



Examiners' Report June 2011

GCE Government and Politics 6GP03 3B

Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at <a href="https://www.edexcel.com">www.edexcel.com</a>.

If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our **Ask The Expert** email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link: <a href="http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/">http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/</a>



## Get more from your exam results

#### ...and now your mock results too!

ResultsPlus is Edexcel's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam and mock performance, helping you to help them more effectively.

- See your students' scores for every exam question
- Spot topics, skills and types of question where they need to improve their learning
- Understand how your students' performance compares with Edexcel national averages
- Track progress against target grades and focus revision more effectively with NEW Mock Analysis

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit <a href="www.edexcel.com/resultsplus">www.edexcel.com/resultsplus</a>. To set up your ResultsPlus account, call 0844 576 0024

June 2011

Publications Code UA028084

All the material in this publication is copyright © Edexcel Ltd 2011

#### Introduction

The aim of Unit 3B is to introduce students to political ideologies by acquiring a knowledge and understanding of the three major ideological traditions - liberalism, conservatism and socialism - as well as anarchism, which overlaps substantially with liberalism and socialism. The overall standard of responses to Unit 3B questions was, in this examination session, broadly similar to the standard in previous years. The major discriminator between mediocre and good performance was, as is so often the case, the difference between candidates who were able to provide a broadly accurate but essentially descriptive account of the ideology or sub-traditions in question, and candidates who were able to properly analyse and evaluate such material. The separate marking of AO2 on the essays (45 mark, Part B) questions, means that this distinction can be exposed effectively. The extent to which candidates were able to respond to the requirements of synopticity was also a significant discriminator in the marking of essay responses. However, there is evidence of general improvement in this respect. In a growing number of cases, centres appear to be training candidates to recognise from the outset, and through the body of the argument itself, contention between two or more viewpoints or perspectives. However, a significant proportion of candidates still fail to do this adequately, in the weakest cases just uncritically presenting a single viewpoint. A further common discriminator, on both short (15 mark, Part A) questions and essay questions was the extent to which explicit conceptual understanding was demonstrated, the strongest candidates being able to do this by recognising the often complex nature of the terms and concepts encountered in 3B.

### Question 1

This question was generally well done, with a large proportion of candidates recognising the key features of collectivism and showing at least an awareness of how and why collectivism is linked to socialism. The principal causes of under-performance in this question were a tendency to mistake collectivism for collectivisation, and a generally greater emphasis on the 'how' aspect of the question than the 'why'.

A typical threshold level 2 response demonstrated the following:

- Accurate, if possibly implicit, awareness of the nature of collectivism
- Narrow or limited awareness of 'how' socialists support collectivism

A typical threshold level 3 response demonstrated the following:

- Clear, and probably explicit, understanding of the nature of collectivism
- Knowledge of a range of ways in which socialists have endorsed collectivism
- Sound explanation of 'why' socialists endorse collectivism

The strongest responses were often able to show an awareness of differences within socialist ideology, which are reflected in differing justifications for collectivism as well as in differing strategies for advancing collectivism.

Indicate your first question choice on this page.
You will be asked to indicate your second question choice on page 6.

Put a cross in the box ⊠ indicating the first question that you have chosen. If you change your mind, put a line through the box ₩ and then indicate your new question with a cross ⊠.

Chosen Question Number:

Question 1 📓 Question 2 🔀

Question 3 🖾

Question 4 🖸

Question 5

Collectivism regres to a set of ideas that are muted in their belief that which ve indiavour has quater moral and partical value than any individual sily-storma action tolk chirim implies that social groups such on the state nation, races and classes are maningful political enthus the idea of which vum has quat appeal gor socialists. This in because socialists believe that human native is sociable, gugarous and cooperative and that alatonships among humans are borneart of sympathy, harmony and nowatrant care for one another this means that humans can't only understood through the social groups in which attached to, and without any such with those humans become isolated and injulgilled creatives. This idea also complasizes the matters human bungs over native and suggest that the collective action of human bings is buly to ahuve more than the eyord of any single individual. Cooperation and collective action are there gove divisible became they nutine human sympathy and care for each other, in contrast to competition which pits individuals against each other and thus is unralval Collection has a number of impliation for socialism, imbodied in the various ways that socialists have proposed to achieve vollections m. Korodov Fundamental - transport socialist have attempted to bring about which rism in a cadical You should start the answer to your second question choice on page 6

ense This implies common ennuthing of mean expreduction, whose through the abolition of this late Maxists, who believe ma communit society in which live in vior mumber and own means of poduction in common, as unsaged by Leninists and Stalmits, state soughmin collectivism would mean the state owneship of all mean of production Kursionist socialists have imbraud a more and a planned economy moduate mithed in which collegism can be achieved, in which three abland state integerne and market commy to deliver the goods and a social welfare state to promote geneal advancement of the whole community and justir bunde between this se above and those pourer. Common awniship, whithis in its extreme or milder your, they are has been sun by all sometite as the hun mechanim though which collectives can be brought about, it to joster human sympathy jor each other and nurtice cooperation against compethon



This is an excellent response to question 1, which received 15 marks out of 15. It contains a very good definition of collectivism, an excellent explanation of why socialists support collectivism and highlights a variety of ways in which socialists have tried to advance collectivism.

#### Question 2

This question was both popular and generally answered well. A key descriminator was the extent to which constitutionalism was understood, with some less successful candidates merely defining a codified constitution. On the other hand, successful candidates were often able to convey a clear understanding of constitutionalism, but also recognised that it encompasses the idea of both establishing external checks on government and not introducing internal checks through fragmenting power among a number of bodies or institutions. The extent to which candidates were able to explain a specifically liberal concern about government power, especially concentrated government power, was another important discriminator.

Typical features of a level 2 response were as follows:

- Awareness of nature of constitutionalism, probably demonstrated by a knowledge of constitutional devices, such as 'written' constitutions, the separation of power, parliamentary government etc.
- Some awareness of the corrupting nature and its implications for constitutionalism

Typical features of a level 3 response were as follows:

- Clear, and probably explicit, understanding of the nature of constitutionalism, with an awareness of both its legal and institutional forms.
- Sound explanation of specifically liberal concerns about the corrupting nature of power and the implications of unchecked government power.

Indicate your first question choice on this page.
You will be asked to indicate your second question choice on page 6.

Put a cross in the box ⊠ indicating the first question that you have chosen. If you change your mind, put a line through the box ₩ and then indicate your new question with a cross ☒.

Chosen Question Number:

Question 1 🖸 Question 2 💆 Question 3 🖸

Question 4 🛛 Question 5 🖾

Liberals realise the need for government, but they also are negative aspects to having to government is , there is no freedom the freedom of needs to be subject to constitutionalism and democrocy. the duties, powers + institutions, and prevent abusing their pervery A codified cons single authoritative documen a main bedy and possibly bust codified anstil democratic states have one with the UK, Israel and New Zealand.

You should start the answer to your second question choice on page 6

The bill of rights is an entrenched definition of the rights of inducduals and Equing it written expression so naking strange rights easier to defend in the eourts), and also defining the relationship between of the individual and the state. The first 10 amendments of the bls constitution are an entreneled bill of rights, and the Declaration of the rights of man 13 were sto adopted by the French revolution. However, as the UK does not have a codified constitution, likerals and checking powers. Assilver as allowed the Lucial also defend constitutional as Constitutional as puts enternal constraints on government, by the internal fragmentation, achieved by a separation of powers between the legislature, executive and judiciary. In the US this has caused for the reparation of the congress, the presidency and the Supreme Court. It is important that these institutions of government in the UK, Organia, particularly the judiciary, remain Esparated as the judicial independence sugar and neutrality are very emportant principles. The judiciary interprets all cause and cheeks the power of government, so it must remain You should start the answer to your second question choice on page 6

independent and neutral so try do not exect pairs. In the UK up until 2006, the Lord Chancellor was both head of the judiciary and a cabinet member, however the role of the read of the judiciary has been taken over by Lord Chief fustice lines 2006.

Other ways that government can be constrained are cabinet government (which checks the power of the Prisone nimiter) and alvolution (which fragmented the power of Barliament), as well as local government (which checks the force of the central government).



This is a good response which received 12 marks out of 15. It contains a very thorough range of devices through which government power can be limited, and a good awareness of liberal concerns about power. However, it is weaker in explaining these liberal concerns, specifically in explaining how and why power corrupts.

### **Question 3**

Very few candidates failed to recognise that the individualist anarchist tradition provides evidence of the link between anarchism and individualism. Some less successful responses, indeed, did little more than describe features of this tradition. However, more successful responses got the heart of the question by explaining how and why individualism, in its extreme form, implies absolute freedom and so has anarchist implications, using individualist anarchism to illustrate these linkages.

Typical threshold level 2 responses contained the following features:

- Accurate, if possibly implicit, awareness of the nature of individualism
- Some awareness of the link between individualism and anarchism, probably demonstrated by references to individualist anarchism.

Typical threshold level 3 responses contained the following features:

- Clear, and probably explicit, understanding of the nature of individualism
- Sound explanation of the link between anarchism and individualism, associated with support for enlarging individual freedom.

Indicate your first question choice on this page.
You will be asked to indicate your second question choice on page 6.

Put a cross in the box ⊠ indicating the first question that you have chosen. If you change your mind, put a line through the box ₩ and then indicate your new question with a cross ⊠.

Chosen	Question	Num	her-
CHOSEH	Question	Num	Dei.

Question 1	Question 2 🔀	Question 3	
Question 4 🖂	Question 5	,	
Anardism is a	political	philosophe	1
ulcicle rejects an	cy form	ef coercie	w,
ulcich rejects an especially in the	e form	of state	or
gov-t. Pierre-Jose	the Proud	llion nas	the
first to aunque	uce proud	elle in	1840
: "I am an a	narolist"	as an	
advocate ef i	udiriolyal	freedon	1
advocate et ; self-government	and self	- determin	ation
Anarclism van	be brea	dly sub	- divided
into der main	30l10013	of twent	ght:
left-wing ultra-	50cialism	and rio	ght-
vine ultra-li	beralism.		
J		Λ	
WHra-liberal	strand of	& amarch	ism
is the one wh			
the concept of	indiviolera	lism i.e	a
belief mat	human	beings a	W
ou should start the answer to your secor			

north more officiently if mey act as atomistic individuals. Egoists like Stirner believe in that human beings are rational and self-seehung oreaheres, hurs nieg qualify to obtain liberty and self-determination also fourt out that individual or "ego" should be at the center of the moral universe and all individuals should be allowed to do what keep want to regardless of any laws and moral or social conventions. As a result stirner's logism pushes egoistical inclindualism to its logical conclusion Anarcho-capitalists like hothbard are more concerned with economic issues rather than moralities. Anardio capitalism like lgoism adopted an ambivelant view of human nature by saying that human beings are rational and self kee same time. Therefore seehilla at You should start the answer to your second question choice on page 6

**GCE Government and Politics 6GP03 3B** 

they tursued free market "laissezfair" economics where natural
forces of supply and demand
(also muceun as Adam Smith's
'misible hand) are allowed to
allocate resources. This economic
theory is based upon mechanistic
view of society where free
individuals are allowed to ender
the market and succeed or fail
on their own merit is meritocracly,
and no gov-t or state should
intervene into this self-regulating
system. Thus anarono-capitalism
encourages rational individuals
to exterprise and operate as "atoms"



This is a sound response to the question. It was given 10 marks out of 15. Although it starts with some generalised points that do not clearly address the question set, it highlights, particularly in its account of the ideas of Stirner, why individualism can have anarchist implications.



Individualism could and should have been defined. The conceptual link between individualism and anarchism should have been explained more clearly and explicitly.

#### Question 4

This question was popular and generally well answered, with very few candidates failing to demonstrate at least a basic grasp of the One Nation thinking within conservatism. Less successful reponses to the question tended to offer a largely descriptive account of the disposition within conservatism towards welfarism, without adequately explaining where this comes from, politically and ideologically. By contrast, successful reponses dealt very effectively with the grounds on which conservatives have supported One Nationism, often being able to recognise both principled and pragmatic grounds. The clarity and depth of analysis in this respect was a key discriminator between sound, good and very good responses.

Typical threshold level 2 responses exhibited the following features:

- Some awareness of the nature of One National principles
- Limited knowledge of at least one conservative justification for One Nationism.

Typical threshold level 3 responses exhibited the following features:

- Clear, and probably explicit, understanding of the nature of One Nation principles
- Sound explanation of both principled and pragmatic justifications for One Nationism, or very full explanation of one justification.

Indicate your first question choice on this page.
You will be asked to indicate your second question choice on page 6.

Put a cross in the box ⊠ indicating the first question that you have chosen. If you change your mind, put a line through the box ₩ and then indicate your new question with a cross ⊠.

