



Examiners' Report June 2010

GCE Government and Politics 6GP03 3D





Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at www.edexcel.com. If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our Ask The Expert email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link:

http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/



ResultsPlus is our unique performance improvement service for you and your students.

It helps you to:

- Raise attainment by providing in-depth analysis of where your class did well and not so well, enabling you to identify areas to focus on/make improvements.
- Spot performance trends at a glance by accessing one-click reports. You can even choose to compare your cohort's performance against other schools throughout the UK.
- Personalise your students' learning by reviewing how each student performed, by question and paper you can use the detailed analysis to shape future learning.
- Meet the needs of your students on results day by having immediate visibility of their exam
 performance at your fingertips to advise on results.

To find out more about ResultsPlus and for a demonstration visit http://resultsplus.edexcel.org.uk/home

June 2010

Publications Code UA024038

All the material in this publication is copyright © Edexcel Ltd 2010

Introduction

6GP03 3D Global Politics

A good proportion of responses to the questions showed that candidates had been well prepared for the examination and possessed appropriate and often substantial knowledge and understanding. Three broad factors had a particular influence on the hierarchy of performance. First, as applies to examinations generally, there was a difference between candidates who had read the guestion carefully and focused on the specific issue or issues raised, and those who tended, to a greater or lesser extent, to write about the general theme of the guestion. Second, performance was variable in relation to the conceptual and theoretical understanding that is expected of candidates. Better candidates could define and explain terms with confidence and understood the theoretical dimension of questions, while weaker candidates tended to think, and write, in terms of empirical developments only. Third, the synoptic requirements of the essays were dealt with more or less successfully. The most successful candidates recognised that every essay question is now an invitation for candidates to engage in a debate or discussion, in which there are two or more sides to the argument, sometimes, but not always, rooted in contending theoretical perspectives. Weaker responses, however, tended to be analytical rather than evaluative, providing information and demonstrating knowledge and understanding but without developing an overall argument based on an awareness of rival views.

The best responses to this question often started by providing an overall definition of globalisation, usually understood in terms of the widening, deepening or speeding-up of global interconnectedness or the growth of 'supraterritorialism'. Explanations of economic globalisation varied significantly. Very few candidates had no understanding of the process, but weaker ones often mistook economic interdependence, brought about through international trade, for economic globalisation, in which national economies are drawn into an interlocking, global economy. Trade promotes internationalisation rather than globalisation, except when it takes the form of intra-firm trade. Stronger responses flagged up developments such as the growth of transnational production and the increasing importance of global markets that create a supposedly 'borderless world'. The term political globalisation has a less clear-cut meaning, most candidates understood it in terms of the shift of decision-making responsibility from states to international organisations, and the best saw this as a response to economic globalisation, an attempt to regulate and even manage the global economy. In this sense, political globalisation lags badly behind economic globalisation. Others, legitimately, construed political globalisation to refer to either the worldwide spread of certain values and ideas (usually human rights) or the worldwide triumph of western liberal democracy.

Question 2

A surprisingly small number of candidates showed an awareness of how and when the EU had tried to develop a Common Foreign and Security Policy, particularly as the second pillar of the Treaty of European Union. Nevertheless, very few candidates were unaware of the broad thrust of this policy, often making reference to the creation of the new post of a High Representative by the Treaty of Lisbon. Accounts of why progress towards integration in this area have been slow varied considerably. Although most responses recognised that state sovereignty was an issue, very few were able to explain why cooperation over foreign and security matters was more politically sensitive than, say, cooperation on economic matters. Good responses often reflected on the implications of developing an effective EU Foreign and Security Policy for NATO and relations with the USA, in many cases discussing tensions between 'Atlanticisists' and 'Europeanists' in terms of defence and foreign affairs. The EU's limited military capability was widely seen as a cause of the EU's weak Foreign and Security Policy, when, in practice, it is at least as much a consequence of the difficulty experienced in promoting cooperation in this area.

Indicate your first question choice on this page.
You will be asked to indicate your second question choice on page 6.

Put a cross in the box ⊠ indicating the first question that you have chosen.

If you change your mind, put a line through the box ₩

and then indicate your new question with a cross ⊠

Chosen Question Number:

Question 1 🔀 Question 2 🛛 Question 3 🔀 Question 4 🔯 Question 5 been difficult to develop on effective Goreign and contraversien surrounding wider integration* roseon been expanded member states con complications in record to the intentions state Coreign and intergovernmental each ministers Council of epresentatives of each accountable and accurately.

grann, it has inevitably struggled with consensus on such issues Coreign policy. This was clearly dominstrated over the strengly conflicting vieus on the 1rag war between UK, Gence and German It is decr that 27 maken states with diverse collere and history will never agree consistently with one set areign and searty pday, though attempts have been made to arthur integrate the EU and indeed there have been calls for a federal, united, savereign SU which would take Greign policy decisions at a supronational level. The world be the only likely way of admening united defence policies. Currently, these policies decuions are made at state level and this would be hard to alter * countries such as Britain are reluctory to integrate after politically with the EU, and althous such as the prove a major Ambling block in SU relations and deepening integration.



The introduction succinctly identifies the major issues - all it needed was an earlier change of paragraph



Ensure that the issues arising from the question are mentioned in the introduction.

A large proportion of responses were able to explain hegemony clearly and accurately, albeit briefly. Good responses often reflected on the USA's role as a global hegemon, drawing parallels with the earlier role of the UK as a hegemon in the nineteenth century and early twentieth century. Some very good responses recognised that the term hegemony is used quite differently by realist theorists and by critical theorists, in which case the definition of the term is closely linked to its significance for global order. In a good range of answers, hegemony was linked to a potential for order and stability as well as resentment and conflict. Responses that emphasised the former either focused on the USA's role as the 'world's police officer' or showed an understanding of hegemonic stability theory, in which the existence of a global hegemon is seen to be a necessary condition for a successful liberal international order. On the other hand, when hegemony was linked to unipolarity, it was seen to be associated with instability, resentment and conflict, associated, in the most sophisticated answers, with a tendency of other states to 'balance' rather than 'bandwagon'. Many responses used the decline of US hegemony as a way of illustrating the difficulties involved in maintaining a hegemonic status.

Indicate your first question choice on this page.

You will be asked to indicate your second question choice on page 6.

Put a cross in the box ⊠ indicating the first question that you have chosen. If you change your mind, put a line through the box ₩ and then indicate your new question with a cross ⊠

Chosen Question Number:

Question 1 🖂 Question 2 🖂 Question 3 🗷

Question 4 🖾 Question 5 🖾

a state that holds economical, and technological dom make decisions if there is on

hegenon state to Follow The defined negemonic state to will almost certainly be one of the larger states with the biggest economy which can aid global order However we wan agree that the concept st hegenony was weater ande conflict between states as each auns to attain hegenouic statuses, this is particularly between the larger states such as those toping to energe as superpowers which can consequently result in a cold was a was with no direct military action. De consee an example of this between the US and the Soviet Union where each state competed against each other to become the most powerly, and consequently the Soviet Union collapsed The idea of negenory Jollows the theory of realism; now something works in practice as it Follows what is in the states best interests to do for example of there was to be a -globas hegeman who possess strong military influence it would not be en hegelmonic states thus kalefung peace

Land wood at bary and so and part of the part of th



Conclusions should conclude; this one reads almost like an introduction.

Surprisingly few responses clearly outlined, at the outset, the enlargement process since 2004. Accounts of the current size of the EU ranged from as few as 15 to as many as 40 states. Better responses, on the other hand, gave examples of new member states and were at least accurate in recounting the numbers involved. The most commonly discussed implication of enlargement was the growing difficulty of decision-making, given the 'widening' process, with many comments about the attempt to develop an EU Constitution and, in due course, the Treaty of Lisbon. Good responses, nevertheless, examined other implications as well, including its impact on the politics of central and eastern Europe, and especially on the transition process for post-communist states, the changing balances within the EU that came from the accession of relatively less prosperous states, and the implications for established EU states of changed immigration patterns, crime and so forth. Some lower scoring responses tended to focus primarily on the implication of the expansions in 2004 and 2006 for the UK in particular.

Indicate your first question choice on this page.
You will be asked to indicate your second question choice on page 6.

Put a cross in the box ⊠ indicating the first question that you have chosen. If you change your mind, put a line through the box ⊠ and then indicate your new question with a cross ⊠.

Chosen Question Number:

Question 1 🖂 Question 2 🔀 Question 3 🖂	-
Question 4 🔟 Question 5 🖸	-
Since 2004 me Eu has continued to	
enarge navener offices mu's	
has imprications. The now is mant	
blause pooner countries are mying	
roon me Eu. me budget for other	
countres begans vill be classed. For	
example if turkey on me Eu (und	4
mey vaue been mjing it de for	
a sur year, the nuch of me ti	
budget vivi be opent on hyring to	
niprare Turkejs lianomic position;	
mis means cainmes nun as	
relend uil naul me money	
spent on ming to impraise their	
leanony cut to help pay for	
Turkly momer inplication is mat	
me sio is spreading rapidus.	
Many or carnel live oping to	
jon he aurozene. Much mares it	
ruch easier for people travelling	



A large number of candidates ignored the increase in former communist members of the EU in favour of the problems posed by Turkey's (so far) failed application to join.

A small number of responses to this question failed to offer at least a basic definition of supranationalism. In many cases these definitions were sophisticated and developed, not uncommonly contrasting supranationalism with intergovernmentalism. The key source of controversy identified in most answers was the threat that supranationalism, by definition, poses to state sovereignty, although only better responses were able to discuss this in terms of 'pooling' sovereignty. The EU's experiments in supranational governance were, unsurprisingly, widely discussed by many candidates, helping to demonstrate an awareness of the practice as well as the theory of supranationalism. The best responses were able to examine a wider range of controversies. These, not uncommonly, included controversies over supranationalism's implications for national identity and the difficulty, if not impossibility, of establishing genuinely democratic supranational bodies. Much, in this vein, was made of the EU's so-called 'democratic deficit'.

Question 6

This was essentially a theoretical question, an opportunity to weigh up and discuss contending realist and liberal views on the inevitability of conflict in global politics. A thankfully small number of responses showed little or no awareness of the theoretical dimension to this question, answering it instead primarily by providing account of a succession of international conflicts and wars, concluding that if war has occurred in all historical periods and in all societies, it must be inevitable. The main discriminator was nevertheless the sophistication with which candidates showed an awareness of rival realist and liberal views and their implications. In the best responses a range of realist views were analysed, including those that rely on state egoism, those that are based on the assumption of international anarchy and ones that stress an unavoidable security dilemma. Sophisticated responses nevertheless also acknowledged that realists, especially defensive realists, do not anticipate that global politics is characterised by unending war and conflict, pointing out, for example, the important role played by the balance of power in maintaining stability and peace. Strong responses also demonstrated a broad grasp of liberal theorising about conflict and war, in the best cases demonstrating how developments such as the spread of democracy, the growth of economic interdependence and the emergence of international organisations serve, in their different ways, to maintain balance and stability in the international system. Although strong responses often showed an awareness of particular authors and particular theories, marks were primarily allocated for the ability to analyse and evaluate the underlying realist and liberal arguments.

Some responses to this question did little more than provide a well-informed account of the origins, development and workings of the UN, giving some attention to criticisms that had been made of the organisation. In such generalised responses, the criticisms often did not focus sufficiently, or at all, on how and why the UN may be considered to be 'outdated'. Better responses were thus more question-focused and certainly were more evaluative. Much was made in strong responses about the make-up of the UN Security Council and the idea that this reflects the pre-1945 world order, making the UN a badly outdated body. Generally candidates were keener on identifying criticisms of the UN rather than defending the organisation, sometimes leading to unbalanced responses. Those who defended the UN most effectively showed that it is a body that is being constantly updated as it takes on board new issues and new agendas. Thus, a concern with peacekeeping and humanitarian intervention in the 1990s has developed into support for strategies of peace-building and state-building subsequently. Similarly, the UN has provided a forum through which new thinking has been developed on development and poverty-reduction, not least through the idea of human development and initiatives such as the Millennium Development Goals. Others pointed out that the UN has provided the only viable forum for addressing emerging problems such as climate change, and cannot reasonably be blamed for failures that stem from the state-system and great power politics.

Put a cross in the box 図 indicating the question that you have chosen. If you change your mind, put a line through the box 器 and then indicate your new question with a cross 図								
Chosen Question Number:								
Question 6 🖸 Question 7 🔣 Question 8 🖸								
Plan: UN - united Nations past mar 1945								
Salvage nations + restore peace.								
not always the best Rwanda eg. Does the SC need reform?								
enapressores peace only interfers when its own								
countries are in trouble								
But does legitmise states not audared								
Therausm - canna restare peace with war -								
realism-need nor								
NATO = outdated = Too reliant on USA								

Anower:

The united Nations were reason has created in 1945, port now.

Their body revies on allective security.

to sawage nations and restain peaces. The UN can be spir up into curposent sections who perform different functions. The UN closs agree with sowing issues through violence and when a country takes attack on a member of the UN then they will retailable.

Since the fall of communism and the soviet union. NATO has been strugging to find a row and has also adopted the role of peacesteeping. The NATO Hoops are more equipt than the UN troops and it is factors use that which allow is without questioning on whether the UN is auticated.

It appears that the UN can be seen as autolated through the UN



This paragraph is symptomatic of an approach which misinterpreted the question in terms of the purpose of the UN.

This was a popular question, well answered by a large proportion of candidates. Although few, unhelpfully, provided an explicit definition of multipolarity, virtually all responses showed an awareness of multipolar trends. The best responses considered, on the one hand, multipolar developments in the global system and, on the other hand, limits and constraints on multipolarity. The principal alternatives offered to emerging multipolarity were, not surprisingly, unipolarity and the survival of the 'American empire' and bipolarity, usually based on growing rivalry between the USA and China. Many answers were able to reflect with insight up-to-date statistics on the nature and extent of the power of the USA, China, India, Russia, Brazil and so forth, in developing their arguments and supporting their conclusion. Less able responses tended to be generalised and made sweeping judgements on the basis of little explicit knowledge and understanding. These answers showed little insight into the nature of power and the various dimensions that global power can be broken down into.

The extent to which the world is now nultipolar is debatable, and Undoubtably getting the USA has been the admirant the powers such as energence of new, rival powers such as India, Russia, China and Brozil have arguably created a new world order, without A the USA at its healing in addition the power of supranational and institutions intergovernmental institutions such as the CU also a reste a multipolar world.

After World War II, the Ust was looked to our bay the rest of the world as swithe ideal and the burican fream encapsulated the hopes of many. This created a unipolar world, with thereica dictating its Pan American across the globe. However the some soft damage done to America's soft power through the War in Iraq, significantly damaged its reputation as the ideal to the world. The failure of America, enables also a tates to grapple for power in a multipolar world chiral investment for example agreed agreed and deal writen.

Greece to thele some its pailed consuy.

This enculates vot power as the world sees thina in positive light as it is helping another country, in addittion it is also hard power as it deals with economics. America's loss of soft power is the riving powers gain. Conomically the One can argue that tue world is multipolar as the emergence of new states which seek to challenge buerica's beginning, oppe is increasingly just and intense. This teads one to the aelationship between a multiporlar world and globalisation. The increase of globalisation has neart that no country is an island and this has impacted on tuericu's power in a unipolar world. India has asserted itself as a global player through globalisation as it has enabled it to offer opportunities for businesses across the globe to invest in ergue that Globalisation is American impedial -ism and in this way increases American dominance, globalisation also creates equal apportunities and thus creates a uni multipolar world.

The consequences of a multipolar world order is substitutional as there is hegemon to direct global events. This can be used as evidence to organt trad there still is a unipolar world, as America is able to significantly clinet and influence glabal events in the way it wants. The long war for example west ahead despite the lack of UN approval. thus showing America's status as the global hegemon. However, in contrast America's incapability to deal with global issues such as terrorism reflects the multipolar character of the world today. Indeed, the very existance of non-governmen organisations such as Al Queda underwise tue principles of uni American negeneous and global order. In addittion, intergovern-- neutal institutions such as weild rightjicant power and influence in global events, thus showing the precess prescence of a multi-polar global order However, one must question, if there is a multipolar world, who will energe as the next begenion? Despite dansging ill reputation and underning its topt

Amuse in 1281
power, the USA remains an item as
Obania stated 'the last sest hope
for the world:
In condusion globalisation has increased the extent to which the presence of global issues out at terro is in The pailure of thereing as the global hours and has a lidia him and his increased
addittion there to has also been an
increased around of influence from non
governmental organisations such as TNCs
and introducemental organizations



This is a good conclusion which touches on the main arguments and concludes.

Grade Boundaries

Grade	Max. Mark	A*	Α	В	С	D	Ε	N	U
Raw boundary mark	90	60	54	48	42	37	32	27	0
Uniform boundary mark	100	90	80	70	60	50	40	30	0

 $[\]mathbf{a}^{\star}$ is only used in conversion from raw to uniform marks. It is not a published unit grade.

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publications@linneydirect.com</u> Order Code UA024038 January 2010

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750 Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH





