



Examiners' Report June 2011

GCE Government and Politics 6GP04 4D



ALWAYS LEARNING

Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at <u>www.edexcel.com</u>.

If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our **Ask The Expert** email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link: http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/



Get more from your exam results

...and now your mock results too!

ResultsPlus is Edexcel's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam and mock performance, helping you to help them more effectively.

- See your students' scores for every exam question
- Spot topics, skills and types of question where they need to improve their learning
- Understand how your students' performance compares with Edexcel national averages
- Track progress against target grades and focus revision more effectively with NEW Mock Analysis

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit <u>www.edexcel.com/resultsplus</u>. To set up your ResultsPlus account, call 0844 576 0024

June 2011

Publications Code UA028102

All the material in this publication is copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ Edexcel Ltd 2011

Introduction

The majority of students appeared to have been well prepared for this examination paper with particularly pleasing subject knowledge. The depth of knowledge of some students really was remarkable with some very strong definitions and theoretical awareness.

The key differentiator between scripts remained relevance to the specific question and a focus on the precise wording of the questions. As usual, weaker students relied on knowledge without analysis and a determination to fit pre-prepared answers into whichever question appeared in the examination. Thankfully, most students realise now the need to remain relevant, to provide a structured response with points made in a logical sequence with a clear and coherent line of argument and to ensure that synopticity is evident. Synopticity was evident in most responses with identification of alternative viewpoints and perspectives and an ability to explain the nature and extent of rivalry between conflicting views. The most popular questions were the short response on humanitarian intervention and the longer response on global terrorism. The least popular question was on the Copenhagen conference.

I would like to ensure that all teachers are aware of the 'Further guidance for Route D' document, prepared by the previous Principal Examiner, which can be found on the Edexcel website. This document provides additional content explanation and advice to support the initial specification document.

This was quite a popular question but there were a few problems concerning definition of asymmetric wars. Definitions were usually rather brief with an explanation that parties to the war were unequal. Most candidates could identify at least a couple of reasons as to why these wars are difficult to win. Most debate took place around use of guerrilla tactics, civilian/combatant blur, the need to win hearts and minds and to maintain popular support for long campaigns back home. Examples were overwhelmingly drawn from Iraq and Afghanistan, but some candidates used alternative examples such as the Vietcong or Tamil Tigers. Only a small number of candidates attempted this question without a knowledge of what an asymmetric war was.

en Question Number:	
Question 1 🕱 Question 2 🖂 Question 3 🔀	
Question 4 🖾 Question 5 🖾	
Symmetrical workpore is a new wor a even	
st modern wor since he collorese of commission her	2 -
been less linter state war and more lution	
te war. As you making war is where there is usually	
big economically and militarily advance side (such as	
o vs Taliban in Afghanistan) xa vs a less advanced	
I Small group. It is hard for assymmetrical	
s to be won for on vorilety of reasons. It	
hard to distinguish between both military and	
thin in terms of both Military torgets and milite	TA
soral. They include very informal fighters. They are	
hard to win, especially in the case of troop	
A phylanichant because A and the first as	1
A Afghanistan because of new tactics. Such as	
rellia and Insargency toesions with the Use of	
ide bombings and IMProvised Explosive devices.	
. It is not only a bubble of military but of	
ts and munds. This is very hord as asymmotic	al
s have a higher Civilan death rate to see	

WW1 Conontial the work. 1 Militory 10 Civilian ration a:1 ŝ wos asymmetrical WORS 1:9. Tus ek B More courses Gur recruitment be. used 05 a Com drive [rog] 9 he (ose and AF a hanstain m hard vin ю 3 ۵ Conven wor win weaponcray and tanks Thee 105 Such øS bern dun DOST mordern fore he moreosen with 0m drone attocks and Ni Gober workere 405 been cred Aflganiston. Asmetricensed roder one also NORS in and Such proximation hord wettovanov b -hom vietnam: 05 involud JS and 16 Afghanstain 2001 Still ŝ here Lere also have been peors on. 10 channe That this form wers on a Gr neo 6 the (rog bahar which Seen term 405 Ken asommanual cr. Sor 5 ¢∩ for 0 ON have good. The keop public at TOON opino with dissopporal orer 150,00 public more 5 Stop he people protesting the streets lorder tor ne Condition. Then any This altimately Wor British led ю Prime did Minister, Tony dorptall he Bo 1 as not have the public Support Jor he

Results Plus Examiner Comments This is a strong response which covers key areas of the question and which certainly achieves L3. The definition is brief, but adequate. The explanation as to why these wars are difficult to win ranges from guerrilla tactics through civilian/combatant blur to public opinion and the need to win hearts and minds. Chosen Question Number:

Question 1		Question 2	×	Question 3	×
Question 4	×	Question 5	×		

Before looking at why any metrical wars, such as those in Iraq and Afghanistan are no difficult to win, the depinition a way and appymentetrical ways nust be undertood. The political thinker 'Bull' described war as the organised violence by political units against each other wheneas Clausewitz described it as an 'act of carce to compel opponents to fulkill your awn will'. However in recent years the nature 9 wars has changed wars are no longer fought by armies of the pame size, in pre-approved areas and at deteritive times, as been in most wars up until the twentieth century. Was are now 'assymmetrical', cought between opponents of unequal size and without equal economic and military capability. The changing sources of conflict have also changed, conclucts are often caused by conclicts of identity or over the threat of weapons, rather than territory. These make agoy nure trical wars difficult to win as there one issues regarding where the wards are fought, the resistance from the civilian population and also effor the threat from stateless faceless evenies that are opposed.

Dre reason why assymmetrical wars such as Iraq and Apphanistan are so difficult to win is that Iraq and Afghanistan are so difficult to win is that the areas within which the wars are being fought is no vast. For a votate to win a war they hurst have paver in specific, important regions in the eveny country. In the past this was easier to achieve as any pawes were the location of bartles would be pre approved, by the therecare there was nothing unexpected. However, tody, in Iraq and Afghanistan, the areas in which the coalition must conquer are vast and dispersed, making it hard to gain make progress.

Another reasones why the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan are so difficult to win is the resistance they face from the civilian population With assymmetrical wars the civilian population becomes much more enersed in the conclict on fighting ditentates place in the towns and city's. The Iraq and Afghanistan wars are difficult to win as, they even if the US of the coalition were able to implement a new form of government, as Afghanistan has a vignificiant amount a tribal conclice, there would be continued resistance.

Lastly, another reason why asymmetrical wars such as iraq and Afghanistan are so difficult to win is the rese problem of terrorists. The Iraq and Afghanistan wars, when they first planted, were part of the 'war anterror' as coursed by the Bush part of the libar antervor' as coured by the Bush administration. However pecause terrorists organisations, such as AI Qaeda, are stateless and 'faceless' therefore are difficult to target. This makes the asymmetrical was such as Iraq and Afghanistan difficult to win as there after weapons a wargare used that are difficult to target and defeat



A decent definition emerges from quite a wordy introduction. This is a knowledgeable student but there is a danger that they will take too long to get to the core of the question. Good points are made about the changed nature of warfare and blurring between civilian and combatant. This is a good response which achieves L3.

This is a two part question which provides an immediate discriminator where candidates fail to tackle either part of the question. A few students moved immediately to tackling the second part of the question without providing an explanation of what humanitarian intervention is. Some students failed to recognise the reference to the 1990's and their responses tackled the general reasons for an increase in humanitarian intervention in recent years, some of which was relevant. The end of the Cold War and a move, possibly short term, to a consensus in the UN Security Council was identified by many students. The impact of globalisation and the CNN effect was mentioned by some students who also discussed the growing acceptance of universal human rights. The increase in civil wars and failed states was also mentioned by many, along with a growing debate and challenge where sovereignty is concerned.

	Question 1 🔣	Question 2 🛒	Question 3
	Question 4	Question 5	Question 5
	Question 4	Question 5	
Humenit	ation intervention i	is the forcible	militury intervention in
		0	er than Straffyil guals. It
			rgroup us States or
•			
	,		in the lago's and this
Period N	was hormo as the		of humanitaria intervention
,ith in	sternational ejjoris in	Now Irage 19	91, Kosovo 1999 and
Mest 1	ecently libyu 2011.		innen instantionen in stadalattin in erietaalde de de eeren en bakakse aan de
The f	int reason why t	his notion beca	ne pupular in the 1990's
as the	growing boliff	Hor in a glob	alise world no one state
		Sector Sector Miles	the second second second second
	an island. The	regional instability	which is synemous with
con be	on islond. The		v
co be a hum	mitario crisic now	, has on add	ut an all notions accels
co be a hum	mitario crisic now	, has on add	v
ca be a hurn the wo	na when crisis a	has a did a	ut an all notions accels
co be a hum the wo ver	unitation crisis now no when crises of the interpres of	hos in addi accurred in Norm	et on all notions accold
co be a hurn the woi ver in nd jurt	nnitation crisis now Nd . when crises of the interests of her wiscopring their	e hos on edde eccurred in norm cother stores economics upon a	tt on all notions access en tray on hosoya it to prevent crisis spraving whorisms intervention in
con be a hum the wol val nd jurit coloro 7	naitaria crisis naw Nd . when crisis a she interpits of her aiscupping shelf ang Blots sæid	hos on by: . hos on by: . constant in Novin . constant (room) . co	tt on all nations accoss en trag on hosova it to prevent crisis sarewing whatisms intervention in urcent with a Soverrights
con be a hiero ihe woi ne juri coloro 7 ne the	naitaria crisis naw Nd . when crisis a she interpits of her aiscupping shelf ang Blots sæid	hos in addi courred in Norm cother (forts) economics: 1600 a he fert the co nachtronistic and c	t on on notions percels ern tray on holowo it to prevent crisis spreading ushorising intervintion in urrens with a Sovereigner peopod recorreputations

The Second reason for the huge increase in missions was to to with the end of the Cold wor. Existly with the USE Lullabing there were suddenly many nore nations Straggling for freder: Alter such is the Boltons which had been under Soviet unbrelle suddenly collepset into civil was the Speak read was Russia's withdrawal from Significant international obdairs. Now that America was the global hepern Power Politics did not dominate the security council who are seen as legal authority for intervention. the time The third reason for none humanitarian intervention is linked with the concentration of coses. Surviving across the world there were more give which demonstration and because of the new je phose of wayne remaining believe more Connor Slobula milospuic was responsible for Europe's worst war vines since the Nazi regime whilst the butchering of 800 000 ethric Rivenan's made it clear that the international comunity culle be idle no longer. The chinal espect is also represibly for moking people more owere of what hoppens in foreign (monthip) and felevised reports from tosaur were ken in forcing MATU action. Tu concluse humaniturian intervention became popula in the 19901 Ser a number of reasons. The concept of intervention well make pulsible when the collops of the USE we

Mars Pullib	ite with	the ColloB:	of the	USE UE
redesidition r	· e Conceputisotion	Dj Soverniynk	· The 91.6	elist work more
it nore in	Pogent and	the atrocin	es comitte	tore sugariy
unt More	Publicly made	joter yention	a APCCOSSING	•



💙 Examiner Comments

This is a pleasing response with much of value. There is an explanation of the term and then a move to discuss the central reasons behind the increase in humanitarian intervention in the 1990s. The candidate provides a focus on the consequences of the end of the Cold War which includes a better working relationship in the Security Council as well as an explanation of the situation in Rwanda and Yugoslavia. All of this helps to explain why this period is often considered to be a golden age in humanitarian intervention.

A number of students failed to grasp what was demanded from them in this question and took this as an opportunity to discuss, very broadly, the North - South divide. The weakest responses failed to move from this debate. Stronger responses explained the traditional, Orthodox, measurement of development based on gross national product (GNP) and there was awareness of the World Bank conventional standard of 'a dollar a day'. Stronger candidates would consider why and how this traditional view is criticised. Key criticisms are that GNP per capita fails to indicate whether wealth disparity in a country is increasing or decreasing as it may simply reflect that the rich are getting richer. Economic measurement fails to take into account other measurements such as political freedom, access to education, gender equality or other elements that may be measured in the UN Human Development Index. The further criticism that economic measurement may support a western model of development with the associated perceived evils of the Washington Consensus.

Chosen Question Number:
Question 1 🖾 Question 2 🖾 Question 3 🔀
Question 4 🖾 Question 5 🖾
How and why have strictly economic conceptions of
development development been criticised?
Strictly economic conceptions of development is based on
be orthadox theory of development whereby it is based
on economic liberalism and it believes that for a country
to successfully derecop it needs to make political reform
abondon traditions and cultures and open destrances
open to the slobal market and economy. This floory
of development suggests that is the GDP of a
(antry increases than it is successful
one nearsn for why this has been critisized is breake
H suggests that are economic srowen of a country is pe
most important factor and it dismisses traditions and
actives consected noverer Are attended re
Acory of development which opposes the orthodox
teory phophosizes be new implementation of the UN's
Human 2010 compart Indes which also Loots att educator.
social security and one non-maderial needs pe

GRANDON KEORY fails to do ANS Another roaden why peorthodox peory has been critistized is from the marxist point of view that it only focuses on internal failures of development and dismisses external factors. To extemplifie this marxists use the idea of preetrade and state that Due to free trade ecologie all sideal north take advantage of the stated by buying raw materials for cheap prices such as Fruit and coffee and the global south intum burgs import techinal goods from the sideal north creating an pinequality It is also a form of neo-colonialism and by appointing gains into the Global market extern this is what is happening and has been is what is encouraged by the onthodox Aporty. LOSTRY the orthodox prory policies pore lopament is successful if GDP races are high, when cantries sich as Roriland India oppool pleir maraches Heir GDP grew drastically but Huls is critisized seconse pe GDP rate domit account for de whole canting phis ran be seen in Proviland India where there one parenty wou's Lased on local areas and the orthodox feory of donelopment fails to recognise pus.

Results Plus Examiner Comments This response tackles the key element of the question immediately. There is an identification and explanation of Orthodox theory and the criticism that is apparent in Alternative theory. There are a number of good points and a reference to the value of alternative measurements which is sufficient to raise this response into L3.

This was the least popular of the short questions but was tackled well by a significant number of candidates who were able to differentiate clearly between the views of reformists and radicals (shallow ecology Vs deep ecology) over tackling global environmental issues.

Most candidates identified that reformist thinking on environmental issues is evident in the views of the mainstream and by those known as 'light' greens or 'modernist' ecologists. Ideas are based on an acceptance of capitalism and belief that environmental issues can be adequately dealt with without significant constraints being applied to the capitalist market. Belief in the idea of sustainable development, which recognises that economic growth is good but merely requires that it be balanced against ecological considerations, was acknowledged by most, as was a recognition that environmental degradation can be tackled without a major increase in government intervention but through technological innovations and the responsiveness of capitalism to environmental concerns.

Candidates tended to recognise that the counter argument was based on more radical approaches to the environment. Global capitalism is often seen as the primary cause of environmental degradation in which case a major shift is needed away from free trade and neo-liberalism towards tighter regulation at both national and global levels. The ability of states to pursue the national interest, prioritising economic growth and national prosperity over wider global concerns, is seen as a major cause of environmental degradation. Radical thinkers therefore tend to call for the establishment of strong and often legal supranational environmental regulation. In addition, candidates made the point that, for radicals, materialism and consumerism are seen as major contributors to environmental problems. Radicals call for cultural change as well as political change.

	Chosen Question Number:
	Question 1 🖂 Question 2 🖂 Question 3 🖂
	Question 4 🛛 Question 5 🖂
	Repormists also known as end modernists have the view that
	apitedition the modern features of capitalism can be
	receasited with preventing as educing environmental degradation.
	They recognise that in the long term envicenmental degradation
	can affect prosperity and economic growth. The reparmist
	encourge masustainable development which is a term coined
	in the Brundtland Report in 1987 Sustainable development is
	When development that meets the needs of Goday without
	comprenising the ability of publice generations to need their
	own needs'. Huwener, unlike radicals reparmists advocate
	for weak subminability' which is the iden that human
	compiled concomponents for less of noticel copitert.
ľ	· · · · ·

compited can compensate for Less of Asheral copited. Furthermore, this Geak sustainability approach suggests that, for example, building new roads and inforstructure compensates for Loss of an environmental habitat. Under the reparatist views environmental should be tackled in 3 main ways, firstly, they can be tached through eco-consumerism' which is where businesses and organisation are given in antives to reduce damage to the environment whilst still maintaing economic growth. • Examples include, imposing fuel duties or green taxes' where comprises are changed for excessive and enissions. Secondly, reformists to argue that through incorrection environmental issues can be tachled. For example, invention green technologies such energy efficient energy sources as wind power and solar power or advancing the clean coal Thirdly, referenist browe that through multilateral governme and international cooperation environmental degradation can be muchted. This per example, is in the face of interstional treaties and conventions which place restrainst on state activity in order get Then to to cut damage to the environment one such exaple the the target set by the Kyobo Protocol which has as a aim for states to reduce their carbon emposions 6 5.2-1. below 1990 levels. Monverer, radicals have a readifferent view on hus to tackle environmental issues. The generally between that it is the modern, capitali Values of consumerism, materialism and pre-grawth ore

Values of consumerism, materialism and pro-growth Ehat Ko CAUGES OF the roob environmental degradation and Such 6~0 connet Arapese Eachle envicanmental to revolubinan reconstruction, Whe restabush Within radical ecology there exist Verying Mens. Ecosocialists propose only the hruch Society with Self-governing comunities ap a SECIONIST Can the environment be improved Another rendical approach is ecomorchism Which is the idea that domination or los domination over nature and so Sair 12 the ronnest all anthone Music be and abandaned. In this way it will competing per Heir una of nation -- interest for economic grawth conclusion, reformists and radicals diffe greath is what they believe about the native capitalism 0 with reformist believing il reconciled come for the environment ball eachothy radi compliment and with Capitalism is the all environmental belienn COUL protations and must be destroyed



This is an excellent argument which clearly differentiates between reformist and radical views on the environment. The candidate moves quickly into explaining the two views and then to making the differences apparent. There is a high level of subject knowledge, a clear and concise argument and a brief but snappy conclusion. An excellent L3 response.

This was the most popular of the short response questions and there was a real variety in the quality of the responses produced. Stronger candidates were able to provide an excellent definition of human rights and of the term universal- Human rights are rights to which people are entitled by virtue of being human. Human rights are universal in the sense that they supposedly belong to all humans rather than to members of any particular country, religion, race, gender or other group. A range of criticisms were produced, including the Realist view that the doctrine of universal human rights should not guide state policy because states should prioritise the well-being of their own citizens over others, their primary concern being to pursue the national interest. The doctrine of human rights has been criticised as being culturally biased, reflecting an essentially western liberal model of human nature that emphasises rights and entitlements over obligations and social belonging. Post-colonialism portrays universal human rights as a form of cultural imperialism. Such views have been advanced most clearly by Muslim thinkers who believe that human well-being is divinely ordained, and by some Asian politicians who champion the notion of 'Asian values'. Feminist criticism of universal human rights was also explained by a smaller number of candidates.

Chosen Question Number: Question 1 🔀 Question 2 Question 3 🔀 Question 4 Question 5 Human rights are the basic explanent that a person in through violie of being human. They Tecular version of natural rights They are regarded or un evenione regardless They are hundarental is that although a denied, a human's explanent are also absolute in that they are the hunn 4 Thirdly Unwised hunn right are critical by the post - donies intering

Unwised hum night are intrined by the post - adonial intering which suggest that an a political and philosphical level; hunor rights as not conversal. Philosophically, box can the human rights is me also be mitable to those in another area with dypent sources cours different culber, belief, hypefor and bradition and it is argued that the one ine jots all human night cannot be suitable for all parts of the wold orit does not account for the different that al present is each location. Politically, human right al cees at a form of when, a brat injection and theyac cannot be unresal or how do the West Know that their error of humm right is superior ? The superiority g western rights my not be the belief in pattor Aria Two non-water criticing of unnexed hunon rights have avoid with firstly the Arian values; which strengs the importance of the family are the individual. It states the belief is the family, respect for authority and promotion of course having all no without of sustaining social concerns, as dated is the Barght declaration of 1993. Ación values rights highlight the dypenses is opinion is country in that they prostile alog end or the community and family are the adirdual and duties. Secondly the Glanic cotique of proposed in the Cais declaration of hurns nights (1990) states the belief in hunns night aring from religion. The supreme of religion of is chan in that they take the word of Got and chariah lay, boung their life on this, alle the that expendin the Wester well. The importance of selyion in stated and is followed as down by Swill Arabia & abstaining from the UN declarition of hulas ofthe

Starti Arabig & abstaining from the UN declaritin of hulas oft. resters estims, postly Lee al alio the commuta molement a the reminut, the can unneral & They and Machar sould who hun he state collin ion hinders the ability



This is an outstanding response. The candidate provides a definition of human rights and of the term 'universal' and then makes clear a wider knowledge of human rights. The rest of the response is a knowledgeable and detailed, considering the time constraints, study of the main criticisms of universal human rights with a series of criticisms. This was well worth maximum marks at L3.

This was the least popular of the long 45 mark responses. This may be a reflection on the popularity of the alternatives or a concern from some candidates about a perceived depth of required knowledge of the Copenhagen conference needed to tackle this question. Stronger candidates were able to provide a depth of knowledge of Copenhagen but also develop a wider argument about this process of tackling climate change. A significant number of candidates struggled to do either and may have been better tackling one of the other questions. Candidates highlighted successes such as that the Copenhagen Accord, through which the USA, China and other major developing countries committed themselves to cutting greenhouse gas emissions marked a significant advance over Kyoto, which imposed no obligations on developing countries to curb the growth of their emissions. Similarly, the USA's support for the Copenhagen Accord was an advance in the sense that the USA remained outside the Kyoto Protocol. Many argued that Copenhagen was a 'meaningful agreement' in that it was a step on the road to more concerted action on the issue of climate change. It should be judged in terms of preparing the ground for subsequent action, not in terms of its own specific achievements. This was an area that stronger candidates developed.

Candidates balanced perceived success by countering with claims that the conference did not result in a legally binding agreement or any clear commitment to reach one in future. The Copenhagen Accord does not set even non-legal targets for states to cut greenhouse gas emissions, and there is no global target for emissions reduction by 2015. In addition, candidates made the point that the Accord is vague as to how the \$100 billion fund for supporting developing countries in reducing emissions will be achieved. Copenhagen gives mixed signs for success in tackling climate change and both reformists and radicals would argue that the Copenhagen conference strengthens their own view. Stronger candidates made this apparent in their responses.

Chosen Question Number: Question 6 🕱 Question 7 Question 8 The 2009 Coperhagen conference is another major chance of tackling global environmental issues after the Conference at R.o in 1992 and the Kyoto Protocol in 1997 There were high expectations on it from people all over the world and the result the was controversal First of all, the conference is was thought to be a success as it showed that the majority of the world screignised the poster importance of the problem of climate change. Out 1600 Representatives of over 160 countries and over 100 of them are representatives represented by Read of state or chret of yorernment. It showed that the both developing

chret of yorernment. It showed that the both developing and developed countries were willing to and going to tackle the issue but not remaining doubtful towards the faits of climate change. Sciendly, US, China, South Africa, Indra, Brazil have signed an aword Jurhah others have taken a note. It's would be a significance sep for the major polluters such as China and US to make area commitments towards the environmental proposed in the developed countries are willing to to over look their own situations and submit a reports of further options while China and Indra also stated that they would try to take more account of the che problem while overseeing their economic development Thirdly, developed countries are going to provided \$2000 between to the developing world for nuestment on green technology and adapting to the charge & \$100 hr are also being promised to the developing countries before 2020. It would to be volal for the cooperation of 2 sides to solve this global and to collectre problem. However, there were opposing wiews which said the conference à a failure. It is due to the lack of legal bidding targets of reduce green house gases, into meludary OD, methane and nitrous oside, by the m the award. Moreover, countries are were concerning then their self-interest and demonstrating political power in the conference China and USA

political power in the conference China and USA would be the case. The developing and developed countries still had huge dragrament over how to tackle the problem. The developing world were reluctant & to any limits on pollution as they asked for room for kvelopment, while the developed lionomies are were not willing to take the responsibility to reduce their industrial activities. The are result of the conterence was only an ambityous agreement to not nireasen the world temperature by 2°C. Att Without clear neasures of reducing pollitons, and other cavil equation measures problems like deforestation and overAshing, the globe can hardly And a way out towards climate change. In fait, it the antenantal issues problem As there is no claim of resources like asr, sea water and Forests, countries are your to about the uses and extraction of persources which stops other and the fature generation from extracting them It is a free-rider problem in economic sense. That here is why sustanable development is being asserase as an important Brue and hence, there was are high expectations towards the global operations of all countries in the world to Cackle the problem. In conclusion, there are With successes and failures in the 2009 Copenhagen conference.

Pailures in the 2009 Copenhagen and limate change of problem and mirease L warming in as unicane an rasiou (Confe Invironmental 35uls



This is an example of a script which managed to make L3 for this question. There are weaknesses but the script is strong in a number of regards. The introduction is reasonable and sets Copenhagen in a historical perspective. There is detailed knowledge of the Copenhagen meeting, of the Accord and of controversial elements of the agreement such as the \$100 billion fund. Further development of the wider debate over climate change would have strengthened this response further.

This was a popular question with a large number of pleasing responses. Many candidates seemed well prepared for this type of question. Definitions tended to be strong - Globalisation in its economic form refers to the construction of an interlocking global economy and the declining capacity of states to function as independent economic entities. The impact of globalisation on poverty and global inequality has been controversial and many candidates seemed to enjoy making this clear. Sadly, a few students produced very one sided criticisms of globalisation rather than considering ways in which globalisation may have reduced poverty.

Ways in which globalisation has been seen to increase poverty included the structural inequalities and injustices, notably ones in which 'core' developed states exploit dependent 'peripheral' states that are essentially used to produce primary goods. It was argued that globalisation therefore widens the gap between the North and the South, with sub-Saharan Africa being particularly disadvantaged. The majority of students suggested that globalisation amounts to a form of neo-colonialism. Candidates also identified the argument that globalisation has promoted widening within-country inequality, both in developed societies and in developing ones.

The counter arguments focussed on ways in which poverty has been reduced. These included that globalisation stimulates all economies, including those of developing countries because it brings increased entrepreneurialism, inward investment, improved technology and access to foreign markets. Developments in the global South suggest that many societies have benefited from an engagement with globalisation, notably examples include the Asian 'tiger' economies and the dramatic economic emergence of China and India. In such cases, increased growth and prosperity has been closely associated with taking advantage of export opportunities and inward investments that have been provided by globalisation. On the other hand, countries like North Korea, that have remained outside the global economy have suffered from widespread poverty and low growth.

Chosen Question Number: Question 6 Question 7 🕱 Question 8 whil process inperialism

angual that globalisation is not the cause of party and that pr certies the this the Southern BRK's shows have it can doe in a population will all the fato such as comptre, and noted doscates have cared part Firstly, much og the contrain og gladalister in the ani globel points is a them at the globel institution of the INF as with who were designed to stop porchy. But as Book Joseph Skylitz in alabelistin on its discortants' his angul, he beling in man religions beling of primeering the washington concern through shicked adjutat programmers made party ware. The rega requirent to cut degrits led to mae people bing pulled in parety at this was coubined it a deine to strongs pog back debt realty in Sub-salam oping paying an arrayed 23 on debt repayments and any and 81 on health daining the gos which dis little to help the wells poor. Moreare this was make unse by the double double of the cast and this inform terms of brake and This ment agent melits that were in the developing will had to opened up to free broke, with his competing with vester goody that were signed and with protection and subsidies such as the EU's Come Agent Agenter programe (CAP). Contro like Chere who had self - subling me gills mere none fledal with deep US ingolo veselle in perple being put of this jobs and in income in parety. Honove the will back and IMF have realised some of Our misconing it has not stype to improve this and goes and ponety reduction. But the three is a let to be done to recover the post by have exceeded. For many, globalisation, has this been according to the nec-monit view and an acturing a colorichium in a num from that of neo-education. alderlienten has allowed the premo rich and many

neo-colouidon. alchedisation has allowed the premo rich and impil noting to downate the power was economicity at politically also culturally attack The rear colonial katalos of repressi have also be be a cultured insperdiculie where much of r. pour south deine western goods aller than donation goods (Medenoldisite) this gather pishing people into priety while nelis the about rich even riche As a result, my see Mullinctich as this also the These reparisons multirations have used glabalisate to allow them to brief to many none contris, pung low ways whe the new Intential division of labor, the the wealth out of these contine The low ansivent and Inhe ways of conjector such as Nile have been highlighted pressure groups and the use of child labour by Princh his also dem this . Here Haven , may argue dille labour allow those cliffing to meet their basic needs and be get but it which this potential potential and the the ways are below the party line such as the the I a day give to habin children in a tackle party Havener, there are other who have argued that there is here and the potential can and has been used to reduce party. They begin by partice to the satter BRIKS ord in portenter this done burgering granth rates done to the didity to brake this the glabalized would have light ord in particular Chica milling out of purety. Indeed, clima has contributed to 75% on the deverse in porty in the prices decodes. India, tone to a vest anote of the well poor is also daing this, though withal issues have meant lifting the parent & in have . Moreover this has also have the case in

hate. Moreare this has also have the case in many of the Asim trigger ach as Taim Suggere at South Korre when have used hereised globalisation to hit paydo at Theogra it is possible. Moreare, multi actuals also have their alreadays at this new global break is welcard by notion as they brings instructionate, Though the ways are get low by wester studieds they get lift many cut y closelite Parts and me with the lord standato. So for, we have only really bashed at absorbete prosty that are to mily in much of the developing nations . But relative purety white is purety degride by its relative to the overye in that cally is do your up due to glabilisation. In contris, within costy parety is a more provalit issues for example in strats of Mendoni you will see - mil bola well put a shere hid, both hing so done to each other. Moreaver the proved cisis of whit and in 2000 for 2008 and has let be an increase in port in such of the North and has a fulled have nos indoubtedy examplified due to the interconnectations of the news globalized barly. Finally, it is als imported to make that party has also been carely by othe factors not likel directly be glibolistic, such as compt grids at their carting mealth muss direct such as AIDs in Africa and noted directed sut as the Hatin earthquele . All making parety ware In conclusion, globalisation has had an anythe support Epst a party but to say the sty apo

happe

ResultsPlus

🛸 Examiner Comments

This response has a pleasing introduction which is wide-ranging and which opens up plenty of opportunity for debate and discussion as the response continues. There is a good balance and awareness of competing viewpoints. Arguments range from the positive elements of globalisation with new job opportunities in multinational companies to examples of success such as the Asian Tigers and BRIC states. A counter argument is built around the idea of western dominance through neo-colonialism and the Washington Consensus. Double standards of CAP and cheap wages/child labour help to build the case. The conclusion doesn't seem to provide the balanced summary that the main body of the essay suggested but this is still a L3 response.

This was the most popular question amongst the longer 45 mark responses. There was variety in the quality of what candidates were able to produce. One sided arguments made up the bulk of weaker responses alongside responses built on very little knowledge but often plenty of opinion. Definitions were few and far between but there were a number of very well considered and thoughtful responses.

Terrorism refers to the use of terror for furthering political ends; it seeks to create a climate of fear, apprehension and uncertainty. Global terrorism is terrorism that has a global reach, particularly as demonstrated by the 9/11 attacks on the USA. The significance of global terrorism as a threat to order and security has been the subject of considerable debate. Candidates identified a number of arguments to support the view that global terrorism is a major threat to order and security. It was argued that the 9/11 attacks demonstrated how the world's most powerful state, can be vulnerable to terror attack when it is, arguably, no longer vulnerable to conventional attacks by rival states. There is the additional fear that terrorist networks may be able to acquire and use weapons of mass destruction, and perhaps even nuclear weapons. Candidates argued that global terrorism requires few resources and that increased global flows of people, ideas and information also make global terrorism particularly difficult to contain or prevent. The 'war on terror' provides a battle against a transnational ideology that has spread to various parts of the Middle East, North Africa and central Asia.

The counter argument was based on the fact that although 3,000 people died in the 9/11 attacks, this is very small by comparison with the scale of death that has occurred as a result of conventional warfare and that terrorism, by its nature, consists of a series of sporadic attacks on a variety of targets, and is very different from the concerted, sustained and systematic destruction that is wreaked by mass warfare conducted between states. A few candidates argued that terrorism, in itself, cannot overthrow a government, unlike revolution and inter-state war and that terrorism 'works' only when there is a military over-reaction to it. A range of arguments and counter arguments was expected from L3 candidates.

Question 6 🔀 Question 7 Question 8 Terrorism is a political tem often used within society to shaw a radialised marchert or ndrvidual which believes that the beliefs of peson are findamental, over-ride all other cacepts and must be at followed. Terrison itself often lead to vidence in the four of acts such as suicide barbings by terrorisk granischians including At gaeda. Therefore, the terr terrorism is often linked to a of sing vident a radical meas to firther an cause. There are suggestions that terrorism is of a sureal to plebal security through such

~ major sureal to plebal security through such things as the increase the in world wide attacks such as 9/11 in America and 7/7 in Lador. On the other had there are suggestion that global tenorism is not a major fineat to order and security due to reasons such as wafe los the death to 4 for terrorism is considerably smaller the region wafare meanwhile the tenerist groups are after small ad discremented cells. Therefore, the extent to which global terrorism is a negar threat to ade and security can be delated. There are many superiors that global terrorism is a major freat to order and security. Firstly, the number of tempist attacks has steeply risen and the strength of these attacks has also risen with it. Recent attacks in the west include the deaths of three thousand people on September 11th whilst three were also canalties in Britain during the Landa bomboily's and Spain during the Madrid bombings. This increase in attacks to supposedly peacefl and the ocratic sareties is a dovias threat to order ad security. Additionally the use of techniques has becaue increasingly vident with the emergence of me The new termin being for more radical and murderas the 'traditional termism. This is clady clogety Clearly seen with the rise in suicide banbers willing to dre for this beliefs and increasing depeople to cause mass death ad destruction. The 'war on terror' has also proved meffetive in states sich as to Apphairta as

metfective in states sich as An Afghailta as despite the asymmetrical worke of the pawerfl USA and its allies against small groups such as the Fatt Taliba the war has not been one. The adoption of these territor groups factics such as gverille wole has node it increasingly hard for informational organisations to infiltrate terrorish Snups. Additionally, the 'war an terror' has significantly damaged the "soft pawer" of states involved such on the USA and cald have even left the global commity open to more attacks in fitre os stories of prisere above and camps such as Guartanano Bay have gathered sympathy for terrist organisation from communitiesespecially in the East. This cald care a fireat to and and security as more donate many, supplies and themselves to the case The spread of debalisation has also erabled terrisin to have a new effrent to global order ad security. As the globe is increasingly interconnected It is easier for terrist calls to workage information, raised 20 ations and travel across the globe to carry at the attacks. In example of this can be seen with allegation of British teenagers being indoctrinated by groups such as Al-Gaeda are the internet. This pores a new threat to global ade ad security os states cannor confront the exchange of sport and information in between terrorist cells. Therefore, as terrorism is more of a flreat than ever before as there are more graps willing to carry at increasingly jurderes attacks and aided by such think as sympathy from the 'wo a terri' ad more

sympathy from the 'war an terror' ad more thragh globalisation it cand be apperted that glesal Ferrorian is a my'er threat to arde ad Security. On the other hand, critics have suggested that the wor a terror is not a fireat to order ad security. This can be seen with the fact that many terrorist graps gre poorly organed, small cells which rely on luck and the support of the ministy in order to movine. Witch the international commity itself terrorism is severely danaged by the fact that terrority have the support of the minority not the majority. Secondly save within the suggester that the 'wa a terror ad terrorism generally is are-played by states. It has been suggested by some that cincers will believe garanness of faced by a threat. Since the end of the "Cold wor and the collopse of Comminium, Copitalist states have looked to find a threat to mite attens - this threat has aguably been found in terrorism. Other wither of states have sufferted that the USA has manipulated the thread of fermion to get support for itself and America ideologies - therefore sum marennents of the Iwar an tenor amont to little that the worker the the USA lasking to see support its own care as global hegeman. witchn wofere itself, comparing major wafere to that ap tenorism, the tacker and weapons ned by large states are clearly much more argonised and effective than these used by

noe agained and effective than those used by tenerist organizations, with a recomple of this being the increased use of the one rationically cartulled drames by the USA. Additionally, the death toll within workare is significantly greater than in terrorist attacks. tendreds of tharoad die in loge wars which is makes the highest death tou from a terrorist attack of 3,6- three thasad deaths during September 11the seem rather small as insignificate in comparisa. Pditically to date there have been no ferrorist attacks which have brought down garerumetty. Therefore, the state is Stronger than the ternsvist. Additionally, publicly at least, all states the negative of states are apainst tenorismad wale to prevent it. Therefore, the fact that ferrorist groups are smally weak and disorganised in composison to most states, the agriment that - palage werfore leads to more death and distriction that terrorist attacks and serval dever key factors, it is plan clear to see that global terrovism Is not a major threat to order ad security. areally to causae, there are arguments both in farmer and against the suggestion that terrorism is a major threat to global ade ad security. There are suggesting in farow of the theat including that terrorism is more midespread mits sppak and qualia and increasingly supportive of large attacks hilling man people and casig massive disruption. The also arguments apartest termium betyp a threat

also arguments apartiest terririum bety a threat, such as the fat that most terrorist groups and organized cells who greate the support of the majarity. Addition such as Britain and the USA are the only tenerist group such as Shra believe that the threat to Theefore. security from terrorism is shald certally manitured corefully, however it is not to word and and secretify small, backward ne plays relative lives of sto minds Small on pren. p by the global temorium is lar 11 ersures therefore it Can denied that il a major threat to arde ad securi



This was a clear L3 response. The introduction sets out both sides of the debate, provides background knowledge and makes clear where the rest of the response will go. A series of arguments are illustrated with pleasing examples. Both sides of the debate are covered well and there are excellent points made, including the argument that the US' perceived over-reaction to terrorist attack has proved counterproductive and destabilising (Guantanamo Bay). Whilst many responses took the view that there was a significant threat to order and stability without counter argument, this response makes good points about the fact that terrorism doesn't tend to overthrow government and that perhaps it is overplayed by the west for the interests of western governments. This is a well balanced and thoughtful response.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:

http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publication.orders@edexcel.com</u> Order Code UA028102 June 2011

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE





Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru Welsh Assembly Government

