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## General Comments

Marking this first series of the new specification was a positive experience. It was clear that most candidates had acquired a body of knowledge about language and many demonstrated effective skills in applying this knowledge to demonstrate thoughtful understanding of the texts.

It was interesting to note that there was often a varied pattern of achievement across the five tasks.
This was occasionally due to time-management problems, so candidates should be aware of the importance of this exam technique. The first four tasks each require a succinct, focused response to a particular aspect, eg. key constituents; contextual factors or identity and idiolect. The final task brings all these aspects together and requires an extended comparison and analysis.

For some candidates, there was variation in achievement across the three Assessment Objectives. Some were stronger at AO2: their conceptual understanding of construction of meanings, including application of some linguistic approaches. (It was clear that centres were, sensibly, selecting from the extensive range of theories and concepts that could be useful for AS study.) Other candidates gained marks at AO1 for their clear expression and precise use of appropriate terminology. As AO3 is worth $50 \%$ of the marks for this unit, it is important for all candidates to support their points by explicit analysis of the influence of contextual factors on language use, demonstrating ability to cover a range of key constituents.

## Question 1

AO1 (15 marks)
This task tests knowledge of terminology for key constituents. The mark scheme may suggest a simple matter of right / wrong answers, but candidates were credited for a range of responses that were unexpected, but valid. There was a range of achievement, from full marks to zero, with many candidates achieving over half marks.

Marks were not awarded, however, if candidates did not focus on the feature highlighted by underlining. Some offered a description for some other aspect of the extract. This generally led to repetition of terms such as 'declarative' or 'elision'.

Candidates were not rewarded more than once for a repeated term: 'context bound' and 'informal', for example, could arguably apply to any of the examples. Conversely, candidates should clearly signal their use of more than one linguistic term, rather than placing a complex description (1st person plural pronoun used in a non-standard way to refer to a single person) on a single line.

It was decided not to credit the terms 'monosyllabic' and 'polysyllabic', as every word in the language falls into one of those categories and it was not a significant aspect of the language use highlighted in this exam.

Candidates are advised not to linger over these short responses; if the term is not quickly apparent, they may return to this question at a later stage. Indeed, some may prefer to begin by considering the contextual factors of the data provided.

Question 2
AO3 (10 marks)
This question will always focus on the contextual factors influencing the type of data chosen. A few candidates were not clear that the term 'context' refers to the situation. Most used a framework to describe the significant aspects, either: subject, purpose, audience, genre or mode, function, field, tenor.
The mode is spoken, the field is answer-phone messages, the function is to inform people about whats going on and what they need to do and the tenor is people who listen to their answer-phone messages People tend to stutter a lot more and use filler and pauses, such as 'er' and 'err' because when you record a message you don't have. time to plan it out, you just say it. Business messages are more

Better responses were able to meet the descriptors for the top band: cover a range of aspects, selecting the more relevant and explaining the impact by brief reference to language features.

The mode of these sources is spoken, but it has been recorded. Therefore, hesitations hedges and fillers and many other spoken language features are present. There is a strong correlation between tenor and formality in the messages, as family (group C) and friends tend to use informal sign offs (" tara") and a lot of deixis ("hello this is me") whereas business or unfamiliar callers leave more formal messages ("if you have any queries please call careers on ..."). The addressing also changes because of tenor, like in massage 5 ("hello Mr Garside"), where it is very formal, and creates distance.
Because they are leaving massages, many try to build a rapport to influence and persuade someone to call back. But, (present in message 1 unfamiar callers such as companies. use declaratives to inform the reciever, rather than request a phone call back. Because some people try and bini rapport, a relaxed use of language


This response provided a thoughtful account of the impact of tenor (formality, unfamiliar callers, distance), with some awareness of mode (spoken, but it has been recorded) and of the purpose or function (build rapport to influence and persuade). Supporting reference to language use covered a range of features (deixis, declarative, clichés).

Candidates should think first about the genre (answerphone messages in this case) as a whole, before making brief comments about variation. Later questions will usually focus on particular groups or individual examples that vary in distinctive ways.

## Question 3

AO2 (5 marks) AO3 (5 marks)
This question will often focus on a particular sub-group of the genre. Candidates should assume that the groupings are purposeful and thus look for features that the individual examples share in common. It was time-consuming for those candidates who looked at each text in turn and there was a tendency to repeat the same point (AO2 concepts such as 'formal' or 'referential') rather than developing the idea and providing supporting evidence for credit at AO3.

There was some impressive evidence of theories such as Joos' levels of formality or negative face, but candidates should be aware that this task requires a brief response for 10 marks.

The relationship betuseen collar and receiver in group $A$ is impersonal and quite formal, using lexis such as 'this is a massage. for' which las quite a high register Moreover, the pact that the callers introduce them selves with their pull names, for ex ex ample. 'it's David Marsh' and often neper to He receiver as "var' or 'mars' suggests that they de no.....nct know each other.

The function is usually to inform, ste wi the caller spealeing mainly in declaratives Nike. your bund is ready', but also to pansuade. 'could you give me a ring' There is also a lot of subject specific Lexis to do with business and education, par example, 'University' 'speaker finder' and 'literature". This shows that the calls were.... made on more op a business level than a priendly one

However, despite the high register, the receiver is stull being directly addressed. as then is a lat of use op second person pronouns Wee 'pit it or you' and
there is also evidence of the massages being spontaneous rather than planned as there is repetition like 'the Minsk Joumey Minsk () foumey' and fillers such as 'erma'


This response achieves top band marks for AO2, as it demonstrates awareness of concepts and issues (impersonal, high register, directly addressed, more of a business level than a friendly one). It also meets the descriptors for top band AO3, explaining the impact of these factors on language use at a variety of levels, including grammar (full names, subject specific lexis, second person pronouns). The candidate's use of 'however' and 'despite' show ability to express more complex ideas.

The following response demonstrates more precise use of terminology to express points and achieves full marks.


The terns of address reveal a lot about the relationship between caller and receiver; whore titles and sumanes are spoken, we car see that the relationship is more formal and impersonal. When both foverame and surname are used, it suggests that the truro people do not brow each other, whereas when the caller uses their forename ('it's Sandra') it is evident that the twos people have met - The closure of each message also reveals whether the function is more message-oriertated or socially orientated; where it is formal and messageorientated, the messages end with 'thangyon', ss 'Ill wait to hear from you', or 'please call... This also encourages a response from the receiver. Where it
is more formal, there are generally less fillers, which shows that the function is clear and moretransactional

The utterances are generally lengthy, but in message 2, the caller seems more nervous and $\Rightarrow$ less confident, which reds to is shown by a lot of fillers and silences. This also shows that the speaker is interns of power' 'below' the receiver, because he is requesting information, so the function of the message is different, and he stake that he will 'call balk'. A lot of mitigated imperatives are used, which shows negative polithess ('1 would be grateful if James could phone us') and emphasises politeness and formality between the speaker and receiver.
underlips the entice message, using lexis such as 'hope', 'hopehily'' the right person', 'hoping'. This shows that he seems desperate to talk to the listener and that she would be valu able to him. In those mess ages that are more formal, and functional, the lexical phrases are much morecomples, and some latinate words car be see, which shows a more static relationship (and uneven) between the speaker and listener.

Question 4
AO2 (5 marks) AO3 (10 marks)
This question was generally less successful, with relatively few candidates achieving top band marks. Although pressure of time has been taken into account, centres should note the following points for future.

Candidates should read the task carefully and note that it required comment on identity, as well as relationship. The following response illustrates some valid points commonly made about the relationship between participants in each message.

The relatumbtion in it is a vary 'lose, personal and intimate ore, the caller never coates the name of themselves or of the intented recewer, which is similar to mestee 20 "this is me is that you" This shows that the receiver will haw the ailler by voice and net by where they are from er tho they wall for, as in Group A "prem Briffow's" Futhermare the caller in 21 supports the internal tine and close, laving tear through the use of terms sech os "dear" and "sweetheart" used to shaw the coring relationship as well as through politeness. Message 21 seems unplanned and spontaneous to a greater extent than 22, this is evidenced by the use 4 plus" em er er" and repetition "I'l" caul gen I'll call you later I' U" Showing that the caller did nit feel the need to plan the message in a great detain, poosiody due to the relatiansfip Graved between the two, as well as the almost trivial hied "dotes appainmaxk, of daily planning" and the function befogs simply to islam.

Ass, we can thar that the speaker has been in The relationship for sone time, due to the Usage of "dear" and "sweetheart", also these terms are mure prenelant
among the doer generation than with youth, where temp lite "honey and "babe" Nature more in the socidect.

In cultrast with 21,22 is similar is groups $\bar{s} B$, the speaker states who they are and signs off politely "thews. a la" as well as teeing their contact details, which withe in a dore relabionsthy the 21 , remain uncrown by the receiver due to the tenser.

Because of the tenor and less personal relationship, the message has been planned mure than 71 , so as to present a poantue tace, there is less hesitation and bless as wall as more couple sentences, this also hilts with the held La reid's disppearame) and the function (eppresian comeom about this Which readies moet couplet and plate ulermuces than the trivial nature of 21 .

Although some candidates did offer comments on gender and age, and a few commented on power and status, there was no reference to region.

Candidates should be aware in future that I.P.A. transcriptions are provided to indicate relevant features of pronunciation. In this case, there were the common distinctions between Northern and Southern regional accents: the long v short vowels in 'advance' and 'last'; the pronunciation of the vowel sound in 'just'.
Candidates might also have noted the glottal stop replacing /t/ in the word 'sweetheart'.
Supporting reference to textual features was generally confined to the use of fillers, and lexical choices of 'sweetheart' and 'dear'. Stronger responses were able to refer to a wider range of key constituents.

The following two responses illustrate different routes to top band marks. Both address the issue of identity, though neither refers to regional accent. Centres should note: although each candidate refers by names to linguists and their theories, this is not necessary in the short-answer responses.

## Response 1

In Message 21, the speaker comes across as being a female because of the colloquialisms "dear" and "sweetheart". These colloquialisms also have the connotations of someone older than the receiver. The repetition of the elliptical construction "bye" is childlike yet also is very personal to the receiver. The formality is even lowered still by the quantifier "any" as this uses Austen and Searle's implicature to suggest this person is aware of many contact numbers. The additional information added "I'm going to the doctors" makes the receiver in a position to be aware of this and to care about them. From the analyse of the text, the caller seems to be a mother towards the receiver. On the other hand the non-standard English grammar of "are we still on" could suggest a younger friendly based conversation. Generally "still on" is uncommonly used by mothers to their children.

Message 22 signifies the young behaviour of the caller when using the back formation of Alison or Alice. Another example of young speech is the hedge technique "a bit" to make herself come across a better person for being late. This technique is typical for a female, not to mention her name is also Heather. By the clumsy lexis and grammatical sentence structure. "let me know either way ... or anything" it gives the impression the caller is young. By the use of insider reference, "as you know" it makes it more personal to the receiver yet there are formal techniques such as politeness "thanks" and complex lexis "whereabouts", Analyse of message 22 suggests the caller is a teenager who is the female friend of the receiver's daughter. Due to the features mentioned and the formal yet personal context of the message reflects the respect a child does for an elder, especially the friend's mother.

## Response 2

The relationship between caller and receiver in 21 is clearly close. This is clear from the intimate level of formality (Joos) used. Familiar addressing terms such as dear and "sweetheart" are used, often familiar with the convergence and bond forming language used by females (Giles $\mathcal{E}$ Lakoff). Also demonstrating a relationship is the content of the message as the function is to organise a trip to the "doctors" something uncommon to do in relationships other than family and close friends. Modal verbs are used to soften and hedge in "I'd like to know".

Both texts involve voiced pauses and fillers, common in spoken and spontaneous language, such as "er". However the speaker in 22 seems to have a less intimate relationship with the receiver. This is shown by more frequent non-fluency features, perhaps showing awkwardness. "And" is also used frequently as a continuer as in "whereabouts and obviously". This may be simply a sign of unplanned speech, or of nervousness, associated with an unfamiliar relationship. 22 uses more polysyllabic lexis as in "fantastic" than 21. It also involves a longer opening and closing sequence common with politeness, formality and lower status. Although the female speaker uses many elements of Lakoff's idea of female speech, the abundance of modal verbs and hedging appears to show O'Barr and Atkins theories of lower status affecting language.

It appears that 22 is a message between a worried friend, contacting a mother, whereas 21 is a message between friends.

Centres should bear in mind that AS study requires some study of phonology, which will be developed at A2 level. In transcripts of spoken language, candidates should expect to see some use of IPA symbols to represent the pronunciation of words and phrases.

Question 5
AO1 (10 marks) AO2 15 marks AO3 (25 marks)
Most candidates left themselves enough time to deal with this question. This is clearly a crucial factor in examination techniques, as it is worth $50 \%$ of the total marks. It is also important to allocate time and effort in roughly equal proportions to Texts $A$ and $B$.

Responses were often interesting and showed flashes of insight. For this longer question, a candidate's wider social awareness of language in use is as important as an ability to apply textual analysis frameworks. There was a range of comment, for example, on the use of 'eye-dialect' - spelling to represent pronunciation - in the poem. For some candidates, the words 'wid' and 'waz' were simply spelling errors, indicating the writer's lack of education. Others sensed that it was a deliberate ploy to represent a regional dialect. Better responses identified this as a feature of Black / Caribbean / Jamaican English, either because they had studied this variety, or by an informed guess from the context of the ideas in the poem and the writer's surname.

Some candidates relied on their framework for analysis of context and began by comparing some differences in mode (written v electronic), purpose, subject matter, audience, and so on. This approach tends to gloss over the main point of the question: the ways in which each writer presents him / herself. Although candidates often came around to these aspects by the end of their response, they are advised to make presentation of self their focus from the outset. AO2 assesses 'understanding ... related to the construction ... of meanings.'
Benjamin Zephaniah whines to portray an inge of pride in Bloch culture and outrage at society's stereitgag
To shaw this proud identity the poem, which uses a a Black British or Jamaican dialect, and spelled phonetically. Zephaniah sech to present twinset as proud to be Bloch, but also he is aware of the effect this has on the readers. He sech to appeal direct to them, the spoken langrage single is a bald approach, allaying the andine to tray fell and angle Zephainach's ennction as though he was tellers. them lave to pace.

Examiner Comments
This candidate succinctly makes a range of pertinent points in the opening sentence. Comments about presentation of self are inevitably linked to the writer's purposes / the text's functions. The candidate then integrates comments about mode, immediately seeing some complexity (uses a written language mode ... written in a spoken voice style). Awareness of overlap between the three modes, rather than simple divisions, is a descriptor for the higher mark bands. Mode then lead on to comments about the audience and the rapport established between writer / reader or speaker / listener. The subtlety of comment in the following two paragraphs, alone, indicated the full marks this candidate actually achieved.

Zephaniah is also seching to present at message of political and societal chase" de Bach House" and "de whitestivis" create ins priticised mossayc in the audeme's mind. To accueve thus zephaivah has had to present henselt as a inly, clever political commentator, ebserinng sovidy, yet standing aloes.
so to be eflectue in reaching his cundience and ackereny his pappose Zephaniah has used a mode that has, stereotypically, a traction of lever, avaute-garde commentary an aseity, the poem.
Although the quality of this response is exceptional, all candidates should aim to develop points beyond simple categories. Two texts will never be 'exactly the same' and rarely 'completely different'. Candidates should look for points of comparison and ways in which each text is unique. In order to comment on the persona created in texts, candidates will need a wide range of vocabulary. Many observed that Zephaniah was proud; some felt there was anger; others noted the humour. Those aware that it need not be a question of 'either / or' achieved higher mark bands for AO2.

Candidates need to express their ideas clearly using appropriate terminology for AO1. Comparison was generally achieved by brief linking phrases, as the focus shifted from Text A to B, or vice versa.

In culroast to Zephamion, Vision Ratcuylfe seats net to distance herself hem a society in which she holds that some or is belief ave molly jueffemental and racist, rather she avis to highlight ter ole in society and her belief in ter local area's beauty ant worth.
So instead of the bold, separate identity established by zephaniah and his usage of divergent socisterd, Ratriffe folios a mare conventional, Standard English approach, 80 as to converge with her icocmuminty.

Várien Rutibfe sech to present herself as a caring, reasonable (although, lie Zephaniah: outraged) member of the sheffeld community. Whereas Zephaniah stood aloof, she wishes to mate dear ole is net alare.
This section also demonstrates the candidate's understanding of concepts (divergent sociolect, Standard English, converge) for AO2.
For AO3, the emphasis is on analysis, linking aspects of context to features of language use. The focal point for comparison should not be the different modes or genres of the texts - written v electronic - but the writers' presentation of self. Candidates emphasising the former identified the use of a title for the poem, as opposed to the headers used in the email; the short lines in the poem, versus the longer paragraphs in the email.

All candidates noted the repetition of 'white' throughout the poem, but the quality of developing comment varied from: 'it should be 'black" to more thoughtful discussion of semantics or pragmatics.
The willy, political in age of zephaniah is presented to the audience through his usage of the repetition of collocations, planing on sorietiys sterectapes" white comedy.. whitemailed.. While with" by lighightiun this, it allows the archine to empathise, see his clever cements and absorb them along with the sanction of the text to inform, entertain and provoke thought).
To eflectuely presort the messay he stands for, Zephainah wees shat simple sentences, except tor the last line, where
the pattern of "ululate" repetition is broken and here Zephaniah uss a slightly longer sentence, which is also linked to "Don't worry", which vanes the patter of shot, simple sentences. He also stresses the lust line through the we of "shall" which modifies and cadutreus the velds "to wince', os it is a modal verb, this is the hist time a molal is used, which tells the image of the message to be conveyed as well as supporting the audience's vein that Zephaniah is a bnilient, intelligent poet.

Resulispius
Examiner Comments
This candidate moves from lexis and semantics (collocations, repetition) to grammar (simple sentences, modal verb). References to function and audience are succinctly included.

It is not possible to produce anything like a 'full' analysis of the texts within one hour. Candidates were rewarded for selecting pertinent features and for demonstrating their range of understanding of levels of language use.

This candidate also provides some analysis of textual features in the email, maintaining focus on presentation of self throughout.

So, she has written in a layer, mare complex sentence, as well as weal discourse Arvecture than Zephaniah, despite witting in the electronic made, where ellisitan and abbrevict. in cure common.
She seeks the audience to empathise with her views, and to see her and her beliefs as reabscablle, to achieve this she has used lefts that is subject specific and in the semantic sold of station closure "accessible" and repeatios the theme "m males bible", which suggests to the audience her hmuladge and ukelligence regarding this issue, however she also must
present hersey as initiated by the dossure proposed desure, so she utilises enctive lexical items such as "alarmed" and "honor."

At the seen hat of the secund section, ope begins to stress to the andine the unpotance of her beliefs by exapueatins the negatuies of the proposed closure, ant again wing the power of the list" lech band for the gater, get as ins pipe, slopes and pocking chair." This shans also her sencousness and intention, as she has began a personal attach on the stationmaster, which contenives though the use of thetrical quegficos, which she Sharply rebuilt.

Resulisflus
Examiner Comments

This candidate provides a concluding paragraph. This elegant structure is not needed to achieve top band marks. Indeed, many candidates stopped mid-sentence as their time finished. They were assessed on what they had produced.
As I couthined at the start, she seeks to appear, net The Boggamin. 2eplatiich, aloof, but just are member in a lase, like minded lout counmuniti, so she swaps tram the post person, in so the third person collective pronoun 'we", which Actresses the unity, unlike zephaniatis contoured use of the defiant, siple "I"'

Resulistius
Examiner Comments
Rather than simply summarising points already made, the candidate mentions a fresh point abut the use of pronouns in each text.

This particular candidate did not mention any linguists by name, but clearly applied linguistic concepts and approaches to the texts and showed awareness of issues such as race and power, as they relate to language use.

There is a danger of 'name-dropping', rather than usefully applying knowledge of theories. Not all concepts were fully understood and some candidates did not appreciate the tentative nature of a 'theory'. However, there was impressive evidence of study of a range of researchers and theories. Many candidates did acknowledge that it was not a simple matter to assign particular language use to either gender. It was rare (to the relief of examiners) to read that Zephaniah and Radcliffe were flouting maxims of conversation. Candidates found concepts of convergence and divergence productive, as well as notions of positive and negative face. Covert prestige was also applied to make some perceptive points.

## 6EN01 Grade boundaries

| Paper No | Max Mark | A | B | C | $D$ | $E$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 | 100 | 72 | 64 | 56 | 48 | 41 |

Note: Grade boundaries may vary from year to year and from subject to subject, depending on the demands of the question paper.
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