



Examiners' Report January 2010

GCE History 6HI01/E/F



A PEARSON COMPANY

Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at <u>www.edexcel.com</u>. If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our **Ask The Expert** email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link:

http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/

Alternatively, you can speak directly to a subject specialist at Edexcel on our dedicated History telephone line: 0844 576 0034.

ResultsPlus

ResultsPlus is our unique performance improvement service for you and your students.

It helps you to:

- **Raise attainment** by providing in-depth analysis of where your class did well and not so well, enabling you to identify areas to focus on/make improvements.
- **Spot performance trends** at a glance by accessing one-click reports. You can even choose to compare your cohort's performance against other schools throughout the UK.
- **Personalise your students' learning** by reviewing how each student performed, by question and paper you can use the detailed analysis to shape future learning.
- Meet the needs of your students on results day by having immediate visibility of their exam performance at your fingertips to advise on results.

To find out more about ResultsPlus and for a demonstration visit <u>http://resultsplus.edexcel.org.uk/home</u>

January 2010

Publications Code US022875

All the material in this publication is copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ Edexcel Ltd 2010

6HI01 General comments

Once again most candidates for each option in Unit 1 appear to have been well prepared for the examination. There was, as is to be expected, a wide variety of responses but, as indicated in the Reports for 2009, most candidates approach the questions with some attempt to focus on the question set and to attempt an analytical response. Considering the time limit for the examination it is commendable that candidates can produce two well structured answers, with little evidence of mismanagement of their time. Interestingly, most candidates answer the questions in the order in which they appear on the question paper even if they are less confident with the first topic covered. However, there are some areas (discussed below) that centres should consider when preparing their candidates for the Unit 1 examination.

The first two examination sessions of this new unit were complicated by the fact that a minority of students did not write their answers on the pages allocated in the answer booklet. A minor redesign of the booklet has, thankfully, eliminated this problem completely.

It is perhaps worth reminding centres that Unit 1 tests AO1(a) and AO1(b). AO1(a) is concerned with the recall, selection and deployment of historical knowledge, and the communication of knowledge and understanding of history in a clear and effective manner. AO1(b) tests the ability to demonstrate an understanding of the past through explanation, analysis and making judgements (the objectives are printed in full on page 10 of the specification). Examiners reported that candidates are becoming increasingly expert in developing some form of explanation or analysis and can, with varying degrees of effectiveness, frame their answer on the question. This skill alone, however, is not in itself sufficient to allow access to Levels 3 and above. The explanation must be supported and developed with a **range of relevant and accurate material** which allows the points made to stand up effectively. While some candidates still rely on extended passages of free-standing narrative, there were many whose limited or generalised knowledge, or even a considerable amount of incorrect information, affected the quality of their answers overall.

A welcome development is that planning of answers was much more apparent during this session than previously. Candidates should be encouraged to write brief but focused plans; some covered two pages and reduced the amount of time available for the answer itself. Planning will not be effective, however, unless candidates have studied the question and have understood precisely what is being asked of them. Answers at Level 4 require the response to relate well to the focus of the question and to show understanding of the key issues, and must be supported with a range of accurate relevant information. Once again, an appreciation of the time span set in the question is vital, but this links with an understanding of the whole question. This matter will be dealt with in greater detail in the reports on each question, but three examples highlight this point. Question D6 asked 'How far was Lenin responsible for the Bolsheviks' growing hold on power in the years 1917-24?' The phrase 'growing hold on power' suggests that the period under discussion is from the October/November coup of 1917 to Lenin's death in January 1924, since Lenin and the Bolsheviks did not exercise state power before this time. Several candidates, however, apparently saw the key words 'Lenin' and '1917' and wrote extensively on the Provisional Government before ending up, briefly, on the years to 1924. A few did not get beyond October/November. Many of the answers to C6 selected supporting evidence more relevant to the abolition of the slave trade in 1807 than the abolition of slavery in 1833. As indicated in 2009 there are also still many answers to F7 that confuse the hyper-inflation of 1923 with the Wall St. Crash of 1929.

Some of the most effective answers are those which produce a succinct introduction clearly focused on the question asked and four or more well focused, analytical and evaluative paragraphs, with a clear conclusion answering the question asked. The long contextual introduction of the 45 minute essay is not necessary for the extended answers of a 35-40 minute response. Introductions which show an understanding of the contribution of the highlighted factor/event/individual/concept and refer to its relative importance compared to other factors or relative success/significance give some indication as to whether the candidate

has understood the focus of the question; as does some indication of the time span involved. The questions require a judgement to be made but it is important that the conclusion sums up the argument made in the body of the essay.

Several questions invited a consideration of economic factors in determining an outcome. It was clear, across all six options, that many candidates are not comfortable addressing economic issues, and seemed uncertain of just what constituted an economic factor or economic conditions. In some cases, notably Questions B1 and C6, many simply ignored the given factor in the question in favour of other material with which they were familiar.

As always, the quality of written communication can have a marginal effect on candidates' attainment. Written communication is an assessed element of Unit 1 and this is clearly outlined in the mark schemes. Effective communication depends on a number of skills being deployed, and it is regrettable to record once again that very many candidates do not use capital letters appropriately, fail to spell common historical terms correctly, and do not shape their answer into appropriate and coherent paragraphs. Some only use capitals for inappropriate abbreviations. Naturally, developed literacy skills are a whole centre issue, but it might be worth pointing out to candidates that their communication will be taken into account, however marginally, in determining their final mark.

Option E/F – Comments

There were over 1300 candidates entered for the combined E/F options in the January exam. The candidates were generally well prepared and there were some excellent answers showing clear understanding with the use of relevant and appropriate supporting evidence. However, many well-focused analytical responses showed a lack of chronological awareness and factual accuracy which prevented access to the higher bands within levels. Some examiners also commented the some potentially very good responses lacked the broad balance of discussion required at the higher levels.

Please note: further general comments are made below in reference to specific questions. It is suggested that centres read all the feedback below, not just those which refer to those topics being taught.

E/F1 – The Road to Unification: Italy, c1815–70

This topic is popular, particularly with centres entering in Option E, and the candidates are generally very well prepared.

Q1. Most answers to this question showed some understanding of the progress made towards closer unity in Italy by 1849 and there were a variety of approaches to producing an effective answer. Some candidates analysed the long-term effectiveness of the supporters of closer unity whilst others concentrated more on the situation in 1849 itself. There were some particularly effective answers which argued against the suggestion of 'no progress' by referring to aspects of the later unification which were already apparent by 1849. Many responses which achieved Level 3 tended to describe the attempts to bring Italy closer to unification in the period before 1849 and achievement within the Level depended upon accuracy and chronological security. This also affected some answers In Level 4 which attempted to analyse the extent of progress but were unable to select consistently sound factual supporting evidence. The best answers were able to evaluate directly the suggestion of 'no progress'.

At the tim of the 19th contry ideas regarding the initiation of tally were developing and becoming much more sophisticated, however by 1948-9, very little had actually been achieved by nationalists. The novel of revolution from 1815-49 demonstrated the increasing desire for indepence and initiation, nonturn many outsides remained pominent.

Following the hor which ended in 1815, The congress of nems box place which response 15/4 to how it had been prior to French acception, and this signifies 2 10 - unin in unification events. unor French rule the canthy had been split into 3 tingtom: the kingtom of upper Italy, contral Have and laver Have Ley internal divisions (This page is for your first answer.) KOUKOINE NCHOYED TOOC LOWEN STATES, and entired that communication between STates was at its optimum. By Anis and The 1815 congress resulted in Italy being reduired into 8 STOKES, 7 OF WHICH ADD FOREIGN KODDEN, * and under regressive regimes. This has expectedly prominent in the Papal states, where the Ripe has extremely regressive, restructed construction of Valhous and today innitting communication, trave and made. As well as This, The common factor withing

Italian, their notived for me french was taken away, restoring companilisms (imilitation mentality) and a one dimensional view of Italy being no more than the state in which an individual lived this capied with the backwards nature of Italy with limited wads, hibdered the progress of support sparters of unitication

The North and and and Division minin society stanted the progress of nationalisms in favor of a whited Halian State Language was a key. barner, and one which remained pominent long into The initiation compilian and in the years of liberal guarment. moughan me canny different aisteds Were sparen, so much to mot it prevented inter-state communication to well as this illiteracy has a proden participany in me sum, illustrating an (This page is for your first answer.) endert non-south aunae. Mis almost physical division was reflected in the nature of the north prosperiors and industrial at white The sum has backnord and agricultual merc ADD WERE THE CONFIGURED MEET NOT ana soum, nonchalists choinly middle classes in the norm) were tighting or unification while in the 1820 and 1848-9 revolutions in skilly the

were campaigning for independence from Napter. Other divisions such as no standardized weight and measures, but or currency were physical barriers to me development of a unified kingdom of 1014

