

Q U A L I F I C A T I O N S A L L I A N C E Mark scheme January 2004

# GCE

## **English Language A**

## **Unit EA4W**

Copyright © 2004 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

The Assessment and Qualifications Alliance (AQA) is a company limited by guarantee registered in England and Wales 3644723 and a registered charity number 1073334. Registered address AQA, Devas Street, Manchester M15 6EX. Dr Michael Cresswell Director General

## **Unit 4: Language Investigation**

### **Distribution of Assessment Objectives and Weightings**

The table below is a reminder of which Assessment Objectives will be tested by the questions and tasks completed by candidates and the marks available for them.

### Unit 4

Assessment Objective	1	3ii	4	<b>5</b> ii	Total
Language Investigation	10	20	10	20	60

### Language Investigation

- award a mark out of 10 for AO1 in the right hand margin
- award a mark out of 20 for AO3ii in the right hand margin
- award a mark out of 10 for AO4 in the right hand margin
- award a mark out of 20 for AO5ii in the right hand margin

E.g.

• add together and put a ringed total out of 60 in the right hand margin

AO1	5
AO3ii	i 12
AO4	6
AO5ii	i 10
	(33)

Transfer the ringed mark to the box on the front of the answer booklet. Initial your mark.



01		c framew	orks – detailed analysis – conclusions – evaluation
<b>V</b> I	<ul> <li>– further research.</li> <li>Assessment Focuses: Quality of expression – selection/application/evaluation of LFA – exploring issues/concepts – analysing/evaluating language use.</li> </ul>		
Mark	A01	Mark	AO3ii
	Communicate clearly		Apply and explore frameworks for the
	the knowledge,		systematic study of language at different levels,
	understanding and		commenting on the usefulness of approaches
	insight appropriate to		taken.
	the study of language,		
	using appropriate		
	terminology and		
	accurate and coherent		
9-10	expression.	17-20	
9-10	Controlled use of	1/-20	• Searching and confident linguistic analysis.
	<ul><li>technical aspects.</li><li>Precise and deft</li></ul>		• Selects enlightening range or depth.
	• Precise and deft expression.		• Frameworks chosen to enhance and illuminate
	<ul> <li>Cogent, coherent and</li> </ul>		understanding.
	• Cogent, concrent and cohesive.		Evaluates frameworks and methodology.
	<ul> <li>Subtlety of effect.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Perceptive methodology.</li> <li>Evaluate alternative evenues of investigation</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Linguistic flair.</li> </ul>		• Explores alternative avenues of investigation.
	• Elinguistic hall.		• Describes in detail <b>some</b> of: sentence functions, types and structures; mode; modal
			verbs; tenses; modification; stylistic variation.
			<ul> <li>Gives perceptive overview of lexical/semantic</li> </ul>
			features e.g. the language of death; slang,
			taboo and euphemism; technical language;
			figurative language; clichés.
			<ul> <li>Integrates analysis of different features.</li> </ul>
7-8	Rare errors.	13-16	Illuminating range or depth of features
	Clear stylistic		explored.
	shaping.		• Selects and identifies a range of illuminating
	Reader guided     through atmosture		frameworks, showing understanding of their value and commenting on their purpose.
	through structure.		
	• Effective linguistic register.		<ul><li>Detailed objectives.</li><li>Reflects on methodology.</li></ul>
	10210101.		<ul><li>Clear grasp of fruitful linguistic approaches.</li></ul>
			<ul> <li>Clear and accurate description of distinctive</li> </ul>
			language features and patterns.
			<ul> <li>Rare errors.</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>Engages with purpose of sentence types and</li> </ul>
			functions.
			• <i>Makes effective use of a range of word classes</i>
			e.g. evaluative adjectives, pronouns, proper
			nouns, abstract and concrete nouns, verb
			tenses.
			• Looks closely at lexical range e.g. various
			semantic fields related to the theme of death
			e.g. pain, suffering, horror, violence, illness.
			• Polite and impolite language.



-		4.4.4.5	
6	<ul> <li>Firm control of accuracy.</li> <li>Controlled expression of ideas.</li> <li>Lines of argument.</li> <li>Controlled linguistic register.</li> </ul>	11-12	<ul> <li>Evidence of some range or depth.</li> <li>Selects a range of frameworks or shows depth.</li> <li>Well focused aim(s).</li> <li>Explanation of methodology.</li> <li>Describes a range of significant language features or patterns.</li> <li>Largely accurate.</li> <li>Makes generalised discussion of sentence types and functions.</li> <li>Describes a range of word classes e.g. verbs, nouns, pronouns (person).</li> <li>Looks at formal and informal features.</li> <li>Looks at some relevant semantic fields of death.</li> </ul>
5	<ul> <li>Infrequent technical errors.</li> <li>Clear communication of ideas.</li> <li>Simple list structure; introduction and conclusion present.</li> <li>Definite, if inconsistent, linguistic register.</li> </ul>	9-10	<ul> <li>Consistent application of linguistic frameworks.</li> <li>Purposeful aim(s).</li> <li>Selects and identifies frameworks.</li> <li>Describes significant language features or patterns.</li> <li>Describes methodology.</li> <li>Basically accurate.</li> <li>Makes limited but accurate use of word classes e.g. adjectives and pronouns.</li> <li>Looks at some lexical features e.g. idioms, technical terms.</li> </ul>
4	<ul> <li>Occasional technical errors.</li> <li>Expression suitable for ideas.</li> <li>Structure/organisation emerge.</li> <li>Some control of linguistic register.</li> </ul>	7-8	<ul> <li>Applies a linguistic framework.</li> <li>Clarifies some aims.</li> <li>Identifies and describes relevant language features.</li> <li>Outlines approach.</li> <li>Uncertainty of description – some errors occur.</li> <li>Attempts to use word classes.</li> <li>Notes mainly informal features e.g. colloquialisms.</li> </ul>
3	<ul> <li>Frequent errors.</li> <li>Conveys basic ideas.</li> <li>Scatter gun structure.</li> <li>Occasional use of linguistic terms.</li> </ul>	5-6	<ul> <li>Attempts to apply frameworks for description.</li> <li>Selects relevant language features.</li> <li>Adopts a linguistic approach.</li> <li>Occasional accuracy of description.</li> </ul>

2	<ul> <li>Intrusive basic errors <ul> <li>(sentence punctuation, there/their etc).</li> </ul> </li> <li>Simple expression – conveys basic points.</li> <li>Short/incomplete work.</li> <li>Misunderstanding of linguistic terms.</li> </ul>	3-4	<ul> <li>Feature spotting not tied to any analysis.</li> <li>Simply identifying linguistic features and labelling with no sense of purpose.</li> <li><i>Lists verb/noun inflections</i>.</li> </ul>
1	<ul> <li>Major flaws in language.</li> <li>Communication impeded.</li> <li>Some points are conveyed.</li> </ul>	2	<ul> <li>Attempts to apply frameworks but minimal accuracy achieved.</li> <li>Quotes judiciously without linguistic description</li> </ul>
0	• Total irrelevance/incompre hensible.	1	<ul> <li>Minimal engagement with language or data extracts.</li> <li>Lacks quotations or reference to the language of extracts.</li> </ul>
		0	• No engagement with the data extracts.

Mark	AO5ii				
	Analyse and evaluate variation in the meanings and forms of spoken and written				
	language from different times according to context.				
17-20	• Analytical grasp of how language works across different levels. Ability to place				
	analysis in wider contexts.				
	Perceptive/conceptualised/illuminating/open-minded.				
	• Interesting and judicious use of examples.				
	• Awareness of the effect of different audiences and readers on meaning.				
	• Strongly evaluative. Overview of social/cultural dimensions.				
	• Explores issues about text type and functions.				
	• Explores audience positioning e.g. the assumptions made about the audience's				
	knowledge/concerns about death.				
	• Engages with tone and tenor, attitudes and values.				
13-16	• Confident analysis of language features, their explanatory context and their				
	communicative impact.				
	Close detailed points.				
	• A subtle reading, integrating various levels of description.				
	• Well integrated use of examples and quotations.				
	• Exploration of texts' meaning, purpose and effects.				
	• Evaluative comments are well supported.				
	• Thorough and precise exploration.				
	• Considers how the topic of death is spoken and written about.				
	• Looks closely at the relationship between writer and audience.				
9-12	• Analyses meanings constructed by a range of significant language features.				
	• Clear and detailed understanding of contexts' influence.				
	• Engagement with texts' communicative intent.				
	• Fully supported.				
	• Some evaluative comment tied to textual detail.				
	• Sustained analysis of texts' meanings.				
	• <i>Explores some salient features.</i>				
	• Articulates a response to the texts.				
7-8	Begins to analyse what texts are trying to communicate.				
	• Illustrated points.				
	Broadly evaluative comments.				
	Begins to analyse how language conveys meanings.				
	• <i>Responds to tone and attitudes.</i>				
5-6	• Some use of content: specific references/quotation/examples.				
	• Broad analysis of how context has influenced language use.				
	• Looks at audience, form and purposes. Broad statements.				

3-4	• Simple/generalised/descriptive accounts of the content of texts and data.
	• Paraphrase.
	• Excessive quotation.
	Superficial reactions to texts.
	• Summarises content.
	Identifies some features of language variation.
1-2	• Limited understanding/major misunderstanding of audience/purpose/context/content/meaning.
0	• Text or data has no influence on the work.

Mark	AO4
17141 K	Understand, discuss and explore concepts and issues relating to language in use.
9-10	<ul> <li>Conceptualised overview of theories and research.</li> </ul>
-	<ul> <li>Analyses and evaluates alternative views.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Identifies and challenges standpoints.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Precisely formulated aim(s).</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Exploratory and original investigative approach.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Strong evaluative comments.</li> </ul>
	Perceptive discussion.
	<ul> <li>Skilfully integrates theoretical/research knowledge.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Systematic.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Analyses language in the context of social/cultural values.</li> </ul>
	- mayses language in the coment of social cultural values.
7-8	• Good knowledge about the nature of linguistic concepts, theories and research.
	• Identifies different views and interpretations.
	• Comments on others' ideas.
	• Carefully formulated aim(s).
	• Clear rationale for data selection.
	• Formulates some overviews of issues raised by data.
	• Thoughtful evaluative comments.
	• Looks closely at for e.g. the relationship between language and audience and purpose.
	• Usefully incorporates theoretical/research knowledge.
6	• Depth or range of knowledge of linguistic ideas, concepts and research.
	<ul> <li>Develops views on linguistic issues.</li> </ul>
	• Well focused aim(s).
	• Sound data selection.
	Processes and categorises data well.
	Sustains evaluative comments.
	• <i>Able to develop a line of argument from looking closely at the data.</i>
	• <i>Refers to theories/research to aid analysis and fulfilment of aim(s).</i>
5	• Detailed knowledge of linguistic ideas, concepts and research.
	<ul> <li>Outlines views on linguistic issues.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Aim(s) informed by key concepts.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Purposeful selection of data.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Some sensible evaluative comments.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Begins to develop a line of argument.</li> </ul>
4	• Familiarity with linguistic ideas, concepts and research.
	• Sensible aim(s) formulated.
	• Offers some explanations.
	• Adopts a linguistic approach to the selection and study of data.
	• Evaluates.
	• Some identification of key issues e.g. how purpose and audience affects language use;
	how death is represented in different types of texts.
3	• Awareness of linguistic ideas, concepts and research.
5	<ul> <li>Awareness of linguistic approaches.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>General aims(s) established.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Simplistic evaluation</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Generates some limited discussion.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Generates some timited discussion.</li> <li>Linguistic notions evident.</li> </ul>
L	Linguistic notions evident.

2	Anecdotal/descriptive with implicit relevance.
	• Uncertain about how to carry out linguistic study.
	• Engages with content only or other non language issues.
	• Lacks clear aim(s).
	• Lacks an evaluation.
	• Data used but linguistic comment is lay/implicit.
1	• Little focus on linguistic issues.
	Data not commented on in a linguistic way.
0	• No understanding of envithing concerned with the study of lenguage
U	• No understanding of anything concerned with the study of language.
	• Data not used.