



Examiners' Report January 2010

GCE History 6HI02/D





Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at www.edexcel.com. If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our **Ask The Expert** email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link:

http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/

Alternatively, you can speak directly to a subject specialist at Edexcel on our dedicated History telephone line: 0844 576 0034

ResultsPlus

ResultsPlus is our unique performance improvement service for you and your students.

It helps you to:

- Raise attainment by providing in-depth analysis of where your class did well and not so well, enabling you to identify areas to focus on/make improvements.
- **Spot performance trends** at a glance by accessing one-click reports. You can even choose to compare your cohort's performance against other schools throughout the UK.
- **Personalise your students' learning** by reviewing how each student performed, by question and paper you can use the detailed analysis to shape future learning.
- Meet the needs of your students on results day by having immediate visibility of their exam performance at your fingertips to advise on results.

To find out more about ResultsPlus and for a demonstration visit http://resultsplus.edexcel.org.uk/home

January 2010

Publications Code US022890

GCE History 6HI02 Option D

There were some very good responses to this examination, with a sizeable number of candidates able to combine effective source handling skills with focused deployment of accurate and relevant own knowledge to achieve marks at level 3 and above. It was encouraging to see that many of the issues which had been identified in the summer report as posing problems for students were no longer causes for concern. However, there were still some common errors which undermined the quality of students' work and the purpose of the following comments is to offer some guidance for raising attainment.

- 1. Some candidates continue to lose marks because they seem unaware of the skills that specific elements of the exam are addressing. Overall 3 assessment objectives are being addressed in the Unit 2 exam; part (a) addresses AO2a (analysis, cross-referencing and evaluation of source material) and part (b) addresses AO1 and AO2b (analysis and evaluation of how issues have been interpreted and represented, in relation to historical context). It is vital, therefore, that students appreciate the differences between these assessment objectives and understand which of the 3 AO's is being tested in the two questions they are required to attempt.
- 2. The most common reason for low performance in the part (a) question was an inability to comprehend and interpret the source material effectively. Occasionally, this was the result of limited vocabulary. However, more often than not, it stemmed from rushed and careless reading. It is important that candidates take time to read the sources, both content and attributions, carefully and precisely. One of the key characteristics of high performing responses to part (a) questions is detailed cross-referencing and this, first and foremost, demands clarity and accuracy in source comprehension.
- 3. One other area of confusion in the part (a) question surrounds the application of wider knowledge. Candidates cannot be rewarded for wider knowledge included in responses to part (a), since the questions target AO2 only. However, candidates should not ignore the historical context, or 'pretend they know nothing' outside the sources. At best, the placing of sources in a contextual vacuum may lead to a tendency to take them at face value and restrict responses to L1 or L2, or to speculation that is not focused on the defined enquiry. Therefore contextual awareness, especially an understanding of issues and attitudes, should be applied in order to help candidates:
- See the implications of statements within a source and make inferences relating to the enquiry –
- See the significance of the information given in the provenance of a source as a means of interpreting
 and evaluating the evidence offered by the source content. However, it should be emphasised that any
 references should be brief, and directly applied to developing arguments from the sources.

Contextual knowledge therefore plays a role in enabling candidates to interpret and evaluate evidence in order to reach higher levels, but it cannot be rewarded by separate marks. A brief reference may be useful in explaining the implications of a particular piece of evidence or the significance of its provenance and therefore support higher level arguments within AO2. Longer passages of contextual knowledge are a waste of time and may actually lead the candidate away from the task – which is the analysis, interpretation and evaluation of evidence from the sources, in order to reach a judgement. However, candidates should not be discouraged from applying contextual awareness in handling the sources.

- 4. For part (b) questions it is important that candidates appreciate what they are being asked to do. A Part (b) task focuses on combining and integrating evidence from sources with wider knowledge in order to evaluate a given statement or view, and develop a substantiated judgement on this basis. It, therefore, draws on a conceptual understanding that all historical judgements are, in fact, based upon interpretations. Thus, candidates should analyse the evidence of the source material to support and challenge the representation in the question. The sources should be approached as a set and there will be some cross-referencing of evidence between sources and/or between sources and contextual knowledge to develop relevant arguments. At higher levels, conflicting arguments will be evaluated by reference to context and provenance to attempt to establish an overall judgement. However, it is important here that candidates do not engage in formulaic or routine evaluation of provenance. Provenance need only be assessed where it helps to weigh up the quality of the evidence in relation to the claim under investigation. Thus, in the best responses discussion will proceed on the basis of reasoning from the sources and discriminating use of evidence that has been weighed and contextualised to examine conflicting arguments and reach a reasoned conclusion.
- 5. Both part (a) and part (b) tasks are challenging, requiring candidates to engage in complex cross-referencing and analysis. To meet the assessment objectives effectively requires careful preparation and it is noticeable that more and more of the higher performing scripts include substantial plans. It cannot, therefore, be stressed enough that thorough and detailed planning centred around the source material is a prerequisite for success in this unit.

Question 1a

This was an accessible question and candidates were able to understand the basic message of all the sources and link them to the focus of the question. Many candidates were able to identify valid points relating to the provenance, with stronger answers demonstrating more awareness of the possibility of alternative approaches e.g. source 2 is apparently a private letter, but was also sent to a constituent, possibly negating any expectation of privacy. A number of candidates worked through the sources sequentially, paraphrasing the content and making some links to the question. Some of these merely restated the provenance, but others were able to comment on it within this approach. Stronger responses engaged in direct cross referencing, contrasting the message of sources 1 and 3 with that of source 2. The best candidates addressed the sources as a set, demonstrating the nuances of the arguments and considering the provenance as part of the process in order to demonstrate the weight that can be assigned to each source in reaching a judgement.

(a) New fax do 7 and 3 support 2 gents is defense	14AbAO2
(a) New fax do 7 and 3 support 2 sente is defense of the Black and Tous?	

2 - Som Fin at fault	
> Flack B + T = only reached	***************************************
Llogd George	11411++>>>+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
1 - NOP - Mons the attitude of the BTS	
1 > idea of veverige > supports LG, but LG said SF	
Lad dose wore - one to fice	
I'C presents here as more moderate,	
asvicting	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
3 > presents B and Ts as could story	
supposessional (detailing)	
- women and children > Inish	111111111111111111111111111111111111111
are the victims	ai ên
-> sources agree that he Band To took as	
The first the second se	

((a) continued) In Lloyd George's letter he speaks in dufeuse of the actions of the Black and Tans presenting Dun as the victims who sited Just elefuce, and the Sam Conelly assassinated previous of he police, and Derefore that he Black Tous was justified in strilling a son is Draw over defence Source 1 a notice posted by The Plack and Tay browslives, and explating altrough it presents a somilar view that Bey were exacting just veverge the describe their actions as taking can eye for an eye' - their own propaganda in fact proves from to geen more coull tran Lloyd Clarge juggests They deserbe how try would below the lives of fore leading Sam Feins in vetur for trak of one policeman, and would " Lang con even house that swells of Som Kein This suggests that they were acting more hardly Dan Loyd George orggests ((a) continued) as they took were was in relum for those that were taken from hem, which further even them can eye for an eye

They seem much were vindictive than Lloyd George suggests meight give his view more presented by Lloyd George, as he a unionist ha should be expected to be biased organist form he black and were working for he government. would not look good for him to condeum the actions of government forces especially to a constituent whose vote he would like to count on. The Source or I on he other hand is are Righ and Taus themselves Evelore shows her vindictive attitude (the even say by are orbing out of vereige), and presentation of the law see and it is Dey Duneres. The Dut Too The Source I is not entirely opposed to Some Z, as it still presents ach and Tass as being in the right but it goves a slightly dieffers ((a) continued) impression of the way in which they arted. Sence 3 does not I aus as victius, or as nightful avinges,

but presents the accourts.



This response engages in sustained cross referencing across all three sources. Despite one factual error, the provenance is considered in a way that demonstrates that the candidate is using it to judge the weight that can be given to each source. This is a secure level 4.

Question 1(b)(i)

Answers were fairly evenly divided between the two options with slightly more attempting this question. The sources were accessible and candidates understood the basic line of argument that they presented. Better candidates were able to distinguish between the approaches taken in the different sources e.g. the different emphasis implied by the use of "arrogance" in sources 4 and 6. Many weaker responses worked through the sources sequentially, paraphrasing the content and making some links to the question. Some of these responses did display some evidence of contextual knowledge but a substantial number relied almost exclusively on what was contained in the sources. A number of candidates were able to distinguish between the public and private aspects of Parnell's career, with many candidates addressing the Kitty O'Shea affair and concluding that despite the moral outrage at Parnell's behaviour, he did not fundamentally damage the cause of Home Rule. Stronger answers were able to range across Parnell's career, integrating the strands that were identified in the sources with developed arguments from their own knowledge and arriving at a reasoned conclusion.

(b) Do you agree that Parnelly leadership domaged the q the high Home Rule? Charles Stuar Parnell was a constitutional rationalise reached to new peak in the 1890s. Whilst more radical than his predocessor Brown Isaac Buth, Parney still remained within the least refinements of the law He was Protestant landowner who was warring for the lich Home Rule cause Parnells leader ship can be seen as great influence on the cause of the Irish Home Rule his 'private use emerged, it brought down coreer and his cause together somewh Source 4 is an extract from a book published in 1980 and is very positive about Parnells Loodership, it not seem to think that Parney obmased the Cause Irish Home gule unlike source of which is an 96 a book published in 1963. Source 6 thinks that private life and larrogani refusal to resign damaged Ruce movement! Source 5 on Gladstone himself introducing the Home Rule Bull

to the House of Commons in April 1886. It is very supportive of fornells constitutional means, but perhaps this is before the Kitty o' show affair became publicly known at the time that source 5 was written, Pameu was still rapidly rising in popularity. ((b) continued) Source 6 Hosako that Parnell had touched a new peak' in 1890. At this stage Parnells leadership seems to be helping to influence decisions with his ' perceptu style' winning attention at westminister and in Ireland: However, the disclosure of pornells private up meant the end to Parneus coveer within the Home Rule Harment. The accusation that he had been having an affair with a mamed woman (kitty O' shea, wife of Captain O' shea) was considered to be scandalais R Ensor tails of this arrogant repusal to resign' meant that the Home Pule Marement was torn appra. His cratorical skius were no use when his affair come to light. Whist it is true that once the affair become known Parneus Home Rule career was over sources 4 and 5 show what a dramatic impact he had rupor the I wan stone rue couse before the 1890s. A.C. Hepburn shows that he managed to influence 'ex- remains in win-America who had nothing but contempt for constitutional proporation : Painell's aragance, is seen in Gurce 4, as a positive then (unike sources) because it helped to win over wesminster and reland. Parnells radical

language helped him weave together the fenian strand along with one revolutionary har madists and Home full + mar imperants constitutional rationalists such as isaac But a whilst some methods, such as obstructionism, were not ((b) continued) completely necessary, it also show the determination of these people to get linax they wanted fornew was always ' clearly and constitutionally spoken! with an ability to take an opportunity. He was able to issimulate (") grass -100 to organisation and capture the imagination of revolutionary elements at none and abroad' Therefore, it can be argued that he did not damage the movement at the beginning, only in the 1890s Even though his affair and 'arrogant refusal to lesign and damage the party in the late 1800s, in 1912, a third and final Home fue Bill was syrect. passed. This gave I ish people more auronamy under British Rule and it eventually cuminated in the Anglo- Irish treaty whilst it can be argued thou Ireland (even in present day) & never fully received tome Rulle as the North was partiched, it could be said that the North never really wanted that devolution a be British Power. It could be stared therefore that during Parnell's time as 'president of the National Land Leapure' and as a leading constitutional nationalist for Home

Rule, 'he was able to create a united movement'
that was able to peacefully persuade Biltish
forwament to pass Home Rule legislation During

((b) continued) his time as leader, he was able to
unite au Home Rule movement groups-something
that was never achieved again - and consequently
was very influential on the Home Rule movementnot least because he was one of a few His
alayed to acrually sit at westminster which his
private up withoutely damaged the Home Rule
movement, it could be argued that it any slightly
damaged it as y passed the Turu Itanie Rule
buil in 1912.



This response approaches the question analytically, integrating the sources and own knowledge very well, especially in the first part of the response. It deals with a number of different elements of the argument. The sources are cross referenced in places and the points derived from the sources are well developed. Despite the fact that there is some repetition in the later stages of the response, this answer has done sufficient to be awarded level 4 for both assessment objectives.

Question 1(b)(ii)

Answers were fairly evenly divided between the two options with slightly fewer attempting this question. The sources were accessible and candidates were able to understand their basic line of argument although some had difficulties with source 8. On the other hand, the high-minded nature of Redmond's source was grasped by almost all candidates. Weaker responses tended to work through the sources sequentially, selecting points taken at face value from the sources and lacking the detailed knowledge required to develop them. Contextual knowledge tended to be generalised and because the sources were treated discretely, responses frequently did not develop contrasts between the conflicting groups. Stronger responses cross referenced sources 7 and 8 to demonstrate the existence of conflict and went on to compare this to the counter argument represented by Redmond in source 9. This would be integrated with contextual knowledge to develop the argument. Most candidates concentrated on the period immediately before the First World War, but some answers went back further in time. Either approach was a valid response to this question.

Answer EITHER part (b)(i) OR (b)(ii) of your chosen question. settlemen without the south will Protestant Ustermen Angiort is the complete

Thing the was no need for Civil Grand. Ry 1914 It had become inpossible to reach political Septlement without civil wer Agon due to ne unsest Genera he two sides, this is shown in Source 7. The Wiltermen to Proceed to any degree of revert if recessary, ((b) continued) this shared the Conservative government Porty us looking for Civil Unrest to defeat the government and it shows the problem was getting us the "They turned to the more extreme sinn Fein Movement, which was busy recontily a force to Counter the Ulstemen: This shows that both sides were being Played to Set Off against each one and that the fact that the two groups were set up and were willing to revolt meant that a clash between the two was inexitable and this would Ten lend to civil war. Source 8 also backs up the claim as it is for Pudrais Pease uno was in fact an extremit and Part of the Irish Yolunteers he says I am glad that the congenerations would be goes on to En 'blooded is a clearing and sanctifying Thing this views show What he and many others were thinking at the time and that they were willing to spill blood to get Ir3h Revolution. Fighting between the two which is what the extremits were willing to do would again lead to civil west.

In 1913 in January the Ulster Volunteer

force was set-up and armed and in November

1913 the J-Th Yolunteer fork the was set up

and by 1914 & both sides were armed. This

Shows that both sides wan way real passibility

ond as seen as the only my po sente the

Instruction of the tax by 1924 civil war

was impossible to achieve Political Sentemen as

Sources of and nemed and he may be give y

but it s views and surrouser civil war was

inevitable and exentmally sid take Place in 1922

between Protresy and Anti-treaty while showed

that regulates of Political Settlement was civil

were still want whead.



This response sets off by looking at source 9 and then develops counter arguments that are derived from sources 7 and 8. It therefore tries to build an argument based around the sources and for that reason moves into low level 3 for AO2. However, there is only limited development of the arguments and contextual knowledge is very generalised so there is insufficient depth for this to be awarded level 3 for AO1.

Question 2D

Question 2a

Most candidates were able to understand the sources at face value although a small number believed that source 10 was written by an Indian. A number of candidates worked through the sources, paraphrasing the content and treating the sources as information rather than evidence. However, most candidates did make some links to the question and few failed to reach level 2. Some weaker responses did attempt to cross reference the sources, pointing to similarities between sources 10 and 11 and contrasting these to source 12. In many cases however, this amounted to matching phrases rather than developing arguments. Stronger responses were able to go beyond surface detail to, for example, appreciate the significance of the need for the army, as referred to in source 11 or the fact that Indians were complicit in their own oppression, as referred to in source 12.

(a) All of these sources suggest to differing extents that British 6ABAO2 rule was based on respect and support of the Indian people. However both Sources 10 and & suggest that they just as reliant on the police to keep control which suppers there was not always support. Source 12 agrees with the question up to 1907 but it can be inferred from this source that support may have begun to country after 1907 Sources 10, 11 and 12 all aprece that the Kaj relied on the support of the people. As Source 10 explicitly says "You couldn't do it without Indian co-operation" and Source Il cooberates this by saying "We had to rely on respect these comments show that the British prepared to admit the extent to which they relied Indian support. Source 12 is evidence of the comments in Sources 10 and 11 saying "We are derks and willing our own oppression". This shows that the Indians a aware that been British are reliant on their support it is the Indians themselves who are in fact helping the British to continue to rule over them While both Sources 10 and 11 say they are reliant

on Indian support this could just be viewed as appearement to make the Indians feel important as they both go on to mention the importance of the police. Source 10 describes

((a) continued) how being "assistant chief of police" was a "very great "responsibility and how he dealt with "every possible case "including "riot cases" This suggests that the Indians did not comply with and support the Raj wasys as they were rioting. This ruggests that British rule in India was in fact based on the support of the police the Source agrees with Source 10 that the British "relied on the police" However whilst Source 10 suggests that "truth, fair play and decency" were "most important factors for governing India, Source II suggests that it was in fact illusion which kept the British is control It comments that to rule by prestige" and "it was widely recognised the ICS was uttory incomptible". It can be inferred from this that the British relied on deceiving the Indian people to keep control Source 12 is again evidence of Source II is trying to achieve and say, that the British "rule by keeping us in ignorance". However Source 12 does not agree that the British will always be in control in India using illusion. Source 12 is "calling for resultance to British rule" and is telling the Indian manes what bre tricks of the British are. This again suggests that the British rule in India was not maintained by the support of the Indian people up to 1914 However in this case, it is because of the uprising of the Indian

Borbish tactic, such as illusion or the police.

((a) continued) Whilst all the sources agree that the British relied on the Indian people's support they all suggest different more important factors which were what actually beept the British in power Whilst the police were as important factor, the illusion mentioned in Source II and 12 is in fact the best factic and with the growing nationalist movement, the police were British began to rely less and less on Indian support.



This response is a secure level 4. The candidate reaches a judgment by careful cross referencing of a range of issues that are considered across the sources. It does not use a formulaic approach to its examination of provenance; rather this is embedded in the argument in order to establish the weight that the sources can bear.

Question 2(b)(i)

This was marginally the more popular of the two questions in this section. The sources provided candidates with a range of issues that they were able to explore. Weaker responses tended to take the sources at face value and paraphrase their content making some links to the question focus. Such responses often struggled to make source 14 relevant to their line of argument. Contextual knowledge in such responses was often generalised, in spite of some of the prompts that were provided by the sources, such as the reference to the partition of Bengal in source 13 or the reference to the Lucknow Pact in source 15. These were referred to, but the failure to develop these points beyond what was stated in the sources indicated a weak grasp on the context. Stronger responses were able to integrate the arguments from the sources with specific knowledge e.g. source 13 was used to develop a number of the counter arguments and was integrated with secure own knowledge to successfully demonstrate the shortcomings of British policy in the pre-war period and the impact of this on Indian desires for independence. This frequently led to well-judged conclusions about the relative weight of this factor as opposed to the stated factor of the impact of the First World War.

Bost world war obviously had an enormous Impact on the would as it marked vanere in the political and military temperature of S acknowledges the impart and shasts that the impact of forward demands for political independ shows the hope support ofher sorving with escemplan Courage Stogests entented the war with an understanding that relationship with Britain ad gain along side to serve the king-((b) continued) Viewis also seen in S14 where Gardhi Indianado their best to exposur the British socing it as their 'duty'. (Monotheless, as SIS corneson to establish the Indians were faced with appolling hardened some molevation enough for a degree of independence! poorly prepared, so much so that War in mesopotamia to be littled

Little more, as SI5 also mentions, the treatment or Muslim Soldiers who were unhappy about problemy against the great, muslim Obtoman Empire (ad subsequelly multhead on several occasions) Coad to them feeling for more proposed to work with Hindre bossess independent This change in 'desire' mainly caused by the war was marked in December 1916 with the Witnesspart This laid out not only the desire of the hollow people to govern Hamsdones but also set out practical guidelines for electronate representation. The aim being it would show the British the "severt " of their idearies! This was adhert nouth of the war and once peace was reached in 1918 there certainly was a rescensive amongst the Indian population that they deserved repayment - ben's with more political independence. At the stat of the war Prime Minister Lord Asquith welled this by stating that the Indien support for the war mont that governing in India would be viewed with ((b) continued) a new angle of vision. This kind of statement was always going to Estate up Indian 'desires' and so the enderer and S15 go for to suggest the war had a significant impact on Indian views of Independence On the other had there is a large amount of endere to siggest that this desire was there in the Indian constas long before the war which means it wasn't 'many' ausodby it. It perhaps acted as a catalyst and sprined people to bolieve that something

was one but as Some 13 shows independence had been obcused for a shirte The Source (13) points to other factors as 'frel' for the desire for Independence. The 'negertian by the British community' artery played a large part in pehbrising advicated Indians, particularly in the Beneal region. Asthe Some systes, even By 1900 the hotron Newsond Come was already well established - In 1907, Congress was split by Tilak's call for Swaraj or 'self-me' so there was already a later desne! Political manoeners in the early 1900's also could be seen as a cause for holiere working Independent The Patron of Bergal in 1905 autroped the Hirsh committy particularly and so much so that it was named a national day of mourning. This also spended off some of the temorism's 13 discusses as in 1908 bus European usoman were hilled by a bomb mout ((b) continued) for a bose. This action cauded by the rewhication of Bengal in 1911 (which speet the mobile commenty who lost their pomerbase) increased the action of holon Watsmalton and the comparisons for Independence Another act made by the British could also be seen as a course for stohing up degine! before the start of WWI The Morely-Monto reforms - or Indian Cornerle Act in 1909 although, as metrond in SIB was an allompt boincrease He role or native Indiane in local government failed

to have mockey an impalt as by 1917 only 26/178 or the moratures presented to the country were possed. This as SI3 describes, 'proved a profond reaution' Hat being a desire for Independence! The Hone Role leages, ran in 1916 by Gohhale and Anne Bootton are of Littler example that everbefore the war was over 'desire' for Independence was well established, so much so that they found He country grieng spectles ad hading out propagato. Inthermore, to a certain extert, SIG and be interpreted as disagneeing with the new that the impact of the first would wan was the main cause for a call for Independent Although, the War storage by 1915 had yet to reach its worse # Apossithy suggests that the War showed how ((b) continued) the Broth and Indrane carle werk together, Overally the evidence would suggest that an Indian destre for Independence was not many cased by the impact of the fact would war which although significant, seemed to have just brought about a greater sense of justification to this desire - as Some 15 strongly argues. The wer putings marks a time when the British were more owner

that the needed to offer smething bout by this

'was not enough! Instead the evidue 5 in S13

only amongst the 'Bengari Baboos' Asthis adveated

stages that a belief had been long coming, if

time when the Brook were willing to concode

elle we the voice of Indian desire this argrably stronger that Independence had been the good for a white - it centering had been for Tilak. Other footons which should been for Tilak. Other footons which should been for Tilak. Other pollution mis programments of the British which although as the Silv shows of the British which although as the Silv shows of the British which although the for them by the front world war of hot mean that they were willing to combine to be dominated. Undergeth it all was purhaps an innote desire for self-control, suscerify and the remaind of another matrix for whom with their war and effort and the highest party to



This response demonstrates a strong question focus that is sustained throughout the response. It integrates the sources and a secure contextual knowledge to reach clearly argued conclusions. These attributes make this response a secure level 4 in AO1. The sources are constantly referenced with an understanding of the judgments that are contained in them, so the response also achieves level 4 for AO2.

Question 2(b)(ii)

This was marginally the less popular of the two questions in this section. The sources were generally well understood by candidates and were used to develop a range of factors that might be used to explain the reasons for the Partition of India. Weaker responses were heavily reliant on the content of the sources which they frequently paraphrased sequentially with little contextual knowledge to support the line of argument. This meant that they were unable to activate all of the prompts that the sources provided; this was particularly noticeable in the use that was made of source 18. Stronger responses were able to explore the range of alternative explanations that were provided by the sources and to develop these arguments with their own knowledge. They were often able to focus on the longer term disagreements between Hindus and Muslims which were clearly identified in both sources 16 and 17 and hinted at in 18 and to cross reference the sources with their own knowledge to strengthen the argument. They were also likely to consider the role of Mountbatten and Nehru's attitude, as briefly referenced in source 18. By examining such a range, they were able to reach a clear judgment about the impact of the Second World War in causing the Partition of India.

The Partition of India in August

Congress was declared liegal and many on of the coding veners users areas the the that moun league gave their full Expect to me was export and continued cooperate with the British This eft the Musum league in a better position ((b) continued) were could ever into regardiations with the British to eveno that their design would be protected. The difference is tactics meant that although in 1939 there cos still the positionary that they could work out some soft of agreement After the was that positively had to gove as the mider league was become as powerful and popular as congress cin 1945 da Musim Lesque Cordinate in stor miland of p 100 now Howelper 'into communal style rottoon Muslim and throw we wide spread even before & was , as the KS officer on whom's comments source to a based remembered. Their postion in the 100 gave then the ability some circles givered seek some conto often 'sat of a fine-scare not 'as it learner as frighting to revised these results. Turnah also grees 17 out ' Hudes and the selong to two potentia no beaco .. instruction shought don' Some of the Afferday between

idea, Some of the Afferdas between es es es es es est est posteria year ai starter teir religion. For example, to this render mond bring man ((b) continued) 10 was suite and street processors which come to lot for several days disturbing the working of Mushins, who working in silence There were also ommunas terriors because in most places Hirdus were in the majority. There were also many growing tensions between the Indian National Organis and the Moun Langue. The INC instited that they represented it includes and were returned to admit that the Muslim league represented the mounty They were opposed to any form of communation because may thought that the governor of the around so succeed in their bods hards pasitionally to form of government each group sorted streed differed greatly Dic travials a lesson ween described something and a parisons was the Muslim basin mented a weak control querment and strong provides in order to experi the treats of the Menter in the province

the part is seen the majorty Although Juran often tred to reach compromise with congress, such as after ((b) continued) to 1957 election and with his ue point pan in 1922, no companye and be vached cardly and Dehry were also way Endo to Troch occasion, with Gardin acting very patrosis rouses un and Dervis bomong ods wilde saled that during the cabinet Mission that any government of India after #1 white set be and presented scrimated and would not involve Mostin league, leading to Tinoch's after sed to partito Source 18 also cutes to Lord Monthatter as a reason for partition. Lad wontouter to was some way to be howing an afform with Nelm In contrast we relationship was very prosty because Final refused and we have knowledge of the made Montporteris hada, Montoalons fear that the swamped also led

hum to accolerate as regotiation, bringing ((b) continued) partition forward to the 15th August 1947 son Clement Attlog the orine ninister had originally suggested but have would gain to superdore by Zuc 30m 1948. Montballer's worry that Britain would look look also lad to his interesce in the work of the Company commisson and in the gross to wonted the cruen according to 50-18. As Some 18 ; a secondary some the information of gives a mostly acroske attrong losson Reas does have a slight anti-British rake on maia's history there is the second Manytotten is seemed backed up by endera and pould vaile led to son and the contract of the contract to a regolation to take place over a wited have and Monteater after er esmos vergres sic solle the ght that Pakistan would quickly collapse back its lutta. The fartition of John does not sem to have son caused mainly by now when girls as ye toward despite this being courage south analyses

((b) continued) of the source 18 but between a hostoper or

Results lus Examiner Comments

This response starts well; the introduction makes it clear that the candidate is aware of the need to consider different interpretations. In the course of the answer the candidate develops some of these interpretations demonstrating a secure contextual knowledge and making some use of the sources which are integrated into the line of argument. It is clear that the ideas expressed in the sources are used to help the candidate shape the argument. There is some attempt to cross reference the sources, although this is only done briefly. This response achieves level 4 for AO1 and is borderline level 3/4 for AO2.

6HI02 D Statistics

Mark Ranges and Award of Grades

Maximum Mark (Raw)	Mean Mark	Standard Deviation
60	38.8	8.8

Grade	Max. Mark	Α	В	С	D	E
Raw boundary mark	60	47	42	37	33	29
Uniform boundary mark	100	80	70	60	50	40
% Candidates		20.6	37.9	62.1	79.4	88.5

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publications@linneydirect.com</u> Order Code US022890 January 2010

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750 Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH





