



Examiners' Report January 2010

GCE History 6HI02/C





Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at www.edexcel.com. If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our **Ask The Expert** email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link:

http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/

Alternatively, you can speak directly to a subject specialist at Edexcel on our dedicated History telephone line: 0844 576 0034

ResultsPlus

ResultsPlus is our unique performance improvement service for you and your students.

It helps you to:

- Raise attainment by providing in-depth analysis of where your class did well and not so well, enabling you to identify areas to focus on/make improvements.
- **Spot performance trends** at a glance by accessing one-click reports. You can even choose to compare your cohort's performance against other schools throughout the UK.
- **Personalise your students' learning** by reviewing how each student performed, by question and paper you can use the detailed analysis to shape future learning.
- Meet the needs of your students on results day by having immediate visibility of their exam performance at your fingertips to advise on results.

To find out more about ResultsPlus and for a demonstration visit http://resultsplus.edexcel.org.uk/home

January 2010

Publications Code US022887

GCE History 6HI02 Option C

There were some very good responses to this examination, with a sizeable number of candidates able to combine effective source handling skills with focused deployment of accurate and relevant own knowledge to achieve marks at level 3 and above. It was encouraging to see that many of the issues which had been identified in the summer report as posing problems for students were no longer causes for concern. However, there were still some common errors which undermined the quality of students' work and the purpose of the following comments is to offer some guidance for raising attainment.

- 1. Some candidates continue to lose marks because they seem unaware of the skills that specific elements of the exam are addressing. Overall 3 assessment objectives are being addressed in the Unit 2 exam; part (a) addresses AO2a (analysis, cross-referencing and evaluation of source material) and part (b) addresses AO1 and AO2b (analysis and evaluation of how issues have been interpreted and represented, in relation to historical context). It is vital, therefore, that students appreciate the differences between these assessment objectives and understand which of the 3 AO's is being tested in the two questions they are required to attempt.
- 2. The most common reason for low performance in the part (a) question was an inability to comprehend and interpret the source material effectively. Occasionally, this was the result of limited vocabulary. However, more often than not, it stemmed from rushed and careless reading. It is important that candidates take time to read the sources, both content and attributions, carefully and precisely. One of the key characteristics of high performing responses to part (a) questions is detailed cross-referencing and this, first and foremost, demands clarity and accuracy in source comprehension.
- 3. One other area of confusion in the part (a) question surrounds the application of wider knowledge. Candidates cannot be rewarded for wider knowledge included in responses to part (a), since the questions target AO2 only. However, candidates should not ignore the historical context, or 'pretend they know nothing' outside the sources. At best, the placing of sources in a contextual vacuum may lead to a tendency to take them at face value and restrict responses to L1 or L2, or to speculation that is not focused on the defined enquiry. Therefore contextual awareness, especially an understanding of issues and attitudes, should be applied in order to help candidates:
- See the implications of statements within a source and make inferences relating to the enquiry –
- See the significance of the information given in the provenance of a source as a means of interpreting
 and evaluating the evidence offered by the source content. However, it should be emphasised that any
 references should be brief, and directly applied to developing arguments from the sources.

Contextual knowledge therefore plays a role in enabling candidates to interpret and evaluate evidence in order to reach higher levels, but it cannot be rewarded by separate marks. A brief reference may be useful in explaining the implications of a particular piece of evidence or the significance of its provenance and therefore support higher level arguments within AO2. Longer passages of contextual knowledge are a waste of time and may actually lead the candidate away from the task – which is the analysis, interpretation and evaluation of evidence from the sources, in order to reach a judgement. However, candidates should not be discouraged from applying contextual awareness in handling the sources.

- 4. For part (b) questions it is important that candidates appreciate what they are being asked to do. A Part (b) task focuses on combining and integrating evidence from sources with wider knowledge in order to evaluate a given statement or view, and develop a substantiated judgement on this basis. It, therefore, draws on a conceptual understanding that all historical judgements are, in fact, based upon interpretations. Thus, candidates should analyse the evidence of the source material to support and challenge the representation in the question. The sources should be approached as a set and there will be some cross-referencing of evidence between sources and/or between sources and contextual knowledge to develop relevant arguments. At higher levels, conflicting arguments will be evaluated by reference to context and provenance to attempt to establish an overall judgement. However, it is important here that candidates do not engage in formulaic or routine evaluation of provenance. Provenance need only be assessed where it helps to weigh up the quality of the evidence in relation to the claim under investigation. Thus, in the best responses discussion will proceed on the basis of reasoning from the sources and discriminating use of evidence that has been weighed and contextualised to examine conflicting arguments and reach a reasoned conclusion.
- 5. Both part (a) and part (b) tasks are challenging, requiring candidates to engage in complex cross-referencing and analysis. To meet the assessment objectives effectively requires careful preparation and it is noticeable that more and more of the higher performing scripts include substantial plans. It cannot, therefore, be stressed enough that thorough and detailed planning centred around the source material is a prerequisite for success in this unit.

Option C Conflict and Changes in 19th and 20th Century Britain

C1 The Experience of Warfare in Britain: Crimea, Boer and the First World War

Responses to part (a) almost invariably analysed and cross-referenced between the sources and, by so doing, were able to access Level 2 at the vey least. There was some misinterpretation of Sources 1 and 2, with the phrases, 'We are not going to lose this war' (Source 1) and 'I do condemn war in theory most strongly' (Source 2), being erroneously taken as a reflection of the views of the authors. This does highlight the importance of close textual reading of source material. It is not uncommon for the content of one source to provide evidence both to support and challenge the contention in the question. The melodramatic tone of Source 3 did lead some candidates to question its authenticity, although it was pleasing to see that many still regarded the fact that a popular newspaper chose to publish it as a useful indicator of public attitudes. There was some good application of contextual awareness to reconcile the contrasting views presented by the sources, with, for example, many noting that Lansdowne's declaration was made in the immediate aftermath of the Passchendaele campaign (and a failed peace overture) while Brittain was writing before the major attritional battles.



The following script demonstrates a Level 4 response, albeit a low one. A clear understanding of the three sources is displayed and the basic contrast between Lansdowne in Source 1 and Brittain and the 'Mother' in Sources 2 and 3 is highlighted. The fact that both the authors have suffered personal losses is cross-referenced and used, in the conclusion, to establish the weight that should be attached to the evidence. There is an attempt to reconcile the differences between the sources by deploying relevant contextual knowledge about the timing of the pieces. Although the response contains some weaknesses, for example opportunities for extended cross-referencing are undermined by a tendency to address the sources in sequence, the qualities of Level 4 are displayed sufficiently for a mark of 17 to be awarded.

a) Scurces two and three both show some support for war how war had a surce the feeling of widespread privations and support for war how proceed and support for war how suggest that despite high casualty

rates there was still support for continuence of the war evom the public.

By comparison, source one is much more against the continuance of war, believing that "its prolongation will spell ruin to the civilises world." Written by Lors Lenssowne a former minister of Asquiths liberal government, it may have expresses such an opinion to gain public support from the increasing number of people experiencing the negotives of war and therefore turning against it. Another

(a) continued) YESSON Why its content may slipped so greatly to both sources two considered is because it was written af year after them are also after the bothe of the sonnine which huge numbers of men were killed and a large number of those from pal's battalions.

However, sources two and three both imply that the writer's have expenienced personal losses are to the war. source two, written pro by

Mere prittalin in 1916 is said to have lost her fiance to wounds a month before the wrote the letter. This could explain why one states "I condemn wher in theory" however, one then implies the first world war is in her liew, "I war on all war" and therefore states her usual objections don't apply showing support for the war acspite losses.

source—three is an anonymous letter that response to another celling for

(1a) continued) PROCE. THE Writer completely
opposes proce stating "we women will
tolerate no such cry a reace!" This
letter was also distributed later to
the public as a pamphlet permaps to
try to gain public support for war.
The writer implies much like source
two, that sine and women like how
have born losses "uncomplaining" by
effering their sons as "human
ammunition." Both source are two
and three therefore give their
support to the war despite high

orcycll, sources two one three gives
support the claim that the British
public supported the way despite
high resuelty yetes thowever, as
source three one was written at a
later pate, it may reflect a change
in public opinion at this time
compared to the review excounts
of source two one three in spite of
this both sources two one three
those support for the way despite
show support for the way despite
(a) continued) experiencing reversal losses
showing are your that high resulting
rates has little impact on public
opinion and arevall, support for
the way

For the part (b) question the majority of candidates opted for question 1b(i), with many displaying an impressive range of knowledge on the Crimean War and the work of Florence Nightingale. Virtually all candidates picked up on the debate contained within the sources and could analyse and draw out the implications of the material, but weaker candidates tended to follow this examination with a description of Nightingale's work which was, at best, only implicitly focused on the demands of the question. Better responses cross-referenced *The Times* report in Source 4 (allowing for the paper's motives in using such melodramatic prose) with the claims made by Trevor Royle in Source 5 to support the contention in the question through the deployment of their own knowledge. Source 6 could then be used as a platform to develop the counter-arguments, with the very best using Massie's distinction between the alleviation of hardship and the reduction of mortality when assessing the relative merits of the two sides of the debate.



The following excerpt uses the sources to identify the core of the debate which is then developed with the deployment of relevant own knowledge. In the second paragraph, tone and contextual knowledge are used to explore the veracity of the representation of Nightingale contained in Source 4. What is important to note here is that this evaluation is directly related to and proceeds from an analysis of the claim made in the question, and is not simply appraisal for the sake of it.

Answer EITHER part (b)(i) OR (b)(ii) of your chosen question. hale improve improving soni

med access to the funds which would bring the necessities for improvement in regards to the work are did in the crimes, her successes must be shown

((b) continued) with the sonitory commission.

Florence Mightingale was given formed after the crimean war to improve in nursing. These funds were raised by the public who recognized and celebrated her achievements in the crimea thousary, it is clear to see from source four taken from "the times" newspaper, that her exports have possibly been exaggerated angel" whose "slenger form glives angel" whose "slenger form glives puictly along each corridor." Here influenced public opinion are hove onlined and hove

Question1b(ii) was the less popular of the options and only the very best could place the concentration camps in the wider context of British military strategy in the South African War. Weaker candidates tended to depend heavily on the sources and rely on assertion when arriving at a judgement. At this level, many responses focused exclusively on the 'barbarism' of the camps and any own knowledge was, for the most part, limited to descriptions of conditions inside the camps. Those operating at higher levels recognised the importance of the word 'deliberate' in the question and examined the claims in the sources in the light of the brutal struggle between British forces and Boer bitterenders during the conflict's guerrilla endgame. Thus, although many candidates remained unconvinced by the leisure facilities outlined by Andrew Roberts in Source 9, they were, nonetheless, able to reconcile his claim that the camps were simply 'a terrible unexpected by-product of guerrilla war' with the allegation of gross mismanagement contained in Source 7. The best responses demonstrated the ability to analyse the sources in depth and in context to establish conflicting arguments, and then draw on wider knowledge to develop and evaluate them to establish an overall judgement.



The following response is operating at low level 4 for AO1 and low level 3 for AO2b. There is a clear focus on the representation in the question and relevant contextual knowledge is deployed effectively and integrated with the source material. However, there is a slight lack of balance, with the counter-argument mistaking neglect for intent, and the concluding judgement is rather too brief. Thus, an award of 19/24 is appropriate for AO1. For AO2b, although there is some attempt to reason from the evidence of the sources, the material is, for the most part, used to buttress the candidate's own knowledge rather than develop points to challenge or support the claim in the question. There is also some confusion over the attribution of Source 8 which significantly undermines its utility. However, selection is appropriate, there is a clear awareness of the representation under discussion and sufficient analysis of evidence in the light of this representation for an award of low level 3 to be made.

Ing - product of grientla wayane? , "it was us part of the deliberate use of the enethods of barbarian" Source Boers were also provided will usossoties such as Good, Shetter + clothes. Dis is supported by some 9 in which it says they were given "food, shotler, clothing" Authorities also let people in Britain provisions raise funds for the Boers + distribute Them among the someontation sources. for organized for the relief of south African che or ford for the Boars and women their The also managed to secure soap for the baers when she went to the ((b) continued) concentration camps. Britain also used the a Search earth policy + greatla wasfare in which they burned a Boer Jams + homes One Boers Changone as supported by Source q had no alternative acommodation Allo Source 9 also states that Attendance was not He caup were voluntary. One gerennent Corroborated with this in reply to Chily Holhouse reports, which was displayed in the Times Another reason why they cotics were wrong to call the concentration camps a de part of the deliberate. nethods

Johnson" is that because as soon

and the government

was als allted of the problems of

the concentration camps & said

gags in Source Tilbre camps - were

grosoly nionanged in Disease became the "

then get up a commission called the

fonceth commission to truestigate this p

problems - The ger Drey were sent to Southern

thoica to properly the camps her'ne

findings showed that the condition were

extremely poor Drey corroborded with

((b) continued) Enrily Hokhouse's report Ahat

Liene was extreme everounding provisions

were not always being supposed folding

Boers and elley were diging from disease

and standian Do government then

Suggested becommendations t implemented

Ethem such as more nurses more supposes

entertainment for the Roes. Dris is

supported thy Source 9 inwhich it says in

Some camps' there were musical sociations

reading rooms games + sport Dris surely

Slows that the government main aim

was not to create "horror" or worture the

However, in each could be argued allat ordics were were correct lo say that illre concentration camps were a deliberate part of the deliberateuse of the nethods of barbarism? Gnily +6Bhouse would agnee with the Atro view. She chad heard of the enoug to The Boers in the Concentration camps. So she became delemine to chelp them when & She were lo wisit the comps and there saw what ((b) continued) The called "mothods of charism in South Africa. Source 8, 5 Which shows one of the speeches & she The said to word war exercise the Beer War, was no longer a war - it was just British barbanism She produced a report of her finding in the camps stating that they were over grossy overerowed, they were not tobe infact voto disease n'aden. When she went there she spoke of choro peocessities were not being provided so the Boers and May when she asked for soap for them, members of the asmy doughed at her and ignored her Onis suggests what there it was a game to the military & ether didn't care about the welfare of the Boer Futtermore

when Easily Hobborne List no produced he report to the action dies the the government-their ignoned her. Drag chrandioned ther a "hyderical women" wo was being dramatic about the war This suggests that Are authorities did not come either about the Boes in the concentration camps either Drentfore are implying that the concentration, comps were infact ((b) continued) a deliberate method of barbaring It was only when the public Pressured the government to investigate the camps that they did so and established the Parscett Commission. Another reason who argument why entics I we could be right is elfat the camps were not completely yourtany, Or depute the government status they were. Dis Supported by Source 9 in which it goes Sections of Boers were groupe Boers were (" Swept" and every porcon found taken As a concertation camp Enily Hobbouse's report also Slowed that large numbers of children and women were force duto the camps

6 One Germans would have seen the portraged itro camps as a deliberate method of babaran & Cumun chancellor denounced Britain for unat the called "whumane nothed of chaharon?" An illustration was also produced porraging Botain as devilo sending innovent Boen de ((b) continued) to their deales - Morrer the Germans were chias as there were bensions between Jamany + Erlain In conclusion 1 believe that they earings were not a deliberate method of charbaing however, determination to succeed in the war and safeguary their Key strategie rouse la ludia ... (nos Jones of

C2 – Britain, c1860-1930: The Changing Position of Women and the Suffrage Question

C2(a) There were some very good responses to this question. This vast majority of candidates could develop the contrast between the report in *The Times* and the descriptions of Black Friday contained in sources 10 and 11. In addition, a sizeable number appreciated that there were also points of agreement; for example, 'lacked nothing in vigour' (Source 10) was seen to be supporting the 'relentless struggle' in Source 11. Candidates were clearly aware that the source attributions needed to be examined as part of the process of weighing up the evidence and arriving at a judgement, although, for some, this did not go beyond stereotypical assertions of bias. Better responses applied contextual understanding to develop the implications of the information contained in the sources. Thus, knowledge of *The Times'* hostile attitude to the women's movement was used by some to add weight to the admission that exceptional force was employed by the police. It should be emphasised, however, that this question does not require significant passages of 'own knowledge' but rather brief references to set the sources in context and assist in the process of drawing out links and implications.



The following response is operating at the top of Level 13 (15/20). There is a good range of cross-referencing which offers detailed comparisons to draw out the similarities and differences between the sources. However, there is limited attention paid to the significance of the provenance of the sources. *The Times'* anti-suffrage stance is noted but not clearly developed to weigh up the evidence contained in the report. Similarly, the political stance of the Conciliation Committee is stated but not applied. The conclusion is used to re-emphasise the basic conflict between the sources rather than address the issue of 'how far'. Thus, the response falls short of the qualities required to reach a level 4 award.

(a) Sarres 11 and 12 challenge the New interned by Source 10,
as Sources II and 12 present to idea that it was the police, of
whose actors on Blackfriday of 1910 there paractive and
Leightened the presence of vidence on Troday Morrever Source 10
suggests that me actions of the suffragettes were included, willitent and provident, and they there is flung themselves
against no (nos of Pario.
Source 10, is an arrest from an affile written and
regating a no events of the 19th ophillember, 1910 later to be
Known as Black friday. The askue from The Times title
supports the antagonistic view of this nonspaper, along with
making others published at the time, terrords the allowing worm

gain access to Rombe. This stence is evident in The Sture, Kept Mirtempers The view That The Pilie contrast to The violence rung Themselves against the lines of the police! -Stanco tulien by Surve II, Which suggests mout lactios uno were Jung hither and thither of the Vlamens Social and The deputation of suppos Political Union (WSPN). This Source is extracted from memorandum by no Parliamentury Concilion Committe for promen's Suffrage, of whom encouraged support for the enpandisement of women - Later seen through the altempt ((a) continued) to implement the first Conciliation Bill in The conduct of the police on Block Friday is noted in Source! as that of a violent nature, as the suffragetter were flungand vidently handled outside Parliament. However, Simpale' noted in Surve 11, of which the police were engaged in can be found in Sure 10 also, which mers that Their holmotis knocked off whilst carrying out their auty in addition to one goriconon boring his hadd cut in the image method of strexing back the raicless' in Surve 10 councidosnith he had of throning the suffrageltes into an angry count, Suggested in June 11. This conduct tolken by he Supports That of Surve 12, extracted from as tatement Suffragette involved in medlemonstation on Black Friday (brute force) of the police is montioned in This Source, which Suggested violence of Mesulthayelk sin Source 10. The sufragette had encountered a most vident conduct by mapaine

a Black Inday of 1910, Suffering Levible you to me back, one polieman, thirded no police supports he courge of action which The police had been the first hand account of active sufpagette provides horiew That he conduct of no presenting was that of vident chains of action Conclusion, The stance taken by Source ((a) continued) the views of Sources I and 12, as it inters the idea mot the conduct of me police was and militant approach taken by the supposedes It highlights The militanty campaign taken by Thembers of 1908-12. Honever, Survelland 12 the the conduct of Mepolice on Black Friday was that of militant and brutal nature.

There was an even split between the two options for the part(b) questions. Most of the candidates in 2b(i) were able to analyse and draw out the implications of the sources and could follow this up with some relevant own knowledge focusing, more often than not, on the role of Butler. Some contextual understanding of the 'double-standards' referred to in Source 15 was shown by nearly all candidates but, for many, this was simply a device to assert the importance of Butler rather than evaluate her role. Better responses displayed a clear understanding of the scope of the women's movement in this period and the sensibilities of Victorian polite society and could site the campaign against the Contagious Diseases Acts firmly in this context. Most responses used Source 14 to present the counter-argument but, again, only the best could effectively develop the issues with wider knowledge. The very best recognised the subjective nature of Sources 13 and 15 and balanced Butler's role as a figurehead against the nationwide scope of the campaign to arrive at a considered judgement.



The following response is an example of a very strong script; it was awarded good level 4 for both assessment objectives. The candidate starts with Source 13 in support of the contention and makes clear the fact that this is an interpretation that is being dealt with by stressing that the evidence is 'presenting' a representation of Butler. Relevant and accurate contextual knowledge about the mores of Victorian society is then deployed to emphasise the degree of opposition the campaign against the Contagious Diseases Acts faced. Further support is provided by cross-referencing with source 15, although close textual reading enables the candidate to employ the source as a platform for the counter-argument as well. Again the analysis is focused and developed through cross-referencing and careful deployment of accurate and relevant contextual knowledge. There is a sophisticated reference to Source 14, with the evidence being used not simply to buttress an existing argument but to develop it further. This is by no means a perfect answer, the judgement is rather brief and lacks reference to the source material, but it is conceptually very sound and displays all the qualities required for a level 4 award in both Assessment Objectives. It is worth noting that the candidate clearly spent some time on planning.

totally agrees with the statement that losep primorry responsible 'fight 0 this a areat Butler is presented women evenimbere. 'Christian' addire a 'tremendaus opposition'. This would faced be not only from the police who she was criticising for dehumanising treatment of prostitutes now the government to place the blame on prostitutes, public. In the 1860s, when Butler was camp

women simply didn't speak out. They were supposed to be mede and mild, the 'angel in the house' who's totally dedicated & to har to husband. Butter is far away from her female sphere of the home and domestic and is entering the public, male sphere of politics. She also foiced opposition as she was talking about a very taboo subject Not only sex but proetitutes and sexually transmitted diseases and the double standard that comes with it (men being free to have many sexual partners whilst women stay charte and to show being blamed for the spread of STDs When it was men who wild the services). In 'the beginning', there was 'no organisation' to support her. The NUWSS was founded in 1997 and the WSPU in 1903 so the two main organisations were not around to help Butler to campaign. However, there was the Notional

((b) continued) Union for women's Suffrage which was founded by Lydia Becker in 1867. However, although Lydia Becker supported her not everyone dud. A controversial topic like this lead to divisions with the NUNS which senously weakened the early suffrage movement. This shows that she old no wholly have the support

of the an organisation like source 13 suggests.

Source 15 partially agrees that Butter was responsible for the repeal but also implies that it wasn't just her. It claims she made 'huge social and legal reforms' Although it is true that butter got the Contagious Disease Act repeated in 1886, it it questionable as to sei how for she made social reforms. Afterall, after the 1918 Representation of the Peoples Act when women over 30 got the vote, Emmeline Pankhust was still campaigning for the eradication of the double standard. The source implies that she was very important but also that

see she led a campaign' to repeal the Act. Thorefore, she may have been a great figurehead but she had help from other sources source 14 agrees saying there were 'regional campaigns. The campaigns being referred to were red by the Ladies Association, a group of upper and middle class women it was run not just by Butter are but by Lydia Bedeer too. Therefore, Butter relied on those women to spread the message and let their voices be heard. Unlike source 13, it implies that there was support for Butler among the public as shown by these women bue to the fact it was a such a taboo subject, it shows how committed those women were to the cause as they spake ((b) continued) out against it. The campaigns the women did like pethitioning writing leaflets was revolutionary as they a had hardly other women's campaigns to collow they helped Butler to show the injustice of the act and highlight the problem. Souther in source 14 takes it a step futher saying that it was also MPs that helped for example, 15 Mill in 1969 tried to make an amendment to the Reform Act. This was a landmark in women's suffrage as the Parliamentary support helped raise awareness trumondorusly. It is clear, that it goes women did not have tadramentary support, Parliament simply wormlant repeal the act In conclusion, Butter was very important in repealing the act but the wasn't primarily the reason. The work of the Lodies National Association, Lydia Becker and sympathetic Mes were equally important in raising awareness and support. Butter may have been the driving force bound it but the other holped with the work

2b(ii) Nearly all candidates could utilise the sources as a starting point for the arguments for and against the contention. Most recognised the importance of new technologies in providing a range of employment opportunities for women, with clerks offices and the Post Office featuring regularly. Although the reference to 'routine office chores' in Source 17 was picked up by many candidates, only a minority used this as a platform to explore, in any meaningful way, the all important distinction between opportunity and status. Those that did could generally site the expansion in female employment in its cultural context, and used the evidence of the sources and their own knowledge to examine the extent to which the separate spheres ideology was transferred to the workplace, both in terms of space and function. Weaker responses tended to take the sources sequentially and at face value. Thus, the significance of John's (Source 16) reference to the 'piano' was missed as was the link between Davies' (Source 17) observation about threatened male status and the attitude displayed by the Postmaster General in Source 18. Higher performing candidates appreciated these nuances and integrated them with wider contextual knowledge to arrive at a judgement focused explicitly on both opportunity and status.



The following extract highlights a number of the qualities expected of a level 4 response. The opening paragraph firmly establishes the focus of the piece by highlighting the distinction between 'opportunities' and 'status'. This is then illustrated through careful selection from the sources supported by the deployment of relevant contextual knowledge. Thus, Source 17 is used as a platform to develop the contention that new technology did result in increased opportunities and support is provided through cross-referencing with Source 16 and contextual knowledge of female employment in clerking offices and the Post Office. Close reading of the same two sources, however, also leads to the establishment of an argument challenging the contention in the question, with brief contextual support (which is developed later in the piece) about the persistence of traditional gender ideologies. From the outset, this candidate has set up a tightly focused analysis in which issues, identified in the source material, are explored through the integration of relevant historical knowledge and the evidence of the sources.

((b) continued) During the years 1860-1914 the Untroduction of new bechnology was undoubtedly important for creating a nuche in the workplace for women to fulfill. However this does not mean that their Stalus was greatly changed Thisse new technology was still an example of women's nurturn pelping side as soon in the inctional idea of Angel is the house This Said ofther factors were in place a away this time which indoubted improved both status and apportunite

for more This is a topic which has Mary different news about it, as shown in the sources.

proved technology clid offer is source 17, e entry of women as a simple and romer, It was easier to only 6000 In 1901, following twenty keerly felt in the 1880's," ((b) continued) Simularly Source Idea shown is source 17. for example, a range been unable to get onto the working clerkal work opened into the work place fact that 1914 () become the largest single employer work the government were employed by the post

This said, this quote also yes that the women undertaking the clereal work were not being apposed by men. This shows that the clereal position was still very much below that of aryman as the men did not feel threatened enough to appose it source 17 states it was routive chares this certainly does not show an improved in Status for women it merely shows a continuation of their current role but it this office rather than at home

6HI02 C Statistics

Mark Ranges and Award of Grades

Maximum Mark (Raw)	Mean Mark	Standard Deviation
60	36.6	8.2

Grade	Max. Mark	Α	В	С	D	E
Raw boundary mark	60	45	40	35	31	27
Uniform boundary mark	100	80	70	60	50	40
% Candidates		17.2	36.6	61.4	77.6	89.5

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publications@linneydirect.com</u> Order Code US022887 January 2010

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750 Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH





