The Reachan, it can be seen, was effective in limiting the popularity of apposition groups and therefore enabling the Tour to maintain his hold on power, but it was also a major comb whing factor to the 1905 Revolution; pearants and worker repression ud to anger and resentment, which fed into rebellion against the Tour after Bloody Sunday Therefore, the Reachan was not wholly effective at quashing apposition, and may have actually increased the likelihood of Kevolution.

The failure of the 1905 Revolution was due to a humber of reasons, but splits within the opposition were a major factor in its weakness. In 1903, the social Democrats split into Bolsheviks and Mensheviks; the Bolsheviks, under lenin, believed that only the elike, who could devote all their time to promoting revolution, should be able to join the fairly, whereas the Mensheviks believed members hip should be open to all. This cawed an inerrent problem among the apposition; there was much focus on 'in-fighting', rather than forming a united apposition to the Tsar. This meatiness can clearly not be blamed on the Teaction; the dividing factors were ideological and would have noen to the surface at some point without the Fast's repressive policies.

Turther to this, there were also many groups

(This page is for your first answer.) COMPENING WAY OU ONOTHER FOY supremacy of apposition, which couved a major not in: the apposition. The Liberals, Socialist Revolutionnaines and Social Democrate all wanted different changes in Russia, and many had different methods of achieving these aims. This meant the opposition was weat; they were unable to cooperate and form an efficient fighting for against the Tsar, enabling him to ride out the 1905 Kevoluhan. This, again, was separate to the Reaction divisions both within and amongst points were ideological and were not a result of the repressive regime. After the 1905 Revolutions failure, the opposition parties became even weaker; not only had they tailed to topple the Bar, but they were also split on the next step. The Tear issued the October Hourifeto In 1905, which caused a split in the liberal party the Octobrish believed the Bour would follow through with his promises and him Russia into a democratic state, unievers the kadets were more sceptical and called for an entirely elected fariamentary body This was a clever Jackic from the Tsar; he had sourished some of the demand of the opposition, whilst nover intending to relinguish power and further splitting the opposition. This step was a major meakening factor for the opposition, and was especially effective after the humilialing failure

(This page is for your first answer.) of the 1905 Revolution. This tackic was not using repressive forces at all, but instead was appearing to succumb to revolutionary demands whilst still actually clinging onto power. After the 1905 Revolution, Russia embarked on a penool of economic change, in the hope of initialing a process of rapid industrialisation to catch up with the other Great Powers troonomic change way ud by Bunge, Vyshnegradsky and, later, Witte, and wer focused phyonly on agricultural reform: sertdom was aboushed in 1861 and Bunge ended redemption payments after 1905. The feasant land Bank was established to provide peasants with funds to buy more land or & more to siberia, which was only sparsely populated All those changes soutisfied the peasantry, who had been a driving force of the 1905 Revolution, and made the opposition yet weaker; the peasands were happy with the reforms under the Sour, and were therefore not inlying to usten to the mens of the opposition parties. This all changed after Russia's humiliating military defeats during word war one, but in the immediate years after the Revolution the peasantry was unwilling, on the whole, to participate in apposition activities. Therefore, the economic changes between 1906 and 1914 also played an important volu in weakening the opposition

(This page is for your first answer.) Penaps to a greater event that Alexander III's and Nicholas II's repressive policies. 6 conclude it is endered that the failure of the 1905 Revolution was largely due to the weather merof The opposition, rather than the strengths of the Tsar, and that the Tsáx was an important tactor in the appositions weakness; the Reaction, it is mue, can be attributed as the reason for a lack of auxi-Transt feeling before 1905, as the opposition was found to work in secretard found it difficult to publicise its news However two bactors weaken the case that the Reaction was the main reason for the weakness of the apposition: firstly, the Reaction did in fact help cause the 1905 Revolution by repressing peasants and workers and coursing massive resentment, thus strengthening the opposition, and secondly, the Reachian country be the cause of the fortal dission amongst apporents both before and after 1905; before 1905, the apposition was splite over aims and methods, due to aftering idedogy, and after 1905 the opposition was stricted on the October Manufesto Thus, I duragree that the repressive policies of the Tour were the moun folder in the oppositions weakness, and I instead believe that ideological difference were the moun cause, aided by the Isou's tachical mainoueutes after the 1901 Revolution.

Question 6

Candidates found plenty to write about in explaining the contribution of war in causing the 1917 revolutions. Better answers differentiated between the war's political and economic effects on both the Tsarist system and the Provisional Government, pointing out how initial popularity soon faded and led to demands from the political elite for a more competent approach to the war. Candidates were well versed on Nicholas' mistakes and the negative impact of his decision to take over as commander - in - chief, but there was a tendency among weaker candidates to assume that all mistakes or hardships inevitably brought revolution closer by galvanising opposition forces. Higher quality responses explained the circumstances of each revolution and focused on the key groups responsible for bringing about change - be it Nicholas' abdication or the Bolshevik coup. Some of the best were able to see that the war was a catalyst for the first revolution and a foundation for the second. Some candidates made telling contrasts with the situation in 1905, referring to the army's general loyalty then, and contrasted it with the Petrograd garrison's behaviour in February. Most candidates could broaden out answers to discuss alternative factors such as lack of land reform and the impact of Lenin, though these too could be linked to the war. An important issue with other candidates was a failure to consider both revolutions in their answer, with many focusing only on the February Revolution and others tacking the October Revolution on at the end of their answer, almost as an afterthought. Few of these answers considered the importance of the roles of both Lenin and Trotksy, or the weaknesses of the Provisional Government. Others were diverted into detailed, often unnecessary, information on the role of the Tsarina Alexandra and of Rasputin; or of describing the progress of the war rather than analysing its impact. Several weaker answers insisted that either Bloody Sunday or the Russian civil war counted as one of the 1917 revolutions. The feedback from examiners suggested that many candidates would have been happy to produce a complete answer on either revolution, but were unable to select material appropriately for both. As always, planning the answer was invariably the key to success.



The answer maintains a focus on the question set, and attempts an explanation of the role of war in causing both revolutions in 1917. Inflation is mentioned, though more could have been made of the wider economic dislocation brought by the war, notably the provision of food supplies for the towns. Military problems are addressed with some links established to both revolutions. The loss of traditional supporters is discussed as a factor in the March revolution, while the candidate appreciates the significance of the Kornilov affair in causing the October revolution. The conclusion notes that several factors are at work throughout 1917, but does not weigh the significance of some of these. This is an analytical response supported by accurate factual material. However, the selection of material is not consistent and balanced and there are some gaps, notably the role of the Tsar and the failings of the Provisional Government. Low Level 4.

		and then put	a cross in	line through the another box ⊠.	_			
Chosen Questio	on Numbe	r:						
Question 1	X	Question 2	×	Question 3	×			
Question 4	×	Question 5	×	Question 6	×			
Question 7	\boxtimes	Question 8	\boxtimes	Question 9	×			
Question 10	×	Question 11		Question 12	×			
Question 13	×	Question 14	\boxtimes					
(This page is fo	r your first	answer.)	*****************					
	P+1155111822+88884+4+++++++	***************************************			u skýticehzn			
	***************************************	6	OF 1	eprosicn				
	***************************************	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		21-1-6-				
		***************************************		Bloody Sur	vocuy			
weather								
***************************************	*******************							
***************************************		***************************************		air (1. 1. riomhair 2000 a 11. 1. gcadhadhna a 11. iir ainn an	(4,111,338,338,611,411,411,411,411,411,411,411,411,411			
		**************************************		paramaninga arai anninga arai tanning	aans oimmaan kaisisein ainstannien			
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	***************************************		581,80020440-14411141 b12700					
	##### 1 0 1 b 1 b 1 b 1 b 1 b 1 b 1 b 1 b 1 b	//	111111177222222222411111111111111111111					
		4						
and a contract of the special section of the	*		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					

(This page is for your first answer.) Some historians would believe that the impact of world war one explains the outbreak of the two revolutions, we must realise that world War one put a Orain on the secondy economy and destroyed some of the transport system and exposed the weakness of the army good this could'be led to the out break of the revolutions. However some historicas would disagree that world war one doesn't portray why there was an outbreak of revolution As we must recognise the loss of traditional supporters which was the army didn't support him in putting a coulning the Putilou Otri he There were at was also long tom causes for example the Foor's use of repression and the Russo Japenese wat which exploited the Isar's weathness to may have ted to the out. These causes are very Significant in explaining out break of the February revolution Howar Wa must recognise the hornilou affair and the land question were bey in explaining the october revolution It is clear that world war one doest explain all components to the recision or we theo revolutions However we learn during must consider inplation during the World war One was a cause of concern the Russian socrety and does explains why there! was outbreak to the Provincial Crowment wanted to . over throw the Tsar in the February Revolution. We learn

(This page is for your first answer.) before 1914 Russia had 98% of bank notes on the gold Gandard and they also had one of the largest gold receives during the war. However due to the war Russia put more notes in circulation this enabled wages to be paid in the long term it didn't benefit the the value of money was worthless . The economy as Took's poor governing of the economy cost could be significed to the provincial government that it wasn't effective enough in governing the country and this coldine why they wasted to overthrow the provincial expremnent As well as the economy - of the war having an effect. in the outbreak of the war february revolution we must realise the weakness of the army was also keep in explaining the outbreak of the february raudulion. We learn that Russia in 1914 had an 28 90 mobilisation and Still had large amount of troops for defence. However the army's large size meant they lacked earlipment to cight the epposition and is 1916 serious Chortages occured due to pour distribution and & weath leader this This lead Tocus to become sole commander of armed forces; in 1915 to rally his troops and heep a high merale However in 1416 scrious Ghorhages accord and in the Time opposite · Brutilas offensive in June 1416 Russia was replied by the Germans, The heavy depeat and power

(This page is for your first answer.) performance of the Tour was reasoning for the according for a new government as the Tour was too with and inexperienced to yourn an unproperted army.

As well as a weak army, the impact of world war one explains the fall of the previncial government on the october Revelvior as they failed to leave the war and bring peace herenohy ordered por people of Russia to enter embrace Cerman conflict and own made passistable speeches at the pront. However is in the June openant land, the Teo Russia launched a massive entruch on the south weretern pront. However due to low morale and invent, they were replaced by Cremans incomed heavy losses and while regiments described. The soldiers lack of loyally to the war suggested they distrit were in favour theward the provincial government decided to continue and this world explain why the described the previous government of evidences.

Howard we must recognise other components that

Aplain the attendent of the face reachters the description

Of the Tourist traditional osupporters the (Army) explains

Why the Prairie of government than power in the February

According. As on the 18th of February 1917 the philos

(This page is for your first answer.) wormers Ornived due to first Shuttergo Shortages on the 23-d women joined due to brend Chartages. 25" of February Retrograd was in city wide Orihe, This led The Tour to we learn police troops felt sympathy for the revolution area and Tsar's own troops Ground insorbordination. The Tsar asked ! General Wholodou to contain the shation. However he called back and dorbled whither - Situation could be contained. 98 On the 26th of February 150,000 games troops deserted Tour with the Tour supporting from " no defence and nobody to put down the revolt this hed to his abditation and the February Revolution. Also the The Kamilou Affect also played a massive. role in the outbroak of the och ober revolutions As Gneral hamiles was an ex Tour general and believed. before they could fight & Gremany they need to put down " revolts in Russia hornilar decided to bring troops into Petrograd to avoid Social answerchin Kerendy interpreted this as betrayal and pt Petrograd under Martial Law. komiles announced presided government as houters and decided to overther and sold that they are willing army so august 1117 he attached Percyrad However the . therenony asked for legal eithers to defend country and also bet Boldheiths out of prison so the Housever this wasn't needed as Raiway repused to bring on troops

(This page is for your first answer.) so hornibu surrendered. So since thereasing gave provided government arms they plud sed to overthrow and presented itself as sections of the only. This explains why there was an outbreak -of the Februar october revolution as the Bolohavins took enduanhage of the resources they possessed. In conclusion it is clear that world war one doesn't explain all components of the revolution. As we must recognise many other hay each that had to success in the reachions. An example is hornibe appear as the Bothwiles had arms to defeat the previncial government in the actor revolution without herendry's rustake they would be in prior of exile because of the July Pays Also the tour's desertion of his loyal supporters * explains the outbrook of revelibion as in other revolutions The Tier was able to use army to put down any opposition. Itouwer desortion of army Quied his luch of support and pulline and the success in the pebruary revolution so attrust wester was one there was no So we must recognise a range of other factors are important in the outbrown of revolution



This is a question requiring a two-part answer, and should thus be carefully planned.

Question 7

The question demanded precise knowledge of the industrial changes imposed on Russia from above. Many candidates took "transformation" simply to mean change, or just success, and therefore found it hard to access the highest levels. Stronger answers used numerical evidence to show production in key areas (while commenting on their unreliability) and looked at the whole industrial economy to consider the training of the workforce, the impact on agriculture, the degree of state control, the control of the workforce and the patchiness of improved production. Higher level students were able to see the significance of the development of primary industries and the shift from an agrarian to an industrial economy. References to Russia's wartime performance could be made relevant, as could Russia's post war control of Eastern Europe's economies which may well have disguised the failures of the FYPs. Some of the best answers came from those who, perhaps taking their lead from the specification's clarification of content, considered the changing nature and priorities of the three plans. Another successful approach was to consider the three plans as a single set and analyse change over time. A few candidates tried to twist the question to discuss other factors that transformed Russian industry (apart from the Five-Year Plans) but this was not the question and such material received little credit. Some candidates struggled with detail. Many generalisations were made and there were substantial factual errors. These knew that the Stalinist production figures were fabricated; and this was regrettably also true of the figures offered in some answers. Collectivisation was often considered as a separate issue which was not linked to economic development. Some missed the focus of the question and discussed the plans in general terms along with their social impact on, for example, living standards and family life. These candidates were reluctant to accept that an essential part of the transformation of Russian industry was that labour was 'used up' and viewed as 'white coal'; they dwelt upon this as a failing factor in the transformation when in cold, Stalinist economic terms it was irrelevant.



The answer sustains a sharp focus on the question. Instead of examining each Five-Year Plan in turn, the candidate has taken all three as a set and provides an overview which considers the extent to which industry had been transformed in the years to 1941. There is a balanced judgement made. On the one hand, industrial output had soared, especially in the field of heavy industry, and an effective link is made to the USSR's ability to resist the German invasion of 1941. However, the pursuit of quantity rather than quality, and the use of outdated methods of production, are both highlighted in a counter argument. The conclusion notes that, overall, the plans were successful rather than transformational. This is a confident answer which deploys an effective argument supported by a secure range of material. An evaluative response; mid Level 5.

Put a cross in the box indicating the FIRST question you have chosen to answer \boxtimes . If you change your mind, put a line through the box \boxtimes and then put a cross in another box \boxtimes .

Chosen Question Number:

Question 1	×	Question 2	×	Question 3	\boxtimes			
Question 4	\boxtimes	Question 5	*	Question 6	×			
Question 7		Question 8	×	Question 9	\boxtimes			
Question 10	×	Question 11	×	Question 12	\boxtimes			
Question 13	\boxtimes	Question 14						
(This page is for	your first ar	nswer.)	otioidiitatiatiikoisi)		· mattigavimmatigi arimamatigi anjiminittine			
I n 17	19 Stale	in amounced	The a	ist cir ago	Mon, che aim			
					ndusonalis atom			
				` I				
		, ,			em world. The Plans			
	/ /	. / .			indusorial output,			
gunner	more	Chen had p	rodused	an comon	g copable of			
		, 1			by 1941 Honeser			
					als orat means			
		A	,	111	to conject nuch			
	. /		. /	_ / '	voude What the			
Compa	needed	only what	Stalin	believed it 1	reeded For Ous			
Hasox	it is	degicult or	o deur	ibe the indi	estrilisation produced			
les the Fix plan down by 1841 as an Price more than								
leg One Fix glar plans by 1941 as anything more Thun								
In come a decerate the state of the								
In come of indusorial output gor heavy industry the give								
year plans where very successful, es reintly in terms of the production of what soulin called the siness of war, things such as coul, ion and steel. These was marened provided								
production of what soulin called the siness of war, lump								
such as coul, ion and steel. These your marends movided								
	·				1			

(This page is for your first answer Casis for the soony in hurbrial evonouses of the yest. give glar flow had ennegally gentral used modernian levels, coal production backled to 28/million laws, six gold, or nollular Sun on in Glas electricity production quadripled. Cooling at these git year plans secon like an imquelized siness in cerus of indistrialising to the USSR. The country had succeedly Jone from an against one to an industrial economy. It was This industrial Gase Ovar means that when Germany in raded in 1941 lustice had gour times as many tasks and there times os mun airrage-This leads on to the second piece of endence for the successed industrialisation of the USSK that the give year plans produced. The gain Chat Rucia not only sensited German oursparion but extrustly bruned the bide of the now shows how the USSR'S Cronomy had been bransformed. It would be easy to attribute the Morn oo the poness of the Red army which has justiceted the USS in the list nor except for the gast that is hade been all but descroyld in the purge of the armed goldes in late 19305 with 8 of Al generals executed. Further endence of the impact and success of the Five year plans can be sound by congarmy moderation sigues of Germany on Char The gire year flows hat Suited to now that despute loving half of its nock gone

