

**General Certificate of Education (A-level) January 2012** 

**Mathematics** 

MS/SS1A

(Specification 6360)

**Statistics 1A** 

# **Final**

Mark Scheme

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all examiners participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the students' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each examiner analyses a number of students' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of students' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available from: aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2012 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

#### Copyright

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered schools/colleges for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to schools/colleges to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

#### Key to mark scheme abbreviations

M	mark is for method
m or dM	mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for method
A	mark is dependent on M or m marks and is for accuracy
В	mark is independent of M or m marks and is for method and accuracy
E	mark is for explanation
√or ft or F	follow through from previous incorrect result
CAO	correct answer only
CSO	correct solution only
AWFW	anything which falls within
AWRT	anything which rounds to
ACF	any correct form
AG	answer given
SC	special case
OE	or equivalent
A2,1	2 or 1 (or 0) accuracy marks
–x EE	deduct x marks for each error
NMS	no method shown
PI	possibly implied
SCA	substantially correct approach
c	candidate
sf	significant figure(s)
dp	decimal place(s)

#### No Method Shown

Where the question specifically requires a particular method to be used, we must usually see evidence of use of this method for any marks to be awarded.

Where the answer can be reasonably obtained without showing working and it is very unlikely that the correct answer can be obtained by using an incorrect method, we must award **full marks**. However, the obvious penalty to candidates showing no working is that incorrect answers, however close, earn **no marks**.

Where a question asks the candidate to state or write down a result, no method need be shown for full marks.

Where the permitted calculator has functions which reasonably allow the solution of the question directly, the correct answer without working earns **full marks**, unless it is given to less than the degree of accuracy accepted in the mark scheme, when it gains **no marks**.

Otherwise we require evidence of a correct method for any marks to be awarded.

## MS/SS1A/W

MIS/SS1A	<u> </u>	35.	m · ·	
Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
1 (a)	$U \sim B(40, 0.15)$	M1		Used somewhere in (a)
(i)	P(U = 6) = 0.6067 - 0.4325 or			Accept 3 dp rounding or truncation
	$= {40 \choose 6} (0.15)^6 (0.85)^{34}$	M1		Can be implied by a correct answer
	= 0.174	A1	3	AWRT (0.1742)
(ii)	$P(U \le 5)$ = 0.432 to 0.433	B1	1	AWFW (0.4325)
(iii)	See supplementary sheet for individual probabilities			
	$P(5 < U < 10) = 0.9328 \text{ or } 0.9701 $ $(p_1)$	M1		Accept 3 dp rounding or truncation but allow 0.97
				$p_2 - p_1 \implies M0 M0 A0$ $(1 - p_2) - p_1 \implies M0 M0 A0$ $p_1 - (1 - p_2) \implies M1 M0 A0$ $(1 - p_2) - (1 - p_1) \implies M1 M1 (A1)$
	MINUS 0.4325 or 0.2633 (p <sub>2</sub> )	M1		only providing result $> 0$ Accept 3 dp rounding or truncation
	= 0.5(00) to 0.501	A1	3	AWFW (0.5003)
(b)	Mean or $\mu = 32 \times 0.15$ = 4.8	В1		CAO
	(V or $\sigma^2 =$ ) $32 \times 0.15 \times 0.85$ or (SD or $\sigma =$ ) $\sqrt{32 \times 0.15 \times 0.85}$	M1		Either numerical expression; ignore terminology May be implied by 4.08 CAO seen
	(SD or $\sigma$ ) = 2.02	A1	3	or 2.02 AWRT seen  AWRT (2.0199)  Do not award if labelled V or $\sigma^2$
		Total	10	

Q	/W (cont) Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
2(a)	Weight, $X \sim N(2200, 160^2)$	IVICEI INS	1000	Commency
(i)	$P(X < 2500) = P\left(Z < \frac{2500 - 2200}{160}\right)$	M1		Standardising 2500 with 2200 and 160; allow (2200 – 2500)
	= P(Z < 1.87  to  1.88)	A1		AWFW; ignore inequality and sign May be implied by a correct answer
	= 0.969 to 0.97(0)	A1	3	AWFW (0.96960)
(ii)	P(X > 2000) = P(Z > -1.25)			A 1
	= P(Z < +1.25)	M1		Area change May be implied by a correct answer or an answer > 0.5
	= 0.894 to 0.895	A1	2	AWFW (0.89435)
(iii)	P(2000 < X < 2500) =  (i) - (1 - (ii))	M1		OE; allow new start ignoring (i) & (ii) Allow even if incorrect standardising providing 0 < answer < 1 May be implied by a correct answer
	= 0.96960 - (1 - 0.89435) = 0.863 to $0.865$	A1	2	AWFW (0.86395)
	If (ii) is $0.105$ to $0.106$ , then $(0.96960 - 0.10565) = 0.86395 \implies M0 A0$			
(b)	$10\% \implies 90\% \ (0.90) \implies z = 1.28$	B1		AWRT (1.2816)
	$z = \frac{1000 - 1125}{\sigma}$	M1		Standardising 1000 with 1125 and $\sigma$ ; allow (1125 – 1000)
	= -1.2816	A1		Only allow: $\pm 1.28$ $\pm 1.64$ to $\pm 1.65$ $\pm 2.32$ to $\pm 2.33$
	$\sigma = 97$ to 98 <b>Note:</b> Inconsistent signs $\Rightarrow$ B1 M1 A1 A0 max	A1	4	AWFW (97.53433)
		Total	11	

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
3	See supplementary sheet for alternative solutions and additional guidelines to parts (a), (c) and (d)	TVICE INS	10001	Comments
(a)	$b  ext{ (gradient)} = -0.076$ $b  ext{ (gradient)} = -0.07  ext{ to } -0.08$	B2 (B1)		AWRT; including -ve sign (-0.07582) AWFW; including -ve sign Treat rounding of correct answers as ISW
	$a  ext{ (intercept)} = 5.35  ext{ to } 5.36$ $a  ext{ (intercept)} = 5.1  ext{ to } 5.6$	B2 (B1)		AWFW (5.35385) AWFW
	Thus $y = (5.35 \text{ to } 5.36) -0.076x$	BF1	5	F on a and b even if rounded
(b)	a: calorific value of wood with zero/no moisture or dry maximum calorific value	B1		OE; $a \le 0 \implies B0$
	b: each 1(%) rise in moisture content reduces calorific value by 0.076 MWh/tonne	В2	3	In context and with values; F on $b$ $b \ge 0 \implies B0$
	As x increases y decreases	(B1)		Negative relationship/correlation
(c)	$y_{27} = 3.28 \text{ to } 3.32$ = 2.5 to 3.5	B2 (B1)	2	AWFW (3.30659) AWFW; even if by interpolation from original data giving likely values of 3 or 3.04
(d)	r(35, 2.5) = -0.21 to $-0.19= 0.1 to 0.3$	B2 (B1)	2	AWFW; including -ve sign (-0.20000) AWFW; ignore sign
(e)	Good/reasonable/accurate/correct/etc  Accept more positive qualifying adjectives	B1	1	OE; ignore reasoning Very good (B1) Not good (B0)
			13	

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
4	See supplementary sheet for alternative solutions to parts (a)(i) and (b)(ii)			
(a)(i)	Table Method			
	(2- way with either R or C totals)  A A' Total	B1		0.15 or 0.4; CAO; allow fractions
	E         0.55         0.05         0.60           E'         0.30         0.10         0.40	B1		0.05 and 0.3; CAO; allow fractions
	<b>Total</b> 0.85 <b>0.15</b> 1.00	Bdep1	3	0.1; AG so dependent on B1 B1
(ii)	$P(\ge 1) = 0.9 \text{ or } 9/10$	B1	1	CAO
(iii)	P(1) = 0.3 + 0.05 = 1 - (0.55 + 0.10) = 0.35 or 35/100 or 7/20	B1	1	CAO
(1.) (1)	D(2) 0.55 0.20	B1		
(b)(i)	$P(3) = 0.55 \times 0.30$			OE; implied by correct answer
	= 0.165 or 165/1000 or 33/200	B1	2	CAO
(ii)	$0.55 \times (1 - 0.3)$ or $0.385$	M1		
	or $(0.3 \times 0.75)$ or $0.225$ or $(0.05 \times 0.75)$ or $0.0375$ or $(0.35 \times 0.75)$ or $0.2625$	M1		At least one of these expressions or values
	(0.385 + 0.2625) + 0.165	B1		OE; implied by correct answer
	= 0.812 to 0.813	A1	4	AWFW (0.8125)
	- 0.012 to 0.013	AI	<b>T</b>	CAO; for equivalent fraction
		Total	11	

Q	Solution		Total	Comments
5	151.5 cm = 1.515 m ≈ 1.5 m	B1		OE
	Thus (could be) correct	Bdep1		OE; dependent on B1
	$n \times 26.6 > 10$	В1		OE; accept any $n \ge 1$
	Thus (likely to be) incorrect	Bdep1	4	OE; dependent on B1
			4	

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
6(a)	See supplementary sheet for alternative solutions $\overline{x} - ns = (45.8 - n \times 24.0) < 0$			Allow (45 to 47) and any multiple of
	SC: Accept quoted values of $(-4 \text{ to } -1) \ (n=2) \text{ or}$ $(-28.5 \text{ to } -23.5) \ (n=3) \ \text{(both AWFW)}$ and	M1		(23.5 to 24.5) which gives value < 0 Must clearly state the value of a numerical expression
	negative salaries are impossible	A1	2	OE; must be in context Negative values impossible ⇒ A0
(b)(i)	Large sample or $n > 25$ or 30 or $n = 50$	B1		OE
	CLT applies	Bdep1	2	Must indicate CLT; dependent on B1 Indication that other than sample mean is normally distributed ⇒ Bdep0
(ii)	99% (0.99) $\Rightarrow z = 2.57$ to 2.58	B1		AWFW (2.5758)
	CI for $\mu$ is $\overline{x} \pm z \times \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$	M1		Used with (45.8 & 24.0) and $z(1.64 \text{ to } 2.58) \& \div \sqrt{n} \text{ with } n > 1$
	Thus $45.8 \pm 2.5758 \times \frac{24.0}{\sqrt{50}}$	AF1		F on $z(1.64 \text{ to } 1.65 \text{ or } 2.32 \text{ to } 2.33 \text{ or } 2.57 \text{ to } 2.58)$ and $\div \sqrt{50 \text{ or } 49}$
	Hence $45.8 \pm (8.7 \text{ to } 8.8)$ or $45800 \pm (8700 \text{ to } 8800)$ OR $(37.(0) \text{ to } 37.1, 54.5 \text{ to } 54.6)$ or $(37000 \text{ to } 37100, 54500 \text{ to } 54600)$	A1	4	CAO/AWFW (8.74) Ignore (absence of) quoted units AWFW
(c)	See supplementary sheet for additional illustrations			
	Clear correct comparison of 55 or 55000 with c's UCL or CI	B1		Accept 55000 compared with c's 54.5 to 54.6 (ie different units)
	(6/50 or 0.12 or 12%) ≠ 0.25 or 25%</td <td>B1</td> <td></td> <td>OE; correct comparison mentioning both 12% and 25%</td>	B1		OE; correct comparison mentioning both 12% and 25%
	Reject both/each of the two claims	Bdep1	3	Dependent on B1 B1
		Total	11	

Q		Soluti	on		Marks	Total		Comments	S
1	Alternative solution								
(a)(iii)		expressions stated for at least 3 $5 \le U \le 10$ gives probability 0.501			M2 A1	3	Can be imp	lied by a corr	(0.5003)
		и	(5)	6	7	8	9	(10)	
		P(U=u)	(0.1692)	0.1742	0.1492	0.108	7 0.0682	(0.0373)	
						•			-

