



Examiners' Report January 2011

GCE Government & Politics 6GP03





Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at <u>www.edexcel.com</u>.

If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our **Ask The Expert** email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link: http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/

ResultsPlus

ResultsPlus is Edexcel's free online tool that offers teachers unrivalled insight into exam performance.

You can use this valuable service to see how your students performed according to a range of criteria - at cohort, class or individual student level.

- Question-by-question exam analysis
- Skills maps linking exam performance back to areas of the specification
- Downloadable exam papers, mark schemes and examiner reports
- Comparisons to national performance

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit <u>www.edexcel.com/resultsplus</u>. To set up your ResultsPlus account, call 0844 576 0024

January 2011

Publications Code UA026403

All the material in this publication is copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ Edexcel Ltd 2011

Introduction

The general standard of responses in this examination session was broadly in line with previous sessions. Two general issues would, nevertheless, be worth bearing in mind. In the first place, it is clear that a number of centres have not fully grasped the significance of the changed understanding of synopticity in the new specification. In particular, they need to place a heavier emphasis on ensuring that candidates recognise that, in view of synopticity, all essay questions are an invitation to debate or discuss a particular political issue. Effective responses in this respect not only highlight the nature of that debate in the introduction to their essays, but also structure their argument around the contending viewpoints or perspectives. Second, in doing this, candidates should not merely provide a descriptive account of rival stances or arguments that have been put forward. Instead, they should analyse and evaluate these arguments in order to reach a reasoned but clear conclusion, recognising that all questions require answers. How effectively their answer (or conclusion) stands up in the light of the foregoing debate and discussion very largely determines the candidate's marks in terms of Assessment Objectives 1, 2 (including synopticity) and 3.

A final general point is that centres should be aware that short questions (questions 1-5) continue to be marked 'globally', with only a single mark being given out of a maximum of 15, while the essays (questions 6-8) alone are marked by the assessment objectives, including synopticity. This reflects the fact that distinctions between AO1 and AO2 are often difficult to draw in the case of short questions, where the latter often refers to 'analysis' rather than 'evaluation', and therefore overlaps significantly with 'understanding' in AO1.

This was a popular question, well answered by a high proportion of candidates. Very few responses failed to highlight the basic distinction between neoliberalism and its emphasis on free-market economics and the minimal state, and neoconservative support for a strong state. The strongest responses nevertheless highlighted the philosophical differences between neoliberalism and neoconservatism, noting, for example, the extent to which the former is rooted in atomistic individualism and economic libertarianism, while the latter draws from organic conservatism and supports social and state authoritarianism. However, some weak responses confused neoconservatism with One Nation conservatism, tending to suggest that it was distinguished by a concern about poverty and the need to expand welfare support. Some candidates also explained how and why neoliberalism and neoconservatism are compatible, although such points could not be rewarded as they did not fall within the remit of the question as set.

Question 1 Question 2 Question 3 🖂 Question 4 Question 5 Both neo-liberalism and neo-conservation remod kee & New Dight Covenative thinking but file strands devlog cal approaches. very different Neo-liberalism takes the view of rociety as atomistic. by individuals who have the or fail the attitude in typicion ors mote " There are individura and neh Hina view of humas Hus and non-anservation nman

Hayde noo-liberation aims & maximize economi low concernet e and ho Den ou indu Ø IN GENON) (Indian C O + 1NAD at IV 7 M ħα ñЛО ÛĮ non 100 iherel and Quance 07 You should start the answer to your second question choice on page 6

political integration with Europe **ResultsPlus Examiner Comments** Question 1x was a good example of a candidate making a good distinction between the 2 traditions.

Effective answers to this question recognised that links between anarchism and communism are evident in anarcho-communist theories and ideas, often providing a comprehensive and analytical account of these. In the best responses, candidates gave appropriate attention to the 'why' part of the question, explaining that the link between anarchism and communism is established by a shared view of human nature and the common belief that the collective ownership of wealth helps to establish harmony and social solidarity in the absence of a state. However, weaker responses were often unfocused, providing a generalised account of anarchism that said relatively little about its relationship to communism, except for references to the collectivist tradition within anarchism. Unfortunately, particularly on anarchism questions, some less able candidates spent too long outlining the general ideas and beliefs. In this case, a generalised account of anarchism did not say much, or, at times, anything, that was related to the question set. In a number of cases, candidates showed an awareness of links between anarchism and communism by examining Marxist thinking about the 'withering away' of the state and the nature of the future communist society. In many cases, this was done well except when candidates then turned the response into a discussion of differences between anarchism and Marxism.

Anarchis, linked Communism 000 no , nen

It too believes his h Communism similar Th being humans ho OWY lan ON Vien reedim a Computerant, wor Some C point to LA Inothe their (un ogies M erred m deo lid - 0 ζ Resu US **Examiner Comments**

In question 2x this candidate makes a sound and crucial point regarding human nature and stateless society, but does not pay the appropriate attention to the 'why' part of the question.

A very large proportion of candidates recognised that at the heart of liberal disagreements over freedom are the rival ideas of negative freedom and positive freedom. Very few candidates have difficulties with the notion of negative freedom, some being able to offer an insightful and comprehensive explanation of the term. Although definitions of positive freedom appear to be improving, a number of candidates still have an unreliable grasp of the concept. In weaker cases, as on previous occasions, candidates failed to highlight differences between the two concepts of freedom, but, rather, illustrated these differences by reference to their implications for the state. Negative freedom was therefore defined as rolling back the state, while positive freedom was defined as rolling forward the state. Needless to say, such accounts are rudimentary at best. The strongest responses recognised the importance of 'extent' in the question, highlighting areas of agreement within liberalism over freedom as well as areas of disagreement. The very strongest candidates also recognised that this extends to negative freedom as well. In particular, they pointed out that although modern liberals have embraced a positive conception of freedom, this does not mean that they have abandoned negative freedom altogether; instead, they have justified positive freedom only in circumstances where individuals are unable, because of social injustice, to make wise moral judgements on their own behalf. Such responses demonstrated a high level of theoretical sophistication.

Liberals disagnee over p made clanical liberals propote negative preactor Ineldon Irom state interreserve ires usderiable to has bature al and copable on destruction the er aw a hinika nightwa or nore for which DOWER Toreover thes individual, are me Pahina The ach be the an which " 1 all NOR Over his mind, body and YILL Graver lead, to the classical liberal adia Moreover individual, one wee to poor 5 ma nest without and says A dividual Philiative and

te their own dericer Man a preesonachet is an ideal jon is the host productive form of subsisterce. ecohomy, as such as Adam - Likeral Minhels David K Orgue tha scand a 20. of the economy The 000 wee to top or society with t exton Adan Lelia. encapiul π The. exped ke an sely interested hature ll Modern liberals, conversely oppose the They arguethit regative preader is an They apple the poverty porer O Jen The angu op The Nhis Ll. apportunity to exist in corlety , app the the Chab Le to Tome, umah/ potential. For angel Hance T. H. Green duty ha one the iorial tu 11 h Δ This society has to play on the jour dal inerited Beverdge Report By Hate, & entitled in + Fulphle i.e. hurger Baioch i.e. Lelains bebale we comin The sta a natil ild then reliver. differe dipact lero M a differe UN GYPL PA You should start the answer to your second question choice on page 6

However a tenion day exist over the state of we you Loden Siberah Rawlon, (I ATA) anievere pit in PAN HI

Examiner Comments

This is a strong answer recognising the 'extent' part of the question.

Very few candidates did not have at least a basic grasp of the distinction between fundamentalist socialism and revisionist socialism, usually highlighting the difference between the socialist desire to abolish and replace capitalism and the desire to reform, modify or 'humanise' capitalism. Stronger responses demonstrated analytical insight and theoretical sophistication. This applied in two main ways. In the first place, good responses often acknowledged that the fundamentalist/ revisionist distinction is based on rival critiques of capitalism, the former viewing capitalism as an irredeemable system of class oppression, while the latter flags the tendency of capitalism to generate wealth effectively but distribute it unfairly or unjustly. The second way in which stronger responses distinguished themselves was through an explicit emphasis on alternative views of the future socialist socialist socialists associate it with social justice and a narrowing of social inequality. While many used Marx and Marxism to show an understanding of fundamentalist socialism, only the strongest responses were able to look at the ideas of theories such as Bernstein and Crosland to demonstrate theoretical insight into revisionist socialism.

Findomentalist socialism as is grunded as the belief that apitalism is irredendite and flowed, is a system of doss oppression and explaition. agree will Therefore new ush to arenthrow it through rendulian e.g. Marsosh weed he Marx's of history to shere have this would would Lemists believed that he rengrand party by bring he unding den to den and stanst two versilition was needed Once copilition aver thum her ush to have a sometry where here a doleale consor amesta be bacabe he view this as jot, weath is produced by all us should be shared by all , he huik printe pyperty. avertes dens drubics and anylich and it is noticed for princten selfistness and gread. Frally key 200 Huch comman assestion is the induced when four human to the as he are adjustice and praternal. Therefore here Spport Therefore they apport a ap shall social You should start the answer to your second question choice on page 6

equality and apprese induidualism as they see humans should use together called until Ren apport quality on hey believe it is just and fund, creater a dable, perceptil sciety and allows pople to have their bosic needs met for partie freedom However a should go marining to brack a manual demeasor and her view on optime difference ber 200 that it is he best owen to generate weelth and the many flan is that it redistributes income unequally. Alterface here Appat rollie Docil guiding the key redificite income from the rich to be poor through programic bottom and he welfere state. Buy sport connar a sestup in the form of ndianhachien and mixed commy , where surve instantion of publication and and and are printe Deeper key durgance an view of ability and full scale come aneste Also her dergree have an accordation with individualitien. so here dont squee that eargue reads to be co-operative and work byether calledially Then also disagree with Esternic production of versional perdution and support the enditioning appread to promote sacilism, vale than abolishing applalism, in fall, he with b huncuse it, wing the participantany wanter Futternese, andre sand of rensisists is neo rensitists and here see apritation as he lest way b generate wealth and are he categories are donent to endaring it. Deg view is and problem is that You should start the answer to your second question choice on page 6

wedness social bunds in society. Decycre they also uch b kle he palimentary toute b conte Sichan Rey eccept he he wants of free enterprise and arises indicated introduces as being hefficient as apple line areates handles for people to were and allow for the hydren of metiancy. Reefere hey do support inductions and contain helps pumate self velicit hence Prefice neovenniciont support coulty of apactuity and anjug priate poperty. Huna they als sport preaden is portie lenno Huere, fundamentalist socialists and versionists can be argued to be still here he same values for example, key still all the fear exacting ist different lypes of equality. Rey du woh to improve Dosety cames to economic matters and upholds he applebours areates anytict between the design idee that Results US

Examiner Comments

This candidate acknowledges the rival critiques of capitalism.

This was a popular question, with a very large proportion of responses demonstrating a sound grasp of the links between conservatism and traditionalism. The major discriminators, in this question, were the range of conservative arguments examined and whether these were considered analytically or descriptively. For example, some less strong candidates merely pointed out that conservatives favour tradition because traditions have been 'tested by time', whereas stronger responses explained how and why conservatives reach this conclusion, often using the ideas of Burke, Chesterton or Oakeshott to support their discussion. Similarly, some more rudimentary responses placed a heavy emphasis on supposed examples of conservative traditionalism - the fondness of conservatives for red letter boxes being a particularly popular one - without necessarily explaining the thinking that underlies this traditionalism. In the case of strong responses, an emphasis was often placed on the conservative view of human nature, both the idea that human beings are limited and dependent creatures (and so crave the familiar) and that people are intellectually fallible (in which case history, tradition and experience are more reliable guides to human action that abstract principles and theories).

Tradition refers to the institutions values an o not have examed Treat the a been parsod an pour one ge to the neact carsonsatures Support had don an usy an te basis tet of repeats te ipin. God is seen to ke to cree 4 maderias and customera a cod quen. Brie argued Test so ped by Te law garce and Te by hush pengu alleyne he will of God se likely jo c Tan side y tasenation support healten basis That on of to past ted usa The test of The usm Prosening, Trad

Junined and shill ever have done so because they have uscaled and have seen ble out ve we. This Icter, to an alles a Danrin sue a procedure that had the bare been ensured I ran a process have a selector and demonstrated terr bounive. Revebue, clange is not a continuity is advocated chine that are and and the state of and and and , E.g. Do Monarchy uno replect John John Marin 1. I total . historical inscion and en penonco service y and thirdly conservations support tradition and continuing on the basis that it cheates stab Tel and notedien. Traditions cup des لي يون الم people receptive, so neyerve termitien earning. The Trade total customs Ic people to their past all as a hindge calectuch sense cot uno ray are - CC seen to couse unchanty and artitud haven the two ende angers happinen. Theme if A exchipte code of the No fudicionis Insistence in the mine thes are a migs parosto reeprode cotter borios and can and the second second and a second Alaskier The servert budich leborals in Sppert Maderon and continuity rakes ... The wew kypt have distanced hom tradution, endert in to realialism You should start the answer to your second question choice on page 6

Coprolling buck and the State and providing se realized philipie) which of most eany les un led to Ne buho abstrac A CO Reducal principies. This destersion Ó Na Tweever, it's charged bude not Aarced cather does tre st i asewatios allo 6 ed " pradhad Ve **Examiner Comments** This answer is a strong example of conservative support for tradition including "why" & with good examples.

Candidates approached this question in a number of legitimate ways. In some cases, they looked at the nature of liberal democracy, considering differences between its 'liberal' features and its 'democratic' features. In weak cases, however, they were unable to recognise the difference between the two sets of features, constitutionalism and protections for individual rights simply being viewed as manifestations of democracy. This highlights a significant weakness in a number of responses, which was a failure to recognise that there is a debate about the relationship between liberalism and democracy, in that liberals have both feared democracy and supported it. When candidates only recognised either that liberals fear democracy or that they favour democracy, it was very difficult for them to demonstrate effective synoptic skills. The most common approach to the question was to examine the respective benefits and drawbacks of democracy from a liberal perspective. This was often done extremely well, with an awareness that liberalism has been associated with a range of arguments in favour as well as against democracy. Whereas weaker responses sometimes did little more than list these respective arguments, stronger responses tried to evaluate their significance, and also point out how the balance of the argument within liberalism has tended, over time, to become more clearly pro-democratic rather than anti-democratic.

Liberalism is the belief in individual sovereigney.
This is based on their view of human nature.
which suggests that we all possess free will
and are capable of making rational decisions
for ourselves. Originally, likerars reared democracy
as it imposed a collective will upon
Society and encouraged a hig state. However,
some liberals recognise that democracy enhances
education and can protect the individual.
Thus, there is reason to suggest that democracy
is compatible with liberausm, though liberars
are still aware that concentrated power
can infinge individual liberty

Liberals believe that individuals can be egotistical and self - seeking. As a result, those in power are likely to pursue their own interests at the expense of the electorate. This flar of concentrated power is attributed to Lord Acton who warned that "power can compt and absolute power compts absolutely". Therefore, liberais fear that democracy can encroach individual wherey.

Furthermore, Tacqueville Feared a "tyranny of the majority". Democracy tends to overlook the view and opinions of the minorities in society, which conflicts with the liberal belief in universalism and an individual's night to be pree. Democracy can therefore restrict the liberty of the minority in society by only catering for the needs of the majority. This creates a fear of democracy as liberals believe every individual is of equal moral worth.

Since liberals believe that each individual has the right to be pree, they regard the state as merely a "necessary evil". Classical liberals see the state as more evil than necessary, which leads to a believe in negative preedom : the absence of external constraints on the individua. As a consequence, classical liberals would only support a 'night watchman state', which intervenes solely to prevent other regarding alls. Modern liberals have a slightly different approvach to the state. They believe an enabling state creater the real condition for prevent by emphasising the capacity of humans to derelop and allowing we freedom "the social evils that cripte

people's lives". However neither modern or classical liberals suppor an extensive state. Democracy leads to a big state as it involves social and economic intervention. Thus, liberals fear elemenary will produce a collectivity state that infinger individual liberry

7.5. mill put forward another argument against democracy. According to the "50 per cent plus one person" rule, political wisdom is unequally distributed. Therefore the uneaucateor should be disenfronchised so they do not vote according to narrow class interests. The This fear of democracy is based on the idea that the majority would not vote for a correct and able goremment.

However, the fear of democracy does not run throughout liberalism completely liberals have a flabblesion view of human parture, which implies we are "selfish, aggressive and competitive" and if a state of nature were to emerge, there'd be "a war of all against all". Therefore a "lewether" must be imposed in the form of a sovereign government. It is for this reason that liberals support the Soual contract Theory devised by John Looke. Looke proposed

this each individual should sachifice a portion of their lineary and in return, the government will protect our "natural, universal and inalienable nights. Thus, authority comes from below and people can vote to remore a tyrannical government. Liberdis have also accepted holdourian as >+

Liberalis have also accepted todation as it promotes toleration and pluralism Democracy encourages debate and an acception a of a multiplivity of views and opinions. It provides multipoints a power as pressure groups can influence government policy. This onsures that the government remains accountate to the electorate as they have the fear of being replaced by another party with different ideas in addicion, toleration prements dull conformity which is impoirant to liberalls as it proteas individual sovereigney. Therefore, liberals do not always fear the implications of democracy and debate.

In addition, liberals have accepted that democracy cames an educational value. J.S. Mill proposed that democracy promotes the "highest and most harmonious" development of human capacity. By engaging in politics individuals can develop themselves intellectually and morally, which is consistent with the modern liberal belief in developmental individualism. Moreover, Mill avgued that in the absence of democracy, ignorance and brutality would prevail. Therefore, democracy can be considered to be the most efficient way of upholding individual libery.

Finally, John Looke embraced democracy as it gave the propertied classes the opportunity to defend themselves algainst tax rises. Looke argued for "no taxation without representation", which implies that democracy is needed to represent the needs of the electorate.

To conclude, although liberals were traditionally opposed to democracy, there are those that adenounledge the ments of a democratu

government However, the attitude towards

democracy remains to be largely ambivalent as liberals continue to fear a concentration of power and a tyranny of the majoring.

ResultsPlus

Examiner Comments

This is a good example of a strong answer, recognising both: the fear of democracy and liberal justifications for democracy.

Most candidates, appropriately, approached this question by looking at the rival ideas of revolutionary socialism and democratic, reformist or evolutionary socialism. In stronger cases, these rival means of achieving socialism were thoroughly analysed, with an emphasis being placed on explaining how and why the strategies were adopted. In the best cases, candidates recognised that the extent of socialist disagreement over means had changed considerably over time. In particular, the divide within socialism over means opened up only in the late nineteenth century, as the advance of political democracy created the possibility of a peaceful or non-revolutionary road to socialism. Similarly, as revolutionary socialism declined in the post-1945 period and, arguably, collapsed as a result of the East European Revolutions of 1989-91, disagreements within socialism over means substantially narrowed, if not disappeared. However, some candidates, unhelpfully, confused means with ends, writing, sometimes at considerable length, about the difference between fundamentalist socialism and revisionist socialism. Only the best candidates, however, were able to recognise linkages, insofar as these exist, between the fundamentalist/revisionist and the revolutionary/evolutionary divides within socialism. A number of strong responses nevertheless also noted that there had been, since the 1990s, something of a revival of revolutionary thinking within socialism, link to the advent of the antiglobalisation movement and growing support for direct action.

24

Put a cross in the box 🛛 indicating the question that you have chosen. If you change your mind, put a line through the box 😹 and then indicate your new question with a cross \boxtimes . Chosen Question Number: Question 6 Question 7 🔯 Question 8 Socialism is esersially a reaction to Capitalisms All socialists seek to establish equality some more than others. Socialists have been divided into Fundamentalists who Support absolute equality of atcane and Rectionab whe Support quality of opportunity. However the maps at which the plan on achieving greatly Socialism divide. This divide is viewed Fundamentally by Revolutionist and Evolutionals. This early will examine our estant To which socialists disagree about the mes GChildin 0 Social m. Max mos a fundmentalist and Revolutivist savalists The believed sacialism, and complete 8ha equality s ding be achimed in a danten socie be jipsuch in Stien Material 30 ghod men stage istory the internots of bothe dames an · For this hapon he m exclusi Lamor a state. He believes Sacualizm can be and be achied Kays Rudution.

A acording to Marx, the & the state is part of the soppers suprestructure Marx believe that - the rulling days is in every age the rulling ideas This bilined that the bourgiousic, to who are the culling class own the economic back, the culling the suprestivetuce, which assentially encompases of the state. The state can this nuche a means of achiming Socialism. The state puts the poletariat in a state of false considering. Max believed that due to the politarictes exploitation they will eventually gain das conciousness and have a rendution the encrepation of the roting dass must be the act of the working days it self . He believed that the wald be a poletariat andution for a short time inorder to remove the power of the state. The would be 2 stages. The lower stage would be the prolitariat dismontaling the economic base, to (this no need for state) then the higher stage of demating the superstructure. This remaring the new for class. Once this 15 done, More bylined that humans or sip organism a ptional and

would thus be able to reorganise for this an good as collectivism is por more patiching Alan Capitalism (Lis seiter Ker must be apitalism before Convision This is a goodariated difficance to the endutionery may ay achiming socialism. Evolutionists (espicially sound Democrate Socialists) where inspired by Fabions). Fabian believed that socialism could be established through Perlimity means and ki incritability of graduations neutralabiter inabling the economy to be nationally planed leading to equality. Fabias build that socialism was H home to the working day, this Saw that with the increase of the particle it should be dear that fley would uste for the socialist party Golutionary Democratic socialists where additionally impilled by RU Utopian as they imbraced a moral critique rather than materialistic critique af socialism. Forcer was gargainst Industrichisation Unlike Morx who saw it as essential to human

on maturialistic. Saint Simon stated that

Capitalism pitr people together and tried to

establish socialism by certaing banks or well as education. Owen stal established the New Conderate mill to cotablish socialusm, creating grader quality by adapting & Form of capitalism with essentially guistur conditions This worked for a while, Hough & was aitisied by marx who saw This as greatur exploitation than capitalism. As the workers ctill de not get the value of these labour. One was much richer than the wakers. And Otopian mean of achuning Socielism Las Hus Hrough small democratic political entituo, co, Ferrino Phalanxia. Democratic sociality tried to establish socialism through the state and the established He arginal 1978 clarac 4 - Ol Common annuship of the means of of production distribution and exchanging Thes the economy would be completly collective with no private ownership. Havener, Social Democrato who are Seen as rensionists, saw that this means of achuring socialism was unsuccessful. The Gailbraith, as proved that Har was an Contentented majority in He capitalist Society who are walkely to change. According

