

Examiners' Report Principal Examiner Feedback

Summer 2019

Pearson Edexcel GCE In German (9GN0) Paper 3A/B Speaking

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for all papers can be found on the website at: https://qualifications.pearson.com/en/support/support-topics/results-certification/grade-boundaries.html

Summer 2019
Publications Code 9GN0_3A_1906_ER
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2019

9GN03 Spekaing

In the A Level Speaking examination, students are assessed on their ability to demonstrate:

- knowledge and understanding of the cultural context by giving ideas, examples and information on one of the themes and on a chosen subject of interest they have researched linked to the social and cultural context of the language studied
- analysis of aspects of the cultural context by presenting and justifying valid arguments, viewpoints and conclusions, illustrated with relevant examples and evidence
- the ability to interact and hold a natural, spontaneous and fluent discourse
- skill in manipulating and pronouncing language accurately
- response to written language in speech.

These aspects are assessed via two distinct tasks conducted entirely in German, which are carried out in consecutive order in one session.

Task 1 (discussion on a theme)

Content for this task is based on any one of the twelve sub-themes from one of the four overall themes listed on pages 8–9 of the specification. Students choose one out of two sub-themes to discuss immediately before the preparation time. Stimulus cards are a springboard to the discussion, which the teacher-examiner broadens onto other sub-themes of the wider Theme.

Task 2 (presentation and discussion on student's independent research project)

This task is in two parts. Content for this task is based on the subject of interest that students have chosen for their independent research project. This may be based on any of the four themes of study listed on pages 8–9 of the specification or on a subject of interest of the student's choosing. However, it must relate to the cultural and social context of a German speaking community.

The key factors to consider in order to attain the highest marks are:

- A focus on Germany for task 1 discussions based on themes 1,3 and 4.
- A focus on the German Speaking World for task 1 discussions on theme 2 and for the entire task 2. Credit for AO4 is only awarded when the conversation is focussed on the correct geographical areas.
- The level of knowledge and understanding of the Germany and the German speaking world is assessed once in each section by the AO4 mark grid and is worth a total of 24 marks.
- Listening comprehension is not assessed as part of this speaking examination.
- There is no requirement for the student to take a definite standpoint on any issues discussed, although the student may make their own standpoint clear as part of the discussions.

- There are prescribed topic areas for task 1 and prescribed questions, which the teacher examiner must ask at the start of this task.
- Interaction, including the ability to partake in a spontaneous, naturally developed discussion, is assessed discretely by the AO1 mark grid, twice during the course of the examination, worth a total of 12 marks.
- The ability to respond in speech to written German is assessed in task 2 part 1 by the AO2 grid. The presentation given in this part of the test must clearly reference specific, named sources. These sources must be written in German.
- The new examination is, in total, 16-18 minutes long.

Task 1

The cards proved to be an effective way to stimulate discussion and the wording of the statments and supporting points allowed all students access task 1 at a level appropriate to their ability.

The answers given to the two prescribed questions inform the overall mark for AO4 for task 1 and are considered along with any subsequent knowledge and understanding which is offered by the student in the discussion which follows.

The best answers where from students who listened carefully to the questions and drew on their knowledge to formulate a direct answer.

Some students focussed only parts of the question, and did not address all of the implications, although it was noted by examiners that students were more often addressing the questions directly than in the previous session. In considering the mark for AO4 for this section, the degree of relevance plays an important part. Those students who addressed the question directly, provided good evidence of relevant ideas. They also provided some eveidence of "perception", which is another key term in the higher bands of the AO4 mark grid.

Examiners noted some patterns in student perofrmance, which may help teachers in preparing their students for future examininations:

- In general, the question word "inwiefern" was not always addressed directly. It would help students if they practised appropriate answers for this question type.
- Card GN1 Statement A a number of answers talked about general environmental issues, rather than focussing on "erneuerbare Energien"
- Card GN3A The better performances showed an appreciation of the significance of the phrase "nur jeder Dritte".
- GN4 A/B: The higher performing students connected QA1 and QB1&2 to the German-speaking world.
- GN5: Answers were too general, such as those based on the students' own
 experiences did not perform as well as those which focussed on the German
 speaking world. Theme two in general, is probably the theme which most
 closely aligns with the personal interests of the majority of students taking

the examination. Therefore, care should be taken to research and learn particular examples form the German speaking world which can be used to substantiate viewpoints.

- GN9 A: The more perceptive responses, and so the higher scoring, addressed the issue of "ganz Deutschland".
- GN10 A: "Brennpunkt" was well addressed by many candidates, but some talked in more general terms about housing in the GDR.

Application of the Mark Grids

Sections 1 and 2 assess AO1, interaction, AO3, Range and accuracy of Language and AO4, knowledge and Understanding of Germany and, where appropriate, the German speaking word. The mark grids were applied in the same way in both tasks. Below is a summary of how the students' performances were placed into the mark bands in this session.

AO1 – Interaction - Tasks 1 and 2

The interaction between the student and the teacher examiner is awarded up to 6 marks in this section.

A mark of 0 was awarded in cases when there was a complete lack of spontaneity, but this was very rare. Particularly because the student has to repsond to previously unknown questions at the strart of this task.

1-2 marks were awarded for students who were heavily dependent on prompting by the teacher examiner. Such students may have been unable to complete the points that they were trying to make. These marks may also have applied to performances, in which a natural discussion did not develop. For example, a "one question and answer per subtheme" aproach.

eg

Teaacher examiner: "Was halten Sie vom deutschen Bildungssystem?"Student responds...

Teacher examiner: "Danke, und was halten Sie von Recycling in Deutschland?" ...Student responds...

Examiners reported that performances awarded marks in this band were more rare this session and the majority of centres have now moved away from the approach detailed above.

3-4 marks were awarded to students who demonstrated some spontaneity. The development of the discussion, and the introduction of new ideas, examples and opinions was likely to be prompted by the teacher, rather than the candiadte, at times when a mark in this band was awarded. A mark of 3 may indicate a lack of spontantiety at times, or the occaisional need for a prompt or assitance by the teacher to complete the idea that the student was trying to express.

The teacher examiner **constantly** having to ask questions, such as "Zum Beispiel?", "Und was halten Sie davon?", "Und warum ist das wichtig?"

Students were awarded marks in the 5-6 band if they demonstrated an ability to lead the discussion by adding examples, opinions and justifications or introducing contrasting points of view, without the constant need to be prompted. As part of a natural discussion, the teacher examiner may seek further information, but the development of the discussion will not be dependent on this in cases when 5 or 6 marks are awarded. At this mark band, responses will be entirely spontaneous, and it is likely that fewer aspescts of the subtheme will be discussed, but in greater depth.

Asking Questions

This is one aspect of interaction and it is likely to be evident in all performances, regardless of the marks awarded. Asking a question does not automatically place the performance in any one of the three mark bands.

In the 0-2 mark range, questions may have been asked as part of an entirely unspontaneous perfromance. They may also have been asked beacuse the student was unable to respond in any other way.

In the 3-4 mark range, the candiadte may have asked questions at unatural times. Also, the student may have interupted their own development, as they prioritised asking a question over giving a more developed answer. Often in such cases, the teacher examiner was prompted to change the subject after responding to the candiate, and this proved a barrier to the development of the discussion. In both this and the lower band, it was also often clear that the students felt uneasy or uncomfortable with question formation.

At the 5-6 mark range, students only asked questions when necessary, to clarify what the teacher had said, for example. They were confident in seeking clarification. They also did not seek the opinion or agreement of the teacher examiner until after they had given a full and detailed answer of their own.

The issue raised by the performance descriptor of 3-4 marks occurred relatively frequently, according to the feedback of the examiner team.

Students woul dbe much more likely to raise performance in this assessment objective by practising ways to develop arguments, rahter than asking questions of their own. **Initiating communication** is what is rewarded, and asking a question in only one, very straightforward way of evidencing this.

Supporting Students in AO1

• Encourge students to take the intiative to develop points. The may use strategies matered in other subjects or qualifications, for example the

point, evidence, evaluation strategy used by many in English examinations.

- Encourage students to seek clarification, if needed, **before** answering.
- Practice phrases which allow the student time to change their mind or or re-articulate their ideas, eg. "just a moment", "actually, now I think about it."
- Encourage students to give their best possible answer, before asking for agreement or checking for understanding.
- Ask follow up questions on what the student has said, before changing the focus of the discussion.
- Explain to students that asking a question does not automatically raise their mark.
- Allow students to practise asking questions, so that they feel and sound at ease when they do.

AO2 – Responding to Written German in Speech - Task 2 part 1 only.

This mark grid assesses the student's ability to give a spoken response to **written** German.

The mark grid has a range of 0-12 marks and likely performance of students awarded marks in each band is detailed below;

Marks cannot be awarded to students who do not present evidence that they are ressponding to written German. For example, the presentation may have been a general introduction, which did not mention any of the sources. Students who spoke only about sources, which were not written in German, also cannot be awarded marks in this section. Similarly, students who only made reference to non-written sources, such as documentaries or online videos did not provide the evidence required to score under this assessment objective.

1-3 marks were awarded to students who did mention written sources, but did not make the focus on the authors points clear. This may have been a passing reference to sources, followed by some general background information. Also, students who only made reference to one source in this task were awarded a mark in this band. There were also students who talked about more than one source, but the additional sources were not suitable for the reasons mentioned above. If the second source was referred to beyond the 2 minute time limit, the examiners did not consider it when awarding the marks.

4-6 marks requires that the student referrs to at least two written sources. The summary may have been unblanced, foucssing on one source for the majority of the two minutes. In other instances, the summary may have been balanced evenly across two appropriate sources, but there may have been a lack of presonal response to the **author's** ideas. Or, the sumary may have been unclear to the point that it was difficult to distinguish which information came from which source.

7-8 marks were awrded to presentations, which mentioned two appopriate sources. The majority of the ideas presented by the student will also have also

been clearly linked to their original source, although this will not always have been clear. Furthermore, there will have been a personal response to each source and some, but not all of this response will have been justified.

10-12 marks were awarded to presenations that gave a summary of two appropriate sources, in which the originating source was always clear to the examiner. The personal responses will have been consistently justified.

The full range of marks were awarded for AO2. Examiners noted that students of all abilitites were able to access full marks for this assessment objective. It should be noted, that quality of language is not assessed in task 2 part 1 and appropriate, understandable presentations will be awarded marks, as long at they are providing evidence that they are a response to written German. The presentation must be the student's own work, and when examiners suspected that the student had had additional assistance for this task, the examination was referred for further investigation.

Supporting Students in AO2

- Practise the summary presentation task as frequently as possibe throughout the course. For example, if the topic of recycling has recently been covered in class, ask the students to take two of the texts used and create a presentation based on those. Adhere to the two minute time limit strcitly when practising, so that they know how much they can cover in that time. (You must not practise using the actual written sources, which the student wishes to use in the examintion.)
- Explain what needs to be covered in the presntation at least two German written sources, points from each clearly presented, a personal response which is justified.
- Encourage students to be sensible about the length of their written sources. If they are too long, the summary will be difficult within the time constraints.

AO3 – Tasks 1 and 2 - Accuracy and Range of Language

The mark grid used to assess the quality of language covers for main features:

- Accuracy conjugation, agreements, word order, tense formation.
- Range lexis appropirate to the topic of discussion and structures, as listed in Appendix 3 of the specification.
- Pronciation.
- Intonation.

The examiners consider all of these points and, when the student's performance falls into different marks bands for each of the characteristics, they arrive at a best fit mark.

For example, if the accuracy and range are worthy of the top mark band, but the pronunciation is weaker, the mark may be awarded at the bottom end of the top band, or the top of the box below, based on the merits of the performance.

All four elements are given equal weighting.

Therefore, a student who attempts to use a wide range of lexis and strutures, with good pronunciation and intonation, but who also makes mistakes with adjective endings and word order, may be awarded a similar mark to an accurate student, who operates within a more limited range of structures and lexis, even though the two performances may sound very different. Non-native speakers must have access to the full marks available here, and the examiners are standardised with this fundamental principal in mind.

Students awarded a mark of 1-3 are likely to have been frequently unable to express ideas, due to limited range of lexis and structures at their disposal. It is likely that the examiner, due to accuracy, pronunciation or intonation difficulties, did not readily understand many of their ideas.

Students awarded marks in the 4-6 band are unlikely to have impeded communication due to pronunciation and intonation, but there will have been occasions when communication broke down.

In the 7-9 band, the students will have given the impression that they were not reliant on the same lexis and structures to express themselves. They will have had topic specific lexis and will have been usually able to make themselves understood. Pronunciation and intonation will not be a barrier to communication in this band.

It is likely that there will be errors in the performances of students in the 10-12 band, but they will not have hindered communication. Examples of such errors are incorrect genders, case endings, lapses in prepositions. They will have demonstrated use of key terms across a range of topics, and have made a clear attempt to avoid mother-tongue interference in pronunciation and intonation.

The full range of mark bands was used in assessing the students in this session. The requirement to show knowldege and understanding of the German speaking world seems to have encouraged many students to broaden their vocabulary in order to present their findings, especially in realtion to the IRP. The examiners frequently credited passives, relative clauses, conditional perfects, subordination and varied discourse markers. Whilst a few students seemed unable to cope at this level, most were able to discuss the topics and projects for the full duration of the test.

Supporting Students in A03

- Encourage students to gather a range of topic-specific vocabulary for each aspect of each sub theme.
- Work on synonyms, to avoid repetition.
- Explore ways to make basic opionions more linguisitically sophisticated. eg "it is good", becomes "it is positive", better still "it is extremely positive", even better still "It is an extremely positive development", or even "It is viewed as an extremely postive development by many Germans." The sentiment is the same, but the linguistic range is instantly lifted. The final version would provide evidence of range of language.

AO4 – Tasks 1 and 2 – Knowledge and Understanding of German Speaking Culture and Society.

AO4 is worth 24 of the 72 marks in the speaking assessment. The mark grid assesses:

- Relevance to the German speaking world and to the **question asked**.
- The ability to support an idea with examples.
- The ability to analyse the evidence presented and justify conclusions.

The best fit mark is awarded. Therefore, a list of facts about the German speaking world will be considered as examples, but if they are not relevant, or not used to draw a conclusion, this list alone will not satisfy the highest bands of the mark grid. Similarly, opinions and conclusions on the German speaking world alone, will not satisfy the full criteria, if they ae not supported by relevant examples.

This session, examiners awarded the full range of marks in this grid.

A mark awarded in the 1-3 band would indicate a performance that made limited reference to specific examples, relying on description. Opinions offered are likely to have been basic, such as positives and negatives. For example, they may say, that recycling is good for the environemnt because it reduces carbon emmisions. Whilst true, it is general, and not focussed on German society.

Students were awarded a mark in the 4-6 band, if there was evidence of some specific examples from the German speaking world, which were relevant to the topics being discussed. The use of exemplification will, however, have been inconsistent. Sometimes irrelevant facts will have been given, or there will have been ideas that were unsubstantiated. For example, the student may have said that recycling is effective in Germany and the Germans are pioneers in recycling, but this was not substantiated.

A performance in the 7-9 band will have used examples which were consistently rooted in German society and culture and analysed the significance of this factual information. Some of the examples given will have demonstrated a more indepth knowledge, and may have gone beyond the standard, well known response. For example, a desrciption of the "Pfandsystem" with relelvant personal reactions.

In task 2, a mark of 8-9 was often awarded for students, who demonstrated that they had excellent factual knowledge of their chosen topic, but did not provide much evidence of evaluation or analysis.

Students were awarded a mark in the 10-12 band if they frequently demonstrated an ability to give more perceptive examples, which showed a deeper understandining. For example, use of the "Pfandsystem" idea is evidence of Germany being environamentally firendly, accompanied by some up to date examples of the negative environmental impact of washing and transporting bottles, or information on the proportion of muliptle-use bottles

which are actually re-used as intended. The conclusion would then follow based on the balane of evidence provided by the canidate, and this conclusion would be logical in light of the evidence.

The idea of the "Pfandsytem" is used above to illustrate the difference in quality of AO4 at various points in the mark grid. There were, of course, many different examples of students presenting an ability to be perceptive, and to analyse these perceptions.

Supporting Students in AO4

- Encourage students to gather up-to date facts and examples from German language media, which demonstrate current thinking on the topics in the specification.
- For the historical theme, encourage students to gain an understanding of the key dates and turning points, influencial people and politics at that time.
- For the media-based topics particularly, ensure that students can give evidence of how these universal issues manifest themselves in the German speaking world. For example, the main broadcasters and viewing figures. The main newspapers and circulation figures. The main providers of on-line entertainment and on-line magazines, newspapers, podcasts, radio. A key consideration here if it is availbale outside of Germany, or translated into a language other than German, it is not the best example. So, catch-up TV-apps / sites, which are restricted to German speaking countries. Magazines and websites which are not translated into English. Websites that end in .de , .ch or .at
- Encourage students to adopt a "point, evidence, evaluation" approach, to ensure that they maintain a blance between factual and analytical ideas.
- For the IRP, encorage candiates to formulate their project title or statement of opinon. This helps to keep focus on the analyitcal aspect of AO4. For example "Nicht alles in der DDR war schlecht." or "Inwiefern gibt es eine Verbindung zwischen Armut und Rechtsradikismus in Deutschland?"
- Explain to students the imprtance of their key findings on the IRP form. These should not be facts, but rather, ideas or questions that the student's research has raised. For example, beginning each bullet point with phrases such as "The role of...", "The importnce of...", "The pros and cons of...", "The meaning of...", "The reasons for...", "The different reactions to...."
- When conducting the examination, use the key findings to structure the discussion. Only move on to another key finding when the student appears to have no more to add.
- Teachers **must** make a concerted effort to ask questions which lead the student to focus their answer on the relevant geographical area in task one.
- Teachers must also ensure that they focus their questions for task 2 on the key findings, which the student has written on the RP3 form.
 Examiners noted a number of performances, in which it was clear that

the teacher examiner was following his/her own agenda in task 2 part 2 and this is not supportive of the stuedent's best interests.

Conduct and administration

Timing

The timing of section 1 is recommended to be 6-7 minutes. Examiners will listen to all that is said, even it it exceeds the time recommondation. However, examiners stop listening at 18 minutes. Therefore, if task 1 exceeds 7 minutes, it will limit the amount of evidence fr assessment that examiners hear for the task 2 marks. Far fewer teacher examiners disregarded the timings in this session, which helped support their students to reach their full potential.

The two minute time limit to the presentation in task 2 part 1 was enforced by all examiners. If only one source was summarised before this time limit, the information on the seccond source was disregarded. Teachers should, therefore, take note of the mark grid for AO2, which requires at least two written sources to be awarded a mark of 3 or higher, and a balance between sources to be awarded a mark higher than 6. There is little to gain from allowing the student to continue past two minutes in this section. It is advisable to warn students that they will be interrupted if they do so. It should be made clear, that by interrupting them at two minutes, the teacher examiner is acttually helping them score marks and stopping them from wasting their assessment time.

Forms

Centres should submit the IRP form, one for each student, which deatails the title and key findings of the research project. It should be noted that this form is two-sided and that the key findings should be written in English. If students chose to type and print this form, they **must not** extend the key findings boxes to fit in more information. Key findings should be in bullet point form. Centres are no longer required to submit an OR4AL form for each student. However, this message was communicated relatively late in the year, so there was some confusion over this matter. Finally, centres must submit one CS3 form per centre, which all students sign and date. All teachers involved in teaching the students for the A Level German course must also sign and date this form.

Recording

Use the best quality recording equipment that is available. It is also helpful to the students to time the exams around the routines of the centre. For example, avoiding the times that the bell rings, or lesson change overs. Teacher examiners tend to speak louder than students, so place the microphone closer to the student. Avoid writing during the examination, examiners noted the distracting effect that this seems to have on students. Often, examinations are conducted in offices with phones and computers. Ensure that these are switched off or unplugged, to avoid any unwanted distractions.

Final comments

Key Points for Teacher examienrs:

- Check all paper work is present and complete before sending work to examienrs.
- Explain the timing recommendations and restrictions to your students, and explain to them why it is sometimes in their best interests for you to interrupt them if they speak for too long.
- Discuss the support strategies for each assessment objective in this report with your students, and refer to them regularly throughout the course.
- Refer to the guidance on the teacher's role in supervising preparation for the IRP.
- Ensure that students are aware of the full title of each sub theme, so that they can make an informed choice of stimulus card on the day of the examination.
- Ensre that students know which subthemes belong to each main theme, so that they have an idea of how the task one discussion will develop after the prescribed questions.

The examiners marking this assessment appreciate the efforts of centres to make the exams run smoothly for their students, and to allow them to reach their full potential. The hard work that goes into preparing students for examinations is also appreciated and the examiners seek to reward this whenever possible.

We look forward to working with current Edexcel centres in the future and to welcoming new centres to the Edexcel WCQ A Level in German.

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at 80 Strand, London, WC2R 0RL, United Kingdom