



---

A-level

# Mathematics

MS03 – Statistics 3

Mark scheme

---

6360

June 2016

---

Version 1.0: Final Mark Scheme

---

---

Mark schemes are prepared by the Lead Assessment Writer and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all associates participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the students' responses to questions and that every associate understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each associate analyses a number of students' scripts. Alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, associates encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Lead Assessment Writer.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of students' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this mark scheme are available from [aqa.org.uk](http://aqa.org.uk)

**Key to mark scheme abbreviations**

M	mark is for method
m or dM	mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for method
A	mark is dependent on M or m marks and is for accuracy
B	mark is independent of M or m marks and is for method and accuracy
E	mark is for explanation
✓ or ft or F	follow through from previous incorrect result
CAO	correct answer only
CSO	correct solution only
AWFW	anything which falls within
AWRT	anything which rounds to
ACF	any correct form
AG	answer given
SC	special case
OE	or equivalent
A2,1	2 or 1 (or 0) accuracy marks
-x EE	deduct x marks for each error
NMS	no method shown
PI	possibly implied
SCA	substantially correct approach
c	candidate
sf	significant figure(s)
dp	decimal place(s)

**No Method Shown**

Where the question specifically requires a particular method to be used, we must usually see evidence of use of this method for any marks to be awarded.

Where the answer can be reasonably obtained without showing working and it is very unlikely that the correct answer can be obtained by using an incorrect method, we must award **full marks**. However, the obvious penalty to candidates showing no working is that incorrect answers, however close, earn **no marks**.

Where a question asks the candidate to state or write down a result, no method need be shown for full marks.

Where the permitted calculator has functions which reasonably allow the solution of the question directly, the correct answer without working earns **full marks**, unless it is given to less than the degree of accuracy accepted in the mark scheme, when it gains **no marks**.

**Otherwise we require evidence of a correct method for any marks to be awarded.**

**General Notes for MS03**

- GN1** There is no allowance for misreads (MR) or miscopies (MC) unless specifically stated in a question
- GN2** In general, a correct answer (to accuracy required) without working scores full marks but an incorrect answer (or an answer not to required accuracy) scores no marks
- GN3** In general, a correct answer (to accuracy required) without units scores full marks
- GN4** When applying AFWF, a slightly inaccurate numerical answer that is subsequently rounded to fall within the accepted range cannot be awarded full marks
- GN5** Where percentage equivalent answers are permitted in a question, then penalise by **one accuracy mark** at the first **correct** answer but only if no indication of percentage (eg %) is shown
- GN6** In questions involving probabilities, do **not** award **accuracy** marks for answers given in the form of a ratio or odds such as  $13/47$  given as  $13:47$  or  $13:34$
- GN7** Accept decimal answers, providing that they have **at least two** leading zeros, in the form  $c \times 10^{-n}$  (eg  $0.00321$  as  $3.21 \times 10^{-3}$ )

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
<b>1</b> <b>(a)</b>	$\hat{p}_M = \frac{264}{480} = \frac{11}{20} \text{ or } \underline{0.55}$ and $\hat{p}_W = \frac{220}{500} = \frac{11}{25} \text{ or } \underline{0.44}$ $95\% \Rightarrow z = \underline{1.96}$ CI for $p_M - p_W$ is $(0.55 - 0.44) \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{0.55 \times 0.45}{480} + \frac{0.44 \times 0.56}{500}}$ ie $\underline{0.11 \pm 0.06}$ or $\underline{(0.05, 0.17)}$	B1  B1  M1 M1 AF1  A1	Both CAO  AWRT   AWRT  CAO/AWRT  AWRT	$(\hat{p}_p = 0.49388)$  $(1.95996)$  $(\hat{p}_M - \hat{p}_W) \pm (1.96 \text{ or } 1.64 \text{ to } 1.65)\sqrt{a}$ Expression for $\sqrt{a}$ F on $\hat{p}_M$ and $\hat{p}_W$ and $z$  $(0.06224)$
<b>Note</b>	1 A pooled estimate of variance $(0.11 \pm 0.06062) \Rightarrow$ B1 B1 M1 M0 AF0 A1 (a maximum of 4 marks)			
<b>(b)</b>	CI > 0.025 or LCL > 0.025  Evidence to <b>support the claim</b>	BF1  Bdep1	2	F on CI providing CI > 0.025  Dep on BF1
<b>Notes</b>	1 There must be a reference to 0.025 (OE) <b>and</b> a clear comparison with the answer to (a) 2 Accept answers suggesting that selections may not be random and/or independent <b>or</b> that based on 480 & 500 may not be representative <b>or</b> changes of opinions between opinion poll and referendum			
		<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>	

