



General Certificate of Education

English Language 5706
Specification B

**ENB1 Introduction to the Study of
Language**

Mark Scheme

2007 examination - January series

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available to download from the AQA Website: www.aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2007 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

COPYRIGHT

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

Guidance on Task 1: Categorising and Grouping

The following guidance complements the published mark scheme and seeks to make that general scheme easier to apply to candidate answers to Unit 1.

Advice to Examiners

1. Be aware of time constraints of the task and their effect on student achievement: candidates have only forty-five minutes in which to familiarise themselves with the data, develop and apply language study based provisional categories and give reasons for their decisions. Teachers and examiners doing the task have found it demanding.
2. Mark positively seeking to credit the relevant knowledge, understanding and quality of explanation in a variety of student approaches.
3. Work on a best match principle. An answer may show features from different mark bands but there will be a balance of achievement indicating the mark band to choose.

Key words for task:

- Discuss various ways in which these texts can be grouped
- Give reasons for your choices

Summary of instructions to examiners

- Check that the total mark places the answer in the appropriate mark scheme band and is in line with indicative content.
- A summative comment to justify the mark for task 1 should be recorded on the paper drawing attention to the performance of the candidate with respect to relevant coverage and the assessment objectives.
- Explain any anomalies in your comment.
- Contact your Team Leader for guidance on dealing with serious anomalies, e.g. sequential surveys.

Indicative Content

Indicative Content about Texts indicates some of those features of the selected texts which are likely to lead to informed language comment. The list is not comprehensive and the items will be amended and developed in the course of the standardisation meeting.

Candidates will use a very wide range of methods for categorising the texts on the paper, including:

- Broad groupings based on mode/register/function.
- Tightly focused groups, often based on language awareness.
- Open-minded groups which are led by the data on the paper.

When making a judgement about a candidate's performance think about how much language knowledge and contextual awareness is shown.

Be prepared for original and valid ideas led by the data and supported by AO3 and AO5 awareness.

Task 1 Mark Scheme

Discuss various ways in which these texts can be grouped, giving reasons for your choices.

Marks	Content Descriptors
0-5	<p>Answers in this range will not demonstrate effective language study method and may fail to respond to keyword prompts.</p> <p>AO1 Weak expression including inaccuracies that impede comprehension. Little sense of relevant focus.</p> <p>AO3 Mentions one or two language features without development; general comments.</p> <p>AO4 Mentions one or two ideas from language study without development.</p> <p>AO5 Very little contextual awareness: impressionistic generalised claims.</p>
6-11	<p>Answers at this level will usually respond but with limited explanation and reference to the texts.</p> <p>AO1 Knowledge of some language terms and concepts learned during the course (non-fluency features, dialect).</p> <p>AO3 Knowledge of some more accessible language frameworks: lexis and layout.</p> <p>AO4 Groupings may show a rudimentary level of language awareness. Coverage of the variety of the data may be limited. Reasons for groups will be outlined sketchily rather than explained and may show oversimplification and some speculation. Some awareness of features of spoken language and/or interaction and language concepts.</p> <p>AO5 Awareness of contextual factors mainly based on everyday awareness.</p>
12-17	<p>A basic response to the main demands of the task but with some oversimplification and omission. Sound sequential surveys are likely to fall into this band.</p> <p>There may be evidence of more assured knowledge and understanding emerging but this may be patchy and inconsistent.</p> <p>AO1 Manages some support by limited references to texts and explanation but this may be sparse.</p> <p>AO3 Approach to describing language mainly focused on lexis and layout.</p> <p>AO4 Groupings show an awareness of more accessible language study ideas and there is some discussion and explanation though this may be patchy. Some awareness of accessible features of spoken language (normal non-fluency).</p> <p>AO5 Awareness of contextual factors shows some understanding beyond everyday awareness.</p>