In Bunstein, W/ apitalism toos adapted and changes and So should Sacialism. This weat against Mart whe grantly besimed the capitation contains within itself the seeds of its own detections He was backed up by Ered Anteny credend who industed that we mast change the means inorder to establish Gocialism. He stated that Capitation was no longer + lace was a rise of new classes and expires, and tecnecrates, who are not the bargiousis, and do not any the means of production but an howen horising prespresus. For the reson sound demonstra moved from Hice founder when adapted complete equality and mound on to supporting equality of opputionity in the form of the welford Bod tupision economics. This was cuched by John Mayrad Euges and cashed denords and Hayare Jobs. It carastered of a partly nationalsed and part private economy Nationalsing the commending highs of the control in in the clased Hing to secializa in the made on day. However the greatest disagreement on be see with the sites of the third way. Three means of achieving socialism have been curticed hen to such a digree that many view it as

filting founds modern liberalism They differ from Max as they on evolutionary Socialists, and Ager from democratic socialists as Muy have abondoned completly Huiden of nationalisation to the extent Hat they contrace sand capitalion, This was most evident by thear change to the lanse for apparing it to a dynamic model economic and opportunity in the hards of the many Their means of achiering socialism is pragmatic in the Searce that they had asked safianalised boots boots the to same the economy (#2007/1) It is chose that they have deperted from Thea fonders, housened they have been midnyly are of He most successful total Soziatist vind equality Whilst Socialist view equality the 3id any have tried to estabist sociatist values through agimes such as Inclution and Communitarionism, this usuas Hal Community stays together, They additionally "Support warare to work" this tool maing a more meritocratic society They say give a help out rather than hand out

To conclude It is clear that Dona it is to a great extant that socialists have disagreed about the means of achieving Socialism. The main divide is wident in the Revolutionists and Endutionists. 15 Marx (Rudutinists) believed that the state cald never be a neatral arbiter and this that make mot be a andution. Whilst suchtionary Socialists Sam socials'a beling ochimned the the printability of gadnolsin Havener though the mans of genductor jackioments, mons innaired, three were furthe dwids on He extent of which socialism can be achieved. Recisions had no choice but to adapt Capitalism, The Heaved He man of Engravin Caroness stores a wealing sociation may adapted Watst Re third vary did enor les to keep a minimal form of socialism Wisting.

Results Plus Examiner Comments

This candidate makes a good attempt at distinguishing between the 2 approaches.

Many candidates chose to answer this question by providing a broad account of anarchist ideology, worked their way through the key features of individualist anarchism and collectivist anarchism, often also showing an awareness of divisions within each sub-tradition. Although such an approach enabled candidates to demonstrate good knowledge and understanding of anarchism generally, it only enabled them to answer the question implicitly, being weak on analysis, evaluation and synopticity. Stronger responses considered the nature of free market liberalism more explicitly and recognised its links to anarchism, usually by examining the ideas and theories of anarcho-capitalism, sometimes in impressive depth. These responses then highlighted both finer distinctions between free market liberalism and anarcho-capitalism as well as more substantial differences between this pro-market and pro-capitalist tendency within anarchism and anarchism's more collectivist ideas and theories. However, a general, and concerning, weakness was for candidates to turn the question into one that they had anticipated, namely one on differences between anarchism and liberalism generally. This highlights a worryingly common tendency for weaker candidates to sometimes reproduce responses in the exam based on rote learnt material, rather than to be able to adapt and reshape their knowledge and understanding on the basis of the specific questions asked.

Put a cross in the box 🛛 indicating the question that you have chosen. If you change your mind, put a line through the box 🗟 and then indicate your new question with a cross 🛛 .

Chosen Question Number:

Question 6 🖂 Question 7 🖂 Question 8 🕅
A northy consta from the greek word which translates as
"without rule" and can be divided into two strands;
individualist angurchism and callectivist anarchism. But
is an archism merely are market libertuism taken
to the extreme?
Anarchists believe that human nature is perfectable,
humans are there inherently good and want peace and
hamony within society. Because of this they follow
four core principus; the first is anti-statism. Anarchists
believe the state is evil and oppressive and should be
abolished because humans dont need any control.
The second is anti dericculism', for an anarchist beligion
is a pillar of the south and used to corrupt humans.
The third is natural order because humans are perfectable
these is no need for a shorter to keep order in society, law
and order will develop radurally. The final principle is
that of economic freedom, prople should be able to spend
their money as they wish.
Individuantist anoundry is also reported to an ultra liberalism
because many of its ideas derive from liberalism.
They believe that the individual is of the most importance
within society and support the idea of competition over

cooperation. Individualist anarchists also believe in letting the free market dictate, the market will Neoboke order so there is no need for a sparte. Individualist anarchy can also be divided down futher into the sub groups. The first being egotism, which follows the idea of autonomy and the individual Egonists believe that the individual is soverign within society and reject the ideans of conversional palities because they push that the state is sovenign. In the cause of egotism, anarchy is closer to new right conservicin's m ruther then liberalism. Libercanianism is the most closely linked the form of anarchism to liberalism. It believes in the freedom of the individual and there should be no state to infringe on these freedoms. They have the liberal concept of Reedoms to the extreme saying that humans should have compute feedom. so po that sense a sarthy is an extreme form of liberalism. The final strand of individualist anourchy is anourchocapitation, this the most extreme of the three strands. Within anothe cupicalism the free market dictates, there is no need for a starte because of the market. They also believe in privatisation, but not the privatisation of pusinesses that Trather implemented. they want be privatise everything. In these eyes the courts and the police should be privately owned because they are

corrupted under strate control. This is the most extreme

strand of individuality anarchy but again it is a more extreme region of Theuther and new night ideas than liberuism. But these are key differences between individualist anarchy and liberulism Liberals bellost the although they dont like the idea of a state believe it to be necessary because without it citizens rights could be infringed upon This is because libertus believe that human nature is servish. Whereas anarchists think that humans are perfectable and because of this there is no need for a state to protect citizens nghits. Libercus also firmly agree with the idea of democracy and a constitution, anarchists particulary disting heich the idea of constitutionalism. For an anarchist comstitutionautism is the prouchice of a specul state but an anaurchist usculd argue theres no need for a state in the first place. An argument against the idea shart anourchy is liberation taken to the exchange is the other strend g anarchy, collectivist anarchy, Collectivisi anarchy an also be described as ultre socialism and bevienes in common ownership over the free market; and collectivism over individuollism Again collectivist anarchy can be divided into three strands, the first being putrousers Mutrousers is the idea of warning together to achieve the common good Por a mutuculast humans are social an(mals

who want to work together and use collective action. This idea is a direct argument against the ideas of All martine liberation, and is evidence that anarchy is not just liberation in an extreme form. Anarcho-syndacation is the ideas of trade unions and the working class through trade unions and other syndicates the working class will have a spontaneous perawhich in which the state is overthrours. Liberals do not believe in perawhich concerns is evidence is broken) and therefore anarcho- syndacation is evidence that anarchy is not bee market liberalism taken to its extreme.

communism which is closely linked with the ideas of marxist socialists. They believe that a revolution will result in the destruction of the state and a communist society. Communism is Strongly apposed by capitalist supporting liberals and a revolution to achieve this is not agreeable Analtha revolution to achieve this is not agreeable Analtha communism is the furthest away from liberalism anarchy goes, and is therefore an argument against that anarchy is liberalism in an extreme form.

In conclusion anarchy has two opposite ends and individualist anarchy is essance is liberalism and the support of the free market in on extreme form. But its also very similar to the ideas of new right conservatives. whereas collectivist anarchy is the

GCE Government & Politics 6GP03

dean of sociation taken to the extreme. Because of these two opposite ends anarchism is not merety the merety liberarism taken to its actreme." Results Plus Examiner Comments This is a strong answer on knowledge and the competing traditions, but a less developed analytically.

GCE Government & Politics 6GP03

GCE Government & Politics 6GP03

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link: http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publications@linneydirect.com</u> Order Code UA026403 January 2011

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750 Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH





Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru Welsh Assembly Government