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
2 (a)		M1 M1 M1	3	Shape; $2 \times 2 \times 3 = 12$ branches  Labels; OT & L <b>and</b> E & OT & L  Attempt at percentages or probabilities for D <b>and</b> M <b>and</b> T
(b)(i)	$P(T_{OT}) = 0.351 + 0.063 + 0.009 + 0.017 = \underline{\underline{0.44}}$	B1	(1)	CAO
(ii)	$P(T_{OT}   D_{OT}) = \frac{0.351+0.063}{0.9} = \frac{0.414}{0.9} = \underline{\underline{0.46}}$	M1 A1	(2)	Correct numerator; PI CAO
(iii)	$P(T_{E \text{ or } OT}   D_{OT}) = 0.46 + \frac{0.14625 + 0.0315}{0.9} = 0.46 + \frac{0.17775}{0.9} = 0.46 + \underline{\underline{0.197 \text{ to } 0.20}} = \underline{\underline{0.657 \text{ to } 0.66}}$	M1 A1 A1	(3)	(ii) + p AWFW; PI (0.1975) AWFW (0.6575)
(iv)	$P(T_{E \text{ or } OT}   M_{OT}) = \frac{0.14625 + 0.351 + 0.00375 + 0.009}{0.9 \times 0.65 + 0.1 \times 0.15} = \frac{0.51}{0.6} = \underline{\underline{0.85}}$	M1 A1	(2)	Correct numerator; PI CAO
SCs	1 $0.25 + 0.60 = 0.85 \Rightarrow$ B2      2 $1 - 0.15 = 0.85 \Rightarrow$ B2		8	
(c)	$P(T_{OT}   D_{OT}) = 0.46$ $P(T_E   D_{OT}) = 0.6575 - 0.46 = \underline{\underline{0.197 \text{ to } 0.20}}$ $P(T_{OT} \cap T_{OT} \cap T_E) = 0.46^2 \times 0.1975 \times 3 = \underline{\underline{0.125 \text{ to } 0.126}}$	B1 M1 m1 A1	4	AWFW; PI (0.1975) $p_1^2 \times p_2$ CAO AWFW (0.12537)
		<b>Total</b>	<b>15</b>	