Chosen Question Number:

Question 1 
Question 2 
Question 3 
Question 3

Question 4 📓 Question 5 🖾

One Mation Conservation is otherwise known as (dealogy CONSELVE Disraeli of theorisad DRIAR. responsible You should start the answer to your second question choice on page 6

oblige upholds this by grouding paternalistic guidance & for those less capable. This ensures social order-a pane concen of Descale. Discale implemented the Second Reform Act 1867 to impras working class lives, to prevoit resolution a consolidate hieroschy: As I Bucke said "a state inthaut the means of change is without its means of conservation" in addition to upholding the procepte of authority & providing recently for the masses - Durach believed in the importance of the auxiliar family The father me is at the Head of the family with a social responsability to case for the other relatures- just as Garmont must con fac the ill-equipped people. Horold Macmillon of 1950 Ct was pune minister & adopted One Nation consultem He employed a 'Middle Way' economic plan to regulate certain aspects of a canony the done a cutature of parate entronce "He suked to create full implayment & expand nelpoe These economic parciples would be supported by some conservatives as Macmillon 15 initiating an incentive for weath & therefore property by occorring impleyment & also to supporting the disadvantaged with welfare: You should start the answer to your second question choice on page 6

One Nation consentum attempts to consolidate heavily a prevent revolution of warling classes. In doing so they uphalol key principles of consentions with Long human impersection, hurardry and honty a consensition.



This is an excellent response to the question, which received 14 marks out of 15. It is particularly impressive in the fullness and clarity of its explanation and analysis.

# Question 5

The quality of responses to this question varied significantly. Less successful responses sometimes demonstrated little understanding of either economic liberalism or social liberalism, in the weakest cases simply writing about other issues, such as freedom or toleration. In other cases, candidates recognised that economic liberalism and social liberalism are rooted, respectively, in classical liberalism and modern liberalism, but then proceeded to provide an account of the latter rather than the former. This enabled something relevant to be said, but it was an approach that failed to get to the heart of the question. On the other hand, there were some excellent reponses that recognised, for instance, the market fundamentalism that operates at the core of economic liberalism and concerns that social liberals have expressed about laissez-faire.

Typical threshold level 2 responses exhibited the following features:

 Some understanding of one form of liberalism, with at least an awareness of the other form.

Typical threshold level 3 responses exhibited the following features:

 Sound explanation of both forms of liberalism, with at least some contrasts being made explicitly. Indicate your first question choice on this page.
You will be asked to indicate your second question choice on page 6.

Put a cross in the box ⊠ indicating the first question that you have chosen. If you change your mind, put a line through the box ₩ and then indicate your new question with a cross ⊠.

Chosen Question Number:

Question 1 
Question 2 
Question 3 
Question 3

Question 4 🖾 Question 5 🗷

Economic Ubero

There has been tension within modern upperation with regards to the role of the state. Economic liberallym relates to a negative processing on ideas ideas of sm in mat individu Me argulemen of mouth 100 good n thomas pourse mus occorribe the necesseury evus ites roce anoual these beliefs are account evider fact some modern liberally believe that Sto crel market passes near muaculas Sty the produces on equilibrium self regulation, for example ms'unisible hand' Hayek noruada en

boowse it has me corporately to simultaneous a number of messages, secondly mource + 18 productive and expectent 10000 as (250) (02) ingxorably and awen properable use and prudle husinesses are becoinse men are profit alriven usuke public leaste Thuialy me morket u procounc pecause <del>put</del> buyers well only cauce what consumers eve welling a picce consumers are willing to buy mus sacrelanty. just beause owers You should start the answer to your second question choice on page 6

portunules therefore in place of



This is an excellent response, which received 15 marks out of 15. Having located both economic liberalism and social liberalism in relation to wider issues, it then examines with impressive insight and clarity contrasting positions on the relationship between the market and the state within liberalism.

#### Question 6

Very few candidates found it difficult to highlight the relationship between socialism and equality. However, less successful responses tended to do little more than provide an account of contrasting socialist traditions, with relatively little being said about how these traditions deal with the issue of equality, particularly equality of outcome. More successful repsonses showed, in the first place, a greater insight into the nature of equality, and were to highlight the distinctive character of equality of outcome, usually distinguishing it from equality of opportunity. They then considered the contrasting traditions within socialism, but focused particularly on how, why, and crucially, the extent to which they have embraced distinctive conceptions of equality. It was disappointing that some weaker responses merely stated that socialists support equality of outcome without explaining what its supposed benefits might be.

Typical threshold level 2 responses exhibited the following features:

- Awareness of nature of equality of outcome
- Limited awareness of divisions amongst socialists over the nature of equality, probably linked to the rival ideas of equality of outcome and equality of opportunity.

Typical threshold level 3 reponses exhibited the following features:

- Sound and explicit understanding of the nature of equality of outcome, and of its link to socialism
- Clear explanation of how and why different socialist traditions disagree over equality of outcome.

Put a cross in the box ⊠ indicating the question that you have chosen.

If you change your mind, put a line through the box ₩

and then indicate your new question with a cross 図.

Chosen Question Number:

Question 6 🗵 Question 7 🖸 Question 8 🖾

Equality of outcome is the type of equality that at kmpts to bring about an equal distablished growerds, meaning that individuals' as how and contributions, they should all be so ewarded with the same amount. So calists have been aftered to equality in general and equality of outcome in protected known it appeals to so called direct to conclude direct to conclude directly and out of sympothy and harmony with each other However the extent to which so walnuts amb are equality of outcome differ to some or degree, which will be assumed in the gullowing many

Soinabet how been is aftercled to equality of outcome por a number of consons. Equality of outcome is behaved to promote gaining and justice more than any other types of equality. Equality of entantes is argued by some about to be general equality as it ensures that maintails are unevaled with the same amount of revealed in contrast to inequalities of outcome which are hely to promote some discours and exploitation by those who own more Equality of outcome in this sum, po motes sound values the sympathy and has morny as those who own the same amount of wealth as more likely to share with caches as other and promote sound values and it also josters exoperative actions another and promote sound. This is competited with soundots belief in the natural goodmin of the individual whose relationships are board on sociable and greganous proudes. Equality of opportunity is a share in that it

surface the inequalities of prevalent in society which are made more by nonatural treatment by society rather than individual shells and taking Foundahonal and gomal equality are madequate in the contract they goul to deal with the infrient mignatus in society by oyeing largely inequitive faction to combat this inexpective factics to combat this Fundamentalist conalists have been most committed to the achievement of equality of outcome tundamentalist sonalist inch as Maxin particular have disended equality of outcome on the grounds that "nud" a more important human satisfaction than "want".

Max has agreed that all conomic dissons in southy should they pre be made to satisfy the need of the side vidual cather than there are ambihous and aspirations, as makeral mequalities, imbedied in the onniship of private property, seve to exate social discours in society, especially in tems of classes, namely the bougeouse who own the mean of production and even point and the + politarat who eve exploited and borely saling the needs through the sale of the rabon Geneure equality this york dumands the abolition of all materal inqualities to promote makeral equality, which has even been caraed gutter by Marx to mangestitily is the gorn of absolute equality, the idea that individuals should be entitled to equality of sources and makeral and award and but but on in its stocked rune. Marx had in usaged this extreme young equality of enhance to be conducted by agreture communitionity, oraked in wolnton by the politonat against the bourgeour and then via a pour of distatoship of the publicuat in which a temporary state would ever the internship on such a communit society. In this junal stage, equality of outcome would be notized in the gom of sommon ownwhip by all those who what in such communities, when production would ever on

he basis of need of the winning ed of hormony and sympathy In reality, equality of outcome had also been strictly injured, alto though in a duto ded vision from the original Maximbe pre takon, made possible in the gom of state a covalism. In this way, equality of ontrome was delivered by state distribution of moures rather than out gulated the wonomy and pooled all products produced by works into a central pour, part of which would be equally distributed to each individual in society in the bons of their needs, and the supling which would be converted to general gutter wealth you the society. The best example of the in the South Union under Stalin in the just half of the twentith unting Though of tuned out to be a sprime rigime Honever, rescount have bus refuelant to embrace street equality of outcome compared to their predicens and more assistated to words equality of apportunity. This is be nearly of their is congretion that equality of automi, dispik being within appealing to other conalists, not would in the leveling downwords of all those in sourty and the poor production of goods and mostly services muchy on the basis of human needs are workfully to deliver general paspectry to the community to quality of opportunity, in acognizing the dypant abilities, talents and contabations of individuals and attempting to award accordingly, is sunto have greater moral and practical value than equality of ontome Social dimovats, government, have advocated a blend of both gove government interestion and an interpre withve in the wonorny, believing that as the market mechanism and thus capitalism are able to genuate wealth gov the whole community, it is valid as a means twough which human cooperation and mutual undi standing contahiplace. They have also sword the idea of equality of

makeral converds to individuals, uplacing it with a milder goom of interestin namely welfare state, in which the state provides welfare for individuals to stand up in sacrety and orine them from the cappling the & third way even carried this to the extreme, good of equality of outcome and instead subsubing to of opportunity, uduring the nanny taking care of ments of wayer in society and believing should be suspenible got the outcome of their achons egyots and had work equality groutsome the Third Way motor or locate thrombin within the socialist branch Ornall, allsociation how been commissed to equality of on home

to some extent



This is an excellent response to the question. It demonstrates very broad and accurate knowledge and understanding, consistently strong intellectual skills, an impressive awareness of contrasting viewpoints within socialism, and also advances a coherent overall argument, clearly communicated. The marks it received were 12, 12, 12, 9.

#### Question 7

As seems to happen with anarchism questions, there are a proportion of candidates who use them as an opportunity to write all they know about anarchism, paying relatively little attention, in the process, to the theme of the question. Fortunately, this only applies to a minority of candidates, but it applied again this year. More successful reponses dealt effectively and explicitly with viability or practicability of the anarchist goal of a stateless society, often helpfully recognising that this concerns the link between anarchism and utopianism. In better cases, anarchist ideas were set against critical views, advanced, amongst others, by liberals, conservatives or Marxists. A key discriminator was the effectiveness with which anarchist utopianism was explained, which ranged from simply assertions that 'anarchists believe that people are naturally good' to the recognition that anarchists believe that human nature is malleable and that positive potentialities only blossom in particular economic and political circumstances.

Typical threshold level 2 responses exhibited the following features:

- Awareness of the core aim of anarchism, the achievement of a stateless society
- Awareness of disagreements between anarchists and their critics over the practicability of this goal.

Typical threshold level 3 responses exhibited the following features:

- Clear explanation of anarchist utopianism, linked to the feasibility of a stateless society, probably through reference to human nature
- Clear explanation why critics of anarchism have regarded statelessness as unachievable.

Put a cross in the box ⊠ indicating the question that you have chosen. If you change your mind, put a line through the box ⊞ and then indicate your new question with a cross ⊠

Chosen Question Number:

Question 6 🖸 Question 7 🛣 Question 8 🗵
yes meger growing on howard parties vous a majord selfware superior
Gorgical the Leading, I desired on state follows the the
Ananhists are primarily defined by their opposition
to the state as a form of cognice, compillary, absolute
power and authority over the individual. Their principle deemand,
thought is that homers be able and allowed to live free from
the imposition of state authority. On one level, this demand is
realistic - anarchists agree that men is inherently good-neured
and society can therefore exist hamionisely and prosperous without
sax cantrol; it may be that the marker can replace the saxe.
However, there are inherent limits to anarchists' arguments,
such as the view that their view of human name is ac-
optimistic and the four that the makes has grand itself
ireffective as providing all services, which mean that the
demands of granhits are in realing impossible to achieve
Anarchists base their demand for no state an
the view that man is inherently good-natured, that he
lypers where is best for him. Individualist anarchists such as
Lilliam Godun agre that was can set automonously and
can avange for ogais without regulation. Collectionst
Lypers where to best for him. Individually anarchists such as Lilliam Godish arge that was all autonomorally arel can average for espais without regulation collections! and other anarchists and other

that the human species works best and propers when individual not together for common perefit - the idea of mutch aid Either way, anarchists believe in the up anon of man that without state authoring and the subrequent repression of but the characteristics, he is oble to local a happy life and society, in the can reveally be appropriate, harmonios, peaceful and prosperors. They are right in aggregating that there is really no evidence that was cannot be as perfect a stey say, because humans rate on the characteristic of the community in whoch shey live - becase mun los in recent cearing always Goed under the state, he becomes greatly and selfish and life or or out by Hobbes, noing british and short publicit the state, it is entirely possible that society can be even more ordered than 14 17 wreny, and this wantist demands do not appear to be impossible. IT I also the case that aranhoss have pt forward alremarius to the convert gisten, having that they are not morely copian and they have thought of out how to achieve their 'demands'. Tudividualist aranlists put form and the idea of Wan being self-veliant, proving his our choices freely Franko - azitalists sich as Miray Northard agre Har the gate can be replaced by market capitalism, what it cannot any ordice the products that man degries it can also provide the servicer be required. The market an to example, posicle private law wets. As they rold on rithin the competitive maket system,

they would be non efficiently. The profit-motive world require them to be neither, and that the best and most neither well wall attract the next clients seeking a fair and horest trial. Collectivit anarchosts of forward many means by which society can exist termonioed, without the make mas hunalists such as Piepe-Joseph growthen agre for a yetem of progen owneship they is free from explorarian Wereby irdividual regionare and track without profit. Ich a system is volusiony and beneficial and regimes no regulation. Arranho-syndicalist such or Georges Sorel age that the world up overpower the speak through read-tionagn, tacle iniowa by which they can ever their the plincal influence and live without the state. Aranho-communist pat formand the idea of living in communes, you which are small and faced on the idea of face to - face interaction, thereby regining little a no government regularion. This, anarchists have govided alternatives + the state pystem, which seem 2 be effective, meaning that they do not demand the can wible. What is more, in modern sines, globalisance and ste youth of international newlets by reduced the impostance of the state. Reale have been forced a book for alternative systems and the growth of the unregulated internet as a major modest has seen aranhom gron in imporrance because the inserver reflect the core anarchost value of preden from

authority arel goregion. In addition, examplion her had inmeasing influence on political ideologies sell as eminorvientalism. Libertarian tendences, perhaps eighenced by kenn said Tworeau, have empred within books the less and the right mis, anarthism a growing in impossure and a agrably having an influence meaning their if it irrelating not demanding the impossible or its chances of success increase flow ever, it is argueble their ancentrists do demand He impossible because they are upian in their view of hurran narre. The enrire aranless belief in the efficacy and value of a rower free from the arthering of the stake is loyed on the idea that without a state, from is able to be peacefully and prosperorly within a harmonious society. Prese is no evidence, however, star this is the lese. Ananhists themselves accept that within all individuals there is the innate possibility of greed and comption. Thus, if there is even this possibility, an anarchist society world to ineredialy inertable and at risk of descending into ail la, pring the view of testiles and other that man's state of narre 3 are of conflict. The the fording principle of on which arenhism is based can be guestioned, severely irelemining the clear that their demands are possible trankst neglish have also means that their demands are impossible to achieve. They rejection of conventional politics, which they believe to be oppressive to

Werashit and do not sherefore with 2 condone by relevy part in it, mean they have no way of influencing people to allest or even underpared, their views main recome to violence, self of the major ossaniration of President nekilles and orted terrorit activities singly alienare support and show you to be no better than the wessive and opposition state. Poetism, & domonstately by Tollton and bardhi, i so amited in it interest native that it cannot hope to attack indeposed regist for aganfist rating ever though is dusy demogrance the sperth of they are convictions. Nevolution and aranho- sprdiculous have had no hope of succeeding because they believe it will our patientersty, thereor of core Juli aeria regrires oganization Therefore, arrentists do demand the impossible scrace there are no realized nethods by which they can effectively achieve their demands. Frally, their airusu of the stage and the isdividualist anarchites' defence of the market has been ordenized. The maybet has shown harrief to be ineffective at providing all services belonge of she free-rider eyest, by which some individuals benefit without paying, therefore reduces the efficiency of the service. Collegivist anarchots theretons have neighbed always that the market cannot deliver egaling or prosperity for all, and you do need collègice afra + bely and increase

of scien freedon



This is a highly effective response to the question. It contains excellent knowledge and understanding, strong intellectual skills, a clear understanding of differences within anarchism over this matter, and a coherent argument, clearly communicated. The marks it received were 12, 12, 12, 9.

#### Question 8

This was a popular question, generally well answered by a large proportion of candidates. The most successful reponses focused effectively on the issue of human imperfection, often helpfully recognising that conservatives believe that human beings are imperfect in a variety of ways. They then explained each of these imperfections and evaluated the extent to which different traditions within conservatism hold these views, usually with an awareness of differences between traditional conservative and the liberal new right, although One Nationist ideas could also have been discussed. Less successful reponses sometimes struggled with the idea of human imperfection, sometimes failing to recognise distinctively conservative thinking on the matter. In these cases, generalised and poorly focused responses were offered.

Typical threshold level 2 responses exhibited the following features:

- Awareness of at least one clear sense in which conservatism is a philosophy of imperfection
- Some awareness of divisions within conservatism over imperfection, probably associated with rival views of traditional conservatism and the liberal New Right.

Typical threshold level 3 responses exhibited the following features:

- Sound explanation of two or more ways in which conservatism is a philosophy of imperfection
- Clear understanding of how and why the liberal New Right departs from the traditional view.

Put a cross in the box ⊠ indicating the question that you have chosen.

If you change your mind, put a line through the box ₩

and then indicate your new question with a cross 図

Chosen Question Number:

Question 6 🖾

Question 7

Question 8

Conservatism is a political ideology that puts emphasis on social hierarieny, tradion and eustoms and tresistance to sudden enange. At the neart of conservation lies a pessimistic and element non-utopian view of numan nature. Conservatives see numan beings as self-seeking and unreliable. They believe numan beings arremanally flawed—on idea embedded in a the biblical story of Adam and Eve who opened the pondara's box and introduced suffering for themselves and all their progeny. From this point of view, a conservative would arrow, humans beings are a fallen race, east out from Eden by a vengeful field dismayed at the capidity of progeful field dismayed.

Expected of them. They are security-seeking creatures who fear isolation and instability and balk at the prospect of being left alone. Human

beings are incapable of surviving on their own, connot gaverin triom below. A good example to illustrate this point would be the relationship between an infant and its patient. The patient viritually influence all aspects of its young live beacause it is fallible-the pour infant does not know what is good for them-e.g. to be keptaway trom danger, to have a healthy diet, to go to bed at sensible time of etc. It needs quidance a from its parients. Like human beings are imperifect and need to be under the tutelage of all priudent leaders to survive in rociety. conservatives believe that human beings are also selfish and greeding. Tracing back the fall of Adamand Eve, numan beings navealways sought belf-ennancement. They are therefore, morrally contrupt. It they are given unlimited

freedom, they will stoop to a criminal's level

need to be "taught" to nectify mistakes and lead

annonest life thee thom connuption. Without

controland punishment, human' beings are

unable to oven come this problem and they

nemain monally imperifectible.

and eneate disander and lawlessness, They

conservatives also hold that humans are psychologically and intellectually limited. The would is too complex and beyond their grasp. As philosophen Mienael Oakhott putit, " the WOTILD IS "boundless and bottom less" where everything appears to be a "blooming, bussing confusion ... Humans are unable to make sense of this complex would because of their Lack of cognitive capacity. It is therefore the nesponsibility of the nating clas who passess wisdom and intellect to helpthom to understand the intricacies of this complex universe. And because numans are prione to ention, it is dangerious and thisky to nely on them. society should thereforce be trun by the ι ότα πουτείπο Howevert, although triaditional conservatives havea pessimistic view of numan nature, a brianch of consetivation, name the neo-liberials metute such view. They see the state and

the anistoenacy as the nealmor coencion and

liberities are intringed. Instead of placing power

un freedom an unerse individual Frenta

in the hands of a so-called s

"penfect" anistoenats, powen should be distributed among all individual and government
should trust the decision made by its
subjects. Every decision should be a priocess of
national thought, wheneby numan beings
decide what is so best for them by
considering the pros and cons of possible course
afactions. This is in stak contrast to triaditional
conservatives who think numan beings are
intellectually limited to make national decision.

Furthernmone, the neo-liberals believe that humans snowld enational the truling class it they are not satisfied. Grovernment snowld not left in the nands of crites and people, however imperifect they are should not naively believe that whatever the traditional outhoritative body decides is best ton them. A good example is mangaret matchen who didn't hesitate to confront traditional and established universities church of England, Established universities (e.g. Oxford and cambridge) and the House of Londs if their policies proved to the to be an

obstacle in the way of economic metorim. In addition, neo-liberals have espoused that en free-manket capitalism should be given priecedence over social stability, and as such people snould be allowed to take path in government, pledge the irrallegiance and put forward is novel ideas. Their imperifect nature would be looked over if they can. contribute to the formation of a free-market. Neo-liberals emiticise paternalistic la ener triaditional conservative of the for porttraying numan beings as self-seeking cheatures who the areanly concerned about their own social condition. Although humans seek to meet the irrown needs, it is not because they are morally contrupt, but because they possess self-awatteness and arte eapable of a decision making. Thus neo-libertals nold a more optimistic view of numan nature than the traditional conservatives. In conclusion it can be seen that only the triaditional conservatives have seen numan

beings an impenseet that on the grounds
that they are self-seeking, apportunistic,
psychologically and intersectually limited. They
easily succumb to mistakes and emports and
should not be trusted at all. However, neo-liberals
are more optimistica bout human nature and
argue that every numan being is a self-aware,
rational being and therefore should decide
his own fate.



This was a very good response to the question. It exhibits consistently strong knowledge and understanding, impressive intellectual skills, a reliable understanding of differences within conservatism over the matter, all expressed in a clear and coherent overall argument. The marks it received were 11, 11, 9,8.

## **Paper Summary**

General advice for improving candidates' performance and outcomes in Unit 3B include the following:

- Strengthening conceptual understanding, not only by ensuring that candidates have accurate and robust single-sentence definitions of key terms, but also by making them aware of the wider and often complex meaning of these terms.
- Ensuring that candidates develop an analytical understanding of the relevant ideological traditions, rather than just a descriptive understanding.
- Enhancing candidates' awareness of debates, discussions and arguments about the nature of the ideological traditions that feature in Topic B, usually (but not always) associated with rival sub-traditions within the ideology.

Grade boun link:	ndaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on the	าis
http://www	v.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx	

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467
Fax 01623 450481
Email <u>publication.orders@edexcel.com</u>
Order Code UA028084 June 2011

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit <a href="https://www.edexcel.com/quals">www.edexcel.com/quals</a>

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE





