

Cambridge International Examinations Cambridge International Advanced Subsidiary and Advanced Level

GLOBAL PERSPECTIVES & RESEARCH

Component 1 Written Examination SPECIMEN MARK SCHEME 9239/01 For Examination from 2015

1 hour 30 minutes

MAXIMUM MARK: 30

This document consists of **9** printed pages and **1** blank page.



For answers marked by levels of response:

- a. **To determine the level** start at the highest level and work down until you reach the level that matches the answer
- b. To determine the mark within the level, consider the following:

Descriptor	Award mark
On the borderline of this level and the one below	At bottom of level
Just enough achievement on balance for this level	Above bottom and either below middle or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)
Meets the criteria but with some slight inconsistency	Above middle and either below top of level or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)
Consistently meets the criteria for this level	At top of level

AO1: Research, analysis and evaluation – 30 marks

Que	estion	Answer	Marks	Guidance
1	(a)	Two basic Human Rights mentioned	2 × 1	Use up to 2 ticks ✓ to identify where marks are awarded in the candidate's answer:
		Credit 1 mark each for a correct version of up to two of		
		the following where the answer		either at the end of each way in a list e.g.
		either names the basic Human Right,		 freedom and equality. ✓
		or quotes from the text		 free education. ✓
		or correctly paraphrases the text:		
				or within continuous writing where the rights are ru
		freedom and equality		together e.g.
		or 'All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights.'		'Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion an expression …' ✓ and 'Everyone has the right free to participate in the cultural life of the community,
		free education		enjoy the arts, to share in scientific advancement
		or 'Everyone has a right to education. Education shall be free'		and its benefits.' ✓
				Credit 0 marks
		 freedom of opinion and expression or 'Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression' 		 for a paraphrase that distorts the meaning. for a statement of an incorrect part of the text. for answers taken from the candidate's own knowledge. for no creditworthy material.
		cultural participation		
		or 'Everyone has the right freely to participate in the		
		cultural life of the community, to enjoy the arts, to share in		
		scientific advancement and its benefits.'		

C	uestion	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	(b)	Two ways the author thinks access to the internet meets basic human rights	2 × 1	Use up to 2 ticks \checkmark to identify where marks are awarded in the candidate's answer:
		Credit 1 mark each for a correct version of two of the following where the answer either quotes from the text or correctly paraphrases the text:		 Credit full marks for correctly identifying how access to the internet meets basic human rights. for a correct answer amidst <i>minimal</i> additional material.
		• freedom and equality 'The internet helps put power into the hands of every single person that has access to it, for once finally giving people the power over their own lives in a very raw democratic way.'		 N.B. The answer in Q1(b) does not need to be based on the Human Rights identified in Q1(a). Credit 0 marks for a paraphrase that distorts the meaning. for a statement of an incorrect part of the text.
		• free education 'It is a free resource with access to a multitude of educational materials.'		for answers taken from the candidate's own knowledge.for no creditworthy material.
		• freedom of opinion and speech 'It is a forum for expression, ideas and opinions to share with the rest of the world.'		
		• cultural participation 'The internet is a global network of shared cultural life stored in bits of information on computers not owned by any one person or organization.'		

4

G Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
Question 2 9239/01/SM/15	 Strengths and weaknesses – Document 1 argument: Use the levels-based marking opposite to credit marks. No set answer is expected and examiners should be flexible in their approach. Candidates may include some of the following: Strengths A clear conclusion is drawn: 'To limit any one person is taking away one of their basic human rights', ensuring the reader is in no doubt as to the writer's point of view. The use of relevant quotations from the UN's Declaration of Human Rights grounds the argument in an authoritative context re. the specifics of human rights that need to be met. The claims about the internet are relevant to the Human Rights stated in paragraph 4. The example of Egypt in 2011 is relevant and clearly illustrates the role the internet can play in meeting basic human rights. The argument makes a relevant appeal to history, claiming that history testifies to the possibility of working together to end tyranny and oppression. 	12	 Level 3 9–12 marks Strengths and weaknesses are assessed. Assessment of argument and evidence is sustained. Assessment explicitly includes the impact of counterargument and flaws within the arguments. Communication is highly effective – explanation and reasoning accurate and clearly expressed. Level 2 5–8 marks Answers focus more on either strengths or weaknesses, although both are present. Assessment identifies strength or weakness with little explanation. Assessment of argument is relevant but not always linked to the claims. Communication is accurate – explanation and reasoning is limited, but clearly expressed. Level 1 1–4 marks Answers show little or no assessment. Assessment if any is simplistic. Flaws may be named and counter-argument may be identified. Communication is limited – response may be cursory or descriptive.

G

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	 Weaknesses The argument is one-sided, with no acknowledgement of possible counter argument as to why access to the internet might be restricted. Cuba and North Korea are mentioned but not their arguments for restriction. The article makes sweeping generalisations in the opening paragraph about corrupt leaders, governments and powerful men. These are not supported by immediate examples of who controlled the information and in what ways. Exemplification is minimal to support the argument, using only the illustration of Egypt. The appeal to history re. the possibility of working together to end tyranny and oppression appears as an assertion unsupported by any examples. The use of the emotive word 'weapon' may make the internet sound threatening and dangerous to some which may weaken the impact of the argument. 		

6

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
3	 Answer Document 2 – argument stronger? Use the levels-based marking opposite to credit marks. No set answer is expected and examiners should be flexible in their approach. Candidates may include some of the following: Stronger a more balanced perspective Whilst the argument in Document 1 is one-sided, with no acknowledgement of possible counter argument as to why access to the internet might be restricted, Document 2 presents a more balanced perspective, with the second paragraph listing the benefits of the internet. The use of a question to open the argument in Document 2 also suggests that the article may be a balanced one, as an answer is sought. a wider range of perspectives Whilst the argument in Document 1 depends on the author's own opinion of the internet in relation to the Article from the UN's Declaration of Human Rights, Document 2 contains a number of different opinions: respondent Nicholas Carr, the writer Jay Rayner, and the theorist Rushkoff. more supporting evidence Whilst the argument in Document 1 lacks examples as to how people have worked together to end tyranny and oppression and also which countries have prevented their citizens from accessing the internet, Document 2 	Marks	Guidance Level 3 10–14 mark • The judgement is sustained and reasoned. • Alternative perspectives have sustained assessment. • Critical evaluation is of key issues raised in the passage and has explicit reference. • Explanation and reasoning is highly effective, accurate and clearly expressed. • Communication is highly effective – clear evidence of a structured cogent argument with conclusions explicit stated and directly linked to the assessment. Level 2 5–9 mark • Judgement is reasoned. One perspective may be focused upon for assessment • Evaluation is present but may not relate to key issues. Explanation and reasoning is generally accurate. • Communication is accurate – some evidence of structured discussion although conclusions may not b explicitly stated, nor link directly to the assessment. Level 1 1–4 mark • Judgement, if present, is unsupported or superficial. • Alternative perspectives have little or no assessment. • Evaluation, if any, is simplistic. Answers may describe few points comparing the two documents. • Relevant evidence or reasons may be identified. • Communication is limited. Response may be cursory.

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	 Weaker less authoritative perspective Document 1 quotes from the UN's Declaration of Human Rights which grounds the argument in an authoritative context, whereas Document 2 consists of the opinions of respondents on a social media website, Twitter, which gives less authority to its argument. Neither stronger or weaker Both have clear conclusions but from different perspectives Both arguments leave the reader in no doubt as to the stance that they are taking, with clear arguments but from different perspectives. The argument in Document 1 takes a positive perspective on the internet focusing upon the human right to have access to the internet because of its democratic, educational, and cultural benefits. The argument in Document 2 takes a more negative perspective, focusing upon the need to limit the use of the internet. Both give an unbalanced perspective The argument in Document 1 does not give the counter argument of those who seek to restrict access to the internet. Although the argument in Document 2 lists the benefits of the internet, those who think this way are labelled as 'idealists', undermining their importance. Also, the vast majority of the argument solely examines the negatives of the internet. Both contain unsupported assertions Both arguments rely on the unsupported opinion of the author. Although the argument in Document 2 contains more sources, their claims offered on Twitter are also opinion. 		Judgement Candidates should critically assess perspectives and the use of examples and evidence in order to reach a judgement. In doing this they might conclude that there is a little more balance in Document 2 and a wider perspective presented, making it slightly stronger. Alternatively, they might conclude that overall, although from different perspectives, their arguments have similar strengths and weaknesses. However, credit should be given to an alternative judgement on the basis of the assessment and reasoning.

ω

© UCLES 2014

9239/01/SM/15

© UC	Ques	stion	Answer	Marks	Guidance
LES 2014			 Both use emotive language Both use emotive language to persuade the reader. The argument in Document 1 uses the word 'weapon' to describe the internet, which is emotionally charged in favour of access to the internet. The argument in Document 2 uses words such as 'dissenters' and 'worriers' to describe those who dislike the way the internet has taken over our lives, making them seem negative and almost irritants. Neither provenance gives expertise 		
			Nothing is presented about the background of either author that would indicate that they have any expertise in the effects of the internet, whether positive or negative.		

BLANK PAGE

10

Copyright Acknowledgements:

 Document 1
 © adapted: Ryan Moore; Should Internet Access Be a Basic Human Right?; http://www.examiner.com/technology-in-cincinnati/should-internet-access-be-a-basic-human-right; 21 February 2011.

 Document 2
 © adapted: J Freedland; We owe the internet for changing the world. Now let's learn how to turn off; www.guardian.co.uk/

cument 2 © adapted: J Freedland; We owe the internet for changing the world. Now let's learn how to turn off; www.guardian.co.uk/ commentisfree/2011/feb/22/internet-learn-to-turn-off; The Guardian News and Media Ltd; 22 February 2011.

Permission to reproduce items where third-party owned material protected by copyright is included has been sought and cleared where possible. Every reasonable effort has been made by the publisher (UCLES) to trace copyright holders, but if any items requiring clearance have unwittingly been included, the publisher will be pleased to make amends at the earliest possible opportunity.

Cambridge International Examinations is part of the Cambridge Assessment Group. Cambridge Assessment is the brand name of University of Cambridge Local Examinations Syndicate (UCLES), which is itself a department of the University of Cambridge.