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
<b>3</b>	$H_0: \lambda_B = \lambda_A$ $H_1: \lambda_B > \lambda_A$	B1		Both
	$CV(1\%) \Rightarrow z = \underline{2.32 \text{ to } 2.33}$	B1		AWFW (2.3263)
	$\hat{\lambda}_A = \frac{315}{30} = \underline{10.5}$ and $\hat{\lambda}_B = \frac{747}{60} = \underline{12.45}$	B1		Both CAO $\hat{\lambda} = \frac{1062}{90} = \underline{11.8}$
	$z = \frac{12.45 - 10.5}{\sqrt{\frac{12.45}{60} + \frac{10.5}{30}}} = \underline{2.61}$	M1 M1 Adep1		Correct numerator Correct denominator AWRT; dep on M1 M1 (2.61163)
	<b>or</b> $z = \frac{12.45 - 10.5}{\sqrt{11.8 \left( \frac{1}{60} + \frac{1}{30} \right)}} = \underline{2.54}$	(M1) (M1) (A1)		Correct numerator Correct denominator AWRT; dep on M1 M1 (2.53868)
Thus evidence, at 1% level, to <b>support the claim</b> that $\lambda_B > \lambda_A$	Adep1		Dep on z-value and CV	
			<b>7</b>	
		<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
4(a) (i)	R: mean = <u>35</u> variance = <u>125</u>	B1	(1)	Both CAO
(ii)	F: variance = $15^2 + 20^2 + (2 \times 15 \times 20 \times 0.25)$ $= \underline{775}$	B1 M1 A1		(3)
(iii)	T: mean = <u>150</u> variance = <u>900</u>	B1 A1	(2)	CAO CAO
(iv)	D: variance = $20^2 + 15^2 - (2 \times 20 \times 15 \times 0.25)$ <b>or</b> $= (\text{ii}) - 4 \times 15 \times 20 \times 0.25$ $= \underline{475}$	B1  (M1)  B1	(2)	CAO  Only if M1 not scored in (ii)  CAO
				<b>8</b>
(b) (i)	$P(T < 180) = P\left(Z < \frac{180 - 150}{\sqrt{900}}\right)$ $= P(Z < 1) = \underline{0.841}$	M1  A1	(2)	Standardising 180 with values from (a)(iii) but must involve $\sqrt{\quad}$  AWRT (0.84134)
(ii)	$P(W - V > 60) =$ $P(D > 60) = P\left(Z > \frac{60 - 35}{\sqrt{475}}\right)$ $= P(Z > 1.147) = 1 - P(Z < 1.147)$ $= 1 - (0.873 \text{ to } 0.875) = \underline{0.125 \text{ to } 0.127}$	M1  M1  A1	(3)	Standardising 60 with values from (a)(iv) but must involve $\sqrt{\quad}$  Area change; can be implied by <b>any final answer &lt; 0.5</b>  AWFW (0.12567)
			<b>5</b>	
		<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>	



Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
5 (a)	$\bar{D}$ has a <b>normal</b> distribution with and $\text{variance} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + 1.5^2 \times \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$ $= \frac{3.25\sigma^2}{n}$	B1 B1 M1 A1	<b>4</b>	Normal CAO Must have (+ sign) & (1.5 or 1.5 <sup>2</sup> ) but allow no ( $\div n$ ) OE single expression
(b)	$H_0: \mu_{XL} = 1.5\mu_L$ $H_1: \mu_{XL} \neq 1.5\mu_L$ $5\% \Rightarrow z = \underline{(\pm)1.96}$ $z = \frac{ 2261 - 1.5 \times 1509 }{\sqrt{\frac{3.25 \times 4.5^2}{50}}} = \frac{\pm 2.5}{\sqrt{1.31625}}$ $= \underline{(\pm)2.18}$ Evidence, at 5% level, that <b>claim is not supported</b>	B1 B1 M1 M1 A1 Adep1	<b>6</b>	B1 both; allow any valid notation AWRT (1.95996) Numerator; allow (2261 – 1509) Denominator; allow $\sqrt{2 \times 4.5^2 / 50}$ OE AWRT (2.17907) Dep on z-value and CV Must have consistent signs
		<b>Total</b>	<b>10</b>	

