

UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS General Certificate of Education Advanced Subsidiary Level

GLOBAL PERSPECTIVES

Paper 2 SPECIMEN MARK SCHEME 8987/02 For Examination from 2012

www.tiremepapers.com

MAXIMUM MARK: 30

This document consists of **3** printed pages and **1** blank page.



Examiners should a 'best-fit' approach to making candidates' work, using the full range of marks.

There is no requirement for candidates to use technical Critical Thinking terms to access any level and candidates will **not** be rewarded for their use unless they are directly linked to the demands of the question.

Essays should be between 1750 and 2000 words, excluding the list of reference. Examiners should read and mark all essays **but** essays exceeding 2000 words should not be awarded the top mark in Level 4.

Each essay must be accompanied by a signed cover sheet.

The assessment objectives (AOs) are to some extent inter-dependent and the essays should be marked holistically using the level-based mark scheme below. The approximate distribution of AOs in this component is:

AO1: 10% (3 marks) AO2: 40% (12 marks) AO3: 33% (10 marks) AO4: 17% (5 marks)

Level	Marks	Indicative content
4	24–30	The essay is logically structured and explores the issues effectively, fully and concisely without being too brief. A range of relevant sources is used, cited and fully referenced. There are at least two relevant and contrasting global perspectives stated and explained. The relationship between sources, perspectives and the wider context is clear. The argument is fully developed with the premises challenged appropriately. The perspectives and sources are critically evaluated. The essay considers the implications and consequences of each perspective and through reflection, reaching a convincing, balanced and supported conclusion. The limitations of the evidence are fully recognised and the need for further research is suggested and its likely impact is assessed.
3	16–23	The essay is well structured and explores the issues effectively though the clarity of expression may be uneven . A range of relevant sources is used, cited and referenced. There are at least two relevant global perspectives, but they may not be contrasting or fully explained. There is some attempt to select and make some critical use of relevant evidence although the relationship between sources, perspectives and the wider context are not always clear. The perspectives and sources are evaluated in the global context, but the treatment lacks width or depth. An argument is developed with some of the premises challenged. The essay considers some the implications and consequences of each perspective and through reflection, reaches a conclusion which is mostly convincing , balanced and supported . Some of the limitations of the evidence are recognised and the need for further research is suggested but its likely impact may lack assessment .
2	8–15	Some of the issues are explored in the essay and there is some structure, but it may lack clarity of expression at times. The range of relevant sources used is limited and some are cited and accurately referenced. There is some attempt to select and make some critical use of relevant evidence although the candidate struggles to explain and control the relationship between sources, perspectives and the wider context. There is a limited attempt to evaluate the perspectives and sources in the global context, and the treatment lacks width and depth. Any argument lacks sufficient development. The essay considers some the implications and consequences of some perspectives and through some reflection, reaches a conclusion which may be unconvincing due to a lack of balance or support . Some of the limitations of the evidence are recognised, but the need for further research may be understated , If present.
1	1–7	Issues are mainly given a descriptive treatment and the essay may be lacking in structure. The sources used provide a very narrow perspective and the referencing is incomplete or inaccurate . Any perspectives described lack a genuine global focus or do not offer complementary viewpoints. The relationship between sources, perspectives and the wider context are unclear or absent . The argument lacks validity, given the evidence or is not developed sufficiently. There is limited scope to evaluate the perspectives and sources due to a lack of evidence. There is little critical use of relevant evidence to communicate the argument. The essay does not consider the implications and consequences of each perspective. The essay lacks evidence of reflection and any conclusion may be unconvincing , uneven and lack supporting evidence. The limitations of the evidence are not recognised and the need for further research is not suggested.
0	0	No creditworthy material has been submitted.

BLANK PAGE

4