

Examiners' Report June 2019

GCE German 9GN0 02



Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <u>www.edexcel.com</u> or <u>www.btec.co.uk</u>.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <u>www.edexcel.com/contactus</u>.

ResultsPlus

Giving you insight to inform next steps

ResultsPlus is Pearson's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam results.

- See students' scores for every exam question.
- Understand how your students' performance compares with class and national averages.
- Identify potential topics, skills and types of question where students may need to develop their learning further.

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit <u>www.edexcel.com/resultsplus</u>. Your exams officer will be able to set up your ResultsPlus account in minutes via Edexcel Online.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: <u>www.pearson.com/uk</u>.

June 2019 Publications Code 9GN0_02_1906_ER

All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2019

Introduction

Overall, this second sitting of 9GN0_02 was pleasing. There was once again clear evidence of thorough preparation by many centres, and also evidence of comments and advice from last year's report being taken on board and applied.

The strongest candidates demonstrated a strong understanding of German grammar in the translation, as well as inventiveness in coping with tricky items of lexis and the ability to recognise when a structure could not be simply imported from English into German. These candidates manipulated the language to good effect.

Strong candidates once again produced analytical, interpretative, well-argued and well-structured essays which addressed the question, using direct quotation and thorough knowledge of the work studied to support their interpretations. These candidates once again used language effectively and appropriately in order to answer the question, and were sufficiently articulate to say what they wanted to say rather than resorting only to what they could say.

As with last year, towards the middle of the range, candidates tended to produce translations which communicated most of the gist and some of the detail of the original, and which demonstrated a partial understanding of German grammar and an unreliable knowledge of relevant items of lexis. They were perhaps less able at this level to recognise when structures could not be translated word for word, but were often inventive in finding solutions for problematic lexis and phrases. At this range, candidates tended to produce essays which focused on the plot and characters of the work studied, along with a few analytical comments, and they tended to lose focus at times. These candidates tended to use language generally well enough, although there was, as last year, evidence of unevenness of language between pre-learned extracts and more spontaneous usage. At times there was evidence of pre-learned structures which were inappropriate.

At the lower end of the range, candidates produced translations which communicated some of the gist of the original but demonstrated a limited understanding of German grammar, often struggling with basic language such as subject-verb agreements or modal + infinitive. They often struggled even with basic lexis and at times used English words or left blanks. At this level candidates tended to produce essays which demonstrated some knowledge of the plot of the work studied, and which tended to be descriptive and narrative with little or no reference to the question.

Across the board, candidates tended to write long essays, which was not necessarily beneficial, as it led often to a lack of focus, the inclusion of irrelevant materials, and a lack of control of the language. On the whole, it is recommended that candidates stick to the suggested word limit and write controlled, focused responses.

The translation discriminated across the cohort, and most candidates were able to access a reasonable proportion of the marks. Most candidates were able to communicate the gist of the passage and basic structures and lexis were generally sound and in place. A pleasing number of candidates were able to manipulate the more complex structures and to recognise when an English structure could not be directly translated into German, finding alternative phrasing. However, a significant minority still struggled with basic subject-verb agreements, use of modal verbs and simple word order such as subject-verb inversion or sending the infinitive to the end in a modal clause. Consistency was an issue – some candidates, for example, who achieved well in other respects used three different genders for *'Fest'*.

Section 1

This was a word which requires a little thought regarding how to translate it and candidates generally responded well. Many were able to come up with 'Jeder', 'Jede Person', 'Jemand', 'Alle', 'Alle Menschen', 'Leute' and occasionally, 'Wer'. There were some incorrect responses which demonstrated partial awareness, such as 'Irgendjemand' or 'Irgendwer', but also some incorrect responses which did not communicate, including 'Irgendeine' and 'Irgendwo'.

Section 2

Most candidates were able to produce a correct response, usually either 'die Musik lieben' or 'der Musik liebt,' although there were a significant number of responses where the relative pronoun was missed or where the verb did not agree, such as, 'wie Musik liebt' or 'der Musik lieben' and occasionally 'wer Musik lieben'.

Section 3

Most candidates were able to communicate the gist of this element. Most were able to use the modal plus infinitive construction with correct word order, and the verb agreeing with the subject from section 2 (although consistency from section 1 through to section 3 was more problematic). Most candidates were aware of the phrase *'im deutschsprachigen Raum'* but many struggled to accurately write it.

Section 4

This element was intended to be demanding, and so it proved. A pleasing number of candidates were able to give *'etwas interessantes'* but only a small number were able to provide the correct *'etwas Interessantes'*.

Section 5

This element was accessible to almost all candidates.

Section 6

This item focused specifically on the correct tense of a common, but frequently mistranslated, verb, and pleasingly, the majority of candidates were able to provide a correct translation of 'wurde' or 'ist ... geworden'. A further significant minority provided an incorrect response which nevertheless demonstrated progress, such as, 'hat ... geworden'. At the lower end of the range, 'hat bekommen' was frequently given.

Section 7

A pleasing number of candidates were able to successfully translate 'of the most popular' with the superlative and the correct ending. At the lower end of the range candidates were not able to cope with this structure. Some candidates who were otherwise successful used direct translations such as, 'meisten populär'.

Section 8

Most candidates were able to communicate this element and many managed the correct word order with a separable verb, which was pleasing. Where candidates did not access this mark, it was usually because they had selected a verb which did not convey 'takes place', such as, 'es passiert/befindet/nimmt Platz'.

Section 9

This section was, on the whole, pleasingly well done. A significant proportion of candidates were able to use 'Wegen' plus the genitive, and a smaller proportion used 'Wegen' plus the dative, which was accepted because it is increasingly commonly used. An alternative successful option was to write a clause, such as, 'weil es so laut ist'. However, 'weil der Lärm' or 'weil auf der Lärm' did occur and were not accepted. A proportion of candidates selected the wrong word for 'noise', such as, 'Klang/Ton/Geräusch' and thus did not communicate effectively.

Section 10

Many candidates were able to provide acceptable translations here. A pleasing number came up with *'verreisen'*, but most used *'gehen ... weg'* or *'fahren ... weg'*. Where candidates did not access the mark, it was usually because of English word order, *'gehen weg an diesem Wochenende'* or lexis which did not communicate, such as *'Residenten'* which is a false friend, closer in meaning to ambassador than resident of a town. Examiners often saw, *'am diesem Wochenende'*, which demonstrates recognition of the need for the dative, although, of course, it is doubled and therefore not fully correct.

Section 11

In this element candidates needed to change the word order, and a pleasing number recognised this and were able to find a correct German word order, such as, 'das wichtigste Winterfest der Clubszene' or 'das Winterfest, das wichtigste in der Clubszene ist'. However, a significant proportion of the cohort adopted the English word order 'Der Clubszenes wichtigstes Winterfest', which was not successful. A small number of candidates translated 'club scene' as 'Vereinsszene', which communicates a very much more traditional kind of club.

Section 12

Many candidates successfully translated this section with almost all giving *'tausende von elektronischen Musikfans'*, although 'Elektro-Musik Fans' was also offered. The verb was usually translated as *'zieht'* or *'bringt'*.

Section 13

This section focused on cases and adjective endings, and discriminated at the top end.

Section 14

This element was accessible to many candidates and there were many correct responses. Less successful answers gave *'freies Musikfestival'*. Some candidates did not know the German word for 'Switzerland', and *'Schweiss'* appeared a couple of times as well.

Section 15

This element focuses specifically on verb manipulation, and a pleasing number of candidates – even those who struggled with communication and word order at times – were able to produce a past tense of the passive, *'wurde ... organisiert'* or *'ist ... organisiert worden.*' A further significant proportion of candidates made attempts at the structure which demonstrated progress, even though they were not fully correct, for example *'wird ... organisiert'* which captures the passive but not the tense, or *'hat ... organisiert worden'* which uses the wrong auxiliary verb. Lower down the range, candidates were not able to produce an appropriate structure, often producing *'hat organisiert'* for example.

Section 16

Most candidates were able to make a reasonable attempt at this element, with a correct relative pronoun, the verb in the correct place, and acceptable lexical choices. Where candidates were unsuccessful, the problem was often the conjugation of the verb, with 'angebot/angebietet' offered instead of 'anbietet'.

Section 17

This first clause of the *Wenn-Satz* proved challenging, with many candidates struggling to find an acceptable tense. Most successful answers used *'besuchen ... würde'*, which is increasingly accepted, although some did use *'besuchte'*. Many wrote *'Wenn man ... besucht'* (if you visit) or *'besucht hätte'* (if you had visited). *'Du'* and *'Sie'* were accepted as alternatives to *'man'*, providing the verbs were appropriately conjugated.

Section 18

The verb in the second clause of the Wenn-Satz was assessed on its own, and many candidates, even those who struggled with section 17, were able to produce a successful translation, usually, *'könnte man ... zuhören.'*.

Section 19

A pleasing number of candidates were able to produce 'auf fünfzehn Bühnen', using the correct preposition and the dative ending. Examiners tolerated a variety of existing plural endings for 'Band' on the grounds that it was clear in the context that musical bands were being spoken of on stage rather than plasters, ribbons, ligaments or book volumes. More commonly, however, candidates referred to 'Bands auf / an fünfzehn Bühne', missing the dative ending.

Section 20

This section also discriminated at the top end. Strong candidates were able to produce appropriate prepositions with the correct cases and make suitable lexical choices for 'unusual locations'. Most candidates identified appropriate pronouns but selected the wrong case. Examiners saw many *'in ungewöhliche Orte'* instead of *'in ungewöhlichen Orten'* and *'um der Stadt'* instead of *'um die Stadt'* or even '*in die Stadt'* instead of *'in der Stadt'*. A number of candidates found 'unusual' unusually difficult to translate, coming up with *'komisch'* or *'doof'*, for example, which were felt not to communicate.

A significant minority of candidates responded to this question. The more popular choice for Q2 was (a). There were effective essays for both options. Candidates were generally well-prepared to answer (a) with many referring to Andri's apprenticeship and the Tischler's hypocritical behaviour. Candidates mentioned and explored the stereotypes that formed Andri's identity and ranged from stating only that Andri is made into a 'Jud' by the common will, to much more subtle analyses of what identity means and how the play develops that theme, with appropriate and detailed evidence from the text. The analysis was often linked to today's world. Stronger responses focused on the development of Andri's identity, analysing his words and behaviour to demonstrate how they illustrate his changing understanding of himself and his place in the world.

Some responses for (b) were very good and explored Frisch's pessimistic view on human nature, analysing the idea of '*Mitläufer*', personal guilt and responsibility, again linked to today's world, while others took a narrow view of the question and mostly did not touch on the idea of destiny.

Question 3

'Der Besuch der alten Dame' was an extremely popular work.

The more popular choice for Q3 was (a). There were some strong essays analysing two types of women in the play (important/powerful and unimportant/dependent), the latter not being named and *'entbehrlich'*. Stronger candidates mentioned Ill's wife and the relatively small roles the other women play, considering both their insignificance and their moral choices. Claire is seen as an exception to her sex, and candidates mentioned that through her character the changing role of women is portrayed. Stronger responses discussed the generally negative portrayal of women in the work, often linking it to the historical context. The strongest answers considered how Claire's portrayal might or might not be emblematic of Dürrenmatt's view of all women, with a consideration of whether strong women were seen as monstrous or unnatural.

Many candidates, however, focussed only on Claire and so limited their response to character study, and could only be credited for a very partial focus on the question.

(b) was generally well handled where simple description was avoided; temptation was strong, however, to summarize the whole play here and not draw out the conclusions that the quotation invites. Less successful responses simply talked about the play's critique of capitalism/materialism, often focussing on the importance of money and the temptation of the offer. Arguments were not always linked to the quotation, and sometimes the quotation was not mentioned. The more successful essays referred to parts of the quotation and explored *'brennen'*, *'Gewissen'* and *'schändlich'*. The strongest responses drew out the subtle ways that wealth corrupts and how no-one is safe from this, including the Lehrer, who appears to be the only moral character throughout much of the play.

In the box, state whether you are answering part (a) or part (b).	λ.	
-------------------------------------------------------------------	----	--

gut
Claire-stark, Peich, Machinoll
Fran ILL nat an Lader
Alter Dame, werden Flanen wie man (erwaster willde) Soes zahren geschrieren wurde(3
Lie Figur van chaire
andere France in Film Ne
h werder.

und die Fleuen I und 2
high man Nul von Fran Fill, May Ille Tochter Due
dient auch als eine regative Dastellung van Founer,
we'll as ist als ob die Flawer nicht wichtig
geurg eind, im stèce zu sein , asconn es viele
A unwantige Männer Romen douts glust. Zusätzlich sind
die France im Film hauptsächlich negative gezeigt,
zum Relspier claire lie grotert and at the septimentat
ma and Fran III, die schnell waren kunst, die
she alone thre markes to desged witht sign leister körnte,
wie in reizmantein Dadeuch wind sie dis eine
unnoralische Person dargestellt, was der Blid von Frauen
roch schlechter macht
Zun continse winde ich sagen, dass Obwoni die Parstellang
von Flauen in des Besuch der Alten Dame ustypiech
ist, finde ich dass de des Bild van Franken in
stäck eigentlich zienlich regativ ist vegen die von der mehrheit der schlechte persönlich beiten, und die machtlorigkeitig
Franken.



In this example, the introduction is focused on the question of representation of women, and sets out the candidate's structure for the essay, referring to historical context, the figure of Claire, and the other women in the play. The essay is structured, with short arguments, using point, evidence and exploration to answer the question.

The section on the other women refers to their absence and provides a convincing explanation for this. It also refers to immoral behaviour and argues that this reinforces the negative portrayal. There is little direct quotation in this section (after all, how do you quote an absence) but there is clear knowledge and understanding of the work which informs the interpretations. The language is strong. The candidate manipulates complex sentences with multiple clauses effectively. There are some word order errors and some case errors, but on the whole, the level of language is high, and language and ideas are well controlled.

The whole essay was awarded 18/18/10.

Very few candidates responded to this question.

Question 5

Der Vorleser was a very popular work.

The more popular choice here was (a). The strongest candidates explored the deeper meanings and symbolism of remembering and forgetting, relating it to the historical context of *'Vergangenheitsbewältigung'* and the need to remember suppressed memories in order to deal with them. Candidates across much of the range tended to be able to comment on Michael's wish to forget Hanna and his inability to do so, but this was not always effectively analysed or interpreted. Lower down the range, candidates tended to focus on what characters remembered and forgot within the plot, and there was a need for more effective analysis, and more constant seeking for deeper meaning. Across the range in this question, there was the need for candidates to narrate less, to select more, and to write shorter, more focused responses in which every point is analysed and used. There was also a need for more effective textual support for points made.

(b) was less often answered. At the top end of the range, there were some very strong essays, particularly when candidates concentrated on the paradoxical nature of what Michael represents as having happened, and the vehicle this becomes for the author to symbolise the post-war coming to terms (or not) with the trauma of what had been done and witnessed. However, lower down the range, candidates simply retold the story as if that were all that was required of the *'Erzählperspektive'*, and there were instances of candidates who seemed unsure what was meant by *'Erzählperspektive'*. Many candidates were able to comment on Michael's unreliability, but it would have been more pleasing had more candidates been able to provide examples as textual support for this point. Again, there is a need for shorter, more focused responses with real care given to selecting key points, providing textual evidence and exploring the implications with reference to the question.

trinnerungen und Vergessen sehr eng verbunden Sind, und Michaels Ellen Konnen ganzgenan Können ous worklen was sie sich erinnen 129 und was sie vergessen herbenzobwohl dies hicht unmer der Wahrheit entspricht. Dies stellt vergesser schilde wenn wur worche wenn er gant schildene Erinderunge sind. Hanna, die auch zu der Generation Michaels von und Michael 26 Eltern gehört, wirdso daurgestellt das wider Lesern vin ihrer Vergangenheit werge am Anfang der nients badres. We man später erfährt, war sie Aufschirin Konsentrationslager in Awchwitz und hat diese Erinnerungen versucht on unterdrücken und vergessen. sie dann inter the in das Gefängnis Jedoch als Kommt Mar Fihlt sie sich sehr schuldige tim so mehr sie sich mit dem Krieg beschäftigt, und realisient was sie getan hat. Mit dieser Schuld versucht sie som winder Abrolution Zu Veine Absolution erlangen, damit sie diese Furchtbaren vergessen Kann, Jedoch Koriogt Sie daes Menn Leben, well die und sie nimmt sich das Erinnerungen zu überwältigent sind; dies zeigt was eine Macht Erinneningen halben Können, und wie wichtig es ist manchinal vergenen Zu Können Schlussendlich Kann man sagen, dass Vergessen



The candidate identifies from previously made points that remembering and forgetting are closely linked, and we have a choice about remembering or forgetting, which is quite a sophisticated point at this level. Ideally the candidate's own comment would go beyond 'this is not true'. The candidate is using everyday experience of accidental forgetting to comment on the active forgetting which occurs after an individual or collective trauma, as in Michael's abuse at the hands of Hanna, or the trauma of the war for the Tätergeneration. The point added at the end of the paragraph approaches an important point about the repression of bad memories, which is relevant, but again could ideally be more deeply explored.

In the next paragraph of this extract, we can see that the candidate is trying to interpret Hanna's remembering and forgetting, there is clear evidence of interpretation '*Mit dieser Schuld versucht sie Absolution zu Erlangen, damit sie diese furchtbaren Erinnerungen vergessen kann*'. The examiner would ideally like to see textual evidence in support of this claim. '... und sie nimmt sich das Leben weil die Erinnerungen zu überwältigent [sic] sind, und das zeigt, was eine Macht Erinnerungen haben können...'. This interpretation is convincing, but again, textual support would strengthen the point.

Overall, in this extract, the candidate has identified some key points, there is some thoughtful exploration, which is convincing, and it is clear that the candidate knows the work, but ideally there would be more direct textual support at times. The lexis is very varied; the range of structures is wide. Overall, this essay was awarded 19/20/10.

The few responses seen were evenly split between (a) and (b). Responses to (a) tended to concentrate on the Currywurst 'Rahmenhandlung' and needed in general to consider the significance of food on a deeper level. Some responses did talk about Bremer's loss of the sense of taste and a mother-son nurturing relationship developing.

Candidates answering (b) in general needed much more in-depth knowledge and analysis to answer this question.

Question 7

There were a very small number of responses, and candidates who responded to this work generally selected part (b). Candidates were able to make some reasonable points about the parallel to Werther, although they rarely questioned the term '*Beziehung*'.

Question 8

A significant minority of candidates selected *Die Verwandlung* for study, including quite a few for whom it was the second literary work. There were some very thoughtful essays for (a) with some imaginative and unique interpretations of Gregor's metamorphosis, e.g. struggles with family relationships, with depression, with work and belonging to a minority group in Prague and even being a writer rather than a 'normal' bread-winner. Some candidates focused on interpreting the transformation literally or metaphorically, and this was less successful.

Candidates could usually identify the autobiographical aspects of the work, as well as symbolic interpretations. *'Brief an den Vater'* was mentioned quite often, and was often used well to inform an interpretation, but at times seemed to be more of a focus than the novella itself. The strongest candidates set up their lines of argument and possible ways of answering the question in the introduction, and came to a conclusion about the best interpretation in the conclusion. However, a significant proportion of candidates gave their answer in the introduction and repeated it in the conclusion with different words.

There were very few answers for (b) and these were less successful, with little effective exploration of the various mental and physical boundaries or limits – although candidates in part (a) did refer to the closed doors, the window and the inability to speak, move or communicate which might have formed part of this response.

In the box, state whether you are answering part (a) or part (b). A Gregor Samsa erlebt eine Verwardhug die viele Fragen auf wirft. Clouch es ratifich ein Merapher in mir einer Bedenning ist Rombe der Muss der Leser eurscheiden, was sie interpretieren. Jelach ist es Fir alle eine der Käfer für alle ein bedewendes Syntax für Errhendung von der Gezellschaft. Zuerst zeigt die Verwandlung eine Gubrendung, die Kähnlich Leber von Franz Kathra ist. Als ein Käter & sieht Sunsa whenever ise bein Mensch aus. Zwar ist sein Zimmer ein inchriges, nut ehros zu bleines Menschen zimmer " Diege Aussige behont das Hor "Mensulenzimmer" um zu zeigen, dass Samsa bein pasender Gnudner ist. Tropz dieser inmensellichen Ausselien Fühlte sich Gregor als ein Mersch & derver nie normal, der seine Familie Vrann ihn Nicht verpehen. Also be vielleicht schlägt das vor dass die Gesellschaft und die Familie Gregor als Außenseiter sie sehen. Franz Katka huurde Mirtin huur einer ähnlichen Reagiering erlebet wegen weber In der ährlichen Schlussfolgend ist die Verwardlung eine Merapher mit vielen Interpretationer. Vielleichte Jedoch ist day sichtigste Thoma die eine Enthendung. Vielleicht gibt es eine Enthrendung von der Ceyellichofr, der Familie oder den Arbeit, alber Kälka bestähigt riches is i die Verwandling



This is an extract from a very strong response.

Here we see how the candidate deals with one possible interpretation of Gregor's metamorphosis. The idea of *Entfremdung* is convincing, and there is effective exploration, based on textual evidence, which goes way beyond the surface.

Throughout the essay the language is varied, complex and predominantly accurate. There is good use of essay and technical literary language – although this extract contains 'vorschlagen' to mean 'suggest', which is an awkward translation, as 'vorschlagen' means 'to propose (a course of action)' rather than 'to indicate' - 'hindeuten (auf)' would be a better word here. Nevertheless, examiners are not looking for perfection. This is a pleasing level of German for an A Level candidate to have attained.

The response's main weakness is in its overall argument. The candidate directly addresses the question in the introduction, but then says there are many possible answers, and gives their preferred answer. The introduction could have been more effectively used to set up the structure and the lines of argument - which certainly exist in the whole essay. The candidate talks about 'Entfremdung,' with reference to Gregor and Kafka as 'Außenseiter', with reference to the family situations, and to Kafka as a German speaking Jew in Prague at the beginning of the twentieth century. In the rest of the response the candidate deals with the Marxist interpretation of the 'Abgrund zwischen Arbeitnehmer und Arbeitgeber' and finally considers the idea that Gregor's metamorphosis can be seen as indicating the absurdity of life. Ideally, the introduction would have set out this structure and provided direction. The conclusion more or less repeats the introduction, reasserting 'Entfremdung' as the preferred answer, but without giving reasons why this is the preferred response. Nevertheless, the body of the essay was extremely strong, and this response was awarded 19/20/10.

A small minority of candidates responded to Die verlorene Ehre der Katharina B.

(b) was a far more popular option than (a). For (b) candidates often took 'Blorna' to mean the married couple rather than just Hubert Blorna, which led to a loss of focus on the question and a superficial treatment. Less successful responses focussed on Blorna's role (stating he played an important part in the plot for example) rather than his significance. A few more successful responses explored the depths of Blorna's ambivalent relationship with Katharina and discussed its darker side. However, Blorna was often portrayed as a helpful man who does everything to help her out of the goodness of his heart. The few candidates who did (a) concentrated on the main sources of the story, and stronger responses touched on the relative reliability of these.

Question 10

A minority of candidates responded to the work *ich fühl mich so fifty-fifty*. Responses in general tended to provide narrative, description or a summary of the plot. There was also a tendency for responses to concentrate their evidence on letters (which was the topic of a previous year's question), leading to a lack of focus on the actual question.

The majority of the responses to (a) tended to focus on either feelings or events, with insufficient attempt to assess their relative importance; responses generally needed more exploration and more specific textual support. This meant that only a few successful responses were able to make an informed judgment on how far they agreed with the statement in the essay question.

More successful responses to (b) brought out the gradual disintegration of Sabine's family. The strongest showed how the seeds of this were sown well before the Wall came down and thus indicating that either the essential dysfunction in the family or the effects of the Regime were at fault, although they considered specifically the role of the 'border' in terms of keeping family members apart. Such candidates tended to note that the 'reconciliation' at the end is by no means complete or likely to be permanent. Many candidates listed the problems the family had with the Regime, and attributed them to the border, which was not fully successful. Some candidates resorted to a simple retelling of the story and this is never going to be a successful strategy.

Question 11

A small minority of candidates responded to questions on Sansibar.

Both options were equally popular.

There were some very strong responses in (a), analysing in depth and with convincing clarity, although less successful responses tended to concentrate on characters' actions rather than their intellectual and mental journey to maturity.

In (b) candidates tended, at times, to concentrate on a limited number of concrete threats (e.g. Nazism/communism only) but needed to explore more deeply the abstract elements of symbolism, foreboding etc which contribute so strongly in the work to the portrayal of threat.

Very few candidates responded to this work.

Question 13

Very few candidates responded to this work.

Question 14

Very few candidates responded to this work.

Question 15

A small minority of candidates responded to questions about the film *Almanya: Willkommen in Deutschland*. Both questions were responded to. In (a) candidates tended to focus on the reality and expectation for the Turkish family with little exploration of the quotation relating to '*Arbeitskräfte*' and '*Menschen*' in the historical context. There was much comment about toilets, sometimes with attempts to analyse how expectations change.

In (b) candidates mainly chose to focus on individual instances of flashbacks, rather than overall narrative structure. Many responses were narrative, but some did make analytical comments about the flashbacks.

As always, *Das Leben der Anderen* was a very popular choice. The responses were fairly evenly divided between (a) and (b).

In (a) successful responses considered the development of the atmosphere of repression through the plot, scenes, characters and technical aspects of the film. Some stronger explorations mentioned Jerska's character as an example of an artist oppressed by the regime (no artistic or creative freedom), many used the '*Verwanzung*' by the Stasi and the dialogue between Wiesler and Frau Meineke as an instance of oppression. The oppression exerted on Stasi members was also explored. The use of techniques and music was stated and in stronger responses explored with consideration of the effects on the viewer and analysis of *how* these techniques created the atmosphere. There was a tendency to plot narration and description at the lower end of the range. Some responses did not clearly distinguish between '*Unterdrückung*' and '*Druck*' (last year's question (a)) and explained in great detail how Wiesler was under pressure.

There were some very strong essays in (b) as candidates explored Sieland's character (lack of self-confidence, importance of career to her, drug addiction), the pressure put on her by the regime, her position as an artist in the GDR, her relationship with Dreymann, and Sieland as a sexual object (victim of men). Her drug problem was usually seen as a sign of weakness. The strongest responses gave serious thought to what her main problem was, whilst less successful responses assumed her weakness. Responses ranged from pure story-telling to some very strong and insightful analysis of the impossible situation in which she found herself and the meaning of her death and whether this was weak (giving up) or somehow redemptive (a defiance of the GDR regime). There seemed at times to be some confusion about the abusive nature of Hempf's demands on Sieland.

Jedoch muss messa zugegeben werden, dass der Staat und die Stasi diese Probleme entwickelp und manipulieren, was vorschlagen würde, dass der Staat das Hauptproblem Aür CMS ist. Zum Beispiel erkennt Hempf immer wieder, dass ihre kamere in den Händen der Stasi sei, was gema der Grund für ihre Affäre mit ihm ist. Coms erzählt Dreyman, "Grotzdem liegt man sich genauso mit ihnen ins Bett, warum tut man das denn?"). Daher ist der Staat das Hauptproblem für CMS, weil die Stelle für Frauen in der DPR ganz schwierig mit und das verursachte so ihr Stress und^{Mes}Schwäche.

Darüber hnaus lignte es auch behauptet werden, dass Dreyman als ein Problem für CMS betrachten Kann. Das liegt daran, dass CMS natürlich weiß, dass Dreyman gegen den Kastaat kämpft, als er den Ker Artikel über Selbstmordstatistik in der DDR geschrieben hatte. Wies Da CMS Kongst vor Hempf und der Stasi hatte, & würden diese



The extract shows parts of two interpretations of Sieland's main weakness. It is a strength that the candidate considers and attempts to weigh up these different possibilities and also that they consider Dreymann as one of her problems. Typical responses did not consider the effects of his actions on Sieland. The response tends to make a point, give some mixed narrative and quotation evidence and offer fairly basic exploration. The quotation about Dreymann being in bed with the Stasi is not quite to the point, and not fully used, however.

The essay and technical language is a further strength of this response. Otherwise the language is generally fairly simple and well controlled. The lexis is generally sound with one or two unfortunate lexical choices.' *Erkennt*' is not the right word, and '*vorschlagen*' is the wrong sort of 'suggest', meaning more 'to propose [a course of action]'. '*Hindeuten (auf)*' would be more appropriate here. Overall the response was fairly strong, gaining 15/16/8.

A very small minority of candidates responded to questions on the film *Das Wunder von Bern*. Most of these responded to part (a), and many were able to consider how the different relationships between the characters reflected the situation in Germany, usually focusing on the relationships within the Lubanski family. Some, but by no means all, of the responses directly answered the question which required a judgement about which relationship **best** reflected the situation in Germany. Few candidates mentioned the Ackermanns.

Question 18

There were very few responses to this question.

Question 19

There were no responses to this question.

Question 20

There were very few responses to this question.

Question 21

A significant minority of candidates responded to questions on *Die Welle*.

For (a) many responses tended to be narrative rather than analytical. Stronger responses highlighted that arguments between Karo and Marco were the trigger for both Karo's resistance to the Wave and Marco's eventual realisation that it had gone too far, and that their relationship could, thus, be said to affect the plot. Some stronger responses considered the relative role of other characters and how this affected the plot more than the relationship between Karo and Marco's relationship and answered only some aspects of the content, often discussing the different characters of Karo and Marco but focussing on them as individuals within the film rather than considering the dynamics of their relationship.

There were some very pleasing responses for (b) which showed a wide range of title-specific vocabulary and strong powers of analysis, focusing on the effects of the techniques on the viewer and the links between the techniques and the themes, with far fewer narrative responses. Candidates often mentioned camera technique and perspective, music/sound and colours in their answers, with focus on the techniques used in scenes involving stamping, spraying the logo, Karo alone in the dark corridor, distributing leaflets at the water polo game, and the climactic scene in the school hall, which closely resembles a national socialist rally.

Goodbye Lenin was an extremely popular film once again. The vast majority of candidates responding to questions on this film chose (a). Stronger responses considered the symbolism and its application to the historical context, whilst a number of responses considered individual symbols such as CocaCola. The strongest essays found examples of symbolism (the use of red, food, lies etc.) and analysed these well, with a focus not only on where they were used and what the historical context was, but on how they represented the abstract ideas relating to the context. The stronger essays had a detailed analysis of the relationship between Ariane and Rainer (the two Germanys), Lenin statue, '*Raumfahrt*' (freedom), football, colours and of course '*Spreewaldgurken*'. Some candidates used characters as symbolic of the themes represented in the film e.g. Rainer as a typical Westerner, Christiane as representing the values of the GDR.

Unfortunately many candidates talked just about the historical context rather than the ways symbolism is used to make the historical context comprehensible. Sometimes scenes were seen as symbols and some essays were just general essays on historic context with main features being the fall of the Wall and the 'Wende' - '*Die Kontext im Film ist sehr symbolisch zu dem Film*'. Other candidates saw symbols and context as one concept, for example: '*Es ist gesagt worden, dass die Symbolik den historischen Kontext ein wichtiges Thema ist*'.

(b) was, in general, fairly well answered. Some effective examples were found of the different types of music and how they are put to good effect. Candidates were able to identify the contribution of music to the overall effect of various scenes, such as the fast 'modern' music during the Wende representing the pace of political change or the slow, mournful music in the hospital scenes underscoring the emotional impact of Christiane's illness, or the comic-nostalgic effects of the young boys trying to sing 'young pioneer songs' for Christiane's birthday. These candidates often reflected on how the music and its effects change throughout the film. However, a significant minority of candidates responding to this question provided rather vague comments about non-specific music alongside an account of the plot.

keine edite Hobessenning Auserden steht auch die in der DDR als Symbol der Ostalgie Shring weil Geld nicht austauschen und Ariane it kan Alex wird. Das zer de D-Mark engefuhrt nicht die die Bürger der ehen die Welt, dass NUS was Alex verheinlichen =1, weil ihre Was ister, alkent vettos DUR haben Wal Zusannenheitsgefühl dàss saden des auch sk, der Wessi DDR veloren wind veloce weil Barle Arare richt arbeitet, Alex hilfe und Object Objekte un diese Gliker rutzt Jeshalb dass symbolisierer Ostdeutscher die where chanakge , und , dass viele Heinat veloren Aspekte dava haber alls Die Synbolik Selen vernissten takes. Ostal macht die die viele Ostdeutscher gelüllt haben, verständlich, und suigt nücht nier was Alex verseinhiche muss, sallen auch warm Aspehle der DOR vermisst. die er jestimete t und -seige macht die Synbolik das Ende von Auserden nater Be Sozialismus in der DDR verständlich. Zun Beisgiel wen



This is an extract from a very strong response. In this extract, the candidate refers directly, briefly and with focus to a specific scene in the work to exemplify the point about the currency as a symbol of what has been lost. There is no direct quotation (although there is elsewhere in the response) but it is clear which scene and which aspects of the scene are being referred to. The response moves straight into analysis and exploration, with effective justification, considering the deeper meaning of the symbolism here. The candidate really does demonstrate very effectively how the symbolism of the currency is used in this scene to make Ostalgie more comprehensible, by highlighting what the people have lost.

Throughout the response the language is complex and varied, with strong use of essay and technical language, and overall very good accuracy. This response was awarded 20/20/9.

A small minority of candidates responded to questions on *Lola Rennt*. Candidates responded well to part (a). Stronger essays mentioned chaos theory, the butterfly effect, time as a spiral and circle, and Lola's potential for reincarnation - reliving the last few minutes of her life and learning to accept chance and her fate so that the final variation has a favourable outcome. Some added that Tykwer had studied philosophy, hence his interest and effectiveness in using the film to raise such questions. Less successful essays tended to focus on love, and provided narrative accounts e.g. Lola asking Manni, 'liebst du mich?' and then re-telling the plot in its three variations.

No essays were seen for (b).

Question 24

Very few candidates responded to this work.

Question 25

Very few candidates responded to this work.

Question 26

Few essays were seen for this work.

Section B

A small number of candidates did not mark the box provided to indicate which question they were answering for their first response, and so appeared in Section B.

Section C

A small number of candidates did not mark the box provided to indicate which question they were answering for their second response, and so appeared in Section C.

Paper Summary

Based on their performance on this paper, candidates are offered the following advice:

In the translation:

- Make sure you communicate the right message concentrate on the ideas not the words.
- Consider whether a structure can be used in German, or whether it needs to be rephrased.
- Focus on verb formation, tenses and agreements.
- Concentrate on German word order.

In the essays:

- Answer the question that is being asked not the question you wish had been asked.
- Structure your argument so that your quotations justify your opinions and interpretations (with reference to the question).
- Be concise, focused and relevant.
- Leave out 'good' points that you have learned but which are not relevant. The examiner is looking for relevant points.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:

http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at 80 Strand, London WC2R 0RL.