



# Examiners' Report January 2010

# GCE History 6HI02/B



Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales No. 4496750 Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London WC1V 7BH A PEARSON COMPANY

Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at <u>www.edexcel.com</u>. If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our Ask The Expert email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link:

http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/

Alternatively, you can speak directly to a subject specialist at Edexcel on our dedicated History telephone line: 0844 576 0034

**ResultsPlus** 

ResultsPlus is our unique performance improvement service for you and your students.

It helps you to:

- **Raise attainment** by providing in-depth analysis of where your class did well and not so well, enabling you to identify areas to focus on/make improvements.
- **Spot performance trends** at a glance by accessing one-click reports. You can even choose to compare your cohort's performance against other schools throughout the UK.
- **Personalise your students' learning** by reviewing how each student performed, by question and paper you can use the detailed analysis to shape future learning.
- Meet the needs of your students on results day by having immediate visibility of their exam performance at your fingertips to advise on results.

To find out more about ResultsPlus and for a demonstration visit <u>http://resultsplus.edexcel.org.uk/home</u>

January 2010

Publications Code US022884

All the material in this publication is copyright © Edexcel Ltd 2010

## GCE History 6HI02 Option B

There were some very good responses to this examination, with a sizeable number of candidates able to combine effective source handling skills with focused deployment of accurate and relevant own knowledge to achieve marks at level 3 and above. It was encouraging to see that many of the issues which had been identified in the summer report as posing problems for students were no longer causes for concern. However, there were still some common errors which undermined the quality of students' work and the purpose of the following comments is to offer some guidance for raising attainment.

1. Some candidates continue to lose marks because they seem unaware of the skills that specific elements of the exam are addressing. Overall 3 assessment objectives are being addressed in the Unit 2 exam; part (a) addresses AO2a (analysis, cross-referencing and evaluation of source material) and part (b) addresses AO1 and AO2b (analysis and evaluation of how issues have been interpreted and represented, in relation to historical context). It is vital, therefore, that students appreciate the differences between these assessment objectives and understand which of the 3 AO's is being tested in the two questions they are required to attempt.

2. The most common reason for low performance in the part (a) question was an inability to comprehend and interpret the source material effectively. Occasionally, this was the result of limited vocabulary. However, more often than not, it stemmed from rushed and careless reading. It is important that candidates take time to read the sources, both content and attributions, carefully and precisely. One of the key characteristics of high performing responses to part (a) questions is detailed cross-referencing and this, first and foremost, demands clarity and accuracy in source comprehension.

3. One other area of confusion in the part (a) question surrounds the application of wider knowledge. Candidates cannot be rewarded for wider knowledge included in responses to part (a), since the questions target AO2 only. However, candidates should not ignore the historical context, or 'pretend they know nothing' outside the sources. At best, the placing of sources in a contextual vacuum may lead to a tendency to take them at face value and restrict responses to L1 or L2, or to speculation that is not focused on the defined enquiry. Therefore contextual awareness, especially an understanding of issues and attitudes, should be applied in order to help candidates:

- See the implications of statements within a source and make inferences relating to the enquiry –
- See the significance of the information given in the provenance of a source as a means of interpreting and evaluating the evidence offered by the source content. However, it should be emphasised that any references should be brief, and directly applied to developing arguments from the sources.

Contextual knowledge therefore plays a role in enabling candidates to interpret and evaluate evidence in order to reach higher levels, but it cannot be rewarded by separate marks. A brief reference may be useful in explaining the implications of a particular piece of evidence or the significance of its provenance and therefore support higher level arguments within AO2. Longer passages of contextual knowledge are a waste of time and may actually lead the candidate away from the task – which is the analysis, interpretation and evaluation of evidence from the sources, in order to reach a judgement. However, candidates should not be discouraged from applying contextual awareness in handling the sources.

4. For part (b) questions it is important that candidates appreciate what they are being asked to do. A Part (b) task focuses on combining and integrating evidence from sources with wider knowledge in order to evaluate a given statement or view, and develop a substantiated judgement on this basis. It, therefore, draws on a conceptual understanding that all historical judgements are, in fact, based upon interpretations. Thus, candidates should analyse the evidence of the source material to support and challenge the representation in the question. The sources should be approached as a set and there will be some cross-referencing of evidence between sources and/or between sources and contextual knowledge to develop relevant arguments. At higher levels, conflicting arguments will be evaluated by reference to context and provenance to attempt to establish an overall judgement. However, it is important here that candidates do not engage in formulaic or routine evaluation of provenance. Provenance need only be assessed where it helps to weigh up the quality of the evidence in relation to the claim under investigation. Thus, in the best responses discussion will proceed on the basis of reasoning from the sources and reach a reasoned conclusion.

5. Both part (a) and part (b) tasks are challenging, requiring candidates to engage in complex crossreferencing and analysis. To meet the assessment objectives effectively requires careful preparation and it is noticeable that more and more of the higher performing scripts include substantial plans. It cannot, therefore, be stressed enough that thorough and detailed planning centred around the source material is a prerequisite for success in this unit.

#### **Question B1**

#### Question 1(a)

Most candidates found this an accessible question and were able to understand the basic message of each source, including the cartoon and then go on to link this message to the focus of the question. There was however, a marked tendency for many candidates to work through each source in turn, paraphrasing the content, making some links to the question and possibly re-stating aspects of the information provided in the provenance, often without exploring its implications. This was particularly evident in references to the provenance of source 1 where many candidates did not make the link between the date of the cartoon and the passage of legislation. Such responses can achieve a sound level 2 but are unable to move into level 3. Where candidates began to cross reference the sources more directly and more closely and linked this to a reasoned discussion of the importance of the timing of the sources, it was possible to access level 4.

(a) Sources 1, 2 and 3 all augress ENGE 1832 <u>nenom</u> provent ant rout reduction in policical incluence anistamoly Due the guestion is coundit EXTERE. FIRSLIY Source 1 Shows a picture Lords Opposing che the Jorent or Che ane. ara unin in tr They DICEURE 23ang Repam CHOR mode powerlegg 1633 QUCHOI <u>A</u> M CONIE ontrol the che CONT(G)movement Da Daujowenzak recom. INCO Lacing Crocion dne the reliability of MUSE is pockarand INCOM Carloon is from ( )MOQUZINE ENDE nao ICON Sumparhi riu

and there was therefore a need to Sumpathise with the warring class and the radicals. Coming the mage closely SEE LOID Grey SEOLED we con ((a) continued) at the cop saying it've set open the Flood optes and if they are Simple enough to place themselves in its upy they do so at their aun peril' This has the implication that Lord Grey didn't wish for the oristocrocy to have as much political power as they and pre 1832; we muse ask auseives is this likely or is it inport on araramatisation of the reduction in political influence. Just looking and at the source without deep analysis one would chinic chere was a great reduction in political influence of the anstomacy largely brought abut by Lord Grey, but as 1 said that is an apinion without deep anaiusis Maing onto Sarce 2, which is a report from the Times of a speech made by Kord John Russell He comits ne is not for further change ; is this because the anstorra had more political paver than

the rodicois charget they had? Lard Russen goes on to say that ((a) continued) "Whenever the opinions of the people were mequipocaily declared, the Hause of Lords ward know its place and would not appose the work of the congry.' This declaration success that the Hase of Lords represented the people and would not discegoed their philling due to their Social Storres. However is we lookpuncher into onis we have so asic anseives was the induse of Lords representative of the people or was Lord John Russell saying this to impress at a 'banquet'? Source 3 is an increasing source because it is from a middle class, rodical MP. He begins alsoussing hav the privilège in this canony is temply strong' and how they are "anscorracy laving" which aces support ENE SEQUEMENT ENDE THE 1832 OCE brought a signprant reduction to anstation power for these people to be content with priveleges. Havever it is from 0 middle cross rodical who are nove more privileges than those warrang class.

(a) continued) The Source cakes a alphanent tum tawards the end stating that SILUCTION IS IN MOSE alscouraging paraugns, which apart (On(23011 star, are in the hor Cngland SCUPICIESE in thongs to the Or cheir and Gilles, CY (nans. ( IL IL <u>)</u>er ontrol Jer Oran nin VCLI rnn0518 he TROLT RAOM In conclusion Sames 1 and 2 G Significant Show that there WG in POILTIC Ur Dave. Extenc (0) DUERENENIS Cď Some things ed FMIT. which a chinas

**Results Plus** 

Examiner Comments

This response illustrates the source by source approach to this question that is taken by many candidates. The content of each source is described in turn; thus there is no genuine cross referencing although both the introduction and conclusion to the answer suggest some awareness of the need to treat the sources as a group. The provenance is largely asserted rather than used to explain. This response is a strong level 2.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking the box $\boxtimes$ . If you change your mind, put a line through the box $\bigotimes$ and then indicate your new question with a cross $\boxtimes$ .
Chosen Question Number: 18\a
Question 1 🛛 Question 2 🖾 22AbAO1
(a) Sources 1, 2 and 3 all agree to an extent that the 18 4000
Report Ace had caused a reduction in onstocratic influence,
although source 3 only agrees to a lister extent. However when
the provenance of each source is considered it is clear that he
evidence suggesting mare nove was significant change is weliaste
and so it would seen dore were only linited reductions in influence.
At First glance source I agrees that there was indeed reduced
influence as it depicts people wearing to phasts (ie he aistocracy)
being Flooded with reform, and it shows make all efforts make by den
to appear reform would be fuble, and in doing so they would drewn las
seen by person in bottom inghes), Similarly, source 2 agrees are
aisborats would have to respect and accenciledge the need to reform
as it states " he Hause of Lords would know its place here his a staturatic
-ally dominated i stipleton would be influenced by de Act and have loss
power is and independently of public visues. Here both sources state
trace aistroats would be pressued and have limited provers as a result.
Also, source 3 to some extent shows reduced power as it stated that
Marchesters was not were not in the hands of the city bolasts and hand
the arstociats may have lost influence in some parts for carry.
However, when ispected nore marolighly is because clear mak de
first 2 sources have a weak proverance which affects deir
credibility- Source I was winder in 1831, which was before he Bill
was passed and hence very could only speculate on its impacts - he

((a) continued) reality may have been different is proposed necesnes. Also it was whiten as a cartoon in a magazine which sympahized with radicals, so call have enaggerated be inparts or been used as propaganda. Sinilarly, source 2 was written in 1837 , so has less hindgight den sauce 3 and John Russell proposedre bil somay have bies to state its Furthermore, there is confidencele evidence which shows that anyboahing Influence was still shong. Sources 2 and 3 agree that refirm was introduced to protect be "great institutions" as source 2 states it was "not for furner change" Similarly sarce 3 states max only half a dozen families cleacle on he choice of couldages in elections and hence new have significant influence, and make people are MPs I' many to be part of the anstalacy Hence, as source 3 was wiper in 1849 have higgight and ney were both of an nichle class which adds stability to her cause. Overall, it would seen max source 3 requires more weighting and that, although there was some reduction in the part of the abstocacy e.g. politicians would have to lister, aistociatic influence remained throughout as another authorited the seats in particulant here are used still excessive mit publical influence. The aryonet 1 and 2 semithered forward Put

**Results**Plus Examiner Comments

By contrast, this response clearly engages in sustained cross referencing between the sources which are explored and developed. When dealing with the provenance of the sources, it considers the significance of the dates and examines the weight that can be ascribed to each source. This is a secure level 4 response.

#### Question 1(b)(i)

This was very much the more popular of the two choices in this section and most candidates demonstrated that they were knowledgeable about many aspects of Chartism. Weaker responses often used the sources to develop answers that were not directly linked to the question focus, frequently drifting to an exposition either of why Chartism failed or the nature of Chartist leadership. At this level of response , there was also some confusion about the precise message of source 4 although sources 5 and 6 were understood at face value and used to direct the response in the direction that ha been selected. Better responses demonstrated an awareness that an interpretation was being considered and reached a judgement about whether or not Chartism was a failure, with most candidates arguing for short-term failure and longer term significance. For some this judgement was based largely or exclusively in the political arena, but some of the best responses were developed beyond this, often picking up the reference to literacy in source 6 as a starting point.

Answer EITHER part (b)(i) OR (b)(ii) of your chosen question. you agree with the new that the chartit marement u (b)l and yourown RACY. ances praide ende nee both far and against the vas a To TINS OLD TEN na clas CI des and natewerkness and de re operaides a ess despitethe ny inits arms Six-pantcharterwastooradual usethe indas Ner. as and Politi came thea organised on an unare redented

scale insupport of a political programme' Furthermore Source 6 describes 'impraements in aleracy which adds to the argument that chartism strengthered the position of the working people In contrast to this Saurce 5 des analysing the marement as 'docmed to failure' This can be ((b) continued) exemplified as the rece of the marchy wasstillinipertant in determining political agenda. Similarly, Conservative geposition to the radicalism of the movement still existed reflecting Reel's assessment of previous reform that 'I was unulling to gen a door of which! saunoprospect of being able to dose. Chartism can be seen as a failure by its separation both between leaders, agendasand regions. & Few altempts were madetounite the chartists and it can be argued that these which were made were short-lived - for example the Morthern Staracted as analtempt to unite the maemonto yet this begun publication in 1837 and ended in 1852 Another allempt at unity also supporting Brigg's claims that it was doomed to failure is Joseph Sturge's National Reform Cague which also Jaded away Published in 1840, & larlyle would have been

liar auth the suppor OTTHO Maler Kiots in July Birmingham Bull with the 39 and the ribts in Sheffield and Bradford 1840. It can therefore be argued that lange's experience of Chartism Jurst hand and his understanding of the pressures avis that nsuppor hisd ((b) continued) . Scherigclasses posesathreat at present Haver er Saire 4 portrays mare concern to the rook. and causes of the increment as approved to its consequence In contrast to this Saure 5 dear Ly suppor the claim that Chartism was a failing. This can be substantialed as Briggsreports 'evenhad the leaders agreed about methods 'which portrays disunity. An example of this is the conflict between wett and Place's (and and Bir ningham moral accound O' Cana's, northern-based physical sotaging the bailers also known as the de solisplays the attempt to averane ruse of langos -vetomali KINGLASS the physic ts parably if forceably if we must' Sauce 4 can therefore be seen to support the intentions of Chartists, and although cannot be veried as

a reliable reflection of the movement, being published in 1890, gives little evidence that chartism was a failure. Paradoxically Saure 5, ironically tilled the age of Improvement does little to suggest that the marement offered substantial change. ((b) continued) It can be inferred that Briggs news the Chartist marement as a intrinsic failure, by the claim that, they cauld have never never Kene forced their iscans of partiamentanthe country'. Maverer, by 18 2 the marmont had goured sufficient support, endert in the petitic to partiament, although rejected 287 to 49 votes Saves 5 and 6 praide contradictory evidence and it can be dedued that although chartism failed in itsaims'there was large Termeffect, it leading to the purpher reforms 1872, 1883, 1889 and 1885 However, the movement was a Parline considering its severe distantion, Leader hip divisions and dependency on the state of the economy Jaken to gether the saires argue that chartism was a short-term gaulure and long-term scicces

# **ResultsPlus**

**Examiner Comments** 

Although this candidate may have run out of time in view of the brevity of the judgement made in the conclusion, this response demonstrates a number of features that exemplify a response that can achieve level 4 for both assessment objectives. The sources are used as a group and cross referenced to drive an argument. The candidate has fully elicited material from the sources e.g. the reference to literacy from source 6. It is worth pointing out that the candidate does not make very much reference to provenance in this response; this is perfectly acceptable as this is not what AO2b is testing or rewarding. This candidate has strong own knowledge in places which is well integrated with the sources.

#### Question 1(b)(ii)

This was not a popular question and where tackled attracted largely weak responses with a very few high quality responses. Weaker candidates often did not use the sources very effectively, either paraphrasing them with virtually no own knowledge to support their arguments or making a range of generalised comments that made only limited reference to the sources and the question focus. However, where this question was tackled successfully, candidates performed at a very high standard. They showed themselves able to use the sources as a group to consider the nature of both Liberal and Conservative approaches to party campaigning techniques and promises and were able to support this with very good own knowledge that was well integrated with the sources to produce a well-developed analysis of a range of relevant issues.

## **Question B2**

#### **Question 2a**

The sources in this question did pose some problems for some candidates. Whilst most candidates appreciated the basic message conveyed by Malthus in source 10, their ability to read the message of the other two sources varied. Some candidates misinterpreted source 11 and others source 12. This meant that even where candidates attempted to cross reference the sources, they were not always successful in doing so as their cross referencing was based on a mistaken interpretation. Provenance was frequently re-stated, rather than used to consider the weight that could be given to the sources; this was often linked to an approach which paraphrased the content of each source in turn without attempting to cross reference them. Such responses can achieve a sound level 2 but are unable to move into level 3. Better responses engaged in accurate cross referencing, considering the counter claims of sources 11 and 12. They also began to explore the significance of the provenance in terms of time and place.

**Question 1 Question 2** 23 (a) first and premost, Thomas Malkin discusses in source settlement hornical one inconvension laws and ictor to al reform ski Jaan heed rto He sources possible to settlever 00 YONG ch conplaired remana The werseers ad unrediately RMANE han

offer help or supart displays are how the system of He time (ie felllement laws), simply didit look some He problems only more Her on Ullimately sarce 11 therefore apparts quite sharply the claim made by Malthus is 1798 that the laws didn't ((a) continued) give help to those sheqquiry". The valid ty of the source as a the reflection of the status quo accross He contry way however be questionable. The source is question bounes on one township ( Putton) thus failing to demonstrate on other representation of He situation anywhere else in the country at the train being a carty of very different demographics, systems and living conditions the source may only be used to support Malthus' clairs as & fatsified in are very seufic crea In simmation the source II as a secutic example of one case in one one does 'support the clains' rade by Malthus in source 10, but only to a cotain extert as it falls short of erlightering us as to the structure accross the rest of the country Looking at sorce 10, if may be suggested that Mallhus overeaggeated his prhayol of the feltherent laws as insuperture and inconvenient' The claimont, Willraws

Douse of Halton describes how the poor rate in

his case actually 'telped' him 'despite contring

#### History 6HI02/B

to live at Wainfleet which was not his original area of settlement. On face value Her, this prace appeers to show how white garde 11, in this are Dave and node to nove dway from his current Inde and was ever supported by local authority ((a) continued) This sirely show, Malthes to be wrong; however once more this the source is care and area specific and does not sted any light onto a how the fetthement laws are employed nationale - because every crea accors the anoty is not litely to be different performance, the date of the arce's origin renders FT less useful is terry of apparting Malthus' claims. 18) J. He date of Danses claim, He New Poor Law had recently come into antestion which made the fettlement laws expirit. Therefore, although he discusses a period of fire much edier bitranch this does not support Malthus as it is 9 years after his lain') his dam is ref made up? ofter the anadicution of the Jettlement Laws Therefore on this alore the source is hadly nitable of all to oswer a give any support on to He ralidity and with of the claimy made by Jallas In all the specificity of the given sinces to are oren and one cone, compled with the translogically insuitable source 12 mean that both parces are wable and fully the claim' nade by Matthes that

settlenet laws were an upative of 'byrania D can be completely discorded whereas 11 only acient to Mallhy claims in an one and carrot be used as a representa ((a) continued) faus are the generally due to its native **ResultsP Examiner Comments** This response clearly demonstrates an awareness of the need to cross reference the sources and attempts to do so, although it is not always fully effective in this. It does however use the provenance quite strongly to probe the weight that the sources can bear. This combination of features makes this response a level 3/4 borderline answer. To be a secure level 4 response, the cross referencing would need to be developed further and sustained through the answer.

### Question 2(b)(i)

There was no significant disparity between the numbers attempting each question in this section. Most candidates were able to understand the key arguments that were presented in the sources and were able to integrate this with some own knowledge, even if this amounted to little more than an awareness of some of the worse of the excesses and scandals that characterised the workhouse. However, it was surprising that so few candidates from across the range picked up on the reference in source 15 to the example of the success of deterrence at Cuckfield. Weaker responses tended to work through the sources sequentially with some additional comment and links made to the question. Better responses used the sources as a group to present evidence to support the statement, usually using source 15 as their starting point with support from source 13. Source 14 was then used to offer an alternative view which was developed in stronger responses to show that the new Poor Law was not unremittingly deterrent. Some candidates additionally began to consider and develop other explanations for deterrence, such as economic costs. Such responses with a range of arguments developed from the integration of sources and own knowledge could move to level 4.

r Ell riek part (b)(l) OK (b)(ll) of your chosen question GelieVe (b)(i 00 0 pala fry the 01 610 1001 ieL a 10 10,6el 01 and on a e Daci 125 Wal 40 he

Source 15 supports this idea, telling us that the law was "designed to be uppleased" and giving us figures that out of the '236 men" who applied for relief, only 11 accepted the offer of the work house", and of these, "three left after a few hours". This suggests ((b) continued) that the system was gived at the able-bodied poor, and that it was working Although it invist be noted that Surce Is is only describing one event and may not be reflective of the situation nationwide, in general the New Poor Law did discourage the able-bodied from claiming relief. However, there were other motives for the implementation and continuation of The New Pour Law after 1834. Costs had been to rate payers The cost of to rate payers bad been spir of Poor relief had been spiralling as increasing Au in the Years leading up to 1834 as there and mate people chose relief. With the weathy Middle class-ratepayers- having been given the vote in 1832, they were concerned

with the amount they had to pay. The New Poor Law was introduced to cut costs and in an attempt to apprase the voting middle class, and this is ((b) continued) Supported by the east that costs Lid indeed go down. Deterring the able-bodied from claiming relief was a way to cut costs here was also another reason for the Source 13 gives a good idea of another reason for the implem main simi of the poor refies the Poor Law administration acter 1334 - to improve the Morals of the poor At the time, England was a very christian Country, and it was though That poor celief encouraged "laziness" and Mat poor relief encouraged "laziness" and Vice". It was believed that the New Poorlaw would stop encourage morally better behaviour. Source 13 is written from the Poortal Report a Report to report the Poor Law Report, which outlined the main reasonsfor the introduction of the New Poor Law, and Was Stri Kollowed quite strictly interms of what made up the eventual New Poor Law.

The cast that it ag This Menns it is a religille reurre, as it gives the reason it gave the main rensens for the Now Poor Law. Mowever, the writers did have an agenda - they wanted the introduction of a de new System of Poor Relief - and So if they ((b) continued) Thought that saying the New Poor Law would decourage jatiness and vice would get the register law introduced, they would say it The evidence that There is little evidence The behaviour of the poor did Subsequently improve, but it is difficult to measure. Starke 13 also links to the idea of 1053 Cligibility and so deterring the por crom Seeking relief, and so it tells us that when the payper Massis placed ... bebu ... The independent labourer" Source 13 also suggests improving the economy was a reason, telling us the a result of the New Poor Law would be that "the permanent demand for their labour has increased". However, the fact that the

hally Suffered as a Cesul e conomy al Pour Law suggests this was New NIM 0



Despite the fact that there is some repetition in this answer, the candidate does understand many of the key issues that are raised by this question. There is sound contextual own knowledge which is integrated with the sources to support the arguments that are presented. For this reason, the answer reaches low level 4 in AO1, but would have been more secure in the level with some further specific own knowledge to support the arguments. The sources are used in terms of support and challenge to the view and for this achieve high level 3. Some time is spent discussing reliability, but this is not an essential feature of AO2b.

#### Question 2(b)(ii)

Most candidates were able to understand the key arguments that were presented in the sources and were able to integrate this with some own knowledge, although this was often quite generalised. Some candidates read source 16 only at face value, but many candidates recognised the implicit reference to laisser faire in source 18. The sources provided candidates with a range of obstacles for consideration, especially if they were able to go beyond face value of the sources in their interpretation, such as using source 16 as the basis of a discussion on the engineering difficulties that faced projects. Weaker responses tended to work through the sources sequentially with some additional comment and links made to the question. Better responses used the sources as a group to consider the role of local interests before turning to a consideration of other obstacles. They were able to integrate the sources with their own knowledge, which was for some candidates largely contextual. Some candidates were very well informed about a range of issues and were able to use this knowledge in conjunction with the sources to impressive effect to argue about the relative significance of local interests.

(b) Source 16 is an witnest goon a Report on the Condition of the Torm of leads written by a local resident, James Snicts in 1844s. The Source says about serverage work within the torm and how the Consul surveyor first miscalculated the Serves and then just reduce reduigned-their orm cost aggestive server.
Snidts in 1844. The Source says about terrange work within the torm and how the Council surveyor girst miscalculated the Serves and then just reduce redisigned-their orm cost aggestive server.
Snidts in 1844. The Source says about terrange work within the torm and how the Convil surveyor girst miscalculated the Serves and then just reduce redisigned-heir orm cost aggestive server.
mithin the torm and how the council surveyor girst miscalculated the Serves and then just reduce reducinged - his own cost aggetine server.
miscalculated the Serves and then just redinged - Heir orm cost aggestive server.
Source p is an ednat gran A. Vood, Ninetenth Century Britain
published 1960. The Source says about the resistance of
Public Heatter agorn by Common and local authorities.
Source 18 is grom an editorial is The Times remopoper,
July 1854. The source says about views against the
Looph of the Board of Heatth.
Yes I agree with the rein that the main obstude to
improvements to Public Health were local interests. Much of
Ha issues of the 1521 2 Public Health and didn't
the reason the 1848 Public Heatth act dight
muke improvements were caused by a minture of opposition
grom people with Vester interests; I like water companies,
and also because load authorities and local Boards
a Health did not want to the tackle greater Public Health

problems. Source 17 supports this by suying the egget to bring in any menure of regorm was siercely resisted is the commons and by local authorities." Another local interest which stood as an obstack to improvement was the cast of regarm. Many Local Board's of Health ((b) continued) didnot want to have to pay large amounts on money on sex Public Healts region, and Source 16 shows is an example of this saying that the council adopted their own surreger's plans as "it was more calculated to Save money than to ensure efficiency Hovener, all this said, No I don't agree that the main abstacles to Public Health improvement was local interests. A lack of medical knowledge at the time was an abstacle Sor Public Health improvement, and as Source 17 says "Repore the 1860s the youl, insanctury conditions of the torns was accepted. People at the time believed that discuss was spread through Miesmic, and an Source 18 shows us examples or just how much people locked an understanding of discove by suging. He pages to take our with Cholere and the the rest and also There is nothing a new hertes so much as being cleaned against his will. A lack of engineering and Hatter serverage disposal was also a destacle to Public Health improvement. Source 16 shows us just how much on a lack a engineering knortelige people on Councils had The Council's surveyor micalculated the land of the Sist server he attempted

by some two spet, which caused stagnation of the water, A lack a computery National legisdation was to Public Health improvement. In 1848 Health act only implemented into places ((b) continued) worst death rate but everywhere as it had to This was a downyall as people didnt voted in. there received a regormy and as Source 18 puts it thought they were being Par bullied into Health" In Conclusion, Yes I agree that Local interests were obstacle to Public Health improvement; being that to take on the these responsibility authoriters dielet wart or Harles improvements. However, I dont cost agree was the main distide to Public that Liere And pasticles are many 01 tocert interste these being Knowledge and abo lack or compulsory legislation and action tuken With the lack of meetical Gorenment. Knorkedge it made it to know how to improve public Health reforms, and With lack of Computerony legislation, many local authorities could use loop-holes so they didn't & have to provide Public Heatth.

## **Results**Plus

Examiner Comments

This candidate has clearly understood the focus of the question, but the material presented lacks depth and development – hence low level 3 for AO1. A little additional time may have been available for greater development if the candidate had not spent so much time at the start summarising the sources; this added very little to the argument. Quotations are selected from the sources to buttress the argument – a level 2 quality for AO2b.

#### 6HI02 B Statistics

Mark Ranges and Award of Grades

Maximum Mark (Raw)	Mean Mark		Standard Deviation			
60	37.1		8.3			
Grade	Max. Mark	A	В	С	D	Е
Raw boundary mark	60	45	40	35	30	26
Uniform boundary mark	100	80	70	60	50	40
% Candidates		21.3	41.4	60.7	81.2	92.8

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publications@linneydirect.com</u> Order Code US022884 January 2010

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit <a href="http://www.edexcel.com/quals">www.edexcel.com/quals</a>

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750 Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH





Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru Welsh Assembly Government