(This page is for your first answer.) Coman outstation in the crist ourpolicing Comany every area by 1944. An assertion agricultural Russia had been prior to the give year plans Sustained this on the other hand the methods used driving the sive year plans were not modern in dustrial methods, was labour and a course on quanting not quality meant that the ossR's industrial leonous was very inegicient. Without the mobilation of the nor will the resources gained from the conquest of ormany the Fire year plans would have lest hisio hamstoning agree 1941 as the mon modern industrial economies of the west became to competition. The focus on quantity and examples varher Than quality and excition in onat disracterised the inclusions eronomy moderied by the girk year plans can be seen in the projects that developed grom it. For example the white seas land, a large mojert that was brile asing mass clar Cabour hardly used after it's construction. This is a good example how the industrial economy that the give year plans transgoto had only achieved Surgare Corol siness. look at how successful the give your plens were in transforming ensuin industry do not M of the products of industry seed to be bothed at. Although the USSR has masses of new marcinals (on 1941 the gave year

(This page is for your first answer.) ... reflected hey was of interfer such as exportable moderns agis Culbural equipment. Sections of Suessally proved anyl of Re Contones, honever they enough New equipment for the comes to continue The result was that bread grailyble per head dropped by sokilograms girl year from had wall Some attenuet goods this completely dissented under Conscerner bluid are year flow. This left a potoutially very justiable AMI of Russian industry heyletted. All of Bese caltons to got one image of a very lim wed had only arhilared suess in the area & give year plans alliered Russian in Lustry Gy 1941, allhoungh Then currended hear, industry they had galled in almost esta, other In diestrial area. Honerer The actiesement Sustain our Russia world nor should not be underestimated. The live year Many suleeded in courseming Lussian industry by



Make sure that you understand that each of the Five-Year Plans reflect Stalin's changing priorities.

Question 8

The third bullet point in the specification addresses 'features of the regime that have been described as totalitarian', including the cult of personality, and the concept of Socialist Realism as applied to the arts and culture. However, most candidates struggling for knowledge of Soviet culture tried to adapt the question to reflect what they knew, or thought they knew, about Soviet culture. Some decided that this meant the country's prevailing culture in a social sense, focusing on essentially invalid areas such as education, women's rights, or even the Great Terror. Answers overall lacked specific evidence beyond a few references to doctored photographs and half-remembered posters. The Stalin cult was well known and plenty of answers referred to the role of Socialist Realism, but few could write meaningfully about film, music or literature which was sad because there is so much to say about how the arts were used to promote the "correct" image of the new Soviet state in a wider sense than merely Stalin as the "vozhd". Centres who wish to develop this part of the course might find the indicative content in the mark scheme a useful starting point, while the topic as a whole is covered in detail in 'Stalin's Russia, 1924-1953' by Robin Bunce and Laura Gallagher.

Question 9

Hitherto Option D questions have used the commonly accepted terms 'black Americans' or 'black people'. Changes in popular usage means that future examinations will refer to 'African Americans'. Many candidates, in the past and in the current examination, have referred to 'blacks' and 'whites' rather than 'black people' and 'white people'. It must be emphasised that this approach has not affected marks awarded in any way at all, but centres and candidates are encouraged to refer to African Americans in the future.

It was only at the lower levels of attainment that candidates displayed severe chronological misunderstanding by writing about events which took place in the 1960s; the overwhelming majority of answers kept within the given timescale. Candidates were able to discuss with some confidence the landmark legal rulings that opened the way for change, in particular the Brown I and Brown II judgements of the Supreme Court; and the role of the NAACP in pursuing change through the courts was clearly understood. Less well known were the significant changes brought about by President Truman such as ending discrimination in the armed forces and the promotion of To Secure These Rights, both in the face of strong opposition from Congress. Most were aware of the different conditions prevailing in north and south, referring to de jure and de facto discrimination, though without developing this point very far. The difference between changing the law and changing popular attitudes was grasped and the reasons for the slow rate of progress were well known. Candidates often seemed unaware of the rapid growth of television in the United States after 1945, and the impact this may have had on white people's attitudes, notably perhaps in the case of Emmet Till. Candidates need reminding that the Ku Klux Klan remained active and strongly supported in many southern states, making it hard to enforce legal changes which came from Washington and the Supreme Court. Fewer candidates focused on voter registration as an aspect that was slowly changing and fewer still widened out their discussion to explain how the Cold War context undermined black progress by making it easy to label all those desiring change as Communist subversives. At higher levels were some impressive evaluative answers which analysed the true impact of perceived progress on the status of Black Americans in this period.

Put a cross in the box indicating the FIRST question you have chosen to answer ⊠.

If you change your mind, put a line through the box ⊠

and then put a cross in another box ⊠.

Chosen Question	n Number:				
Question 1	×	Question 2		Question 3	×
Question 4	×	Question 5	×	Question 6	×
Question 7		Question 8	×	Question 9	8
Question 10	×	Question 11	\boxtimes	Question 12	×
Question 13	×	Question 14	×		
(This page is for	your first a	nswer.) Plan- H	aw account	ate that the st	atus of black people
changed ven	y little	in 45-55?			
	v		on develop	ring cold war, no	It many places
				_	Emmett Till 1955
	_ media	,			<u></u>
۷. ا			مانانس		- T.
/	~	-		0 0	on. Truman
<i>y</i> /	0		-		in WW2. Esenhower
1/	-	ch reluctant	* *		
/ Rublic = Div	a (row	laws, still	very pr	o-segregation	in South Brown
vs Board	de jure	but not d	le facto	KKK lynd	hings.
\ .			4	9	AACP Jocus on
1				social pro	
١١ .		laws after		/	3
\ <u>}</u>	<i>a</i> ()	•		dacks poort	unem clayed
7		•			
		cotton picke			1 1 1 1 1
					ted white jobs
in north,	Slightly	s bester ec	conomic	ally.	
	onin dagan tin ting		***************************************		

(This page is for your first answer.) Keepinge The datus of black people in 1945 was very poor, and it is debateable whether much had changed by 1955. The many varying factors working against black people including public opinion, politicians, the media and a 1945 the racist Din Crow laws confirmed as constitutional in the Plessy is Ferguson case, and created deep racial divides due to enforced segregation and the premise of "separate but equal" that wasn't true (some states spent ten times the amount of money on white public services than black). These laws were upheld in the south because of its traditional cotton farming industry and use of slaves pre-civil By 1955, this public opinion, especially in the deep south, remained relatively unchanged suggesting that the status of black people overall had changed little. white supremisest group the Klu Klux Klan still had a strong membership base and the Brown us Board of education ruling that desegregated public schools was still very much de jure' rather than de facto' and less than 3% of black children in the south attended desegregated schools. was not until 1957 and the Little Rock Nine that vo Boards status as a de facto law would be put to the test, which shows that while there had been some legal change, the social attitude of the coluter remained which serously impared black progress until 1955(This page is for your first answer.) By 1955 the media also showed little interest in the and rights movement which meant that it didn't get the exposure it deserved quite sumply because the change in this time was focussed mainly on improving the legal status of blacks rather than the social, which meant court cases rather than iconic protests. The media however did cover the story of the lynching of Emmett Till in 1955, which was one of the main turning points in the media's coverage of the civil rights struggle. Till had been murdered brutally by some white men for wolfwhistling at a white girl, and his mother left his casket open to , " show what they did to my boy". This not only provided a shocking unage for the media to print, but also alerted the entire USA to the problems with rousin in the south and changed public opinion shightly. In this, the media helped to improve that the status of black people be 1955 by bringing attention to cases such as Emmett Titl but the lack of conversely of legal cases such as Brown is Board did not help their struggle. The advancement of blacks in politics was somewhat muxed between 1945 and 1955 as the army in 1947, Eisenhower was very reluctant to use Gederal intervention and so Bown is Board was not enforced until & 1957. The blacks had little say in who represented them as they were stopped from noting xx "grandfather clauses" and impossibly difficult

(This page is for your first answer.) voting tests. This meant that extremely few & black people were eligible to vote and meant that prosegregation politicians could be elected time and time again simply because black people did not have chance to say to desegregate a This inability to vote by 1955 suggests that the people in the USA had not changed much as they still had choice over who represented them and so legal change had be fought for through using groups such as CORE and especially the NAACP, which was time consuming and somewhat difficult to become involved in due to poor black education making the legal system incredibly confusing. Truman's decision to desegregate the army in 1947 came after black people had proved themselves in World War Two, as they proved they could light alongside white people just as well. It was also a result of America trying to defend its title of "land of the free" after fighting fassism in Europe but advocating rousm at home fruman used language in private but he understood that improving the US image was important to keeping to standing in the world. This improved the status of blacks in the army be 1955 but not the status of backs civillian black people, and so while it's important in political terms, it is not the most important factor of black and rights accomplishments 1945-1955 The NAACP's focus on education in its early years was

(This page is for your first answer.) important as it recognised that better black people would make for better and and rights comparigners. However because it NAACP and CORE in lew groups to choose from and the NAH improving the status of black improved greath was an unporta



ResultsPlus

Examiner Comments

The answer is a thoughtful and sustained evaluation of change over time. The candidate notes the status of African Americans in 1945, the situation ten years later, what has changed and why, and the extent of change. The legal changes introduced by the Supreme Court and the actions of President Truman, especially the desegregation of the armed forces, are considered, and are contrasted with the attitudes and policies of President Eisenhower. The Emmett Till case is used effectively as a means of stirring the consciences of white Americans. The obstacles which were placed in the way of African Americans seeking to register to vote are noted, and the role of the NAACP in using legal processes to force the pace of change is addressed. The conclusion is a balanced one which evaluates the amount of change in the given period. This is a sustained answer to the question: high Level 5.

Question 10

There were few very weak answers to the question, since most candidates were familiar with sufficient detail to access at least Level 3. Some accepted too readily that peaceful protest was the sole factor promoting change, and focused their answers on Martin Luther King. While acknowledging the apparent success of many protests, notably in Montgomery in 1955 and Birmingham in 1963, answers also noted the less positive outcomes of the Albany and Mississippi freedom campaigns. Once again, the importance of the media in covering civil rights demonstrations was noted; televised coverage of the Birmingham campaign in particular, and of the March on Washington, went some way towards changing the attitudes of many white people. Stronger answers noted the activities which grew up independently of King, such as the Greensboro sit-ins and the Freedom Rides. Many excellent answers weighed the impact of peaceful protest against the actions of the various presidents, Congress and the Supreme Court in bringing success to the civil rights movement. However, there were some misunderstandings apparent. Eisenhower's decision to enforce desegregation at Little Rock was not fully understood, while too many regarded President Kennedy as achieving much for the cause of civil rights. Previous reports have commented on the failure by many candidates to appreciate the vital role performed by President Johnson in securing the passage of the Civil Rights Act; sadly, that point has to be restated. Some answers, perhaps from candidates hoping for a question on Black Power, referred extensively to the work of various Black Power groups, though much was beyond the period set in the question. Stronger answers widened out their coverage from the political to include social and economic aspects; weaker ones often included material about violent protest from after the time period.

Put a cross in the box indicating the FIRST question you have chosen to answer 🛭. If you change your mind, put a line through the box 🔀 25. JAN and then put a cross in another box \boxtimes .

Chosen Question Number:

Carles Body The Question 1 Question 2 Question 3 Question 4 . . 🔯 🕆 Question 5 Question 6 X **Question 7 Question 8** Question 9 **Question 10 Question 11 Question 12** X **Question 14 Question 13**

(This page is for your first answer.) On one hand, peoceal protests were responsible for the accesses of the civil rights movement in the years 1955-64. These peaceful prokests helped to create unity within the movement and it made whites some white people feel sympathetic towards them as being peocepul mount theire was no reason to hate them. An example of this is the Montgommery Bus Bayrott in the years 1955-56, 17000 blacks tack port in this and 80% of Montgommoru's Gack population baycotted buses. Those peacoful protests were expective as the blacks weren't doing on thing Megal so white rocusts were seen as horrifying when they confronted protesting blacks with violence.

These peocepul protests come from strang southern Chinatian values and sympathetic white admired this as they often had these same values as well. Birmingham 1965, is an example of a peacoul probable stamming from these southern Christian values as it was led by Mortin Luther King, who got his inspiration from God and believed peaceful protests were the way to achieve civil rights. In Birmingham 1963, protestors were found with white racial

(This page is for your first answer.) police applicas, led by Eugene 'Bull'
Connor. They set police does and hoses on the protestors which
tore the clothes are them thou backs, and even some
children fored this violence. The media corphired all of this
and it shacked the American public and Aresident Kennedy,
who then drew up a Civil Rights Bill which Johnson,
(he vice Aresident and then President when Kennedy was
associated), passed in 1964. This orded seare pation
and gave equality to blacks, which are Burnington 1963, where 200,000 people turned up to stond
up pace civil rights.

On the other hand, there were many other poctors that contributed to the excesses of the civil rights movement in these years. One of those poctors was the leadership of worth Luther Kings. He pirot emerged as a civil rights leader in the Mantgemmony Bus Boycath and established the civil rights except civil rights protest after that and was good at unknying the deferent civil rights organisations such on the NEACP, since, core and the scirc. He havened to all of their that and was seen as that the was seen as a good leader as he got the Nobel peace the was seen as a good leader as he got the Nobel peace the is mat renombered per his "Italia a decom' seecch at the Nobel peace."

H, which encouraged more blocks to get involved in civil rights.

(This page is for your first answer.) he was and it was his ineproducy and inchuence over Resident Kennedy and Johnson, that got blocks the Civil Rights Bill of 1964.

Another factor that was responsible for the sourcess of the movement was the rise of the Back fower movement. This movement emerged in the BO's and exampled black fride. It helped to recognize black culture and made blacks fool froud of their rose again and not automad. However, they did notified halp the civil rights movement, they hardered it too. Right brake as it excavaged retaliation and violence, so this turned public apmion against them as the whites who would narmally be sympathetic when they were victims of violence, so that in works, i.e. in 1964 were an example of this and statistics drawed that 80% of whites were in power of blacks howing equal rights in terms of housing as in passaur of them howing equal rights in terms of housing as

The final packer that helped the movement was the modia.

The modia covered every protest and this emboroused America, especially when they were supposed to be the leader of the prea world righting communist Poweria, and their own people weren't even equal back home. The The mode the Covernment lack bad and so pushed them into writing a civil rights till. The modia also help are at support and summerstly from white people which was a vital part of their success.

(This page is for your first answer.) as by 1964, 68% or white uppe in fourth or civil rights and a quarter or the 200,000 at the the March on Washington were write. This also pushed the Government to do sampling for Civil Rights.

In conclusion, proceed protests were a very by part for the research why the Civil Rights movement why activil Rights movement why sample thought the radia or Much had the same impact without the modia or Much bedeather. Consequently, with those pactors included, there exists why the movement who the process or what pushed the Covernment into action and produced the Civil Rights Bills, and Voling Rights Bill.

Results lus Examiner Comments

The answer refers to some of the peaceful protests of the period, notably in Montgomery in 1955 and Birmingham in 1963, though these are perhaps given too much credit for the passing of the Civil Rights Act in 1964. Reference is made to King's leadership though comments on his role are perhaps too uncritical; there is no mention of failures such as the Albany campaign. While the role of the media is relevant, it is important to note that Black Power had only a limited role to play in the years to 1964, and really only rose to prominence thereafter. The answer has an analytical form and is focused on the question. The range of material offered is adequate and accurate, though there is a lack of balance in places; more could have been made of the importance of events such as the Montgomery Bus Boycott and the March on Washington. Displays the qualities required for Level 4, and a mid-Level 4 response overall.

Question 11

Centres are advised to clarify some misunderstandings displayed by a large number of candidates over the geographical areas covered in Option D6. The specification's first bullet point refers exclusively to the situation in Korea in the early 1950s, and thus deals only with the Korean War. The second bullet point relates to 'the situation in south-east Asia between 1950 and 1954'. Students thus need to be reminded that Korea is not in south-east Asia, nor indeed is Japan. A large number of answers to Question 11 referred to one or both these countries. While students were given some credit for material on Korea, such material is unlikely to be relevant in the future. The geographical challenge presented by the question proved too much for a small number of candidates, who wrote extensively, sometimes exclusively about Japan and Korea, rather than about Vietnam and its immediate neighbours. Some answers which did bring in Vietnam were often very good on the big, Cold War picture and mentioned the Red Scare (linked to Mao's triumph in China) and the Domino Theory. However, supporting information was frequently lacking in specific detail on the short term trigger events, French involvement in Vietnam or the Geneva Accords. In lower level answers there were chronological as well as geographical issues, with many discussing Kennedy and the events of the early 1960s when the USA became more embroiled in conflict, rather than the more cautious moves by Eisenhower. The best answers noted the policies pursued by both Truman and Eisenhower, the domestic pressures placed on both of them, and their determination, reflected in the creation of SEATO, to contain Communist expansion in south-east Asia.

Put a cross in the box indicating the SECOND question you have chosen to answer .

Your second question choice must be on a different topic to your first question choice.

If you change your mind, put a line through the box .

and then put a cross in another box .

and then put a cross in another box 図.										
Chosen Questio	n Number:									
Question 1	\boxtimes	Question 2	×	Question 3						
Question 4		Question 5		Question 6						
Question 7	×	Question 8	\boxtimes	Question 9	\boxtimes					
Question 10	\boxtimes	Question 11	\boxtimes	Question 12	\boxtimes					
Question 13	×	Question 14	\boxtimes							
(This page is for	your secor	nd answer.)	r: r::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::							
My d	My did the Us become vicreasing vivoled in # 50									
south -e	ast	Asia in	the.	19505?						
K	V(1)	a duis	the	~~						
Communit	10	to di M	ih =	comm.						
***************************************		Pan - Asian	- مسم	consprey						
**************************************	>	Chart.								
OF	(n I				***************************************					
4		Tan			antiiran mijaratii tiin minaajan ji tu tu ja					
mmpopomon isiningsimi tti	(B) fr	بها طملت	w/ N	Av gl	and no management and a second					
***************************************	100		- SULU	20 × W SD						
D .	L	argued	Hal	И. Ф.	renem for the					
(6)	_	/	′ \		1 - 1 A.:					
DE DE	COMMAN	uncreasing	אומאינאייייי	fear Sour	tom. This seems					
in the	19503	because	d Ke	n commu	ism. I had seens					
to be	the	nost	mpata	ut factors	as the mas					
strong	anti-	communist	Feeli	g in the	US during the					
204	10	150s and	S.	ccessia Prei	idents became					
		,		/1						

(This page is for your second answer.) uncreasingly not the communist threat plabally. Other factors may also be taken in consideration such as the economic interest in Japan to but these factors can also be perceived as being notivated by the fear of spread of commission. The belief in the down regional "danie theory" of successive presidents can be said to have could increasing involvement on of the US in south-east Asia. They believed that the nelfare of South Vietnam was vital to the denocracy is south-east Asia. This can be contribed by a President Kerredy gente: "Viction is the correctore of the Free Wold in sort south-east Aia". This represents the view that if South Vitram fell, pressure would be put a courties in the same regin. This meant the US had to present the com-South Vietnam Falling to commism is order to maistain democracy in south-east Asia. The point that my commission caused increasing involvement can be supported by the belief that to Chi Mish new commist. The was significant as the US houldn't have intervend had the North Victorians not been communist. The belief that Ho Chi Minh was communit is confirmed by the fact that he was recuiring aid from both China and the USSR- Howard community to my

(This page is for your second answer.)
Another point that can be made to show that of
communism counsed increasing US involvement is the fact
that it was thought that communism on molithing
This parts the Vidnam War into the contest of the
Cold War and the fact that Stalin was coordinating
the spread of commiss is Asia. This is
supported by the Fact that Stalin una gring military
and francial aid to Kin It & Kin-II Suy is
North Korea This prompted the US to become get
wished in south-east Asia as they rected to present
the spread of come communism and min the Cold Way
Harrie Commission
However commism doesn't seem to explain why the US
increased their involvement in south-cost Asia, but more
why they interest interest thereone the fact
that they made the consistence in the first place commiss
neat that they had to vicease their involvement
should they need to. It can therefore be
argued that other factors caused their vicreaed
This incorrent.
The dai deterioration of the situation in South
Vietnam can be said to have count norasing is
involvement in South-east Asia. The country Victory

(This page is for your second answer.) been divided into two conflicting states by the Germa Accords. The more pressing issue however, is to now in South Vitran. The government of Dim ment communism was becoming popular and there was videspread insurgercy. Truman therefore had to variouse the US's involvement to counter this. However, this likes back to the point that communism the fear of Spread of communism caused processing uniderent in south-east Asia as they feared the growing communist support support in South Victoria. It was the main factor Economic riterest in Japan can also be argued to have caused the US to have increased their involvement in Japan. The US nanted to extend their and economic influence in south-east Asia by creating global free markets is Japan. Japan was the link between the US and southeast Asia as it was the country that provided rice for countries in the region hence the sides nickname of Japan being "the rice band of South-east Asia". It also was the location of US military bases in Asia. As the Jopan was so economically in important to the US, they felt that they needed to protect it They Get This brings links the US's economic un' interest back to the foor of spread of communism as

Suggests of spread of Jopan. These sotivated by the fear



Results Plus

Examiner Comments

The answer sustains a focus, not only on the given timescale, but also on south-east Asia itself. The candidate understands the importance of the fear of communism within the United States, and might have made this point stronger with some reference to McCarthyism; and there is a useful examination of the domino theory. Fear of communism is linked to Ho Chi Minh's rule in North Vietnam, and the military and financial support he was given by a USSR which is seen as spreading communism in both south-east Asia and the rest of the world. The candidate also notes the determination of the American government to protect, not only the corrupt Diem regime in South Vietnam, but also the government of Japan as it emerged from the aftermath of war. The conclusion notes the importance of both long-term and short-term factors at work, making for a secure Level 4 analysis overall.

Question 12

Explaining the reasons for America's withdrawal from Vietnam was often tackled with great confidence, although many candidates wrote more about alternative factors than about public opinion itself - or treated public opinion in a general sense, rather than breaking it down into interest groups and at specific points in time. Nevertheless, there were some well informed answers which explained Nixon's thinking and the influences on him, often arguing persuasively that he took the views of the "silent majority" more seriously than those of the protestors. Most considered a range of factors, with higher level responses linking these together and noting the essential connection between presidential popularity, the media and military successes. Less expert answers saw these as stand-alone issues, and failed to establish links between them. As is often the case, some students wanted to move on quickly from the given factor, and thus sometimes neglected to assess matters such as veteran protests, or the impact of the student movement, or protest relating to My Lai or the Cambodian bombings. Student knowledge appeared to be far better when it came to the specifics of troop reductions, with many linking these to Nixon's re-election campaign or Vietnamisation. The best answers had a very broad perspective which went far beyond changing US attitudes. These considered Nixon's global foreign policy, including his visits to China and Moscow, and the impact on North Vietnam of the Linebacker campaigns.

Question 13

Although popular culture occupies a whole bullet point in the specification, examiners reported that there were very few answers to this question, with most of them only modestly successful. Many produced descriptive answers which often focused on music and television shows. Few noticed that it was the growth of cable television that allowed for the creation of popular but divisive shows such as Jerry Springer and South Park, and, to some extent, Fox News; while limited Federal regulation allowed shock jocks like Howard Stern to flourish. A few were aware of the elder Bush's declared preference for the Waltons over the Simpsons. Some linked cultural change, especially music, to changing attitudes towards women and homosexuals, while others saw it as one of several factors which promoted the growing divide between liberal and conservative Americans.

Question 14

In clear contrast to Question 13, most candidates found little difficulty in producing a reasoned argument to explain Clinton's electoral victories in 1992 and 1996. For some, however, Clinton's charismatic allure proved too powerful, and some answers were framed almost exclusively around his personal qualities, including his shortcomings (the Lewinsky affair was given more attention than it perhaps deserves). Examining the perceived strengths of the Clinton/Gore ticket and the weaknesses of Bush/Quayle and then Dole/Kemp was a productive approach for many. Several answers were strong on the 1992 campaign but less secure on 1996. Candidates were aware of Clinton's success in 1992 in emphasising the economy while Bush pointed to his foreign policy successes. For 1996 Clinton's incumbency advantage was set against Dole's age and his policy weaknesses. Only a few commented on the fact that Clinton's twin successes were achieved in a decade of noticeable weakness for the Democratic Party as a whole. A few answers were similar in form to those produced for Question 6, consisting of two discrete halves which failed to recognise the common ground shared between the two victories.

Put a cross in the box indicating the SECOND question you have chosen to answer \boxtimes . Your second question choice must be on a different topic to your first question choice. If you change your mind, put a line through the box 😹 and then put a cross in another box . Chosen Question Number: **Question 1** 3 Question 2 **Question 3** 13 **Question 4** Question 5 Question 6 13 **Question 7 Question 8 Question 9 Question 10** Question 11 **Question 12** X **Question 13 Question 14** (This page is for your second answer.) To what extent were shanging attitudes among the M pulsho responsible for the reduction of the number of Ms from in tretrain in the year 1969-73? 2 mil plotested . conquerorial. Nirm. Isuila cambodia relaboni.

(This page is for your second answer.) to the Wetnam was readed it juice for your played a year, it is saye to roug public spinion and apposition the was played a longe point in the changing of Nirois plicy to intidious homes and to begin a process of Wichenia bon, or peace with monor. However, the factors must be considered, once as congressival apposition as well as the spulse of strategy, and his morale within the fruence any.

It can be said that in Us history, protect towards the writtnam was overe the largest with over 2 million protecting even before 1969.

The tristnam can be seen on the prist 'TV was, viewed and scriptinised by many and that Niran work for a election played a nuge yout in his placy in Nicham, or he knew that the key to public support was to remain 'Arong on communion', but was to also establish peace with honor, and gentle withdrawl. In this way, philiphia altitude can be deemed seny important, as it played a key port in Noon's decarain making however it must also be realised that Noon did have the support of the 'orlent majority' with 65% of threncous agreeing with his policy in Nietnam, a key indicator that although public support wer responsible. Niem did have the support wer responsible. Niem did have the power to curb, and change this.

It can be said that how born morale, and incidents such as pragging,

(5) which there was 798 documented ocoa orons 1969-73) and drug
about were in fluential in Dison's decision to withdraw troops, votices
that arguebly clied on support of the public. I while will, chuning
of laties were not ocen as herrer, but were greated by note and

(This page is for your second answer.) whence my protecting moles who had seen evidence of television of countless unrocent lives being taken by their can obldiers, many American also had no belief or even reducation of what they were jighting po, which led to as incompetance of the W power, putting wirm putter to reconsider untidraul. Protests in universities, and the bruming of draft courds, again led to the change in Virais policy, where the entitment of students was now sopped, and planing the tragody at kent state Unweinty, where your brudents were killed, protesting the inboson of neutral combodia, withdraws was again soldiered. However, it can be raid that other woner contituted to the northand a troops, and public attitude was a number pactor un Wiran's fund decision. fustly, congressional opposition was now tyge, between 69 and 73 Congress retail around 18 times to stop moreoved brombing attacks or invarian of Cambodia, and eventually when had to take inflice of these demands and began to untidown troop, just to rane face. Strategy within Viction was poor, and of though all military battle were party successful, the Iretment brily believed in what they were justing for, and the Us and ALVN soldies becomes, dissolvered in what they were parting you Nim now urshed of build relations with especially China, and felt that Wistmann was increasing uninportant, and in this respect begant willdran troops not because

(This page is for your second answer.) De public opposition tout to appear shonard and to hulb relations with larger more injurential combines.

In conclusion, although public opposition was monumental, and withdraw can be questioned in this movement did not exist, eventually relation, and congressional support was more important. That you withdraw was wrock, and Nixon seemed to be grosping at stars in his concessions, so to withdraw, peacefully not more injured.



Results Plus

Examiner Comments

The introduction suggests that a number of relevant factors will be discussed. The given factor is addressed in some detail, but the extent to which changing attitudes influenced Nixon is not entirely clear. Congressional opposition, strategic errors in Vietnam, and Nixon's intentions of forging better relations with China are considered, though each point made might have been supported with more detailed information which would make the points stand up more effectively. There is an understanding here of the issues at work and of the way in which a number of factors persuaded Nixon to withdraw troops gradually over time, but material deployed is uneven in quality and lacking balance in places. A secure Level 4 response.

In conclusion, as one of the examiners commented in his final report, "Able candidates wrote articulately and coherently and demonstrated a logical mind as well as good linguistic skills. Weaker answers were characterised by poor sentence construction, spelling and poor writing skills, which was a limiting factor in some answers where candidates clearly knew their history but struggled or failed to produce a coherent readable response."

Grade Boundaries

Grade	Max. Mark	А	В	С	D	E
Raw boundary mark	60	43	38	33	28	23
Uniform boundary mark	100	80	70	60	50	40

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publications@linneydirect.com</u> Order Code US024066 June 2010

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750 Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH





