

## **Cambridge International Examinations**

Cambridge International Advanced Subsidiary and Advanced Level

## **GLOBAL PERSPECTIVES & RESEARCH**

9239/02

Component 2 Essay
SPECIMEN MARK SCHEME

For Examination from 2015

**MAXIMUM MARK: 35** 



AO1: Research, analysis and evaluation -20 AO2: Reflection -10 AO3: Communication and collaboration -5

## Information:

- The marking criteria are presented within five different levels.
- Examiners will use the full mark range and look for the 'best fit', not a 'perfect fit', taking a holistic approach.

© UCLES 2014 9239/02/SM/15

© UCLES 2014 9239/02/SM/15	Level 3	Marks 15–21	<ul> <li>Good communication skills and is clearly written with some structure. Citation and referencing of sources are present but may not be complete.</li> <li>Relevant and credible sources of evidence used which are contrasting and used to support judgement/s.</li> <li>Analysis of the sources shows a good understanding of their arguments and structure. Evaluation of the sources across some criteria, although the treatment of these will be either narrow or uneven in depth.</li> <li>Research of two globally contrasting perspectives, showing some empathy for their positions.</li> <li>Globally contrasting perspectives to support some global judgement/s.</li> <li>Analysis of perspectives shows a good understanding of their arguments and structure. These perspectives are evaluated across some criteria, although the treatment of these will be either narrow or uneven in depth.</li> <li>A supported conclusion, showing an element of reflection on the impact of alternative perspectives and conclusions on the personal standpoint. It may attempt to identify the need for further research.</li> </ul>
	Level 2	Marks 8–14	<ul> <li>Some communication skills, although clarity and structure may be uneven. Citation and referencing of sources, if present, will not be complete.</li> <li>Sources of evidence used may show some contrast though any judgements lack a developed global dimension.</li> <li>Analysis of the sources shows some understanding of their arguments and structure. Attempted evaluation which is assertive and undeveloped.</li> <li>Research of two perspectives; showing limited empathy for positions.</li> <li>Perspectives containing some contrast although any judgements lack a developed global dimension.</li> <li>Attempted analysis of perspectives showing a limited understanding of their arguments and structure. Attempted evaluation will be assertive and undeveloped.</li> <li>A conclusion which may not be effectively supported by the argument, and only has hints of reflection.</li> </ul>
	Level 1	Marks 1–7	<ul> <li>Limited communication skills. Referencing of sources, if present, will be very limited or inaccurate.</li> <li>Sources of evidence provided will be unspecific or descriptive.</li> <li>Limited source/s of evidence used which do not show effective contrast and any judgements attempted are assertive.</li> <li>Identification of vague perspective/s; showing limited empathy for any position/s.</li> <li>No effective contrast made between perspectives. Any judgements are assertive and lack a global dimension.</li> <li>Analysis of perspectives showing a limited understanding of their arguments and/or structure. Attempted evaluation will be assertive and undeveloped.</li> <li>No adequate conclusion. At best there may be hints or assertions of reflection.</li> </ul>
	Level 0	0	No creditworthy material has been submitted.