

Examiners' Report June 2022

GCE History of Art 9HT0 02



Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <u>www.edexcel.com</u> or <u>www.btec.co.uk</u>.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <u>www.edexcel.com/contactus</u>.

ResultsPlus

Giving you insight to inform next steps

ResultsPlus is Pearson's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam results.

- See students' scores for every exam question.
- Understand how your students' performance compares with class and national averages.
- Identify potential topics, skills and types of question where students may need to develop their learning further.

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit <u>www.edexcel.com/resultsplus</u>. Your exams officer will be able to set up your ResultsPlus account in minutes via Edexcel Online.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk.

June 2022

Publications Code 9HT0_02_2206_ER

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Pearson Education Ltd 2022

Introduction

Overall we were delighted with the performance of candidates this year particularly given the disruption to their education over the two years of the course. We were impressed with how candidates rose to the challenge of their first public examination and in particular the three-hour exam and the varied timings of question sections in this paper. There was little evidence of candidates mistiming their approach. This is proof that candidates had been prepared well for the exam format by centres which is reassuring to know. It was also gratifying to see that many centres had helped candidates to approach each question section in a distinct way.

We were pleased with how focussed candidates could be on the specific demands of the questions, however, the ability of candidates to select relevant material, fully directed to the question, remained a challenge for some, particularly with the short a) and b) sections. It was also good to see that candidates had the right approach to the c) section understanding that this was not a full essay, many going straight into the exploration and evaluation of their two chosen objects or buildings.

The debate required for part d) gave an opportunity for many candidates to select works they enjoyed, understood and could use effectively to engage with the statement. There were few examples of candidates reproducing learnt material with little concern for the exact demands of the question suggesting that all are being expertly guided on developing critical judgement on their works of art and architecture. There were some excellent responses that were a delight to read. The range of candidate knowledge and engagement with individual objects and buildings was sometimes very impressive and considerable scholarship, understanding and independent thought was in evidence. These responses began with an introduction which was used to clarify thoughts and ideas, assist in ensuring relevant material was chosen and set the scene contextually. Equally important was that they drew consistent conclusions summarising the evidence they had presented. They stated clearly whether their examples supported or countered the statement, or indeed argued that they did both. The less successful part d) responses did not contextualise the debate and struggled to come to a clear conclusion. The contextual grounding that the Periods paper requires is often the 'why' – why was modern life a key subject for the French (3d)? Why might materials have been key for sculptors and architects in the early 20th century (4d)? What was the social/historical/political context and how did this change, develop and impact a particular aspect of the period? Using this information to develop AO3 in relation to the statement would have pushed more candidates up the mark bands. Also, building candidates confidence so they have the ability to give a strong conclusion based on their discussion is important; debate in class throughout the content delivery, along with secure knowledge will engender this confidence. Critical text use was at times impressive, but also absent in many instances in part d) responses or not attributed (no credit can be given for a quote that is not attributed). The very best responses will be the ones that offer critical viewpoints and reference those responsible for them, while bringing alternative viewpoints into the response and offer a critical review of the validity of these.

Question 1

Parts a) and b)

As with all topics, the crucial approach to these short questions is for candidates to select the relevant points from their knowledge of an appropriate work to answer the very specific demands of the question. Less successful candidates wrote all they knew about the work rather than selecting precise detail that was relevant to the theme of the question. Equally important is that candidates prove their points with close analysis of the work. It is also worth reminding candidates that for these short questions there are 3/5 marks available for AO1, so knowledge and understanding of context is important here as well as AO2 analysis and interpretation for 2/5 marks.

Part a)

This question required the candidate to consider 'the ways in which colour is used', so explain **how** it was used. There were many very descriptive responses here. It is also worth pointing out that 'use of material' is not the same as 'use of colour'. There were examples where there were long explanations about oil or tempera paint which was not given credit. It was pleasing to see there were very few rubric infringements by candidates who did not choosing their specified painter for a).

Part b)

Candidates found this question more challenging and there were very few accurate definitions of 'Humanism'. However, there was some strong analysis of works that displayed Humanism so implicitly answering the question. Sculptures such as Donatello's **David** or Michelangelo's **Pieta** or **Bacchus** provided good discussion as to the fundamental nature of Humanism.

Part c)

There were some excellent responses to this question where candidates had full command of architectural language which enabled them to analysise the form and give clear evidence of specific classically inspired features. It was however disappointing that there were not more of these responses. A grasp of architectural language is vital for the course as a whole and is a skill centres should spend time fostering in candidates. There were many vague descriptions of buildings that could not be given much credit. Good examples used for this question were **Bramante's Tempietto** in Rome and **Alberti's San Andrea** in Mantua or **Santa Maria dell Novella** in Florence where the classical influence with some identifiable sources (written or existing) is unequivocal, could be described and explained clearly. Candidates who tried to introduce a counterpoint to this question – arguing where there were no classical influences (e.g. examples of Venetian Gothic Architecture) were deemed not to be fulfilling the demands of the question to explain and evaluate the classical influences. There were a few examples of works from outside the three centres eg. Alberti's Malatesta Temple, Rimini which could not be given any credit.

Part d)

There were a number of excellent responses to this question where candidates were in full command of relevant examples that were analysed and interpreted to build a debate around the statement. Those with a less secure knowledge of examples found it harder to create a confident, clear debate with the use of quality analysis to support it.

Most examples centred on the use of linear perspective but some delved deeper into other techniques used to convey realistic space. The most successful responses were written by candidates who used three or four examples that argued different sides of the interpretation, and which explained clearly how realistic space made the religious work powerful – this final step in the debate was often neglected. Those responses that gave a clear and confident contrast of works were particularly successful. For example, arguing that Masaccio's rendering of the **Tribute Money** was powerful because of its pioneering use of linear perspective compared to Gentile da Fabriano's **Adoration of the Magi** , where the linear perspective was not used, but the detail and quantity of gold used by the artist was – provided a confident level of insightful judgement. The use of portraits of religious figures were not 'religious paintings' e.g. **Portrait of Julius II** and could not be given credit. Similarly mythological works could not be credited. Unfortunately, critical texts that had little relevance to the argument were often deployed; more successful candidates were able to use their critical texts to support and strengthen the debate directly.

Raphad's Galatea, painted in the Villa Famesing in 1512 My uses vivid corour to create drama and convey narrative. The use of complimentary enours reinforces the sense of conflict between Galatea and Polyphemus, with the striking scartet of Galatea's billowing robe juxtaposing the dominant blue of the surrounding water and sky. * This bright years plair of red also helps to distinguish Galatea in the crowed composition, and centralises the design as the core jigure, Galatea, stands out. The use of the blue for both the bright summer they and the sea creates a sense of enclosure and oppression as it seens Galatea is entrapped in blue, echoing the narrative jocus on entrapment and escape. Additionally, the white provided in the breaking waves breaks up the blue of the sea to provide "notion and dynamism of her grantic escape. Lastly, Raphael has considered the colour palette of the adjacent Sebastians presco, choosing more vivid tones of red and yellow in the jiques' clothing to contrast with the deather and more natural tones in Sebastiane's potette painting of the shiggish Pohyphennis, to enforce the vitality and action of Galatcain contrast.

& as well as symbolising her striking beauty and sexuality



This is an example of a concise response that sticks to answering 'the ways in which colour is used' with some detailed AO2 while also embedding some strong AO1. Level 3.



Practise selecting only relevant material for these short questions throughout the course.

Part b)

Michelangelo's 'Danid'(ISOI-ISO3). IS a result of his training at the Medici Academy in Plarence, where he was introduced to humanism by Marsilia Ficino. Taught latin so he candread ancient texts durectly, his Study of human achievement, individualism and legacy is evident in 'David', whose monumential 18-feas scale shaws human pawer. His anticipation him as the character from the Bible. His slight contrapporto in his bent leg and also creates a subtle energy and dynamism of 'terribilata', or pent-up energy, also shaving the pawer that he has as a man, reminiscent of ancient heroes Studied in humanism such as thercues.



Some strong analysis that implies an understanding of Humanism with AO1 embedded. This section is Level 3.



Make sure all key terms are defined clearly throughout the course.

Part c)



Candidates who cannot use architectural terminology confidently will struggle to gain strong AO2 marks and will consequently find it difficult to use examples effectively which will impact both AO1 and AO3 marks. This is an example of effective analysis and is a Level 5.



Candidates must learn and understand architectural vocabulary to enable them to analyse a building successfully.

Part d)

advancements during the Renaissance period, particularly Florentine artists of the early period such as biotto,
relleschi and Masaccis, enabled the geometric and
hematical jocus when depicting space that erafted
ism, allowing religions a tworks greater power than ever
example, the 1425 presco, Tribute Money, painted by
accio, demonstrates the rapid advance in the techniques
linear and the aerial perspective. This can be seen in
blue-tinted and hazily obscured mountains in the
kground of the piece which convey distance not only
nigh their diminished scale, but also through their
eaccio's carefully selected colour and tone, which suggest
I they are on the edge of human visibility. Simultaneous
saccio employs overlapping between the figures of the

disciples in the foreground, creating layers of depth in the space in the immediate poreground. He masterfully resolves these two pictoral planes through linear perspective, using Ahogonals to leg the lines of the steps) not only to quick the eye towards Christ but also to suggest recession into the picture plane.

This realism gover the makes the painting more eastly advaging navigate, aiding its use didactically to teach the story of st Peter retrieving the coin from the jish's mouth - one of Christ's miracles, to largely illiterate congregations of 15th c Florence.



This is an example of strong analysis used to explore the various ways 'realistic space' can be achieved while also concluding how this can make a religious work powerful. Level 5.



Make sure candidates follow through to the last step by linking their analysis directly with the debate eg. **why** 'realistic space' makes the work of art powerful.

Question 2

Parts a) and b)

As with all topics, the crucial approach to these short questions is for candidates to select the relevant points from their knowledge of an appropriate work to answer the very specific demands of the question. Less successful candidates wrote all they knew about the work rather than selecting precise detail that was relevant to the theme of the question. Equally important is that candidates prove their points with close analysis of the work. It is also worth reminding candidates that for these short questions there are 3/5 marks available for AO1. Also knowledge and understanding of context is important here as well as AO2 analysis and interpretation has 2/5 marks.

Part a)

There were few very strong responses to this 5 mark question. It is apparent that candidates struggled to name specific artists' influences on their specified artists. Examples by Rubens were the most successful and the strongest responses discussed the impact of Roman artists on his art as well as Flemish influences. The most successful answers could identify and explain at least two distinct artistic sources for their chosen work. Some candidates tried to answer the question using contextual factors rather than artistic or general artistic influences. Centres should be aware of this aspect of knowledge and understanding when studying works by their specified artist.

Part b).

Spanish polychrome examples (e.g Fernandez's **Dead Christ** or Pedro da Mena's **Ecce Homo**) where the most commonly used works for this question. Some responses did not engage with 'purpose' but gave some strong analysis of the work. The best examples linked their analysis directly with the purpose of the work. Several responses missed that the question required non-Italian *and* religious examples.

Part c)

This question generated some good responses, but there were responses that wasted time on general introductions about the 17th Century rather than embedding context into the specific works chosen. Also, while some analysed the architectural features well in relation to spectator involvement, as with the Renaissance topic, a grasp of architectural language was lacking in many instances making it very difficult for the candidate to respond effectively. This resulted in an explanation of the experience of the visitor in very general terms rather than the impact that architectural features had on the visitor. Those that had a strong command of architectural language were able to make a selective visual analysis of the building that related directly to spectator involvement. The best responses embedded contextual knowledge into the discussion to give a stronger evaluation.

Part d)

There were some really impressive responses to this question particularly by those candidates who took the time to explain their understanding of distinctions in context the statement implied in their introduction. This seemed to clarify their thoughts and give a clear contrast between the influence of Rome and the Counter Reformation and local influences such as French monarchical powers on art outside Italy. Candidates argued for and against the statement, using a range of different contexts, that include both the influence of (e.g. works produced in Louis XIV court), biographical factors (e.g. Rubens' late works that were painted for self and not for a wealthy patron) or local political factors such as the Thirty Years War. Giving a good account of the local context distinguished those that engaged fully with the statement and those that did not. For example, stating that Rubens' **Elevation of** the Cross was painted for St Walburga Church helps to set the context, but stating that the church is in Antwerp and a brief explanation of Antwerp's relationship with the Counter Reformation makes for a much stronger response. Good examples used for this question were Rubens' Elevation of the Cross, Consequences of War and A View of Het Steen in the Early Morning, in France Versailles, the east façade of the Palais du Louvre and Apollo served by Nymphs.

The statement was more challenging for others as they over-complicated their argument or they misunderstood the statement and included the argument that the context of Rome was significant on Italian works of art. The statement states that works produced outside Italy were the focus. Credit was given for Italian works used comparatively to illustrate the influence of Rome, but Italian works used as stand-alone examples that were produced in Italy and used to discuss Italian context were not given credit. The **Bust of Louis XIV** by Bernini was given credit as it was produced outside Italy and governed by French monarchical context and therefore could be used effectively to support the statement.

Part a)

Rubens, "elevation of the cross", 1611, in St walturga commission by church wordens church depicts a scene from Christs docth, he i lifted up to the Cross by Muscular man arand in the contre, this was influence a by Michaeldheb and the ingrual in the Signile anapel, the musculer mon surrand churt as he stretches alt on a diagonal emphasis, ching himself who is placed of the forced point, has influence from the laccoon, a Mythological helenistic work by the Phodian brother, the mutusuler twofed form & Sech in both the 'elevation' and in the lacoon. Anothe apion that influence Rubens was Jon Brighel, to elder, a friend and 17th continy, lands age artist, his pocers on landscope is seen in the Olive tree and right register of the loge triptyon, snowing an appreciation for notice and landscopy the dance skys and ellipse in the top not come Anote antos wo influence Ruber NO tition, a renousance remetion artist, using told scheded case polette, led to unify thig "Elevation of the ODN's from He i) sear in tea that is unifyed thoughout ad Schurcter char & polette to ciecte avance ad appen



This is a direct and clear response analysing how three influences can be seen in Rubens' Elevation of the Cross. Level 3



Practise selecting only relevant material for these short questions throughout the course.

