

Mark Scheme Winter 2008

GCE

GCE Government and Politics (Unit 4B) Political Ideologies



These instructions should be the first page of all mark schemes

General Marking Guidance

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

Question Number	Indicative content
1	Collectivism is the belief that collective human endeavour is morally and practically superior to individual self-striving. It reflects underlying beliefs about the social character of human nature. Collectivism has been associated with a wider role for the state because the state has often been seen as a mechanism through which collective action is organised. The state thus represents the wider public interest as opposed to the private interests of individual citizens. This has been reflected, for example, in modern liberal and socialist thought, where sympathy for collectivism has led to support for forms of economic and social intervention. Examples of this include support for the welfare state, the redistribution of wealth, nationalisation and economic management. In a more extreme way, collectivism has helped to fuel policies of comprehensive state control, such as the collectivisation of wealth within a centrally planned economy.

Level	Mark	Descriptor
Level 3	13-20	Good or better knowledge and understanding. Effective analysis
		and evaluation of political information.
Level 2	7-12	Limited to sound knowledge and understanding. Adequate ability
		to analyse and evaluate political information.
Level 1	0-6	Poor to weak knowledge and understanding. Limited ability to
		analyse and evaluation political information.

Question Number	Indicative content
2	Liberals have feared power (the ability to influence the behaviour
	of others) because of their belief that human beings are naturally
	self-seeking creatures. Egoism therefore encourages them to use
	other people to achieve their own ends. Power gives them the
	ability to do so, thus leading to corruption in the sense of a
	disregard for the interests of others and a willingness to use and
	(possibly) abuse them. Concentrations of power intensify this
	concern because the greater the power, the greater the scope for
	abusing others, and therefore the greater the corruption.
	Absolute power therefore corrupts absolutely (Acton). In the
	liberal view, dictators are necessarily tyrannical and oppressive.
	As a result, liberals favour constitutional and institutional devices
	that fragment or diffuse power. Examples include the separation
	of powers, federalism, parliamentary government, local
	government and so on.

Level	Mark	Descriptor
Level 3	13-20	Good or better knowledge and understanding. Effective analysis
		and evaluation of political information.
Level 2	7-12	Limited to sound knowledge and understanding. Adequate ability
		to analyse and evaluate political information.
Level 1	0-6	Poor to weak knowledge and understanding. Limited ability to
		analyse and evaluation political information.

Question Number	Indicative content
3	Private property is property that individuals have an exclusive
	legal entitlement to use however they choose. The traditional
	conservative defence of private property draws on one of three
	arguments. Property has been seen as a source of personal
	security in an inherently insecure world (property gives us
	'something to fall back on'). Property has been seen as an
	exteriorisation on one's own personality, in the sense that
	people's attachment to property (cars, houses, personal
	possessions) has a powerful psychological and emotional
	dimension. Property has also been valued as a means of
	strengthening social values and promoting order, as property
	owners are more inclined to respect the property of others and
	therefore more willing to obey and uphold the law. New Right
	conservatives have nevertheless embraced an essentially liberal
	view of property based on individual rights and economic
	incentives. In this view, the right to property is based on hard
	work or just transfer (inheritance). This view differs from the
	traditional conservative view in that it suggests that property is
	merely a right and never an obligation (for example, it does not
	entail duties towards the larger society or later generations - 'the
	family silver').

Level	Mark	Descriptor
Level 3	13-20	Good or better knowledge and understanding. Effective analysis
		and evaluation of political information.
Level 2	7-12	Limited to sound knowledge and understanding. Adequate ability
		to analyse and evaluate political information.
Level 1	0-6	Poor to weak knowledge and understanding. Limited ability to
		analyse and evaluation political information.

Question Number	Indicative content
4	The dictatorship of the proletariat is a transitionary phase
	between the overthrow of capitalism and the establishment of
	full communism. The dictatorship of the proletariat is
	characterised by the establishment of a temporary proletarian
	state in the place of the bourgeois or capitalist state that has
	been overthrown. For Marxists, states are a reflection of the class
	system, and the need for a temporary socialist state arose from
	the persistence of class antagonisms after the proletarian
	revolution. Dictatorship of the proletariat is therefore necessary
	in order to defend the gains of the revolution. This applies
	because the dispossessed bourgeoisie will not easily or peacefully
	be reconciled to socialism. The threat of counter-revolution has
	therefore to be countered, and this can only be done by the
	'armed proletariat'. The temporary proletarian state will also
	take responsibility for nationalising property, preparing the way
	for the establishment of common ownership in a fully communist
	society.

Level	Mark	Descriptor
Level 3	13-20	Good or better knowledge and understanding. Effective analysis
		and evaluation of political information.
Level 2	7-12	Limited to sound knowledge and understanding. Adequate ability
		to analyse and evaluate political information.
Level 1	0-6	Poor to weak knowledge and understanding. Limited ability to
		analyse and evaluation political information.

Question Number	Indicative content
5	Free market capitalism is a form of capitalism that is free from
	government regulation, based on the principle of laissez-faire.
	Conservative support for free market capitalism has grown
	through the advance of neoliberalism or the liberal New Right (in
	the UK, associated with Thatcherism). Rolling-back the state in
	the interests of the market and economic individualism has,
	arguably, been the dominant theme in UK and US conservatism
	since the 1980s, reflected in support for privatisation,
	deregulation, tax cuts and so on. Such free market policies are
	underpinned by the core belief that unregulated capitalism tends
	naturally towards equilibrium, and helps to promote efficiency,
	incentives and competition. Any form of state intervention can
	only threaten growth and prosperity, and transfer property
	unfairly.
	On the other hand, paternalistic or One Nation conservatism has
	rejected free market capitalism on the grounds that it is firmly
	rooted in unreliable economic theories and it threatens social
	stability by generating wide inequalities. They have therefore
	favoured a 'middle way' economy, in which the market is
	regulated by prudent levels of economic and social intervention.
	Such thinking has had some impact on modern conservatism,
	which has edged away from, but not broken with, free market
	thinking.

Level	Mark	Descriptor
Level 3	39-60	Good or better knowledge and understanding. Effective analysis
		and evaluation of political information.
Level 2	21-38	Limited to sound knowledge and understanding. Adequate ability
		to analyse and evaluate political information.
Level 1	0-20	Poor to weak knowledge and understanding. Limited ability to
		analyse and evaluation political information.

Question Number	Indicative content
6	The liberal support for equality is based on a belief in individualism. Individualism implies foundational equality, the idea that human beings are 'born' equal; they are equal moral worth. This is reflected in a belief in formal equality, the idea of equal rights and entitlements. Liberals thus believe in legal equality (the law is no respecter of persons) and political equality (one person one vote, one vote one value). Liberals take this belief in equality further by supporting equality of opportunity, a belief in a level playing field in which all people have an equal chance to realise their potential and achieve to the maximum of their ability. For modern liberals, this can only be achieved when social inequality is reduced by welfare and other strategies.
	However, liberal forms of egalitarianism have been criticised. In the first place, equal opportunities provide no guarantee of equal outcomes. Indeed, quite the opposite is true. Equality of opportunity provides a justification for social inequality, so long as it is based on different natural abilities or different levels of hard work. People therefore have an equal opportunity to realise their unequal talents and abilities. This leads to meritocracy rather than egalitarianism. Moreover, socialists have argued that liberal ideas such as foundational and formal equality are limited because they do not deliver social equality. Equal voting rights, for instance, do not ensure that millionaires and beggars have the same political influence. Marxists argue that the liberal view of equality is only used to mask the underlying inequalities of the capitalist system.

Level	Mark	Descriptor
Level 3	39-60	Good or better knowledge and understanding. Effective analysis
Level 2	21-38	Limited to sound knowledge and understanding. Adequate ability
		to analyse and evaluate political information.
Level 1	0-20	Poor to weak knowledge and understanding. Limited ability to
		analyse and evaluation political information.

Question Number	Indicative content
7	The doctrine of the 'inevitability of gradualism' was developed by
	Fabian socialists in the UK in the late nineteenth century.
	Gradualism refers to the introduction of social and economic
	change through incremental reform using constitutional methods.
	For the Fabians, gradualism would inevitably be successful
	because of the logic of political democracy. As the franchise was
	expanded, this would empower the working class as the electoral
	majority, who would naturally vote for socialist parties, thus
	bringing them to power. The arrival of political democracy
	therefore made the victory of socialism a certainty.
	These high synactotions have failed for a number of ressent
	These high expectations have failed for a number of reasons.
	First, as capitalism has developed, the size of the industrial working class has shrunk, meaning that socialist parties have been
	forced to revise their policies in order to appeal to other social
	classes. Second, it is questionable whether socialism is the
	'natural home' of the working class. The widening of prosperity
	after 1945 even benefited the working class, inclining a growing
	number of working class voters to believe that 'capitalism works'.
	Third, when in office socialist parties have not always been in
	power. Some theorists thus point to the influence of state elites
	or the entrenched power of major corporations to explain why,
	once in power, with working majorities, socialist parties have
	usually failed to deliver radical socialist reform. An alternative
	Marxist explanation for the failure of gradualism is the influence
	of bourgeois ideology, deluding the proletariat and preventing
	them from recognising the fact of their own exploitation.
	Nevertheless, some have argued that gradualism has, in some
	respects, been successful. The development of a welfare state
	and a redistributive tax system could be seen to reflect the
	success of gradualist socialism.

Level	Mark	Descriptor
Level 3	39-60	Good or better knowledge and understanding. Effective analysis
		and evaluation of political information.
Level 2	21-38	Limited to sound knowledge and understanding. Adequate ability
		to analyse and evaluate political information.
Level 1	0-20	Poor to weak knowledge and understanding. Limited ability to
		analyse and evaluation political information.