1.4 Assessment criteria

| Mark | Quality of language (Accuracy) (AO3) |
|------|---|
| 0 | No rewardable language. |
| 1 | Isolated examples of correct language; pronunciation and intonation often impede communication. |
| 2–3 | Many basic errors, impeding communication at times; pronunciation and intonation erratic, not always comprehensible. |
| 4-5 | Accuracy variable but errors rarely impede communication; pronunciation and intonation inconsistent but comprehensible. |
| 6–7 | Generally accurate but some errors in more complex language; pronunciation and intonation generally good. |
| 8 | Highly accurate with perhaps some very minor errors; pronunciation and intonation authentic. |

| Mark | Quality of language (Range of Lexis) (AO3) |
|------|---|
| 0 | No rewardable language. |
| 1 | Very basic lexis; minimal command of structure. |
| 2-3 | Lexis restricted; operates generally in simple sentences. |
| 4-5 | Adequate range of lexis; limited range of structures. |
| 6-7 | Good range of lexis with some examples of more complex structures. |
| 8 | Wide range of lexis and good variety of structures with only occasional limitation. |

| Mark | Response (AO1) |
|-------|--|
| 0 | No rewardable language. |
| 1-4 | Little spontaneity; cannot develop responses; very reliant on examiner's language. |
| 5-8 | Few examples of spontaneous discourse; limited development of responses; often fails to respond appropriately to questions; needs prompting. |
| 9–12 | Some examples of fluent discourse but not always spontaneous or well developed; some hesitation in more complex areas; difficulty with some questions. |
| 13-16 | Frequent examples of spontaneous discourse ably developed; responds usually without undue hesitation; deals adequately with most questions. |
| 17–20 | High incidence of spontaneous, fluent discourse; able to respond readily to all questions; develops and sustains discourse well. |

Spoken Expression and Response in French

| Mark | Understanding (Stimulus specific) (AO1) |
|------|---|
| 0 | No understanding of stimulus. |
| 1 | Limited answers to prescribed questions, demonstrating poor understanding of stimulus. |
| 2 | Satisfactory answers to prescribed questions, demonstrating adequate understanding of stimulus. |
| 3 | Detailed answers to prescribed questions, demonstrating good understanding of stimulus. |
| 4 | Full and detailed answers to prescribed questions, demonstrating excellent understanding of stimulus. |

| Mark | Understanding (General topic area) (AO1) |
|------|---|
| 0 | No rewardable language. |
| 1-2 | Hardly any relevant ideas and opinions, demonstrating poor understanding of general topic area. |
| 3-4 | Few relevant ideas and opinions, demonstrating limited understanding of general topic area. |
| 5-6 | Some relevant ideas and opinions, demonstrating satisfactory understanding of general topic area. |
| 7–8 | Many relevant ideas and opinions, demonstrating good understanding of general topic area. |
| 9-10 | Wealth of relevant ideas and opinions, demonstrating excellent understanding of general topic area. |