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## Introduction

Many of the comments which introduce the report on Paper 3 are also relevant here.
The questions all covered familiar territory and most candidates were aware of the need to address synopticity. It is worth stressing that synopticity involves not just rehearsing arguments from opposing sides but, additionally, assessing their merits, for example through questioning evidence or pointing out inconsistencies. Thus, in answering the Supreme Court question, candidates needed not only to show awareness of what the liberal and conservative positions on the court's powers are, but to reach a conclusion on which side's case is more persuasive. Only a few candidates are really attempting to do this at present.

Short answers present fewer challenges of technique but an issue worth mentioning is the tendency of many candidates, particularly in their first answer, to load their answers with information and points which are not rewardable.

In an answer to the first question on the relative powers and influence of the House and the Senate, a candidate would not need to comment on the relative decline of Congress's power to declare war, given that both houses have equal influence.

A significant number of candidates only completed two short answers, which could be a consequence of spending too much time in this way.

## Question 1

This was an inviting question for many candidates: the shared and exclusive powers and roles of the two houses of Congress were well known, and there were a good number of answers which could be placed in Level 3.

Although most candidates took the view that the Senate is superior to the House, stronger answers recognised the force of the House's powers in relation to impeachment, its greater prestige in budgetary matters, and its dormant power to select the president in the event of deadlock in the Electoral College.

Some also noted the public prominence of the Speaker of the House of Representatives, when in contrast the majority leader in the Senate is a relatively anonymous figure. Weaker answers confused the roles of the two chambers and the various thresholds of votes required, and in particular many believed that a two-thirds majority is required to pass a bill. There was also a widely held view that the House has 'sole power of the purse'.

## Resulisfius

Examiner Comments
This answer was awarded full marks. It does not cover every possible point but there is an intelligence, and command of its material, which is impressive.

## Resulisplus

## Examiner Tip

Remember to evaluate in this question, which asks about the relative powers and influence of the two houses of Congress, the exclusive powers of each are not just listed; the point is made that 'the powers given to the Senate trump those given to the House', which is stronger.

Indicate your first question choice on this page．
You will be asked to indicate your second question choice on page 6.
Put a cross in the box $\mathbb{\text { indicating the first question you have chosen．}}$ If you change your mind，put a line through the box and then indicate your new question with a cross $\boxtimes$ ．

Chosen Question Number：
Question 1 区 Question 2 团 Question 3
Question 4 园 Question 5 园：
To what extent are the trochanisers of Congress equal in ponder and influence？

The Fin founding fathers gave the two chambers of Copies certain different roles to cary ont，teseaseg all of which be miportant in the functarnay of the legislature．Fit he also quote gave them concurrent power．They are of equal isipstance in these，through the senate in vowed as mane prestigious．

Ongmally，to the House of Representatives was the only \＆directly elecked chamber．It was theregove gwen the poos she power to short＇money bells＇（the Senate cannot）．（t alto te starts impeachment hearings，and chooses the president if the tiectural College is in deadlock（ar in 1800 and 1824）．The Senate tea also has powers reserved fotsolely for that chamber－ ones which are perhaps move in yortaint overall．

The senate must decade whether to ratify treaties with a $2 / 3$ majority．（it turned down 7 in the $20^{\text {p }}$ Century） and preadestial appointments to the executive（for example， secretary of state Hilary Clinton was ratijed by all but 2 Serations and to the judicery－especially the supreme Cont．

Here the senate can exert great influence and power on the make-up of the court - disapproval from the paverphl senate Judiciary Committee and Senate Republican i led ttoriet Miens to withdraw her nomination in 2005 . The exclusive powers given to the senate trump those giver to the trass because though the power to start money bills is ng̈ificart, the Senate must agree to the sen, A the Sente rout ninety checks, the branches and makes itswill felt. In addition, the 100 Senators are move prestigious than the 435 Reps. There are 48 former Congressman in the Senate but nofomer Senators iv the tinge, indieatiy it is the jumic pertiner.

On the other hand, certain concurrent power nate the chambers equal. On passing legislation, lost have equal power the senate, thigh the upper chambers, is equal to the tease. The bill musb be pressed in the same form by both chambers and so dippent versions newt be reoblved in umprence committer, or mure informally. Both scrutinise bits and the esecutsiv, and caning out civivenagatoinc. Both mut declare war, both can. start amendments, and both ip mist pass amendments with a $2 / 3$ majinty. In the ne mays, which core some of the most important functions of Cogress, the chambers vie equal; the added exclusive power of the senate give it sliofltly move influence and poiver.

Question 2
This question proved to be a good discriminator.
Nearly every answer could describe checks and balances within the system, but many were confined to Level 2 because of a failure to adequately assess the effectiveness of the check they had identified.

To establish effectiveness, more was required than to simply describe the operation of a check, such as the power of the Supreme Court to declare federal law unconstitutional, and to give an example.

In some ways, it was easier to argue that a check had proved to be ineffective, and stronger answers discussed, for example, the failure of Congress to maintain a check on the president's deployment of troops into conflict.

It is fair to soy that the checks imposed on Cones are the most effective, due partly to the bicameralism d the system. Net only is the legislative subject to checks from both the execulire and judiciary, but also the other house. For example, the houses are in change of different. affairs: the House of Representatives economics and Finance; and the Senate foreign ptiay. Byall legislation pressing through both houses,
all legislation is efrectively checked. The legislatyre is also subject to checks from the executive in the terms of veto. If the president feels the bill is inappropriate, its within his powers to veto it. It is sate to conclude that the checks imposed upon Congress are quite ellective.

Examiner Comments
Rather than simply describing a check, in this clip the candidate is trying to evaluate, '...checks imposed on Congress are the most effective,' and presents evidence to support this claim. If sustained, this sort of analysis will move an answer into Level 3.

## Question 3

The focus of this question was relatively narrow and weaker answers struggled to find sufficient things to say.

A significant number of candidates were not aware of the changes that have occurred in the way committee chairs are appointed, and that seniority has been at least in part superseded.
A few candidates were impressively knowledgeable about individual chairs and could draw on the recent passage of legislation to illustrate their understanding of their powers. A characteristic of stronger answers, as always, was their engagement with the question, which asked why chairs have been "criticised"; some argued, for example, that a criticism of committee chairs that might now be made, given appointment can depend on party loyalty, was that they have become subservient to party interests.

The ide \& Cammisce chairmen in Conger i) far reaching, they haw the abiding io pigeon hoe bills ar the commuter mage much is a highly infusental power. Commuter chairmen schedules Bllli for debate and cree their progiter in hearings cube member from pressure graft are invited to attend.

Commutes chairmen hoe hen waste to cnticsm as is slated they nave roo much porer and infuence as they can pigeon hole Bill, which means bis can he killed as the chairmen can rumply rexumero present sem to the commines. Computer chainen has alle heen rubseei to concern as the reason for which they can revue to schedule bill e an undemocratic suen as penonal convideratani, the Chairmen may nav nad previous henond hate with the member of Congrel the initiated the Bill, persona Reverences
hardly a moven to nd peseur a bill 10 the Comnnituer. Another Cutriver heing they OH parachiar, hey cire any merend in their courntuents mither and fair to /le the noger pichue and the yueck which meir actarer could haw on national intesen. Ancther Cnhium haing compte cluarmen of a Commuttes ii ufuclly nam the pamy who uar a magains in cougull, this means thar rdpotgy rdedogical prtference plays a nuge deciding facter nketrer bill inauld vo prgear hded, a Hg right wing pepublican ir nardly gaing to ague nith a bill prcdured hy aleft wing Demerrak. Again thir means that nakonal inkere is quen pur a rim arer patyy avniation.

The (hair Commutter chairnen are ulually from the party nem a majorty in Congrell and the longeft leviung member of the Commutter, their lelection is no due ro mevie bur lather length \& revice. Thir is tindemacratic and meani coumintee Ghairmen may lack experience mauing theme inadequare.

A, ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ "t tend to he dder commultee mumhers Who hecam Chairmen thii muans

## Clue to their age they may not le up to the job of chairing a lanmithe.

## Resulisplus

## Examiner Comments

This is a strong answer. Not every point is entirely convincing, but it shows detailed knowledge and is well focused on the question. It could be improved by the use of examples to support and illustrate the points that are made.

## Resulisplus

Examiner Tip
Make it clear to the examiner you know which question you are answering by using the key terms of the question. This candidate writes that committee chairmen 'have been subject to criticism' at the beginning of the second paragraph, and uses the phrase 'Another criticism' twice more.

Question 4
The reference to the legislative process in the question prompted some candidates to give a detailed description of its many stages, but the majority recognised that it was the extent of president's involvement that was at issue

Weaker answers concentrated on the president's power of veto, which was certainly relevant but not by any means the whole story. Recent examples always add to the quality of an answer, and a number of candidates were able to draw on their knowledge of the progress of health care legislation through Congress this year, when a variety of presidential techniques were seen to be deployed.

Control to what the foincuing father interred, whereby Congress would preside over one whore legisiacive process, the President has becare chic legislator. Through the stare-of-Union cadres each Jonvoy the President establishes what legislation they wish to be passed Orraugh Congress. This power is encored given the A resident bas-the pouter sets one budget for each year. while this gives the Presicieut great influence over the legislative process, when the majority in aggress pry in Congress is dupeient from the part ont contras the white House (divided government. Congress may attempt to block the Presidents burnet proposal. This was shown dearly when
in P94, the Republion majority in Congress blocked President Clnitans budget proposals.

The deliberpaion However, the - President has minimal influence over She deliberation prase and he connect directly propose a bill to congress. Nevertheless. he mall use his poler as 'bogonir in chief' to influence contain members to insure the bill passes or fouls, depending on their desired antone.

The final power the President has with which to impluerce Genes one legislative procoss, is the power of veto. He mall use chis power to block a bill lie ocesn't lie. Although the veto cen be overniven with a two-Onrids majoity this is a dificut toger to aclurie. Tharepare even a threat of a veto maun course Congress to moke the ammendmerts the President disires. Therefore, $\qquad$
President's
incurrence
over the $\qquad$ Legislative prows is much greater on on The fauding fathers dosieas.

Resulisplus
Examiner Comments
This is a fairly typical answer to this question. Three rewardable points are made, concerning the State of the Union address, the control of Congress by the opposing party to the president, and the president's power of veto. Only one supporting example is given, which is undeveloped, and there is no attempt to evaluate by arguing which is most significant. Nevertheless, it is a secure Level 2 answer.

Question 5
The enthusiasm which the Supreme Court generates in candidates is striking, especially when contrasted with the relative unpopularity of the judiciary as an AS topic.

Some candidates were uncertain of the focus of this question, perhaps because it was not a question exclusively about the appointment process, although that was certainly relevant, nor was it an opportunity to present an edited version of the long answer they were about to write for question 6. Stronger answers were aware of the issues surrounding the nomination of Elena Kagan, and the several reasons it has generated controversy.

The Composition of the Supreme Court largely depends on the president and Senate. Supreme Court judges are appointed by the president and confirmed by the Senate. This process is criticised by the liberal side of the political spectrum because thy claim it politicizes the Supreme Court, and brings into Question thar inteperdance from the other branches of government. Therefor this is controversial due to the arguenont of how effective the Separation of Powers are and the Checks and Balances clinch derive from the system.

The way in which the Supreme Court is composed is also controversial, because it is another way in which the president can hold siguricant power. Following the Bush presidency and be cain that he vas Imperial' brigs into question the effectiveness
of the scouting which the Senate practices. The controversy of the Supreme Court's composition also depends very much on circumstance, and when the oppurbinity arises for a president to appoint another justice, the judge he chooses to replace the Former justice can be very controversial. For example, when Bush replaced the moderate swing vote with a for-night conservative, this was hugely controversial, as it duradically shifted the balance of the court. Finally, and perhaps most significantly, is that the composition of the Supreme Court, will abayp be controversial, due to the cases which it must rule on. Constitutional Interpretation cases bring into question a justice's jurspundence, and this vill be controversial in terms of the political spectrum. Conservatives favour a minimalist, strict approach to cases, where as liberals, a more activist, loose approach, so the political composition of the out will allay be Controversial
Resulisplus
Examiner Comments
This answer makes four points, all of which are relevant, and shows some accurate knowledge. As was true of many answers to this question, there is a slight uncertainty of focus, and a sense of overlap between the points. It would also be strengthened by some supporting examples.

Resuilisplus
Examiner Tip
Structure - try and keep your structure for short answers as clear as possible. Use paragraphs to show when you are moving on to a new point, and, in the first sentence, make it clear what the new point is going to be.

## Question 6

This was the most popular long answer question and often very well answered. Most candidates were able to score synoptic marks through a recognition of the conservative-liberal divide on the power of the court, and answers were differentiated by the sophistication with which the discussion around judicial review, and activism and restraint, was developed and supported.

The strongest answers showed what one examiner described as a "truly remarkable" knowledge of recent cases, which were incorporated into highly detailed and coherent answers. Because the Supreme Court is a court and works on precedent, it can certainly be relevant to refer to cases from decades ago; many candidates cited "Brown v Topeka Board", for example, to argue that, as the Supreme Court relies on the other branches to enforce its decisions, this is a major restraint on its power. However, while no one can be unaware of the significance of "Brown" in the history of the court, a more recent case would provide stronger support and, if no more recent one can be found, it may be that the point is not actually a particularly strong one.

Moreover the cont also has significant. power to choose which one of society is going to be changed and influenced. Their power of certiorari means that they decide which cases will be heard: 7000 cases per year are submitted yet they only try 90 . This thenfione shows that fer an unelected boding, they wield a siquificant mount of power as they can actively object to helping so ne individuals.

## ResulisPlus

Examiner Comments
This paragraph is contributing to the case that the Supreme Court does have too much power. It takes one piece of evidence, the contrast between the huge number of cases submitted to the court and the number actually heard, and argues intelligently that the ability to choose its cases adds significantly to the scope of its power. The argument would be strengthened if it considered evidence, which certainly exists, that the court does not in fact have unfettered discretion.

## Question 7

This question generated a good proportion of strong answers, and candidates in many cases had a confident grasp of the recent history of American foreign policy, stretching back to the Korean and Vietnam Wars. They also understood the political struggle which had gone on since the 1970s between Congress and president on this issue. Surprisingly, there was often a lack of understanding of the significance of the most recent foreign policy developments, which suggest that presidents have largely regained control.

Some candidates were obviously struggling to extend their discussion of the presidency in relation solely to foreign policy, and brought in the president's powers at home as well as abroad. While some contrasts between the president's foreign and domestic control may have been illustrative, the main bulk of the argument should have concerned war and diplomacy.


This is the second paragraph of the essay and the candidate is developing the case that the president is the dominant voice in foreign policy. While it is certainly recent evidence that has been chosen, it is not the most compelling and, especially for this stage of the answer, reference to a more central issue would have been stronger.

## Question 8

This was the least popular of the long answer questions and, on the whole, it was not successfully done.

Candidates often used their answer as a vehicle for a newspaper-style editorial, using the broadest of brushes. Weaker answers showed a little knowledge about the Bill of Rights and could refer to the Patriot Act, but the relationship between them was not clearly explained. Stronger answers discussed the importance of recent Supreme Court judgements on the detention in Guantanamo Bay of 'enemy combatants', and the constitutional implications of these cases.


## Grade Boundaries:

| Grade | Max. Mark | A | B | C | D | E | N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raw boundary mark | 90 | 54 |  |  |  | 27 |  |
| Uniform boundary mark |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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