18-23	<p>Answers at this level will usually meet the demands of the task with a clear structure and competent method. Beginning to explore some of the complexities.</p> <p>There will be some comparison of texts with a range of possible groupings.</p> <p>AO1 Ideas will be explained clearly with references to the texts. Expression will be generally accurate.</p> <p>AO3 Systematic approach to describing language that goes beyond lexis and layout.</p> <p>AO4 Use of language ideas and concepts in comments on groupings will be generally competent and insightful.</p> <p>AO5 There will be a demonstrated awareness of the link between context and register. Starts to engage with some of the subtleties.</p>
24-29	<p>Clear structure with a linguistically informed method and a good sense of some of the complexities of the texts and groupings.</p> <p>AO1 The categorisations chosen and the reasons given for grouping will show a sound understanding of language study principles including terms, concepts and subtle awareness (e.g. the differences within the same groupings).</p> <p>AO3 Pragmatic and grammatical awareness in addition to lexis and graphology.</p> <p>AO4 There will be a clear understanding of the very different speech styles represented in the data.</p> <p>AO5 Sound contextual understanding.</p> <p>Answers may show features of the 30-35 band but lack consistency in some important dimension.</p>
30-35	<p>Will give a convincing account of the variety of data and offers a range of linguistically informed approaches to grouping and categorisation. Perceptive and subtle responses, very aware of complexities.</p> <p>AO1 A sense of system with clear explanatory coverage. Such answers will show due tentativeness in the claims that can be made of the evidence provided and will also show an argued awareness of the more productive groupings for these texts.</p> <p>AO3 Assured pragmatic and grammatical awareness in addition to lexis and graphology.</p> <p>AO4 There will be a consistently alert and informed application of knowledge and understanding in the use of terms and concepts and/or in the incisive comments on text and task.</p> <p>AO5 Subtle and sophisticated contextual awareness.</p>

Guidance on Task 2: Identifying Features and Analysing them in relation to Context

The following guidance complements the published mark scheme and seeks to make that general scheme easier to apply to candidate answers to Unit 1.

Key words for task:

- Select spoken **Text A** or **B** and any two other texts.
- **Analyse** some of the **language features** of the texts.
- Explain how these language features are **affected by context**.
- Use **appropriate** language frameworks to analyse the texts.

Indicative Content

- Some understanding of the contexts that may be relevant to a text, including the context of the reader or receiver.
- Reference to appropriate details of lexis, e.g. word origin and type, collocation, semantic fields, connotative/denotative meaning.
- Reference to appropriate details of grammar, e.g. sentence construction, use of adverbs, use of modal verbs, degrees of abstraction and nominalisation, cohesion, pronoun choices and features of text grammar.
- Some understanding of interdependence of lexis and grammar.
- Reference to relevant features of phonology (e.g. non-fluency, intonation, accent) and of conversation (e.g. interaction, turn-taking, agenda setting, context cues).
- Recognition of pragmatic factors that affect meaning (for example implied meanings, level of formality, style of address).
- Understanding of discourse features of chosen texts (for example distinctive lexis, evidence of authority/power in the chosen texts, form and purpose).
- Recognition of the role of graphological features.

Instructions to examiners

1. The coverage of each text is worth approximately a third of the marks available. The approximation allows some margin for the exercise of examiner discretion in unusual or anomalous answers.
2. Assess the response to each text by placing the coverage in the appropriate upper, middle or lower pages. Use this to guide your overall judgement.
3. Decide on a total that reflects a holistic assessment of the response.
4. Explain any anomalies in your end comment.
5. Contact your Team Leader for advice as required.

Rubric Infringements

- Where a candidate answers on neither Text A nor Text B the script should be assessed proportionally as being worth up to two thirds of the marks available.
- Where a candidate answers on both Text A and Text B the script should be assessed proportionally as being worth up to two thirds of the marks available.
- Indicate all rubric infringements in your comments and by writing RI clearly on the front of the script.
- Where a candidate answers on A and B and two others this is not a rubric infringement but all the texts should be assessed and the strongest of A or B rewarded.

Advice to Examiners

- Mark positively seeking to credit the relevant knowledge, understanding and quality of explanation in a variety of student approaches.
- Work on a best match principle. An answer may show features of different mark bands but there will be a balance of achievement indicating the range to choose.
- Pay attention to the assessment objective weightings in arriving at a holistic judgement.
- Candidates writing about only lexis and graphology are unlikely to score in the higher mark bands. Accurate grammatical and pragmatic comment is associated with higher mark bands.
- More effective answers should relate features to context; weaker answers will tend to identify features without explaining their contextual motivation or will explain contextual factors in a generalised manner.
- It is not feasible or even appropriate to expect candidates to apply all the language frameworks to each text in focus.
- Be aware of time constraints of the task and their effect on the student achievement.

Task 2 Mark Scheme

Taking either Text A or B and any two of the remaining texts, analyse some of the language features of these texts and explain how these are affected by context.

Marks	Contents Descriptors
0-5	<p>Little effective stylistic method for dealing with this question. Extreme brevity and consequent minimal and/or incomplete coverage (possibly due to time management difficulties).</p> <p>Speculative commentaries that show little grounding in principles of language study.</p> <p>AO1 Quality of explanation will be limited and may be further impeded by inaccurate use of language.</p> <p>AO3 Focus on content summary without identifying significant language features.</p> <p>AO4 Identifies impressions of texts such as formal and informal without being able to identify the language features behind those impressions.</p> <p>AO5 Evaluative assessments of texts using unreflective and prescriptive model.</p>
6-11	<p>Some limited stylistic method.</p> <p>AO1 The quality of explanation will be limited and may be impeded by inaccuracies and imprecision in the expression.</p> <p>AO3 Observations about language features may show a rudimentary level of language awareness with identification of a few more accessible surface features: typically these will be of layout and vocabulary.</p> <p>AO4 There will be demonstrated knowledge of some ideas from language study learned during the course.</p> <p>AO5 There may be some attempt to link these to contextual factors but this will go little further than underdeveloped claims or impressions.</p>
12-17	<p>Evidence of more assured knowledge and understanding of stylistic method emerging but mainly surface features (lexis, graphology, semantics).</p> <p>AO1 The quality of explanation will lack development and there may be some inaccuracies and imprecision in the expression but most ideas will be sound, if often basic.</p> <p>AO3 Typically comments will focus on more accessible surface features.</p> <p>AO4 The candidate will manage to link some ideas from language study with contextual factors with some level of exemplification and explanatory commentary but this may lack development.</p> <p>AO5 Routine inferences that relate language features to contextual factors.</p>

18-23	<p>Identifies a range of language features and relates these to contextual motivations. There may be the beginnings of engagement with subtler subtextual considerations but these are not developed.</p> <p>AO1 The quality of explanation will be clear although there may be some errors and inaccuracies in the expression.</p> <p>AO3 Identification of some features beyond lexis, layout and routine semantic inference. There may be some explicit awareness of issues relating to grammar, pragmatics and discourse.</p> <p>AO4 Ideas from language study will be linked convincingly to data and context.</p> <p>AO5 Coverage of the relationship between features and contextual factors will be generally convincing although there may be lapses and some oversimplification.</p>
24-29	<p>Sense of linguistically informed method and a capacity to identify structural and subtextual factors. Subtler awareness and some perceptive contextual comments but at times not developed.</p> <p>AO1 Generally effective linguistic register.</p> <p>AO3 Pragmatic and grammatical awareness will be there along with the lexical and graphological.</p> <p>AO4 Ideas from language study will be linked effectively to data and context.</p> <p>AO5 Salient features will be effectively related to contextual motivations.</p> <p>Answers will meet the requirements for the 30-35 band but there will be a less explicit knowledge of language and/or a less consistent coverage of the text/s chosen.</p>
30-35	<p>Consistent, linguistically informed method and a capacity to identify structural and subtextual factors. Subtle awareness. Very good on relevant contextual factors. All three texts must be covered well to achieve a mark in this band.</p> <p>AO1 The quality of explanation will be impressive with the accurate formal features of an effective linguistic register. This will include a capacity to explain complex features in detail. There may be due tentativeness concerning the claims that can be made and an awareness of other possible interpretations.</p> <p>AO3 Pragmatic and grammatical awareness will be there along with the lexical and graphological.</p> <p>AO4 Ideas from language study will be linked perceptively to data and context.</p> <p>AO5 Answers will identify salient linguistic features and relate these clearly and accurately to contextual motivation.</p>

Indicative content for texts in the January 2007 examination

The following indicative content suggests some of the features candidates may comment on and some of the issues they might find interesting to explore. The list is neither exhaustive nor prescriptive. It is offered as a starting point for examiners as they familiarise themselves with the texts and their linguistic and contextual features. When marking scripts examiners should seek to credit the identification of language features of all types in relation to contextual factors. Given the constraints of this exam, very few candidates will have time to note all the points made. Credit should also be given for insightful observations other than those made explicit below.

Possible groupings for task 1

- Letters (F, H)
- Domestic (C, F)
- Adverts (C, D)
- Persuade (D, H, C, G)
- Represented spoken (A, B, E)
- Cross-boundary (H, G)
- Direct address (A, C, D, E, F, H)
- Ellipsis (A, C, G, E)
- etc

Text A – Aerobics transcript

- Monologue
- Use of inclusive pronoun ‘we’
- Mix of interrogative/imperative moods
- Pauses linked to actions
- Positive lexical choices for praise
- Progressive tense
- Direct address
- 1st person
- One word utterances accompanying moves
- Running commentary as she demonstrates moves
- Shared understanding of moves – ‘grapevine’
- Gradual increasing complexity of moves
- OK as discourse marker to keep attention of class
- Repetition
- Use of checking mechanisms – ‘alright’

Text B – A Level English language lesson

- Question/answer discourse structure
- Elevated lexical choices by student – possibly seeking to impress
- Reaction of other students and subsequent response from teacher
- Shared understanding of analysing poem – mid way through lesson
- Teacher’s use of praise and subtext that rest of group are not making similar efforts

Text C – Extract from Ladybird book ‘In the Train with Uncle Mac’

- Capitalisation for destination and emphasis
- Present tense
- Third person
- Represented spoken in direct speech/ellipsis
- Exclamatives
- Inclusive use of first person plural ‘our’ to imply reader is accompanying the children on their journey
- Personification of train as ‘proud’
- Outdated cultural values implied in description and picture of driver/fireman/engine
- Text/image cohesion
- Direct address to reader at end
- Use of narrator’s/writer’s name at end
- Discourse – extract’s role as a conclusion to an educational journey
- Archaic nature of illustration

Text D – Attention, World!

- Graphological devices to demonstrate techniques
- Imperative headline – ellipsis
- Use of interrogatives – ellipsis to address reader directly – very like speech
- American turns of phrase – ‘world’, ‘neat, eh’
- Direct address
- Spelling of ‘center’ – further evidence of American authorship
- Boxed information for prices
- Use of parenthesis to clarify
- Lexical choice of ‘illustration’ rather than ‘portrait’ – Am?
- SD/Chibi – specialist cartoon styles as illustrated

Text E – Flapjack letter

- Handwritten and photocopied method of production
- Letter form
- Addressed to parents yet is homework – implies parents will be doing it
- Joint authorship – 1st person plural/possessive
- Direct address shifts responsibility onto parents
- Politeness strategies
- Final flourish to soften implied instruction
- Two exclamation marks to signify light touch/humour – may not be received in intended manner
- Assumption that all parents will comply

Text F – RNLI flyer

- Dramatic lexical choices
- Text/image cohesion
- Polite request for money evokes guilt in reader
- List of hazards he faces
- Direct address in appeal

- Pronoun usage – first person plural – team behind advertising campaign
- Form below gives options and direct debit instructions – commitment
- Photo caption looks insignificant (like acknowledgement of photographer) but names man and his role specifically – serves to authenticate appeal – not an actor
- Use of modal auxiliary suggesting future action and certainty

Text G – Blurb for ‘Curious Incident’

- Graphology – idiosyncratic cartoon style reflective of book’s contents
- Handwritten acknowledgments – again style a little bizarre
- Persuasive phrases capitalised
- Ellipsis in quotes from other sources
- Minor sentences
- Main body of text in standard font
- Context of bookshop/library – persuasive nature of text
- Running dots to show comments are edited
- Familiar features of ‘blurb’ genre – recommendations and summary of contents
- Persuasive strategies used in main body of texts – intriguing declaratives about main character and events while withholding key information about narrative
- Features of marketing context – ISBN number and bar code

Text H – Johnnie Boden letter

- Persuasive purpose of text to sell catalogue goods to reader
- Pseudo – friendly tone as if writing to a friend
- Use of first person implies personal touch
- Humour in first emboldened paragraph is implicit criticism that reader has not bought goods
- List of three to persuade reader to buy – aren’t postage and delivery the same thing?
- Contractions/minor sentences/colloquial lexical choices – similar to speech
- Heading looks official – mismatch with letter which follows
- Use of ‘so’ as discourse marker – like speech
- Imperative at end
- Pre-modification of nouns imply middle class audience of women – ‘wobbly antique ottoman’, ‘crazed papier mâché addiction’
- Direct address to reader
- Signature and phatic final sentence complete personal touches in letter
- Discourse structure – postscript solution to problem set up in main body of letter

General Principles

Module 1 forms the basis for all future study in that candidates' understanding of and ability to apply the systematic frameworks to a variety of short texts is a key feature. They will be asked to apply this knowledge and understanding in two ways:

- by grouping together a number of short texts, explaining the reasons for the groupings and some of the issues associated with them;
- by a stylistic analysis of both spoken and written texts.

Candidates will also need to understand the importance of audience, purpose, form and content in a writer's or speaker's language choices and the ways a text might be received.

Assessment Objectives

Examiners should first be aware of the Assessment Objectives being tested in ENB1 and their relevant weightings. These are reproduced here.

This module requires candidates to:

AO1 communicate clearly the knowledge, understanding and insight appropriate to the study of language, using appropriate terminology and accurate and coherent written expression.

(10% AS, 5% A2)

AO3i know and use key features of frameworks for the systematic study of spoken and written English.

(10% AS, 5% A2)

AO4 understand, discuss and explore concepts and issues relating to language in use.

(5% AS, 2½ % A2)

AO5i distinguish, describe and interpret variation in the meanings and forms of spoken and written language according to context.

(10% AS, 5% A2)

Task 1 Mark Scheme (Skills Descriptors)

Marks	Skills Descriptors
0-5	<p>AO1 Rudimentary observations on lexis, grammar and phonology; rudimentary categories; inadequate expression; minimal use of terminology – frequently misunderstood.</p> <p>AO3i Limited knowledge of one or two frameworks; unreliable and unsystematic; minimal observations on texts and data.</p> <p>AO4 Attempts to comment on factors governing language in selected texts.</p> <p>AO5i Attempts a comment on one or two factors influencing form, meaning and diversity in selected texts; identifies a contextual feature of selected texts.</p>
6-11	<p>AO1 Some general observations; some basic categories; faulty expression, limited use of terminology and, sometimes, misunderstood.</p> <p>AO3i Limited attempt at application of frameworks, in general not reliable and rarely systematic; observations on text confined to isolated references.</p> <p>AO4 Elementary comment on one or two factors governing language use in selected texts, though not always fully understood.</p> <p>AO5i Recognises one or two factors influencing form, meaning and diversity in selected texts; identifies one or two contextual features of selected texts.</p>
12-17	<p>AO1 Makes observations, not always accurately, about language features and groupings with limited detail; accuracy falters, limited use of terminology.</p> <p>AO3i Attempts some application of frameworks but not always successfully, reliably or systematically; some valid observations on texts and data.</p> <p>AO4 Some informed awareness of a limited number of factors governing language use in selected texts.</p> <p>AO5i Able to recognise some features influencing form, meaning and diversity in selected texts; identifies some contextual features.</p>
18-23	<p>AO1 Generally accurate observations about language features and appropriate groupings; generally accurate expression, some use of appropriate terminology.</p> <p>AO3i Application of frameworks in evidence but some lapses in its reliability and lacking in systematic treatment; some valid/sensible observations on texts and data.</p> <p>AO4 Some understanding of a number of factors governing language used in selected texts.</p> <p>AO5i Some awareness of contextual factors influencing form, meaning and diversity in selected texts; identifies and interprets a range of contextual features of selected texts.</p>
24-29	<p>AO1 Clear observations about lexis, grammar and phonology etc; accurate expression with appropriate terminology.</p> <p>AO3i Generally reliable and systematic application of frameworks to group and investigate texts; makes generally secure observations on texts and data.</p> <p>AO4 Sound understanding of factors governing language used in texts.</p> <p>AO5i Shows awareness of factors influencing form, meaning, diversity in selected texts; generally clear description and interpretation of distinctive features of selected texts.</p>
30-35	<p>AO1 Clear and detailed observations about lexis, grammar and phonology etc, accurate expression with appropriate use of terminology.</p> <p>AO3i Reliability and systematic application of frameworks to group and investigate texts; makes informed linguistic observations on texts and data.</p> <p>AO4 Perceptive insight into many factors governing language used in texts.</p> <p>AO5i Shows understanding of factors influencing form, meaning, diversity in selected texts; clear description and interpretation of distinctive contextual features of selected texts.</p>

Task 2 Mark Scheme (Skills Descriptors)

Marks	Skills Descriptors
0-5	<p>AO1 Rudimentary observations on lexis, grammar and phonology; inadequate expression; minimal use of terminology – frequently misunderstood.</p> <p>AO3i Limited knowledge of one or two frameworks; unreliable and unsystematic minimal observations on texts and data.</p> <p>AO4 Attempts to comment on factors governing language in selected texts.</p> <p>AO5i Attempts a comment on one or two factors influencing form, meaning and diversity in selected texts; identifies a contextual feature of selected texts.</p>
6-11	<p>AO1 Some general observations on lexis, grammar and phonology; faulty expression, limited use of terminology and, sometimes, misunderstood.</p> <p>AO3i Limited attempt at application of frameworks, in general not reliable and rarely systematic; observations on text confined to isolated references.</p> <p>AO4 Aware of one or two factors governing language use in selected texts, though not always fully understood.</p> <p>AO5i Recognises one or two factors influencing form, meaning and diversity in selected texts; identifies one or two contextual features of selected texts.</p>
12-17	<p>AO1 Makes observations, not always accurately, about lexis, grammar and phonology with limited detail; accuracy falters, limited use of terminology.</p> <p>AO3i Attempts some application of frameworks but not always successfully, reliably or systematically; some valid observations on texts and data.</p> <p>AO4 Some informed awareness of a limited number of factors governing language use in selected texts.</p> <p>AO5i Able to recognise some features influencing form, meaning and diversity in selected texts; identifies some contextual features.</p>
18-23	<p>AO1 Generally accurate observations about lexis, grammar and phonology with some detail; generally accurate expression, some use of appropriate terminology.</p> <p>AO3i Application of frameworks in evidence but some lapses in its reliability and lacking in systematic treatment; some valid/sensible observations on texts and data.</p> <p>AO4 Some awareness of a number of factors governing language used in selected texts.</p> <p>AO5i Some awareness of contextual factors influencing form, meaning and diversity in selected texts; identifies and interprets a range of contextual features of selected texts.</p>
24-29	<p>AO1 Clear observations about lexis, grammar and phonology etc; accurate expression with appropriate terminology.</p> <p>AO3i Generally reliable and systematic application of frameworks to group and investigates texts; makes generally secure observations on texts and data.</p> <p>AO4 Good awareness of factors governing language used in texts.</p> <p>AO5i Shows awareness of factors influencing form, meaning, diversity in selected texts; generally clear description of distinctive contextual features of selected texts.</p>
30-35	<p>AO1 Clear and detailed observations about lexis, grammar and phonology etc, accurate expression with appropriate use of terminology.</p> <p>AO3i Reliability and systematic application of frameworks to group and investigate texts; makes informed linguistic observations on texts and data.</p> <p>AO4 Good awareness of many factors governing language used in texts.</p> <p>AO5i Shows understanding of factors influencing form, meaning, diversity in selected texts; clear description of distinctive contextual features of selected texts.</p>