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
3 (a)	Alternative solutions and additional guidelines  Attempt at $\sum x  \sum x^2  \sum y  \&  \sum xy  \left(\sum y^2\right)$			455 20475 35.1 & 883.5 (121.33) (all 4 attempted)
	Or Attempt at $S_{xx}$ & $S_{xy}$ $(S_{yy})$	M1		4550 & <b>-345</b> (26.56) (both attempted)
	Attempt at correct formula for $b$ (gradient) b (gradient) = -0.076 a (intercept) = 5.35 to 5.36 Thus $y = (5.35 \text{ to } 5.36) -0.076x$	m1 A1 A1 BF1	5	AWRT AWFW F on $a$ and $b$ even if rounded
	<ul> <li>Notes:</li> <li>1 If a and b interchanged and equation y = ax + b used ⇒ max of 5 marks</li> <li>2 If a and b interchanged and equation y = a + bx used ⇒ maximum of BF1</li> <li>3 Marks lost here cannot be gained from subsequent work in parts (d) and/or (e)</li> </ul>			If a and b are not identified anywhere in equation, then: $-0.07 \text{ to } -0.08 \implies \text{B1}$ $5.1 \text{ to } 5.6 \implies \text{B1}$
(c)	$y_{27} = (5.35 \text{ to } 5.36) -0.076 \times 27$	M1		Clear evidence of correct use of c's equation with $x = 27$
	= 3.28 to 3.32	A1	2	AWFW (3.30659)
(d)	$r(35, 2.5) = 2.5 - y_{35}$ = 2.5 - {(5.35 to 5.36) -0.076 × 35}	M1		Used; allow $y_{35} - 2.5$
	= -0.21 to $-0.19$	A1	2	AWFW (-0.20000)

Q	/W (cont) Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
4	Alternative solutions			
(a)(i)	Venn Diagram Method	B1 B1 Bdep1	3	0.55; CAO  0.3 and 0.05; CAO  0.1; AG so dependent on B1 B1
(a)(i)	Formula Method $P(\ge 1) = 0.85 + 0.60 - 0.55$ OR 0.85 + 0.60 - 0.55 + p = 1 OR 0.15 + 0.40 - 0.45 $P(0) = 1 - P(\ge 1)$ OR = 1 - 0.9 = 0.1 OR = 0.1	M2 (M1)	3	Full justification for numerical expression Insufficient justification or numerical expression only  AG; gained from M2 or M1
(b)(ii)	$0.1 \times (1 - 0.4)$ or $0.06$	M1		
	$\begin{array}{ccccc} (0.3 & \times & 0.25) & \text{or} & 0.075 \\ \text{or} & (0.05 & \times & 0.25) & \text{or} & 0.0125 \\ \text{or} & (0.35 & \times & 0.25) & \text{or} & 0.0875 \\ \text{or} & (0.1 & \times & 0.4) & \text{or} & 0.04 \end{array}$	M1		At least one of these expressions or values
	1 - (0.1875)	B1		OE; implied by correct answer
	= 0.812 to 0.813	A1	4	AWFW (0.8125) CAO for equivalent fraction
(b)(ii)	(0.55 + p) where $0$	M1		
	or $(0.3 \times 0.75)$ or $0.225$ or $(0.05 \times 0.75)$ or $0.0375$ or $(0.35 \times 0.75)$ or $0.2625$	M1		At least one of these expressions or values
	0.55 + 0.2625	B1		OE; implied by correct answer
	= 0.812 to 0.813	A1	4	AWFW (0.8125) CAO for equivalent fraction

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
6(a)	Alternative solutions			
	$P(X < 0 \mid N(45.8, 24.0^2)) = P(Z < -1.91)$	M1		Standardising 0 using 45.8 & 24.0
	= 0.027 to 0.03	A1	2	In addition to probability within range, must state that negative salaries are impossible
	$P(X > 60 \mid N(45.8, 24.0^2)) = P(Z > 0.59)$	M1		Standardising 60 using 45.8 & 24.0
	= 0.27 to 0.28	A1	2	In addition to probability within range, must compare calculated value to 6/50 = 0.12 OE
(c)	Additional comment illustrations			
	It/(claimed) mean/(claimed) value > UCL/CI	В0		Must indicate 55 or 55000
	99% have (mean) weights between CLs so	В0		
	Any comparison of 60 (£60 000) with UCL/CI	В0		Value of 60 does not refer to mean
	$P(X > 60 \mid N(45.8, 24.0^2)) = P(Z > 0.59)$ = (0.27 to 0.28) > 6/50 = 0.12	В0		Assumes salaries ~ N; cf (a)(ii)