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
<b>6</b> <b>(a)</b>	$E(X) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} x \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!} =$ $\lambda \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x-1}}{(x-1)!} =$ with $y = x - 1$ $\lambda \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^y}{y!} = \lambda \times 1 = \lambda$	M1	<b>(3)</b>	Used; ignore limits until A1
	$E(X(X-1)) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} x(x-1) \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!} =$ $\lambda^2 \sum_{x=2}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x-2}}{(x-2)!} = \lambda^2$	M1 A1		Used; ignore limits until A1 Factor of $\lambda^2$ plus $x!$ to $(x-2)!$ and fully complete and correct derivation
	$\text{Var}(X) = E(X^2) - (E(X))^2 =$ $E(X(X-1)) + \lambda - \lambda^2 = \lambda$	M1 A1		Used Fully complete and correct derivation
<b>Note</b>	<b>1</b> Other derivations are possible throughout (a)			
			<b>7</b>	
<b>(b)(i)</b>	$P(0 \text{ faults}) = e^{-0.75}$	<b>Po(0.75)</b> B1 = <b>0.472</b> B1	<b>2</b>	PI AWRT (0.47237)
<b>(ii)</b> <b>(A)</b>	$P(35 < F < 45) =$ $P(F \leq 45.5 \text{ or } 45) - P(F \leq 34.5 \text{ or } 35) =$ $P(Z < \underline{1.31}) - P(Z < \underline{-0.49})$ $= \underline{0.591 \text{ to } 0.597}$	B1 M1 m1 A1	<b>(4)</b>	Normal with mean = variance = 37.5 in (A) or (B) Standardising (29.5 or 30 or 30.5) with C's mean = variance Area change; can be implied by <b>any final answer &lt; 0.5</b> AWFW (0.09571)
<b>(B)</b>	$P(35 \leq F \leq 45) =$ $P(F \leq 45.5 \text{ or } 45) - P(F \leq 34.5 \text{ or } 35) =$ $P(Z < \underline{1.31}) - P(Z < \underline{-0.49})$ $= \underline{0.591 \text{ to } 0.597}$	M1 A1 A1	<b>(3)</b>	Area difference Both AWRT (1.30639 & 0.48990) AWFW (0.59219)
<b>SC</b>	<b>1</b> Use of Poisson: <b>(A)</b> 0.092 (AWRT) $\Rightarrow$ B2 <b>(B)</b> 0.582 (AWRT) $\Rightarrow$ B1 (max of 3 marks)			
			<b>7</b>	
<b>Total for (a) &amp; (b)</b>			<b>16</b>	

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
6	<b>Total for (a) &amp; (b)</b>		<b>16</b>	
(c)	<p>98% <math>\Rightarrow z = \underline{2.32 \text{ to } 2.33}</math></p> <p>CI:</p> $\begin{pmatrix} 49 \\ 4.9 \\ 0.98 \\ 0.098 \end{pmatrix} \pm \begin{pmatrix} 2.32 \text{ to } 2.33 \\ 2.05 \text{ to } 2.06 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{49} = 7 \\ \sqrt{4.9/10} = 0.7 \\ \sqrt{0.98/50} = 0.14 \\ \sqrt{0.098/500} = 0.014 \end{pmatrix}$ <p><b>or</b></p> <p> <math>49 \pm (16.2 \text{ to } 16.4) = (32.6 \text{ to } 32.8, 65.2 \text{ to } 65.4)</math>  <math>4.9 \pm (1.62 \text{ to } 1.64) = (3.26 \text{ to } 3.28, 6.52 \text{ to } 6.54)</math>  <math>0.98 \pm (0.32 \text{ to } 0.34) = (0.64 \text{ to } 0.66, 1.30 \text{ to } 1.32)</math>  <math>0.098 \pm (0.032 \text{ to } 0.034) = (0.064 \text{ to } 0.066, 0.130 \text{ to } 0.132)</math> </p> <p>Dividing by 500, 50, 10 or 1 as appropriate</p> <p>ie <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><u><math>0.098 \pm (0.032 \text{ to } 0.034)</math></u></span></p> <p>or <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><u><math>(0.064 \text{ to } 0.066, 0.130 \text{ to } 0.132)</math></u></span></p>	<p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>A1</p>	<p><b>6</b></p>	<p>AWFW (2.3263)</p> <p><math>\lambda \pm z\sqrt{a}</math></p> <p>Any correct value for <math>\lambda</math></p> <p>Correct expression for <math>a</math> given <math>\lambda</math></p> <p>CAO</p> <p>CAO <math>\pm</math> AFWW (0.03257)</p> <p>AWFW</p>
		<b>Total</b>	<b>22</b>	