Part b)

Fernandez's deal christ created between 1625-30 is an eexample of a swipting for is molell of been paraded around wood and light weight and work nighty catholic spain during noty week. The a huppy realism Me sculptor with conc used with polychronia - painted with polychronce to cneate a useral and real vealition from a catholic viewor. The stab wornal in chinst's chest and real nair and animal gloss eyes make 13 norre realistic and dramotic encouraging a more useral reaction reminding of clinist's Sacrifice. The swiptige duso had a purpose dusit workal have been at the affar during me Eircharist na reinforcing placed the sacrament particularly following the cutuatic projestant reformation which questioned the value of the cample sacrament Dedd Christ's horizontal emphasis, newed in the roma and looked down on allow the sculpture to how the desired affect.

(5)



This example discusses purpose directly – some strong AO1 to support the analysis. Level 3.

Practise selecting only relevant material for these short questions throughout the course.

In the same of Som carro du Quetro Fontan by Borrowini
(1640-46), he my ground is nove prominent due to
he work puty subscriber to Incian Kigh Brogue. This
uns imprised by he contin of he church immissioned
by the Trinitrium andre on the Quirised Kine which was
hearing wornied during Eng Broque. The Unit here
nood on a normer struct at an odd innger die bo
In prease up a tourbin, covery small port of land
which limited deside of whitehal design. To accomplete,
Borromini and up un a muse - muse toportite
Berrommi come up un a once- oncere hiporitite
treade un he with long projecting the manging he
tresade un he until bez projeking. Me ingening he viewer by reminding hore provis is of he immuli's presence. Mis was emphisical by he estimates decoustion
treade un h until by projecting. Me ingrasing he viewer by uninding how puris is of h immed's presence Mis was mynified by he astensive decembion at h trank and a he uned and bolin pudiment
tresade un he until bez projekiz. Me ingaziz he viene by eminding here proviz 2 of he immuli's presence. Mis nos emphisied by he extensive decoustion of he trends and he inside and bolim preliments and marke hue which an lanced he emin of provinent
treade un h until by projecting. Me ingrasing he viewer by uninding how puris is of h immed's presence Mis was mynified by he astensive decembion at h trank and a he uned and bolin pudiment



This is an example of strong architectural analysis with context embedded. Level 5.



Avoid long contextualising introductions for c) questions, get straight down to direct exploration and evaluation. Make sure architectual terminology is learnt and can be used effectively in analysis.

project upitions. Yet, Veryon anyoud he not "convey
windities we all pictures que while evolving he mell of
with word ", alluding to he land capes networkism. And
used presing drew on the loss Plunion hadin of
depicting topographical landscopes as well as In tessons
when winn agricultand activities, string inspirition
from which such up Brougel. This is regulad in h
we of networkshie, early alours hat some it is automa,
Lince he dominant error you, rede and brown as well
as he gravery of leaves or inheritistic pictorial
span due to me of the spleric perspective. Furturner,
he work is a beargic lande caper, has easing he says
ez duy noting tit, whindred in the come howering
in the present of the present on the sectory is
a maked. Dris hance show has despite a degree of
duoised boiling iduliation, it is he whenling and
walion of the topicate Flunciston this of toniant.
in statomer



This is a good example of how AO1, AO2 and AO3 can be integrated. The quote is used well to validate the candidate's argument. Level 5.

For essay question practice during the course, candidates could write discrete one-work responses to a statement aiming to cover all AOs directed to the statement.

Question 3

Part a) and b)

As with all topics, the crucial approach to these short questions is for candidates to select the relevant points from their knowledge of an appropriate work to answer the very specific demands of the question. Less successful candidates wrote all they knew about the work rather than selecting precise detail that was relevant to the theme of the question. Equally important is that candidates prove their points with close analysis of the work. It is also worth reminding candidates that for these short questions there are 3/5 marks available for AO1 so knowledge and understanding of context is important here as well as AO2 analysis and interpretation has 2/5 marks.

Part a)

These responses were particularly impressive with many students doing exactly as they should by giving clear, concise, and precise contextual details and following this up with close analysis to support the contextual observations. Madox Brown's **Work** was the most popular choice. Strongest candidates addressed the cholera epidemic, Marxism, influx of migrant workers to Victorian London, Carlyle and Maurice. There were some very good AO2 responses from candidates who emphasised Brown's use of light and colour to idealise the navvies in the centre of the composition. Limited responses focused on a more general discussion of the centrally placed working class versus the middle-class figures sidelined to the margins. Courbet's **Stonebreakers** was also used well.

Part b)

Red House was used quite successfully by a large number of candidates for this question. The better responses considered the plan, function, materials, Gothic features and ethos of the architect and patron against the rise of mass-production. Less able responses tended to generalise without really knowing or explaining key aspects and lacked direct analysis of the house. **Watts' Chapel** was also a popular choice but fewer of these reached the top band due to less secure AO1 knowledge and repetition of AO2 material. There were a few candidates who did not know an Arts and Crafts building to discuss so it is worth pointing out to centres that the Specification (Scope of Works) states that there are certain architectural styles that must be covered.

Part c)

Many candidates did well discussing the innovations of their specified sculptor (Rodin predominantly) especially if they avoided detailing the narrative of the sculptures and instead focused on the sculptor's approach to materials and subject matter. Some found it difficult not to relate the whole narrative before settling to some AO2. Centres may find it beneficial to review this issue again. The best responses contrasted Rodin's innovative approach to traditional commemorative sculpture and used contemporary quotes to support their discussion of how innovative Rodin's sculptures were. Burghers of Calias and Balzac produced the most effective responses. The Kiss was less successful as it gave less opportunity to compare to conventional works and technical innovations.

Part d)

There were some super responses to this question which not only analysed works which they felt showed that the driving force of French art was modern life, but also countered this by exploring the rejection of modern life as a driving force, citing Gauguin at Pont Aven and technique – Impressionism and later the influence of Japonism as evidence. Some candidates explored other 'driving forces', one good response argued it was the female nude that permeated French art irrespective of style and used Cabanel's **Birth of Venus**, Manet's

Luncheon on the Grass and **Olympia**. Manet's works were by far the most popular choice for candidates.

The strongest responses worked chronologically and stylistically – beginning with Courbet or Manet, then Impressionism and Post-Impressionism and tracked the role of scenes of modern life, or not, within these styles. Less successful responses picked three examples that showed modern life without demonstrating an understanding of what the 'driving force' meant in this context. Unfortunately, some candidates used British works as a counter argument which was not part of the debate at all.

It was interesting to note that the use of critical texts (particularly by Baudelaire, Zola and Nochlin) seemed more prevalent in this topic and these were often embedded well, used by the candidate to support or refute a point.

Part a)

Combet 's The Stone breakers (1849) depicts a scene of working
upe influenced by contemporary politics. We are presented
with two men, one seemingly too old for such back breaking
labour, and one too old (Harris and Zucker). They wear
torn and rattered clothing and work under the heat of the het
oun as indicated by conrect's use of bright white paint.
courbet uses this modern and political subject in order to slow the
public the hand working conditions of the moral poor after many
peasant revolts in the 1830's. Inspired by his own socialist
political beliefs, contract evokes pathos for the two figures by
presenting us with the truth of the plight of the laborning class.
It is also influenced by contemporary politics due to Karl Marx's
communist Manifesto published the same year and swiftly
gaining traction at the time. Conribet paints on a large, heroic
scale, a convention of Academic Painting but refuses to give us an
uplifting moral narrative, instead showing us the harst realities
of the working conditions for the nural poor hoping to inspire a
chunge in the political climate in France.



Practise selecting only relevant material for these short questions throughout the course.

Part c)

<i>,</i>	Instead g	1 casting B	alzac in finen	, Rodia presenta
him u	a dressing ga	na which he	often wore	whilst producing
his n	ork, honever,	he literary	societe adm	onished Rodin .
	yal of Balzac			
S 5	tening " (le sou			
	Rodin's how			
				s even prompting
	good friend of			
	ttee due to b			



This is a good example of AO1 used to support AO3 (and AO2 that preceded it) with the quote also strengthening AO3. This section is Level 5.

Part d)

Olympia is a modern prostitute: she
is depicted in an unidealised way, without the sexualisted
fleshy writes found in Academic nudes, and she cups her
genital area, thus giving herself agency and control, rejecting
ideals about female passivity. She is a modern woman. Baudelaire
argues modern art should capture " the gait, the glance and
gesture of modern life" and Manet's painting exemplifies this.
In contrast Monet is Autumn Effect at Argentuil does
not capture modern life, but seeks to represent a landscape
with modern techniques which some may argue was the driving
force of French art in this time.
However, these formal
elements, although innovative and avant-garde, alone are
NOT the driving force of French aut. Monel's work, whilet
featuring new approaches to landscape painting, fails to be
as avant-garde and slaggening as the previous examples,
which feature modern life and are therefore more memorable
and unconventional at this time.





Make quotes work for you in your debate and constantly reinforce why you are using a work in relation to the statement.

Question 4

4a) and b)

As with all topics, the crucial approach to these short questions is for candidates to select the relevant points from their knowledge of an appropriate work to answer the very specific demands of the question. Less successful candidates wrote all they knew about the work rather than selecting precise detail that was relevant to the theme of the question. Equally important is that candidates prove their points with close analysis of the work. It is also worth reminding candidates that for these short questions there are 3/5 marks available for AO1, Also knowledge and understanding of context is important here as well as AO2 analysis and interpretation has 2/5 marks.

Part a)

A range of understanding of 'Primitivism' was demonstrated here. The strongest answers were able not only to cite specific aspects of artworks which emulated 'Primitive' forms/artworks, but to link artworks to the underlying concepts which underpinned 'Primitivism' (eg the desire to find a route through art back to 'nature' in the context of a rapidly changing world). The majority of candidates wrote about **Blue Nude** or **Demoiselles D'Avignon**. There were some lower graded responses that got caught up in anecdotal discussions on Picasso's treatment of the female figure and his relationship with them as things to be feared.

Part b)

There was range of responses to this question with some candidates struggling to specify what features of the work associated it with Surrealism. The strongest were able to link artworks to at least two aspects of Surrealism. Weaker candidates tended to explore only one aspect and/or forgot to add AO2 in the midst of their contextual detail. Dali's **Persistence of Memory** and Giacometti's **Woman with Her Throat Cut** were the most popular works used. Weaker candidates for **Woman with Her Throat Cut** became focused on materials and techniques without fully addressing the question. Likewise, a generalised focus on Giacometti's treatment of the female figure without linking to the style was quite common.

Part c)

This question seemed tricky for those candidates whose specified artist was Matisse. Successful candidates discussed French colonialism and new ease of travel that allowed Matisse to be influenced by North African cultures. Higher achieving responses had a stronger knowledge of the context of Matisse's time: the significance of the Van Gogh, Gauguin and Cezanne retrospectives and the technical influences of Signac, working alongside Derain at Collioure. Many candidates missed the opportunity to discuss Matisse's work in relation to a desire to escape from the modern world which would have been a valid line of enquiry. Less successful candidates wrote only about how his work fitted into Fauvism. Kirchner was not as popular a choice. Candidates using this artist focused more on the political and economic climate of Germany for his Berlin Street Scenes series and followed this with his experiences of the war. The AOs were all addressed more equally in the Kirchner discussions than they seemed to be with Matisse as candidates struggled to specify context for Matisse and link it directly to the works chosen.

Part d)

There were some fantastic responses to this question and again it tended to be those who laid out their understanding of the debate in their introduction who remained on track throughout the essay. Those who performed best tended to have guite a straightforward argument. Eg. Some drew a distinction between architecture and sculpture (architects drew inspiration from materials whilst sculptors were more influenced by contextual factors) and this worked well. Many wrote about Le Corbusier and Perret with this argument - the strongest were able to support their argument with very clear evidence in the buildings themselves and the freedom materials gave the architects in the deign process. As well as the Villa Savoy, the Théâtre des Champs-Elysées, Notre Dame du Raincy, and the AEG Factory were used well. For sculpture, many chose to write about Brancusi; the strongest answers referencing his belief in the importance of 'truth to materials' and 'direct carving' whilst also gleaning influence from Romanian folk art, Rodin and the 'primitive'. Fewer candidates mentioned found objects, possibly because they didn't class these as 'materials' in the conventional sense. The stronger candidates who did use Duchamp's Fountain or Hausmann's Mechanical Head did so in an appropriate way and identified these as materials which were the inspiration for those works. Some, however, used these examples as a counter argument suggesting that the found object was less important than the concept and social context. E.g. some candidates who had Epstein as their specified sculptor gave lovely discussions on his Female Figure in Flenite where they understood clearly why he used that material for that subject. His **Rock Drill** was also a popular choice and here the use of the found object very successfully argued.

One important note to centres is to remind candidates to identify works properly. This is particularly relevant to this topic as there may be multiple versions of a sculpture. Eg. Brancusi's Kiss. A lack of secure identity can lead to a loss of marks. Please note the guidelines for identifying works is in the Specification "Students must identify art works by their artist and title. However, if the name of the artist is unknown or if there are multiple works with the same title, students will also be expected to give the date and location for clear identification." Part b)

Giacommetti's Woman with her Throat Cut', suppore oneasted in 1932, is a Sumearist topiction g a brutarised meman. The non-naturalistic agenbalic joms created through cumilinear phapes suggest jemining rather than dire aly shar it's The most regence Materials are utilised to shar yeaturesy Sumealion ; the ellepture is in prover cast through the last max process, and this allows for sharp edges and negative space due to its night tensile Strength, the tamished subace cheating associations with gandon tools on wheapons in ander to her guten an emots and response. The taboo subject maker, sox marised by the moman open form and spread legs, aligns with surreal at aims to depict the deeper recesses of the human conciousness, inspired by the theories of Frend. The dikening to an inself through a long semated spinell som and the sharp material like an er opkeleten relates to Surrealist personations with the praising nantis. The tabore area area the sexion of the surrealist movement and tendency to depict ferringing in a regarine light.



A sound response with some AO1 supporting the analysis. Level 3.



Practise selecting only relevant material for these short questions throughout the course. Aim to have a clear idea of sylistic features and contextual influences for each of the artistic movements in this topic.

fue (30)
The development of materials " tas have underbidly served as an a same of
have undentedly served as an a same g
inspiration for sumptons and annitetts of the
Modernist eaa. Haurever, as costic Editare
angas the thing inspiration Mark argues,
the chig inspirations for Addemism were
more fainded in ideas of modern life : a furning
from past to juture, an acceptance of risk,
the onnigres ence of change and the malleasility
of time and space . While in some cases
materials were allow important, almost
an Moderniet buildings and surptures take ightere
from medem philosophies and contexts



This is an example of an introduction that gives a clear contextualised response to the statement, supported well by the T.J.Clark quote. Level 5.



Use an introduction to clarify thoughts and ideas, assist in ensuring relevant material is chosen and to set the scene contextually.

Harrener Caranaver 1932 July mar in Hausmann's 1920 padaist supporte Mechanical Head (Spirit of our Time) takes chig inspiration from moder ideas and contexts . Rette Materials are important, as upon assemblage, tota the marke is o prover assembled and a barker-shop unerorden head dummy, whose blank or pression and lack og nign palisning connate sinultaneity and a halk of inde underarian A Hatched



A balanced discussion of Hausmann's Mechanical Head with strong AO1, AO2 and AO3. Also note how clearly the work, date and style are identified in the first sentence. This may seem like a simple observation, but it reveals secure knowledge at the outset. Level 5.



Make sure the discussion of each work contributes to all AOs.

Identify works clearly in first sentence.

Question 5

Part a) and b)

As with all topics, the crucial approach to these short questions is for candidates to select the relevant points from their knowledge of an appropriate work to answer the very specific demands of the question. Less successful candidates wrote all they knew about the work rather than selecting precise detail that was relevant to the theme of the question. Equally important is that candidates prove their points with close analysis of the work. It is also worth reminding candidates that for these short questions there are 3/5 marks available for AO1,Also knowledge and understanding of context is important here as well as AO2 analysis and interpretation has 2/5 marks.

Part a)

There were a significant number of candidates who did not know a building that is Deconstructivist. These candidates mostly used buildings by Rogers which were not given credit. It is worth pointing out to centres that the Specification (Scope of Works) states that there are certain architectural styles that must be covered in this topic. There were also some very strong responses that gave good analysis of the building that was linked securely to the style and context. The best responses came from Frank Gehry's **Guggenheim Museum, Bilbao** and the **Walt Disney Concert Hall, Los Angeles**. The **Gehry Residence, Santa Monica** was also use effectively as a discussion of his early development of the style.

Part b)

There were some great responses here, particularly those using Warhol's **Marilyn Diptych**, **Mao Tse-Tung** or **Race Riot**. Most were confident in linking the work with its context. The least successful were those using Hockney as many struggled to pinpoint the social or political context to the work. Ofili's **No Woman No Cry** again was less successful as surprisingly the knowledge of context was not always secure.

Part c)

The key for this question was including some discussion of why the performance or video work was important. Some candidates struggled to do this and got caught up in describing what happened in the artwork, so losing significant AO3 marks. It is a difficult balance to strike in these genres of art; enabling candidates to give a succinct analysis without reverting to a full account of the performance/video is important, as is pushing candidates to include sentences which aim to evaluate the artworks. Some works were invalid, such as installations by Himid.

There were some excellent responses however, that managed to embed some reasoning for importance into a strong analysis and contextual knowledge. Wearng's **2 into 1**, Gilbert and George's **The Singing Sculpture** and Rosler's **Semiotics of the Kitchen** were all used very successfully.

Part d)

There were a number of excellent responses to this question where candidates were in full command of information concerning materials, and subject matter of their chosen examples and contextualised each very well. Many began with well-handled analysis of works that focused on materials, techniques and processes; Minimalist works such as Morris' **Untitled (Mirrored Cubes)** or Land Art, such as Smithson's **Spiral Jetty** were very popular and the use of materials was contextualised well. The most successful responses took this as starting point for a survey through artistic movements and their relationship to materials. This made for a thorough review and enabled candidates to explore several ways in which one or other of these features was most important. This approach avoided the somewhat binary response of others. For example, some candidates had counter arguments that completely downplayed or did not discuss the materials, techniques and processes at all which did not give a balanced discussion and could not lead to a strong AO3 score. It is important to encourage candidates to build a more nuanced approach to critical judgement that allows them to acknowledge how a feature (materials, techniques and process in this case) is an integral part of a work, but may not, in their opinion, be the most significant.

Part b)

Warhits, Red Roce Rooz, 1963 hors been influenced by beth Service ord political factors of racism in 1963 the bendoing of a metel estere Martin Luthur King Jr previously stayed happeared by the Ku Kluse Olar in co-ardination with the Birmingham. Alabama petite on the night of the 11th of May, in Birmingham Alabama. In response African Americans, burnt business and ponght perice. This is depicted of Warhits red and black silk screen price of the event, in 3 emages tween by the photographer Charles Mosre, when was there, at the roots

This is an example of scoring highly on AO1. The political context the work reacts to are identified clearly and good contextual detail is stated. Level 5.



Make sure AO1 as well as AO2 are addressed. Remember there are 3 marks for AO1 and 2 marks for AO2.

Part c)

The work is projected on the a longe stall anto a galley wall therefore engulfing the newer and the all their senses in order to re-evaluate and their about their familial relationships and the informance of them likedning was inspired by the documentry TUp which follows a group of 7 year olds all from algebrant backaptions in different stages of their litres therefore exploring their different attrively and news on they grow up like bledang is doing here. As being a YBB she is busting the tabors of having to have 'perfect' family dignamics here ever she explores the importance of allows each of their complexity bust getting a mether and sois to spear about each on brites therefore Shewing the gaitty recellient of families



This response focuses on the importance of the work by linking it to social context and the artist's aims. This section is Level 5.



Make sure you read both parts of the part c) question. The 'explore and evaluate' instruction will be linked to an aspect of the period – see key topics in the Specification.

Part d)

The material Marine used was mirrors. This material
to se significant to the out work due to that the reflection
will areast a sung complex interaction between the new ord the work.
Morris was estructely interested in Gestaut Reychellogy which this
to understand humans through their experiences they have highlights
this due to that everytime the a person sees the work it will be
different due to the replection in the work being different each
time, thus maning the material becoming very significant.



This is an example of a candidate in full command their knowledge of materials, subject matter and contextual concerns. These are deployed expertly to support the statement. Morris Untitled (Mirrored Cubes) This section is Level 5.



Work on the skills that help link context and works of art – don't develop an understanding of each in isolation – they are always linked.

However, subject matter shill is very significant to this period in one due to its ability to enorgy collique the world we live in, For example works like Jomes Rosenquists F-141 using a multitude of subject matter to critique here the American dream overrides the barbantics a the Victuana war, 1959-1975. therefore making the subject matter excitencley significant. Respect Hughes suggests that the Vietnam War cast America into on age of anorthy and did some of works reflect this? How could it not F-112 reflects this avanciety due to the middle does girl jurcapositioned where the pilot of the F-111 around sit with a missile shaped hairdger on her head thics reflecting the larce of control and unin availity in the war expression America's anociety it was cost into The use of the subject matter of the place stretching the whole 55m of the installation at piece eignifics here the plane nea only is a killing machine but an economic proposition due to the building of it lapog would have provided jobs in Tencors and Long Island leading to GDP rising thus positing the economy Therefore this use of subject

matter is very significant due to its ability to critique the militarism and consumersm of the USA by proving fusing them together and Making haunting connections.



This is another example that reveals how important it is to link contextual knowledge with the analysis of a work of art to strengthen the debate. This section Level 5.



Work on the skills that help link context and works of art – don't develop an understanding of each in isolation – they are always linked.

Paper Summary

Based on performance on this paper, future students should ensure that:

- For short questions part a) and part b), ensure points are selected in response to the particular demands of the question. Remember the distribution of marks across the AOs is AO1: 3marks and AO2: 2marks so a response that only analyses the work will not score well; knowledge and understanding of the work in relation to the question is important.
- Becoming conversant with architectural language is important it is not possible to analyse a building successfully without this. All questions will have an architectural element, so this knowledge is vital.
- Part c) responses should not be full essays they do not require an introduction or conclusion but evaluation of the significance of the work or building in relation to the question is necessary.
- A strong contextualised introduction that states the candidates understanding of the statement and a concise evaluative conclusion are important elements of a successful part d) response.
- Part d) can be successfully answered with a straightforward argument over complicating the debate may not be advantageous. Secure appropriate evidence supported by relevant contextualisation and critical judgment embedded in a straightforward but well-reasoned argument can make for an impressive response.
- Centres are reminded that they should avoid works that are on the periphery of the date and location parameters of each period. Examples which are of only marginal validity, and could therefore be called into question, do not set the candidate up for success in the examination.
- Centres are reminded that candidates must identify a work of art by date/location/medium where many versions of the work exist. It sets the candidate at an immediate disadvantage if an examiner is not sure which version of a work is being discussed.

Grade boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:

https://qualifications.pearson.com/en/support/support-topics/results-certification/gradeboundaries.html

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at 80 Strand, London WC2R 0RL.