



Examiners' Report June 2010

GCE Design and Technology 6RM03



Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales No. 4496750 Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London WC1V 7BH



Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at <u>www.edexcel.com</u>. If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our Ask The Expert email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link:

http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/

ResultsPlus

ResultsPlus is Edexcel's free online tool that offers teachers unrivalled insight into exam performance.

You can use this valuable service to see how your students performed according to a range of criteria - at cohort, class or individual student level.

- Question-by-question exam analysis
- Skills maps linking exam performance back to areas of the specification
- Downloadable exam papers, mark schemes and examiner reports
- Comparisons to national performance

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit <u>www.edexcel.com/resultsplus</u>.

To set up your ResultsPlus account, call 0844 576 0024

June 2010

Publications Code UA023752

All the material in this publication is copyright © Edexcel Ltd 2010

Introduction

It was pleasing to see a good standard of responses from candidates in this first session of the new examination. Whilst it is undoubtedly the case that centres have delivered the content of the specification well, there were some new elements of the papers content that did cause problems to candidates, such as the Kyoto Protocol. It was pleasing to see that many candidates took note of the command words in the questions and structured their answers appropriately, to their benefit. It was also pleasing to see a good number of strong responses to a range of questions throughout the paper.

Question 1(a)

This was a straight forward question at the start of the paper. The vast majority of candidates were comfortably able to identify two manufacturing processes carried out by robots. In some cases candidates failed to gain marks due to vague answers such as 'making things'. In a small number of cases candidates had not read the question with sufficient care and gave miss-directed answers such as 'CAD systems'.

Question 1(b)

Most candidates scored highly showing a clear understanding of the benefits of robotics. There was a wide range of responses across candidates, again indicating a well understood area of the specification. A number of candidates failed to ensure that they had explained each of the benefits given, and only gained single marks for each point. Centres should ensure that candidates are fully aware of the difference between the type of answer required from a 'give' command word and an 'explain' command word.

(b) Explain three benefits to the manufacturer of using robots for production. 1033 6720 (6) 1 Using robets in manufacture means there would be less human error as robots are machines and don't make mistakes unless they malfunction 2 The production length would be a lot Shorter as robet can do many things in a short amount of time, than humans can 3 Machines/robots won't get tired and take breaks reguarly, can run 24 hours a day 7 days a week.



In this sample answer the candidate has stated both sides of the coin for the first point i.e. that robots don't make mistakes, and there would be less human error. They should have then gone on to give a benefit this increased reliability gives to the manufacturer, but this has not been done, hence the second mark for the explanation has been missed. (1 mark). A suitable benefit would have been less reject components manufactured. The second response explains the benefit to the manufacturer first and then goes onto state what the benefit is i.e. increased speed. (2 marks) The third response is like the first and makes the same point from two different directions, but fails to explain what the benefit to the manufacturer actually is resulting from robots not getting tired. (1 mark)

Question 1(c)

Generally well answered with the majority of candidates gaining both marks. A minority of responses lost marks by failing to add a directional arrow to the feedback loop, resulting in no clear flow direction and multiple routes to take. A few responses presented open loop systems and failed to gain any marks.



ResultsPlus

Examiner Comments

The candidate has presented a clear answer containing a quality check function with a 'Yes' and 'No' route, which then feeds back to a previous part of the system if the quality check is negative.



Take time to present diagrams clearly and accurately ensuring that details are clear.

Question 2(a)

Many candidates struggled to define precisely what ergonomics is about, although they could identify ergonomic features successfully in the following question. Many answers were vague and misdirected, although marks were awarded where candidates hit forms of the key words in the mark scheme.

lesigned and Cinction and	monufactured to meet is function on purpose. The usability is taken into consideration
Figure 1 shows a	plastic jug kettle and its base unit.

In this sample the candidate's first statement focuses solely on the function of the product rather than the link between its function and how it is used. The second phrase shows an understanding of the ergonomic thrust of how it is used with the term 'usability'. (1 mark)



Examiner Comments

Take time to think about your answer before you write it, don't just write the first thing that comes into your head. When you have written your answer, read it and check that it does actually says what you think it says.

Question 2(b)

The vast majority of candidates were easily able to identify and explain four ergonomic features of the kettle, showing that candidates had a good grasp of ergonomic concepts. There were many answers to choose from as can be seen by the length of the mark scheme. Those candidates who failed to score full marks often did not identify the ergonomic aspect of a feature and simply gave the feature, ie. 'the position of the switch' is an ergonomic feature, whilst 'the switch' isn't, it is a functional feature. However, this level of response was rare.

(b) Identify and explain four ergonomic features of the kettle shown in Figure 1. (8) pettle He handle side 15 m User 6 por wa also allas eais and container more Allas the hondly a arger convenient Size located above rondle The Switch just the switch n form or allau holding Humb handle Inside 15 shaped OV contours FINGERS This makes Comp none hold while providing a More Cecu līd rand handle on Around handle rand and handle on rand a Ь hondle and RMONE Ure around the easil lid (Total for Question 2 = 10 marks)

Examiner Comments

The candidate here clearly identifies four ergonomic features and then goes onto explain why they are ergonomic for the second mark on each point.

Question 3(ai)

This 1 mark question was a straight forward introduction to this section of the exam paper and was well answered. Most candidates correctly identified the function of AVG's, although a small minority were too vague in their answers settling for phrases such as 'to keep production running smoothly'. This level of response did not gain a mark.

Question 3(aii)

Candidates were much more unclear about the different guidance methods AVG's use. Most were able to identify one system with the most popular answers being line following or buried wire guided. A few candidates correctly identified two, but few managed all three. There were many incorrect answers that focussed on vague 'remote control' systems or 'train track' systems.

Question 3(b)

The majority of candidates were able to access this question well and provide correct answers from the well rehearsed stock of general advantages arising from the use of computer driven systems i.e. quicker, safer, cheaper, and reliable. Having said this, candidates and centres need to note that one word answers were not accepted as they do not convey sufficient understanding. For example, 'faster'. This could be taken as the system runs faster than manually controlled systems, which is correct, or that the system is faster to set up than manual system, which isn't.

It should also be noted that candidates lost marks where they gave disadvantages that were equally applicable with manually controlled systems. See the sample below.

(b) Automated Storage and Retrieval Systems (ASRS) are used in manufacturing. Give two advantages and two disadvantages of using ASRS instead of manual systems. (4)Advantages 1 Buicker - These systems can retrieve and store away posts a noce foster way, especially when they goods are out of . Using there was systems can ensure noce System dere that some products noun be large than others fact and can cause Strain on individuals Disadvantages 1 Orders may become mixed up anothing allects an item not intended 2 Systems could break down por preventing orders being horse to meaning that thing's would manually carried art. **Examiner Comments**

Two relevant advantages given, but the two disadvantages could both be directly applied to manual systems also, making them invalid, i.e. if the order is mixed up whether it's on a piece of paper or in electronic format, it will still result in a wrong component being retrieved. Similarly manually operated lifts, winches or forklifts can also breakdown.

Question 4(a)

This question begins to dig a little deeper into candidate's knowledge as many were only able to give two valid answers, some three, but few reached four. It was notable that candidates did not leave blank answers and had a go, but these were repetitions or deviations away from benefits to the environment. Common deviations focused on financially cheaper to recycle, or biodegradable products.

*4 (a) Give four reasons why it is favourable to the environment to use recyclable materials. (4)it will out back on the amount of get oraduls that jent land fill takes sent lo . 10 de compose in Th damaci 3. fron back the on gasses but also

Results Jus Examiner Comments

This candidate has given three valid points, but the second point is simply an exemplification of the first, and hence does not gain an additional mark.

Results^Plus

Examiner Tip

Repetition of a point using different words commonly looses candidate's marks. Be alert to this when you check your answers.

Question 4(b)

This question elicited a wide range of response levels. The majority of candidates clearly understood what smart materials were, and many gave good examples, but also many of these answers stopped short of stating the benefit of using the smart material. Candidates could make a significant difference to their scores by using 'spare' time at the end of the exam to re-read questions and ask themselves whether their response answers all parts of the question.

Smart materials are increasingly being used in products. (b) Explain three benefits of smart materials. (6)(a) the Ohl COT 2 lan ase 3 see norm SM Ta. Lition con (Total for Question 4 = 10 marks)

ResultsPlus

Examiner Comments

The candidate's first response shows a clear understanding that use of a single smart material can replace multiple components in a product(1 mark), but then fails to go on and nail home the benefit that this brings. 'Reducing manufacturing costs' would have been an appropriate benefit. The second response is miss-directed as it is confused with sustainable issues. (0 marks). The third response contains an example of the use of a smart material and a clear benefit which arises from this, i.e. 'protecting the users eyesight'. (2 marks).

Question 5(ai)

A straight forward single mark question as an introduction to this section of the paper. The aim of reducing emissions was correctly identified by the vast majority of candidates, although again some failed to gain the mark due to vague or misdirected statements concerning increasing recycling levels or changing energy generation methods.

Question 5(aii)

A wide range of responses was presented to this question. The most common correct response was the low level emissions of developing countries, followed by their need to continue developing unhindered. Responses that focussed on the level of finance available in a country or their access to sustainable technology were not awarded as, although in some cases this may be true, these are not reasons in themselves for exemption from Protocol emission targets.

 (ii) Developing countries are exempt from the requirements of the Kyoto Protocol. 	
Give two reasons for these exemptions.	(2)
1 They are not considered to be as much o	6 21
consern in the production of greenhouse geses a	nd couse
of globed merning-	
2 They are not able to neet the requirements due	to lack
a available technology and recourses to assis	t them
is neeting the requirements	

Results^Plus

Examiner Comments

The first response is valid but the second, although it may be true, is not a reason for exemption from a protocol emission target. Few countries would claim that they have sufficient funding and technology in place to meet emissions targets.

Few candidates had a clear grasp of the reasons behind the delay. Some candidates scored one, occasionally two marks, but explanations were often vague. Centres need to encourage students to research this area in more depth as it is an area that is continually developing.

(iii) Give three reasons why the Kyoto Protocol was agreed in 1997 but did not come into force until 2005. (3)1 At the time not all countries were proposed to agele with the protocol. 2 Scientists wave still experimenting the earth's climate 60 could be done · (Decisions were being Marde Nera being made ions graganise Do countries to act upon the appearent of cubbing do themse their emissions. on

lesuits lus

Examiner Comments

The first and third points are valid and were awarded a mark, but the second point, although true, is not a reason why the enforcement of the protocol was delayed.

Question 5(b)

A well understood topic by most candidates. Scores of three and four were common with candidates being able to present a balanced argument containing both positive and negative arguments.

(b) Nuclear energy is being considered as a possible major contributor to our future energy needs. Evaluate the use of nuclear energy for our future energy needs. (4)Nuclear energy is becoming a huge alternative to facil fuels it helps to produce relatively dean and efficient every of a ch reasonable price to the consumer. When compared to fossil eeens to be the best attemptive as a proportion of trilly is nuclear. Having more nuclear power dations would also mean more jobs in the buil area disposing of the radioadine fuel is the main usue with nuclear power. The vadiouchive usste is hanged to the environment aswell as animaly and people. overall havenet pueleur parer is the bast attemative parer source and when an efficient way to dupose of the waste is found nuclear power will be the main contributor to feiture every needle (Total for Question 5 = 10 marks)



The candidate has made two positive points in the first sentence stating that nuclear energy is 'clean' and a 'reasonable price'. The candidate then meanders and ends the first paragraph with in invalid point as any power station would create jobs. The candidate goes on to state that nuclear waste is harmful but goes no further. (3 marks) Look at the marks available and ensure you give at least that many points. Also not that with the command word 'Evaluate', at least one positive and one negative point must be given in order to gain the full mark.

2

Question 6(a)

The questions at this end of the paper are more probing now and require candidates to stay focussed and structure answers carefully. The command word is 'Explain', so candidates should be taught to realise that they need to identify three benefits and explain them, in order to gain full marks, although presenting four would be wise. This can be achieved using a fraction of the space on the page. Many candidates produce rambling answers that quickly diverge from the question and missed the marks available.

6 (a) Explain the benefits to a business of using electronic methods of market analysis. (6)sastage of the are quick can be obt e solt vartage over its compo Feedback this to their ad wit, and

ResultsPlus

Examiner Comments

This response starts well by identifying that data can be collected quickly, but then diverges into describing how it is collected quickly rather than explaining the benefit of fast data collection to the business. Eg. Data is upto date / allows trends to be responded to immediately. Use of software to easily compare / easily analyse data gets the candidate a second mark, but again no explanation of the benefit follows directly. The candidate then repeats the 'fast data collection' point, and goes on to state that this allows them 'to make changes first', which is accepted a valid explanation linked to QRM. (total 3 marks)



In an 'Explain' type question for 6 marks, make three clear points and explain them. If you can, explain a fourth as well.

Question 6(b)

Again this 'explain' type question required three clear points each with a relevant explanation. Most candidates grasped the direction of the question and were able to pick up one or two marks with rambling answers, but higher ability students scored well structuring clear answers appropriate to the question.

(b) Many businesses are changing their manufacturing systems from inflexible automated machinery to Flexible Manufacturing Systems (FMS). Explain the implications of this change. (6)The premious machinary wasn't able to adjust well, it only knew one way of processing and could not be change or adjusted to do any other tacks. By having the new systems it can be adjusted, changed and attared to do a number of different barky The implication of this change is that the manufadiring Eyetems can be used for all many acting instead & having one machine coreach wroces. Having the new system will enrich a cost and efficient solution to the inglesible muchunary It will some the campany a substantial amount of noney. Due to the desite systems ability to actuare complete a number of different take this would also have the implication of reducing the number of 1000s at many business's

Results Plus Examiner Comments

This candidate quickly gains one mark by identifying the adaptable nature of the machines, but never goes on to explain the implication of this to the business. The response repeatedly comes back to the machines being adaptable which is said in many different ways, but goes no where else worthy of a clear mark. It finishes with a reference to job losses which, in this case is invalid as automated machinery requires minimal labour levels as well. If the candidate had a clear three point answer structure in mind they may have scored significantly better.

Question 7(a)

This question being towards the end of the paper is very specific and probing, requiring candidates to explain how a business could reduce the amount of energy used by its manufacturing systems. The question was not meant to catch candidates out, but to focus them on the changes that could be made to manufacturing systems. However many candidates missed the thrust of this and gave answers that focussed on using alternative energy sources to run manufacturing systems, rather than reducing actual energy usage. Another common miss-directed answer was to turn machines off when not needed, which does not reduce the energy used in manufacturing as no manufacturing is taking place. However, some candidates looked a little more carefully and directed their answers appropriately gaining marks.

*7 (a) Explain two ways in which a business could reduce the energy usage of its manufacturing systems.	
(4)	
A Business could reduce its energy usage of its	
Manufacturing Systems by Simply turning	
of the eavipment and lights at night,	
if the business has a big order coming in,	
they should try and do it all together rather	
than in stages because an enomicus amont	
energy is used by turning these machines	
on and offer frequently. Mass production would	
the less energy because t is all mode in one	
big long not in batches.	

ResultsPlus

Examiner Comments

The first point made by the candidate is not valid for the reasons stated in the examiners comment section above. The second however shows understanding that continually starting and stopping machines wastes energy, which is then explained.



Read questions really carefully. It's so important! Underline key words and grasp the direction of the question.

Question 7(b)

This is a 'stretch & challenge' question designed to give high ability candidates an opportunity to present arguments for and against designing products for repair or replacement. For those candidates that read the question carefully and understood the direction of it, good marks were achieved, although very few scored the full six marks. Unfortunately, a large number of candidates did not understand the question and answered on a very superficial level discussing the issues of getting a newly purchased faulty product repaired or replaced by the manufacturer. Some good arguments were made with valid points by those who correctly perceived the direction of the question.

(b) Discuss, from the manufacturers' perspective, the issue of 'repair versus					
(6)					
Is a menusacture production a products					
that an easily be repaired then					
more consumers may prochase it					
but they would not have people					
wating to purchase another one. But it					
they produce a product that can not					
be replaced then they may have less					
consumers purchase it but they will have					
mare repeat & purchases so they mead					
to make sure they sind a balance between					
them. Also with an increasing demand sor					
sustainable goods monosactures have to					
make sure that they are not s just					
producing a product ser prosit se					
producing a product that could be					
repaired would be the more sustainable					
Alean a product that would have to					
be eptered replaced when broken or					
obsolete. Also the cost of producing a					
product that can be repaired will be greater and they					
Wowld have to manufacture the Spare parts 500 Jr. (Total for Question 7 = 10 marks)					

Results Plus Examiner Comments

- All candidates should be taught how to respond to the different command words used and published in the support material and endorsed text book. This will help them structure their answers appropriately and will affect their marks.
- The quality of handwriting in a growing number of situations is a concern. Examiners will do their utmost to decipher text, but candidates need to know that if they do not write legibly their marks will be affected.
- The number of candidates misinterpreting questions is always a concern, and great lengths are gone to during paper construction to make questions as clear and precise as possible. However, actively teaching candidates to underline key words in questions, and re-read them carefully, will reduce this issue and help them focus their answers much more precisely.

Grade	Max Mark	A*	А	В	С	D	E	Ν	U
Raw mark boundary	70	56	50	44	38	33	28	23	0
Uniform scale mark boundary	80	72	64	56	48	40	32	24	0

Grade Boundaries

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publications@linneydirect.com</u> Order Code UA023752 June 2010

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750 Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH





Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru Welsh Assembly Government