PEMPIPS 2 more ignificant indicator of the sugges between of nationalists not being participanty successful has the failure of the attempted revolutions themselves. Bon The 1820-1, 200 1830 and 1848-9 rowships failed, Junieved very little in enhancing nationalist demond. The for mot ravolution were last after affairs with no communication between revolutionary grayas demonstrates that campanilismo val still and issue, and that people where highling appinult te meir jeades or situation, rather man fighting for unification This was domanshated in Lambardy, while has campaigning grant meir trution gutinment in me 1845-9 revolutions and diaso by bunching The SODY attacks in # 1848 After ALSTON WINDGANON (This page is for your first answer.) NEWEVER, Mey CHUE (Mappendence Ather than joining with predmant. This shows the Et conthicts of micress and me state, and this but of mison prevented withwhich

On the other hand however, between 1815 and 1849, There where a series of changes which improved

Holy, and it could be aquearenhanced nationalist VICTIVS. MIN example of MIII Was the electron of Pupe AT Prist IX IN 1846, MAD 12+ DUNCHED 2 FOIES of reforms between 1846 and 1848 demonstrating the anace is views thoughout they. the wall 21 This the congress of sience maken took poke in taly, not held # the first time in Italian. Penapi the man mult ganificant changes were regarding politic. PEOPLE INTO becoming more and more dustrying with progn intervent preserve in 1214, and Mil read to the propertion of "Young they following the tailings of the 1520-1 # 1330 revolution. Mu now set up by MORE MOZZINI, one of 2 number of individually own 21 Babo and giorgeo kno per pudished idea about isn'the in the matement and noticed which dowcnerstes new views in they regarding the importance of unitiation, and people note given unioms to domangrate mis misin the way a kay CONSERVICE CONCE LE CONSTRUCTION OF L'ADOM STON aided me pours of withaticn in subsequent year. Overall, white the ideology of a united langdam of they was spreading, and There was increasing support for This morement, it is accurate to suggest

Most the supporters of closer unitied initiation in Toby Not mode no progress 1849 Miss is because territorially May had to and they faced the Ome problems as may had to ane in 1875: anninent preign purities, centricing invested and tack of support from all Products of society.

* secret southis, a including the Halion Federation These were often located in region and me canty, each with their separate ideologies regarding with this meter were the twice benting me 1820-1 and 20 elections, and at mismie were me drying fore of Nationalism # in Haly Remaps more significantly was the budding of

ResultsPlus

Examiner Comments

This is a Level 5 answer. The candidate has chosen to approach the question by addressing the issue of no unity across the period from 1815-1849. Throughout the essay the issue of no progress is approached directly although this becomes clearer as the answer progresses. The discussion interlinks issues such as the progress of supporters of unity with the forces of Papal conservatism and foreign intervention. This is a good example of an analytical response being created through an essentially chronological approach. The essay suggests that although there was essentially no progress territorially there were indications of possible future unity by 1849.

Q2. Although some answers produced a description of either Garibaldi's intervention or the process of Italian unification, there were many good attempts to determine whether events in Sicily and Naples were the decisive turning point in the process. Most candidates were able to explain the significance of Garibaldi's actions and to compare this with events before and after. The concept of a 'turning point' in the process was handed well by the majority of candidates and there were some very interesting answers produced with discussions as to whether Garibaldi's actions speeded up an inevitable outcome or whether unification was not guaranteed until the decline of Austria or the withdrawal of the French from Rome. A few answers saw the question as a discussion of the role of Garibaldi as an individual rather than focusing on the significance of the intervention itself whilst others wrote almost wholly on the role of Cavour. There were, however, some outstanding responses which were able to evaluate the role of a variety potential turning points, such as the meeting at Plombieres, by succinct selection of appropriate relevant material.

(This page is for your first answer.) Garbaldi took adviantage of reverter in Sicily and Naples to sweep through 80002 2000 the south perscally, his bend of producteers 20,000 depeating a Neapouran any of Palenno before na inopposed, rehing on, MANG-UNI to take Naples. this conquest of the sadhen States was underiably significant came of unification, especially attitudes of R'edmintere the Anne Minister Canour toulards Alaples and Sicily are can En Hon Carar riend the Sarth to poor and every back word to be of any interest to Riedmont, and indeed Ganbaldis "mad scheme" envine 1260 Gesibaldi's expedition regret Smpone. In the taggier Genbaldis intemention the second be seen as concial 20 inification as it included the two sta easily otherwise have been left

alore by the ealarging North - Canar certainly Seems to have been content with the idea of a lager Riedmant comply-enjoying inchallenged hegemany over the Northern Helian states Turthemine, as it became clear that Creibaldi intended to complete his campaign attacking Rone, Cavour was forced to act (This page is for your first answer.) preventatively, indading the Paper Statespin order to stop Gaibaldi from reaching Rome and thus prosoking the it was way, Reniberdi's illectriced decision at this point (hovever ill-admiced it may have been) which prompted the progression of Helian Unification Yet Gailouldi's act the very fact that Caribaldines able to aggressively insade # Sicily then Naples without mayor international repercusions is significant. From 1815, Anema had dominated the Vielian perinente The phone and the The P its scheer mutan night prevented any attempts at unification or even constitutional change with 1859 - the failure of the revolutions of 1820,

1830-3) and 1848, as well as Charles Albert of Redmant's huniliance defect at Norana sà 184 march all stark eridence of this. It had become inexception clear that Italy was not shong enough militarily or economically b defeat Prema, end therefore that finerion intervention to exhibit errential to exhieming unification of any east. It is therefore clear that the role of France the hugely important. (This page is for your first answer.) French herps to Predmont in the Second War of Chification in 1859, Napoleon ancially altered the internenional eitherian in favor of a united Itely. The French army time not and Not only did the French any min the battle of Magenta on the 4th June angle-handedly, the prenance of French enport for Riedment against Arema Was vital, Mappenditte in that will and presented France could easily have squarker Piecunutes attempts at unification just as they had in 1879, by sending 20,000 maps to destroy the Roman Republic. In addition, Napolein III converiently made no real

diptenté protest at the dagnes of unity produmed is 1851, as well as facilitating the manager of Venetia to Italy is 1866. However, France's role in inification is hindered after contradiction, mit maning at allo hindered the process significantly - the Napoleon selfishly organized on amistice at Villaborce on the 11th July 1859 without consulting Canor; he objected to Piedmontere annexation of the Central States until he had been placated with Sangand Nice; and most significantly, his amy This page is for your first answer.) lenained in Rome to support the Pope until 1870, preventing the natural capital of the a united steery from being included is it for the years loger than Was necessary. Arguebly enother potential hours point in the mification process was consist decisión to ester Riedmant Vibo the Crimea War with This decision led to Cavaris being able to attend the Paris Reace Conference Above vi 1858, conversing with such international giants as France and Ruisia an almost - equal footing. It was this which Led to carave conta connection with

Napoleon III and the the French leader's decision to initiate ptalles about driving presha art of Haly at Planbières in 1859. Redmont's role itself cannot be an reached; it was frequently Piedment which provided the army or the diplonatic manaerree in the inification process, although a lithenge it does d' I not and it is month roling that the Victor Emmanel I be of Riedmont became Victor Ennamed -A of Italy, despite king the fret Italianking. However, it seems reasonable to Conclude that the bue burning point in Italian (This page is for your first answer.) unifcehion was french intervention in 1859. The attempts of Several revolution and a Riedmontere-led war had all failed to secure the defect of Arema which was so evential to any sont of unification in Italy. Garibardi's contribution was underiably agaificants the wat the paid at and which the fature of the types a craited whether hung-- Beachtrong with the first of the second state - Hetter peninsula, but in the the Scorth was added the but not the but whind tely not the

the fartures of Examiner Comments This is a good example of an evaluative answer at Level 5. It gained a lower Level 5 due to its lack of coverage across the whole period. The response begins by directly focusing on Garibaldi's intervention as a turning point and then developing the importance of this event further using secure and detailed supporting evidence. Whilst developing the significance of the intervention other influencing factors such as the role of Cavour are interlinked. In order, to determine the intervention as a turning point the events before this date are skilfully referred to in terms of foreign intervention and then other possible turning points are introduced. The whole timescale of the question was not covered leading to the lower level but the main body of the answer clearly supported the alternative turning point in comparison to Garibaldi's intervention which is suggested in the conclusion.

E/F2 – The Unification of Germany, 1848–90

This topic is popular, particularly with centres entering in Option E, and the candidates are generally very well prepared. However, evidence from both questions suggests that there are a significant number of candidates who believe that Bismarck became Chancellor of Germany in 1852.

Q3. This was the least popular of the two questions with its focus on the consequences of the 1848 revolutions on Austrian power within Germany to 1862. Some responses described the failures of the 1848 revolutions or outlined Austria's position in Germany up to 1862 but most did attempt to explain the reasons why Austria appeared to remain the dominant power in Germany. Many answers were able to identify aspects of the failures of 1848, such as the weakness of the liberal-nationalists, which enabled Austria to continue to dominate Germany and to compare these with other factors. Some excellent answers were able to show change over time suggesting that although the failures of 1848 enabled Austria to maintain its dominant position in the early part of the period by 1862 Austrian power was in decline.

Q4. This was by far the most popular question and most candidates were able to discuss the contribution of Bismarck to the process of unification well. However, many responses although clearly focused on the role of Bismarck tended to give prepared answers concerning Bismarck as 'master planner' or 'opportunist' rather than concentrating on whether Bismarck was 'wholly responsible'. Many answers suggested that Bismarck's diplomatic manoeuvres would not have been possible without underlying economic and military developments in Prussia or the decline in Austria power in general. Some answers with well balanced discussions were undermined by a lack of chronological security and, in particular, a lack of understanding of the role of the Franco-Prussian War. In order to achieve the higher Levels it is important that candidates focus on the wording and the time-span of the question asked.

(This page is for your second answer.) by Pismark in the Vijication of Germany was conside. From the moment of his appointment in 1862 as Maister - President, Bismarch assumed the role of the He hele of German unification boste The pend of 1862 - 71 is characterised by Pisuarcha brilliance as a typerat in the international stage, both strengthening Prissia and weakering the positions of his adverses Austria and France Harme , the mishakes of Bismarck's opporants should be recognized on they in paving the way for Fernan Vijection in 1871. The early years of Pisnarks reign were reight by his relations with Austria, The zoens Bismark recognized that Austrian Authority could conly be superided by Pressian authority were Prime to be Victorious in a war with Austria. From He mount of his process selection as Minister Dresident in 1862, Piscarde looked to proper pression its a state that would be capable of departing Austria. His Realpolitik in the Reichsbag split the National Liberals, and secured his personal authority when he pushed the Array Bill through without their support, allowing the Auto Prissian army to readenie after the deback of rabilization in 1859. As relations with Auston sheadely declined

GCE History 6HI01/E/F

inerstable, for Austria would never accept pression dominance of Germany, an concept that was certail to Bismarch's ambitions . Unsuprisingly War and though Drussian victory made ik parria domination, and unification rione they , its is difficult victory. Bo to ansess finar to responsibility for the had modernised the Drussian arrive, yet Drussian victory was gilled through the ristakes and weaknesses of Austria rather than the huge superiority of Prussian forces. Austria had prior to 1866, been torn by internal disputes. Discontent in the Mapsburgs lands forced them to deploy 1000s of troops in Hungary, rather than at Kanigigrate. The Army too was weak Officers were picked by their Junities on rottes their skill, and economic shortcomings meant they had poor nusket weaponry. Austria had also alienated herself by ishemotionally by appearing the aggressor was . She had risbilized first and had breached the his convertion, and god a meaning de is difficult Lonerer, to downplay role of Gissearch His secret diplomany the sugar of thaty, and Frances rectralita The War of 1800 was one of the key steps read to unflication; and Banarch can constand partially, not fully, responsable for its

(This page is for your second answer.)
Alper the defeat of Austoria, Drussia readed
Alper the defeat of Austoria, prissia reeded only to come the southern German states to
ensure interesting (non Mal His will reasonable
ensure unifraction Green hal this would represent a
huge swing in power borando Drussia, France would
not accept this. This ensued that a more with
trance would be neversary to complete Unification.
Bismarch began la preçoire Prussia for a une
with France. His diplomany was ingenious. He made
secret alliances with the South Terman Sates against
Fonce, and used Newspaper articles to against h
ash French
Jan German Nationalistic Juding against France. His
attempt to prowhe France with the Hohendlern
condidate very rearly backpied, but the French
areplayed their hard and the Fire letegran
and the land the improved and a she
again allowed Bisscarch the upper - hand, provoking
LA TOUR LING
In Conclusion, Pisparck's diplomacy ensured Le
played a predal role in fulkening (serman wi) realing
, yet the misfahes of Austria and Fance, coupled
with the frength of an already strong Prissia
Juster ensured Victory. A smarch was not Jully responsely
, but to role mas criccal

ResultsPlus

Examiner Comments

This is a Level 4 answer. The candidate clearly understands the focus of the question and has produced a narrative analysis of the role of Bismarck along with other factors. There is a clear understanding of Bismarck's role in comparison with the roles of Austria and France and with reference to underlying Prussian strengths. The supporting evidence is secure but lacking in some detail at times. The narrative nature of the answer keeps this in Level 4 but in the higher band.

E/F3 – The Collapse of the Liberal State and the Triumph of Fascism in Italy, 1896–1943

This is a very popular topic for centres entering for both Options but there is much variability in the extent to which candidates are prepared to answer questions. Although there were some excellent responses many candidates find it difficult to establish chronological security. There are still a few but significant number of candidates who identify Mussolini with the pre-war Liberal State. It is very important that candidates are introduced to the significance of key dates such as 1896, 1914-15, 1918-19, 1922, 1929, 1939-40 and 1943.

Q5. Many candidates who answered this question were clearly aware of the North-South divide and the weaknesses of the Liberal State but there were many generalised answers with little specific reference to the period 1896-1914. Although there are generalisations which can be made about the weaknesses of the Liberal State with reference to geographical disunity, social tensions, political weaknesses and foreign policy failures after 1870 it is vital that the candidates are given specific examples from the period 1896-1914 with which to substantiate statements. Although many textbooks refer to the Liberal State since 1870 events specific to the period from 1896 are easily found. There were also many examples of imbalanced answers with responses which either concentrated wholly on the North-South divide or ignored the given factor in favour of other explanations. The best answers were able to show how the North-South divide contributed to the weaknesses of the Liberal State during the period in comparison to other factors or showed clearly how the given factor integrated with other factors to create the weaknesses.

(This page is for your second answer.) C

regions such as Predmont displaying this with large projectable tostile industries. Morener, tele buth had very little nineral verelth, with a day and environment. The only projectable industry was sulphus estimation, which very few could prestake in Socially, the two were very digenent with the Northern realthy cities being democratic and goin. However, in the South many were estrenty pear peasants the wanted for the large hard (This page is for your second answer.) Oligants, acted exectively as regional ruless, with very being poregul erough to igrore the garennest. There was also a strong maria presence, whening in much complian in the South. Transport and Communication vere also bad between the worth and South - modern roads were still to be built, and no rivers were sully etilizable for trade. The railway system was also in its imancy, not being able to sisting large scale trade and communication Disease was also on issue for the bath, with

bad Medanies being reported in Sicily at the time

due to stagrant even that needed draining. The state of the South meant that Italy call not switing fully or a whole country. and the Liberals had many problems in dealing witch this dismigrication. Hovever, there are other factors which can be seen as contributing functions to the veaturess of the Liberal State. An increased size of the last ing ponties, both Commist. and Aronhist can be held respossible to restance The hiberal state was ready baght down with the Kings anoscration by an Aranchist in 1890. (This page is for your second answer.) The two ponties created responsible to meh irchatrial action with the time period, calling stickes that cloked Italy's economy. The rature of the Liberal State in not daine more to try and appears on suppress these parties reant that they had significantly none issues than they readed. The reakness of the army may have also Contributed to the realizers of the Liberal State. File colonial episode in Abyrinia in 1890 disgraced the army, being the aly power to Love been degeated when coloriging. Returning

to Italy empty handed, nany last guith in the Liberal state, with her being the agression in the first place. Hovere, the every diel prove itself with the admind comparings into Libya in Lell, being siccought while many here pleased with the state by its esponsionist views, the last care strongly opposed, and selt alienated by being ignored by the Liberals. The reakness of the Liberal State con also actualledged to a general depen Liberalium be throughout Europe, with England howing really dropped it. The clarging of peoples social and expromie states near that liberalium to (This page is for your second answer.) larger stood for und they believed or nonted. Another reakness ney also have been Giditte. utile le diel much to bring on seconie progresse which was excerced, his particul toretic of transformismo meant that the party was athen without potency, since all agreentative and intellectual people had been bought and. It also said that Giditte had a personal file 12

on all politicions, reasing blackhail has almost

certainly a jesture of his govering.

Liberal State also created guited The by martand sugginge in 1-121 posicio the electrate to jump from 21/2 to 21/2 nillion Ligenge neart namy indistrial 71 vote, and then the new og the socialist porties melled In analysis of these fortos he can, that North - South Liberal states reakiess, but t easter to the it was also heatered by internal iseves The internal political oppositio of the Coming Anorchisty reant the State acte discredited, and to go gainst the rejority of the peoples requests. The people of Italy - The chikakat have seen Li Joen li (This page is for your second answer.) contries store of the arneel discreditation Bed (معام divide outed on ban simple netlod of appearing the torse.



This lower Level 4 answer has a greater awareness of the Liberal State 1896-1914 than many of the answers for Q5. There is an understanding of the focus of the question and an analysis of the weaknesses of the Liberal State although at times generalised and not always secure. The discussion of the north-south divide suggests but does not show how it may have affected the Liberal State and there are a range of other factors such as political division, Giolitti's policies and the weakness of military actions.

Q.6. Many of the responses to this question were able to describe some of the key features of Mussolini's economic policies with many references to the 'battles' for grain and the lira and to attempts to establish autarky. Most answers also made some attempt to establish whether the standard of living for ordinary Italians improved but often this focus was lost as responses tended to evaluate the success of Mussolini's economic policies instead. Other responses also lost focus in an attempt to discuss other factors such as social policies, foreign policy and propaganda in determining standard of living. However, the best answers were able to take advantage of the wide time-span of the question to determine how standards of living changed over time with reference to initial policies to solve unemployment, the introduction of corporatism, responses to the Great Depression, attempts to create self-sufficiency and the effects of wartime economic policies. There were also some effective answers which referred to differences between the north and south and between urban and agricultural workers.

One of the main suprises about The fascist regime was the apparent successes made economically by Benito the Mussolini, despite fact that he had no economic experte experience, and when he can first came to power, policies of any form as far as the was concerned. However, despite the supposed (This page is for your second answer.) Success of fascist economic policy policies, after they did very little to benefit de Italians transchues. A key example of this was in 1926, missohii revalued the the 150 line to the pound Sterling to 90 to the pound. This was purely for propaganda had no economic benefit other than Italian economy appear making the fact, it crippled Italian exports, as it In

meant foreign buyers found Italy's products were now much more expensive, and so they became much loss popular. Similar to this was how the Battle for Grain' affected the economy This was mussolisi's attempt to achieve Italian AR anterky in terms of goe of grain and wheat imports. Although by the seguining of world world War Two, Italy was almost completely self sufficient is what wheat, the average Italian was actually worse off. This is because the land previously & used to grow citrus fruits and obies was now used to produce whent, a crop unsuitable for the soil Refer Ole cost of producing the wheat rose, as did Gread prices. Furthermore, The Italian exports (This page is for your second answer.) in increasingly short supply, and so more espensive and therefore less popular. However, not all of musulii's policies clanaged the economy. The Great

Depression in in after the 1928 hit Italy much less Am other European countries in the no small way due to the fascists. Unlike many governments, The fascists intervened to protect the banks and

large Lisinesses, providing louns to keep orem aflocat. The problem of inemployment was solved by Re inplementation of government work schemes, initching motorways and public buildings. The jobs provided & meant that dere was less Social tension, and the fact that the workers hours were limited meant more people were able to work, and so Nore money was in arculation, keeping the Italian economy from collapsing. Furthermore, despite Musso his 's economic blunder when he revulued are line, An These men neasures meant and the ling remained men relatively stat Stalle, and hypoinflation was anide and avoided, so that Italy care out of the Depression much better of onen Germany and even an U.SA. Despite This, Mussoliii's economic policie's were (This page is for your second answer.) Over-all a failure, and re most damagin; one for de Italian people was the Corporative State. This was Mussolini's economic brainchill and was intended to solve Social conflict in the work place, allowing both employers and employees to work to gether more officiently towards fascist goals. However, it was

GCE History 6HI01/E/F

in reality little more than a means towards the Mass-exploitation of the working dasses. One Italian. politician summed up The corporative state in their "Have you ever tried boting is a clarkened room for a black cat Mut isn't There?" The main reason for the failure of The corporative state was that the main representation representatives of the workers were fascist deputies, who invariably supported the Scors Sussies on and factory owners, who as a result of the support Ney gave to the Italian government. Averefore, the Italian people were nevery abused by fascisn's key economic principle. In Conclusion, thousactivit's Re majority of Mussohii's policies to a will try wile extent in no way improved the standard of living for The ordinary Italian Bross the For example the (This page is for your second answer.) Corporative state was no Ming More Ohan a way of mass-explaitation of the working closses, while the revaluing of the lina effectively crippled Italian esports and The Battle for

The price of Gread caused da further duringing Musselini's Depression the ne Italian Collapseconomy nousands of Л Italian Standard of he Π rest , and result Ne Working classes



This is a low Level 5 answer. This response directly addresses the focus of the question and has an explicit understanding of the key issues of the question. Although perhaps not quite so clear at the beginning of the essay the answer becomes more explicit as it continues. There is a clear attempt to show both the effect of individual policies on the standard of living of ordinary Italians but also an evaluation of change over time. The response is at low Level 5 because it is not wholly consistent and there is a lack of breadth across the whole time period to 1943.

E/F4 – Republicanism, Civil War and Francoism in Spain, 1931–75

There are a pleasing number of centres beginning to enter candidates for this topic in both Options and most candidates appear to be well prepared to answer questions on the Civil War in particular. However, despite the complexities of many of the events and issues of republican government in Spain from 1931, it is important that candidates feel confident to be able to answer questions on Republican Spain and Francoism as well as the Civil War.

Q7. This question was answered by very few candidates. There was a clear distinction between those candidates who understood the issues surrounding attempts to limit the powers of conservative forces such as the monarchists, the church and the army by radical republicans and those who produced weak descriptions of the events between 1931-36. The best answers were those which suggested that the initial gains made by early reforms to land ownership, military power and church control of education were increasingly challenged were increasingly challenged by a combination of resurgent conservatism and weaknesses within radical republicanism itself.

Q8. This was by far the most popular question in F4 and most candidates were aware of the different factors determining the outcome of the Civil War. This question required the consideration of the responsibility of General Franco in the Nationalist victory and, although most candidates had some understanding of his role as a military leader, many answers only briefly mentioned leadership skills before discussing other 'more important' factors particularly the contribution of foreign powers. Answers which only briefly mention the given factor can only access lower Level 4 at best as in order to establish General Franco's responsibility his role needed to be evaluated in relation to other possible factors. The best answers were able to refer to Franco's standing before the civil war, his decision to support the army revolt in Morocco, his ability to unite Nationalist forces, his tactics during the war and his relationship with foreign powers. At Level 5 candidates were able to integrate Franco's contribution with other factors suggesting, for example, that his ability to unite the Nationalist forces and have some control over the nature of foreign sponsorship were in stark contrast to the divisions of the Republicans and the interference of the Soviets.

Nationlist 1939 (This page is for your second answer.) Gerend Franco Won Refub 1, 10rus att asserted 0e 10 16 CCA Controluted Ŀ acto 1 to. hat WguOd esponsible lonlist Victory 11 Fatus used Franco Piece land Slanly b/sughtund Ihis Very Sale Franco a 9 ood lea 100 He VationIIII well and eri aley 1emainal also Franco Go Negotyte wrender. VIUNG tranio's tutis cino

(This page is for your second answer.) Withen the Nation link porces, and Chis contributed to the Nationlines victors in the Spinish Guil War Howeve, it can also be asserted that othe Jadon were responsible for the Nationlist victory in the Spanish (wil Wa. One of these dartors is the face role of foreign interection be Nationlists recipied and from both Italy and bemany as well as support from Potygal. Genung proved the Nationlists with the tonton (londo-Legion, which was composed of 10,000 skilled souldies and also atelles and tanks The played a culal role in the Nictionlists northern offensives. Italy provided the Nationlysts with 45,000 troops and 12 bombers. The Errops greatly helped the Nationlist, and the Combes were used to transport be Army of Africa & Africa from Morrow to Mainland Spain. The aid provided by Italy and be many was unconditional and good quality. In Comparsion, the Republicans only had one source of and (although Mexico give some), and that was be & USSR The USSR provided rifles to be Republican, but lese were poor quality as they had been used in previous conflicty (some were even taken from M museum in the USSR), In addition the USSR also provided Too 5000 advisors. In return for the and, States a the WSR record all of the Bank of Spain's gold reserves & and this effected the Republicant as it was the asty way they

(This page is for your second answer.) Could purchase any from abroad The USSR also tried to restrict the und only to Communist miltin's. The good quality and received by the Nationlists compared with the poor quality and conditional as and requered by be Rope Republicans is anothe reason Jultor responsible de le Nationlifte untery in the Spanish Cuil War. Anothe Justo- responsible for the Nation list victory in the Spinish Civil War is the poor state of the Republica eras be Republica had poor equipment, and troops were pourly trained. The state dich't trust the 7,300 files who the didn't soin the Nationlist. Also, there was no one Republican army, only different miltai Boxe on The attempts by Carso talk Caballes 14 Couting tom are any fuiled, and POUM and anarchist milting repused didn't recognise rants and elected their own officer. The ill discolved and poorly trained Republica army is anote parto- responsible for a Nationfuts victory in the Spanish (init War Anote padar responsible for the Nationly Victory in the spanish lived when is the Nationshist any ils off. The Nationlins were well trained, bette equation equipped and disciplined. They were united and Coordinated by I tead leade, and this is another Jantos responsible for the Nation/184 victory in the Spanish Civil War

(This page is for your second answer.) Anothe Judor responsible for the Not unlit vido-1 to in the Spinish list was in the divisions that existed in the Republican government The Lommunity, anachistic and sociality all had different ideas about what to do and constructly agreed. The would no one leader mulite the Mationlises he Battle of Machd between the community and anurkers deals shows this the dimens in the the Republicans is anothe Jubor responsible for the Mationlist notors in the Spanish and War Another Jucto - responsible for the Nation list inchang victory in the spinish lived like is the terror the Nationhists use. le bombing of tremico trilled 1654 people and was the prost ever carpet carbet bombing and alamed the Republicans. The Lastra Concentration Camp resulted in 12,000 death, These atrouties greatly demonalised the Republicans, and is another justice vesponsible for the Nation/112 victor in the Spanish au,1 Wa. A find Justo In conclusion, I believe & Gered France was partially responsible for the Nation list victory in the Spinish Civil Way but the Jadoplayed a more important role. I alcept that Franco united be that the Nationhisti and led tem skillfully, but I believe the poreign and Versued by the Naturlists was the major yactor in

tle .. Ke. (This page is for your second answer.). allowed tru Main Do/bed no/ an (u

ResultsPlus

Examiner Comments

This is an example of a competent Level 4 answer which needed a more secure discussion of the given factor in order to achieve higher. The answer is clearly well focused on the question with a brief discussion of the role of Franco counter-balanced with more detailed development of other factors. The response required a more detailed discussion of Franco's role with clear supporting evidence in order to access the top of Level 4 or to become a Level 5 answer. The conclusion does suggest that other factors were more important but the lack of explicit and sustained reference to Franco's role means that this evaluation was not substantiated in the body of the essay.

E/F5 – Germany Divided and Reunited, 1945–91

Q.9. This was by far the most popular question in E/F6. Most candidates had a good knowledge of the events leading to the creation of separate states in Germany in 1949. Many answers at Level 3 and low Level 4 produced descriptions or narrative analysis showing the chain of events from the end of World War II to the creation of the FDR with some reference to the actions of the western Allies. However, the chronology of these events was often insecure resulting in inaccurate and confused answers. The best answers were able to evaluate the extent to which the actions of the western Allies created the circumstances in which Germany was divided into two separate states with reference to the increasing unity of western zones, the creation of Bizonia and the introduction of a new currency compared to underlying influence of Cold War ideology, events within the Soviet sector and the ambitions of German politicians such as Adenauer. It is obvious that although candidates felt confident in their understanding and knowledge of the events leading to separation, failure to concentrate on the focus of the question meant that many candidates ended up producing a well reasoned narrative rather than an analysis of the contribution of the given factor.

(This page is for your first answer.) In 1949 the FRG and GUK had been born out of the divided ruins of Nazi Germany. Uuring the time elapsed between this point an ideological protectionism had fallen over both zones o tast and West actions occupation t The of the western allies in particullar to a the formation of the FRG was universal suspicion of both sides motives from the former allies and down to the leaders Adenaur and Ulbrickt that bernan was responsible for the formation Iwo seperate Germanies s The Western Allies & France Britain America had in the years prior 1945 been unsure on Now to deal bermany after the war. The initial idea - The Morgenthu plan was eventually rejected and the Yalta and Potsdam conferences led (This page is for your first answer.) to little definite ideas on the eventual landscape of WIFied a Germany As relations between the two emerging superpowers worsened and the lines of the were drawn there was little will War

oppertunities for the Soviets. atteh-US the cut a 0 to the Coa ons astern Zone points first of many dividing 'n Country WOL 199/ Zonia anilary was British Di ican di 5 to Kick sta the Unitication Germa let it is that clear Trotecting Droto was ready a Driori creating governme ONO loca clearly aimed soviet - takové The Zone the ambigur 10 Eastern the Soviets to an ac ea Tion conomic Counci in the an This the States thoug SI Towards Two not the The intention Was bui more communication the in lack 0 0 climate Causino ectionism They WIA in both reform Inc cu CUCY 148 (This page is for your first answer.) as \leq The 15 lestern inen a_{l} ies

(This page is for your first answer.) halfs of Germany in 1948 the as Seen mome 15 Western d es ho 0 CUMPENCY Was SIC pushed both 25 the ovie perate es OVE polarisation rushed introduction H Omerely symbolic Was a VIEW Tel 01 Berty tutu NP 0 The estern Тō Tho SUSP h/es Mean Tion ticatio as trust continue No to more likely became to IN Tition lhus their action but Soviets reaction ON 100 e (to the universal suspicion The ions act Th 0 this an impact diso IЮ 10 in towards SUSPICION sides 00 0 other SP each CO() astern Viate INODE 0 tears IPS thei TOTKOM Iron speech tain ls CU MC
GCE History 6HI01/E/F

Insert here rumans dn idea (This page is for your first answer.) showing no anne n risk ps] shows That U DISION 5 nani he Th mΛ Was deologies mai Too SUSPICION division 10 sla 5 he oma α state le eD thoug h SUC as the at OK stical L the time as a alloss ave 5 ΔM an that tie C orming e "" SINA on his 0 u denaur -KG-The the in 110 USe C Pate Conserva A arv Û 1PI TIA Constitu 10 Was m 101 with Germany Ivided MA Thus U

man (This page is for your first answer.) onies

Results Plus Examiner Comments

This is a high Level 4 answer. It is an analytical response which is well focused on the question but is not always explicit in its discussion of the key factor in the question. The answer interlinks several different factors creating a clear discussion but in doing so loses its evaluation of the role of the western Allies. This can be seen from the conclusion.

Q10. Very few candidates answered this question but most were able to refer to changes over time with the best answers showing not only improving relations over time but variations in relations within the time period itself. Some answers suggested that after the increasingly difficult relations of the early 1960s the relative stability of East Germany after the building of the Berlin Wall allowed greater communication between the two states while despite apparent improving relations in the 1970s a resurgence of Cold War attitudes towards the end of the 1970s saw increasing suspicion.

E/F6 – The Middle East, 1945–2001: The State of Israel and Arab Nationalism

There are a pleasing number of centres beginning to enter candidates for this topic in both Options.

Q11. Responses to this question were very similar to those found in Q9. Candidates were obviously confident in their knowledge and understanding of the emergence of an independent Israel during the years 1945-48 but answers were often in the form of weak narrative accounts with confused chronology. There was also a tendency towards a lack of balance with many answers only briefly referring to British policy before suggesting other more important factors. Some of the best answers were able to show how British policies on Jewish immigration, reactions to terrorist activity, relations with the UN and ultimate withdrawal in 1948 were connected to other factors such as post-World War II politics and the historic issues of nationalism in Palestine.

(This page is for your first answer.) Alminiantor MANI o modia Updr 0. JAND Nemmor us on Immigration o never Seemel whowere determined ion Jate where outraged ikul independant

(This page is for your first answer.) by the Plans aid out in the White Paper to time immigration number of 1939 to limit Jewish imonigration to falestion to only 75,000 per year for 5 years with no more after this Though aqueeable to the Arabe mappel Arabe; events in Europe through out the thesis 1st hall 1940's meant that public opinion was a addingt the Plan With Many Jews fleening Europe 2 the Nazi's holocast, here was much produce to let tens into Palostine the and the Policy of turning Shuploads of illegal immigrants back-offen to displaced persons camps on war occupied Europe- Providered international outrage & Fisenhower in the States demanded that 100,000 Jes be let into pales the Immediately Placing further pressure on The British Government Another factor in the colablighment of was the UN Partition Plan which divided . The tews also used Terroris in against the British Cartrol in Palestine - TI ie ever grawing numbers of Tens aused fighting between Paleshuian Put down bytare d Tens e British - neoulted in many terrorist attacks this Reputed on British toops and convoys in Paleotine by Graups by Such as the ligur and Lehi. The blowing of The King David Hotel. The Botish headquaters m

(This page is for your first answer.) Paledine by the ligue and the Assination of Lord Morgue by the Liehi in neuronge for the police shooting of their Learles Abraham Hen a Londers would have placed great pressure on the British to leave Palestine The UN Partion Plan was also a major factor in the cheatron of Gravel. The Plan designed to Split the negron into two Self, governing states l'against Palebinian IR: wishos - they wanted a peaceful consistence with the fews) unfainty quile the greater Percentage of; and better land - to minorty Jewith population and (ex) and wase land to the native larger palestinian war. Accepted by the Junes + rejected by the Palesmians it created a civil was The British netwood to doing anything hereigner given up their Mandale to the UN and neally Leidonly 6 weeks left in the region as the fighting Avab divisions could also be seened as a Judon in the foundation of Israel. At the beginning of the Civil war the Paleshans nequested help from the Arab league gen dd Arab Logue; seper by much infighting the Arab league woon't best able to help them; Th the end only provolong a few moors & offer,

m (This page is for your first answer.) By the time the British left dedo

48 the Nahan Mary gypt were for to interestedin ey were ineffective Thendel The 1001 haned Wellegy Conclusion Iwould In Policies vorg and VUD 0 C tion ou anc 50 Dares 1HO 11

Israel

Results^Plus

Examiner Comments

This is a Level 4 answer. It relates well to the focus of the question with opening statements referring to British policy in Palestine and moving on to suggest other reasons for the emergence of Israel. The supporting evidence is not always detailed but is generally secure. The analysis and linkage between factors is often more implicit and explicit but there is a clear attempt to determine the role of British policy in relation to other factors. In the conclusion there is an attempt to show that British policies were linked to other factors.

Q12. This was a popular question and most candidates were aware of Nasser's belief in Arab nationalism and some of the controversy surrounding his motivations. However, many answers were less confident in providing specific examples of Nasser's actions and policies and tended to concentrate on the earlier period with descriptions of the Suez Crisis and vague references to the creation of the UAR without reference to other factors or establishing the extent of unity. This resulted in many Level 3 and low Level 4 answers. Candidates who attempted to show change over time with Nasser becoming less influential over time or who were able to suggest other influences such as Arab-Israeli hostility, Syria and the Cold War using well-selected accurate information were able to access the higher Levels. The mark scheme allowed for answers which challenged the extent of Arab unity during the period.

(This page is for your second answer.) It could be appued that the No of Naper & his emergence as a leader in injadene in the development of that Found under Theser was at the Knub states to with provel rather the ua Palistinan's cause Se Develoment Anab Republic (UAR) in 1958 to a great example Show Synia withing to co-goenite nere lsnel. presented ut an energy -_

uth Brotain in 1950 to renew a deal Masseis netusel & the nationalisation of Boyst aut to Shauted who did not MAN hy Cantres Jurine shared Masser was willing That Ismel her into a Le forción pover, here m TO farning

(This page is for your second answer.) And yourd despite the fast that the Egyption forces were soundly defailed. This the figurehead of the Arab world, with states such as Spia, Lebanon, Trog & Jordan firmly behind him. This shows that he could be considered the most important factor in encounging Amb units during this period. Futtermore, the creation of the United Arch Republic in 1958 to counter Incel show that despite earlier infighting, totalen arisis states during to 1942 var - Egypt, Jordan & Syria betraying the Blestinian's cause for land - there was within the And world the resche necesary to mine Is real of the map , & who better to unite behind than Colonel Nasser? On the other hand have on equally important reason for Anab carity wanted be the aristance of Israel deep, If this had not been the case then the three wars that took place after 1942 - Suezvar 1967 in & the You trigina un - would never have taken place. On almost every accession, the various thrab states inchael usually worked together to the best of their ability to fight Israel. Depite the near topelesses of the studion, Isroel had the backing of one of the superprisers of the world

GCE History 6HI01/A

(This page is for your second answer.) the USA, the majority of Heab states of national hostilities did the brake describer a better uegon - the price of eil. Anothen factor, encarraged Anab unity would be the interforme of preign pares in their affairs. The desire of many book states to remain unalgred during the cold later resulted in Justation to a behalf of the US who wanted the main gaince of their all to be secured. The purchas of Russian armaniants by Egyd in It 505 nexulted in the tes of US funding for the Asnen Dam, an which was despendelig needed to notemie Fyget. The USSR were have fund it thencekes & curry favour with the Anabos. Though this may have pushed Egypt closes to the Serviet Union, Egypt hold and its newfral states & was prepared to deal with both Fast & West & long as they did not try to dectate policy as the US had done. Finally, the plight of the Patertinian regale is another important factor when considently that units as that is what thrab foreign policy passed in (in they) during this period. The liberation of the Palestinian state did not come from a sense of Arab 'brother had', but from a united desire of from Arab courtries to get rid of the hundreds of thousand of Palistinian refugees living

(This page is for your second answer.) In their neededue courties. This send there repropes with ed drie. non hame And countines with pees ditias tumpil or even CMI -wan in th Lebanon cose. To conclude, though Moser was a pouer in the Brab vorld his ensuragement Many eader towards unity ver small companson to the in etistance threatened alien an state which That harever blowed barase thete ant liberato Palestine, can Strue houser evidence hors nica cend ear ØN 1780 ony 1 MARCES an

ResultsPlus

Examiner Comments

This is an example of a mid-Level 4 answer. It is an analytical response to the question, addressing the role of Nasser in developing Arab unity and suggesting other factors. The supporting evidence is generally secure and sufficient though not necessarily detailed. Nasser's role in becoming a figure-head for Arab unity and his involvement in the UAR is shown whilst other factors such as the presence of Israel and the situation of Palestinian refugees are adequately developed. There is an attempt at judgement in the conclusion but the evaluation of Nasser's importance is implicit rather than explicit.

F7 – From Second Reich to Third Reich: Germany, 1918–45

Most centres entered for Option F combine F7 with another topic. As a result there is a wide variety of responses and approaches to question. Although most candidates are very well prepared there are a significant number of candidates who have very weak chronological awareness of the events of the period leading to confused and inaccurate answers. Many of the factual inaccuracies are those which are often found at GCSE and centres that are introducing candidates to AS level process by using familiar content do need to ensure that candidates are aware of the key dates. Centres might also consider progression to AS level with reference to a more nuanced understanding of change over time.

Q13. This was the most popular question in F7. Most candidates were able to show the relationship between the Treaty of Versailles and the economic and political stability of the period 1919-23. However, many responses were weak narratives of the events of 1919-23 with implied connection to the consequences of the Treaty. A significant minority of candidates wrote little more than simple statements which suggested economic problems and political revolts with few specific accurate examples and a confused chronology. In particular, there were many candidates who assumed that the Wall St. Crash occurred in 1923 and that hyper-inflation led to widespread unemployment. Many well-reasoned responses also confused the armistice of 1918 with the Treaty of Versailles and assumed that the Sparticist Rising was a direct result of the terms of the Treaty including it in a list of revolts caused by the Treaty. There were some excellent answers which suggested that although the Treaty was the cause of many of the problems during this period the consequences of German policies during the War, the nature of the armistice and the creation of the Weimar Republic were also contributory (although many references to the weaknesses of Weimar referred to events after 1924).

(This page is for your second answer.) ... Has accurate is it to suggest that the breaky op Vescilles was maints responsible for the pritcel econmis insibili in 6 in 1919=23

-Stepman 1 Dones plan Disamma -befor is that in 1244 Asace Coraine, saar, Chech - var pepereticosh social elfor ships Army, Naves. - Frencel scepus - Reportions à passie resurrere It can be arguett During 1919-23 Germans is describel as pacins pilital and canonie dizo culties it can be suggestell that this was maints down to the Treaty of Versailles (or Dicktal)

Hundred it can be argued that aller partors where to blame such as The ; the cost of WWI, the cost of keeping up social welfare & the rinancia strain on an alread Indered economy of the penci occepting the Ruhr. The can are be suggeden that the Ford Treats of Versailles.

It can be crowed that the Treaty of Versailles is responsible for phildecal & Economic cirstabilits because many Nationaliss in Comany falt unhaps with the way comains then g & Nang force was beated as their Nang was reduced to 6 battle ships & they were only allored (100,000 footwaildes this ward was helped to build ap rescribed in this philical sector especial aminst the Freicorps.

It can also be suggested that the chinainment the eff reduction of the size of gemany due to its thoops with the saar & Alsace longin & doe what is not creachestor align being taken aways geman people relt as if they were excludered their geman speeking neisbars builders discoverent but not only this Mild nidaling are ge

temany ba a source of income of it us industries reducing Gemanys money intale.

Finally it can be suggested that the reports in made to be paid by Gemany cause great economic hard ship thyper indeter as thes had to pas back a large 9.9 millio moks this causing a strain on an alreads inclued economy

Huever it can be arged that all factors were the reason as to why bemany went through social & economic hard-ship such as the work depts geman had challed up as they were not prepared made no war provisions & at a point in UNT were stuck at a stalendie this boosting the deficit of the Geman economy

Another pactor is the past that the Weimer government maid social walface provisions due to the indecan inglation an lack of chility for the working class Geman people to be dole to buy essential Elems as the mark became boos an more of more iscother

It can also be claumed that hyper inflation can a bigger public por termany due to the race it cancel mais porety to a courter that alean had socio-ecconomic inus such as gap & riel subases & Influenza & infrant deths. Finally it can be claured that the publiens the due to the pench accorpying the Ruhr anseel lage sale economic publicens por Gemany as the weiner government in actrito this adopted the police of passive resultance, halting production in the Ruhig addred tahins away another one of Germany economic resorces

Un another not it can also be argued that sterman Deres plan alowed femans to peoplete and him areseeing the daves plan alloul Germany to regulate its wor payments that much they call pay putting cornars in a better place in 1919 then it was in 1912.

In candonin in can be claimed that The Treaty of Versailles hadran expect on the plitcel & Economie atmore of post war Germany. Its issues can have be placed else where as the Treaty only had an expect

(This page is for your second answer.) because there were conduly citiz publish such as, war drept costs, helper costs Soid Leffe & Inglatin the treat Treaty of Varille only wersened the publicus already facel by Gemany and thege was party a cause for He pritcel and sociel instibutes or German in 23 19 19 boleen



This is a typical low-mid Level 3 answer. The response is aware of the political and economic consequences of the Treaty of Versailles and some other influences as well. There are a series of relevant statements often lacking in security of supporting evidence or making generalisations. Some of the material concerning Stresemann is irrelevant. This answer attempts analysis through limited explanation and has weak understanding and supporting evidence.

The years 1919-23 in Germany saw many crisis from Hyperintlation (DUP) Deparations, invasions, the wever ter political istubility economic political or both can e debute 1 In 1919, the German people were fued with the humiliation of te Neaty Versailles. This 'Diutat' (dicted the ex- army's blood boll as it was forth

(This page is for your second answer.) Gurneny had wit is pride, and was fund wither the Iwar-guilt' danse accepting to blame for world wer one, However, the treaty of versailles was bigger humiliation because of & restrictions it placed on Germany, Germany had lost territory to poland, reduce it any to 100,000 men, lose all its preign colonies, not build its navy planes or tanks. The most humilitating part was the reparation areanony had to pay the allies. This was a fixed sum billions of dollers. When the treaty was accepted financial and political moltdown took place Re inmediate effect of Grinony, not able to pay back the reparations. In retulication, hoping produce from in 1923, the trench invacled the Rochers with Germany This loss of (remeny's industrial province, government resulted to printing money ber. which also led to a financial crisis of type hypennflation. The German mark had lost where things such as bread cost billions of mails difficult times a Therefore, the treaty of versailles ter major cause of political but mostry prancial istubility in Germany

(This page is for your second answer.) Before the failve th pay reparations however, a poto Germany was in political turmoil. Immediately after the War, the Bight mg Kapp putsch tooh place including wooltging kapp in 1920, where Army geneals, attempted to tale control of ferneny through an uprising. The failure of general support brought the coup down, and the Weima Republic remained Similiarly, in 1923, to leader of the NSPAP. titer mought a time was right a lead of a coup in the stale of savania, hoping it would spread through Gerneny like the hiel nubrug. This Hitter's attempt to seize power failed as he had no general support, and was crushed by the general army. These two examples of political coupes depict cornerige political istability throughout the period of 1918.23. However, be at coup attempts were not the only political instabilities of the new

Republic. The system of proportional representation

in the Reichstay ament that no one perty Lould have the overall may writy and instead

each your mant had to serve as a coalition.

(This page is for your second answer.) These governments, luched unite the effective decision making like the British "prist pust a post system". De indecisicireness and failure to make overall judgements wealed each government. Throughout the period of 1919-24, 20 government codeitions when Formel, showing this ina te inability for nominated parties to cooperate and govern Germany. This again is an example of th political crisis in grineny, where even mose in power, were not able to agree or make appropriate decisions.

Therefore, Germany In 1919-23 saw predominanting economic and political issues which occurred for the entire me period. Whilst the beginning sur abot of political unrest, He yer 1923 saw a major financial coloring with the failure to pay reparations, and the mrasion of the Ruhe. These issues would have Weakned Gerning Throughout as, because it always was victim to either economic or political problems monghout to period.

(This page is for your second answer.) ... In conclusion, whilst the treaty of Versuilles in 1919 10 prshadowed Germany's in luchy fate, politically and economica did not immediately chipple Germany a although tide of political instability began a with anti pepublicuns blaming tubre November criminals' for gigning it. The treaty gave come political instability throughout yers but resulted in the final economic istability in 1923 with the Ruhr & and hyperinflation. Therefore to a great extent treating of versailles 1919 A was what des par forced to economic & and political in blubility yoon Germany, att and made both economic and political instability unavoidable, and as predominently us each other

ResultsPlus

Examiner Comments

This is a lower Level 4 answer. The introduction is weak and does not clearly indicate the Level of response. This is followed by a series of paragraphs which relate well to the focus of the question and attempts to establish the role of the Versailles Treaty. Political and economic instability are dealt with separately and there is a good indication of change over time. There is reference to other factors such as the weak Weimar Democracy but the relative importance tends to be implicit rather than explicit. The conclusion is more of a summary of the instability present during the period than an evaluation of the role of the Treaty of Versailles.

(This page is for your second answer.) A could be argued that the Treaty of Versailles was namly responsible for the political and economic instability in Germany Kerkenness, 1919-23 The treaty, which became known as 'Diktat', set reportion payments for Germany at 132 billion Marks, the strains of paying installments on the economy leal to economic instability as well as discontent with the regime It can also be seen that the treaty leal to the Ruhr Griss when Germany pailed to pay its reportions, cousing the hyperinglation crisis of 1923. However, an alternative, more accurate interpretation is that problems inherent in the Weiman regime were more crucial, particularly in causing Political instability.

Firstly, the Versailles Treaty was a key jocta in Germany's political and economic instability. The extremely high reportion payments placed a huge strain on the German economy, leading to a total loss of confidence in the economy and a withdrawal of almost all invord investment. Economic distress inevitably led to political problems, as the German public became increasingly (This page is for your second answer.) on any the new Wellion Government's indulity to manage the economy and provide solutions. The Versoilles Treaty Los also significant in creating particul to seven 1919 and in logicities at the regime of Germon people were instantly turned against the Weiman politicions, incensed that they were willing to sign to this Schmachtrieder (a shaneful and humiliating peace). It only

reinforced the 'stob in the back' myth and the valeo of the Weimor poliiticions of 'November Criminals', weak and unpotriotic. This was key in creating instability because the new regime faced a country of people who had given up on democracy almost from the outset; the German people had been used to autocracy, and thus could only essent such weak decisions as the signing of the treaty as a symptom of democracy Mosses of people already began looking for alternatives, and throughout 1919-23 rejused to accept Weimar

In 1922, Weither Germany simply could not offered enother reportions installment, but use accused of lying. In this way the Versailles Treaty and its excessive demands lead to the Ruhr Crisis in 1923, where French and Belgian troops invaded and took over iron and steel potaries in the key industrial and took over iron and steel potaries in the key industrial area of the Ruhr. This, in turn, lead to servere economic instability when the Weiner Government colled for possive resistance and printed money to over the costs, cousing a hyperinflation crisis - prices increased by 2, 500% in one (This page is for your second answer.) Month. The hord-corneal solvings of thousands of Germans were wiped out instantly and many were cost who extreme poverty. Thus the Versailles Treaty was evidently responsible for economic problems in Germany, olice to eccessive ineparations demands. Houever, it could be argued that Good other jactors were nore significant indeed, it was Gavernment instrongement that led to much instability, for example hyperinflation could have been availed if noney had not been printed. As Chancellar in 1923, Stresemann's guide solutions emphasize this Also inherent problems in the regime were none cricial Proportional representation led to inevitable instability, as it meant that coalitions had to jon. Political instability was also created simply because Weimar was a demarray, which hey groups in Germany totally apposed For- example, the Kapp Risch of 1920 and Munich Ritsch of 1923 were other-pted caups dive to a desire for a return to autocrary. Thus Versailles was less responsible here.

la conclude, it is not accurate to say that Versaulles uns Money responsible for instability. Mosses of Germons were scholy unvilling to accept Germany as a demanacy, and 1919-23 sou attempts to reverse this, meaning on bability.

ResultsPlus

This is a Low Level 5 answer. Although the supporting evidence is not always detailed the response is directly focused on the question asks and is an evaluative answer. Supporting evidence is secure and there is clear understanding with some good examples of succinct explanation; the reference to Stresemann here is relevant and to the point. The candidate is also clearly aware of the chronology of events especially in the earlier part of the period with a clear understanding that the creation of the republic and the signing of the armistice occur before the signing of the Treaty. Although not always successful there is an attempt to integrate different factors with evaluative commentary.

Q14. This was the less popular of the two questions. Candidates appear to be less confident of answering questions which involve economic policy and some candidates seemed to struggle with the concept of prosperity. A few candidates wrote exclusively about the effect of social policies on the German people. It is important that candidates are introduced to the different economic, social and political issues in all the topics covered. Most candidates were aware of general Nazi economic policies and the extent to which these were successful over the period to 1933 but many candidates were focused on detailing the aims of the policies and the extent to which they were successful. There were a significant minority of candidates who were unaware of changes over time and who confused the chronology with autarky being discussed before policies to counteract the Depression. The best answers were those which addressed whether Germany was more prosperous by 1939 than it had been in 1933 with reference to employment, trade and, in particular, the 'guns or butter' debate and/or addressed the prosperity of ordinary Germans.

PLAN: HOW SWRELSFM Was Non evenomic in appening pros moto 1939 entr uman school the N-60 10 since 0° O ANAT A C (NOT 1939 UMMA

(This page is for your second answer.) The NOTA COMME DOWCH in GEMOMY was both success full sand unsuccess fulling aniening PROSPERity up to 1939. The majority of Nazieconomic policy fell under the category of the New Plan 1933 -1936, or Goning's Four Year plan 1936-1940. Each "pran" had prove individual successes in adhieving prospenty, with the New plan seeing trade in crease by 60%. Sin a 1933, and the Fair Year plan poor admining antany in some areas by making Germany self sufficient in grain and Potatoes. Hoverer, the plans did not fully achieve prospenty in Genary and often harmed the Genan economy me New Plan continued by paicy of deficit finance, which pushed the country into debt and the Four Year plans tarled to appilte the bulk of its two main aims - autanky and an economy geored up for me demands of total war.

some aspects of Nazieconomic policy were nighty successful in achieving prosperity up to 1939. Hiaman sengent's New Plan From 1933 to 1938 Saw unemployment de crease from 5.6 milii an in 1932. to just 1.6 milii on by 1936. state funded public work schemes were established, such as he building of the autobahn (motorway) and (This page is for your second answer.) CONSCILLET ON OFF UMPORTAND PUBLIC buildings . troder Schacht's New PVAN, import Vour Value increased by 40°/0 since 1933 - Meto Bills were introduced allowing Germany to buy GOODS, without having actual money to Pay for mem, acting as credit notes . These policies helped to achieve prosperity as they built up the German workfor a through public work schemes, increasing goods pro durtion schemes such as MEFO Bills helped contribute to Germany; prosperity as they allowed them to trade with other canthis and obtain utal goods, without admally requining morey to do so.

Additionally, tamifs were put on foreign products to make been more expensive. This ensured that German people around contribute to buy German products This helped to area advice plospenity as it increased the amount of morey circulating in the Germanecanony, which would help contribute to lang term profis perity. There was an expansion of state and party bureactary which accuted thous and s of ichs. This helped to aid prospenity both of increases of ichs. This helped to aid prospenity both of increasing with a second people of it provided many with latary required in the as well as increasing the work for ce and ability to manage the caustry betty, helping to adview prospenity in Germany up to 1939.

in addition, aspects of Goning's four year plan

(This page is for your second answer.) Of 1936-1940 also haped to achieve prospenity in Germany up to 1939. The Form Year Plans aimed for autoriky and anevanany to be ready for total war. Autoriky would allow Germany to be self sufficient, no longer having to reny on foreign imports. under the Four Year Plan, Germanybecame self sufficient in grain and potatoes, and manufactuel autemative products such as synthetic Gois eoo coar and number. This achievement of autoriky in these areas peuped to achieve prospenity in Germany up to 1939 as it meant that Germany courd be more independent and Self reliant, which was especially im por ast after the autiend bookade lipto the prinst word War, union by chaded important products, wards of Germans.

Marera, Nozi economic paricies oud not aways achiere prosperity within Gemany

The unemployment tigue reduction of \$.6 million to 1.6 million in just Fair Vearswas very much down to artifician levening the unemployment registor. Jewswere dismissed from the workfor a, women encouraged to have two on moming loans and 18-25 year old males were conscripted into the amed to reas This did not help to achieve plosperity as unemployment was reduced illegitimately, (This page is for your second answer.) MUKING the SUCCES SES OF NO.21 economic policity more apponent than treat: In addition, school's continuation of papen's eanier policy of deficit financing pusched be feman economy deeper into be rea and caused huge debts This did not achieve prospenity for Gemany and caused economic instability and avoid volatile and unstable future for the Geman economic

To add to this, many of the targets for autally in the Four Vear Plan were hat aniered: Gemany produced half of the intended caal stated by the four year plans, and the was also not sufficient in all and many other Products By 1939, Germany resided on facign supplies to 1/3 of its raw materials This shars the NGLI economic polity, especially the Four year plans did not achiere prosperity in Germany up to 1939 as an most cereis they failed, putting Germany back in the situation it was in be fore, reliant reny much on foreign imports.

In annusian, the Wors economic ponicies were successful in annituing prospenity in some respects, but unsuccessful in ands. (This page is for your second answer.) Although the New Plan hugh reduced memphy ment, anoved initiatives to get more money in the German economy economy and in orcased trade by 60% sing 1933, it also saw the economy being pushed drasticany into debt, and many jab creation schemes were temperany, providing indefinite protipesty.

As too the fam year plan, more of there: As four the Familyear plan under Göning, there were more failures than successes in achiening prospector tone for Germany.

Results^Plus

Examiner Comments

This is an example of a high Level 4 answer. The response clearly links economic policy to prosperity and the supporting evidence is generally secure. There is an attempt to evaluate the success of different economic policies over time with reference to successes and failures. Despite this the answer is more balanced towards a discussion of economic policies and so relates well to the focus of the question rather than an explicit discussion of prosperity.

Mark Ranges and Award of Grades

Maximum Mark (Raw)	Mean Mark		Standard Deviation			
60	32.9		11.4			
Grade	Max. Mark	А	В	С	D	E
Raw boundary mark	60	43	38	33	28	23
Uniform boundary mark	100	80	70	60	50	40
% Candidates		21.2	36	52.6	69.1	82.5

6HI01/F Statistics

Mark Ranges and Award of Grades

Maximum Mark (Raw)	Mean Mark	Standard Deviation		
60	30.4	11.2		

Grade	Max. Mark	А	В	С	D	E
Raw boundary mark	60	43	38	33	28	23
Uniform boundary mark	100	80	70	60	50	40
% Candidates		14.3	25.8	43.8	62.4	77

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publications@linneydirect.com</u> Order Code US022875 January 2010

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750 Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH





Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru Welsh Assembly Government

