

Mark Scheme (Standardisation) Summer 2008

GCE

GCE English Language and Literature (6382/01)



Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. It incorporates all the qualifications previously awarded under the Edexcel and BTEC brand. We provide a wide range of qualifications including general (academic), vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, our centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information please call Customer Services on 0870 240 9800, or visit our website at www.edexcel.org.uk

General Marking Guidance

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

This unit assesses Assessment Objectives AO2i, AO3i, AO4 and AO6.

Task (a)

Your local secondary school has organised a meeting for students aged 14-17 and their parents to discuss the issues surrounding underage drinking and alcohol abuse. As a responsible post-16 student you have been invited to deliver a presentation to this meeting which should:

- provide information on the causes and the effects of underage drinking
- give guidance on recognising the signs of alcohol abuse
- encourage those affected by the problem to take positive action to deal with it
- provide details of available help, support and advice.

Your task is to provide the text you will deliver for this presentation.

Your text should be at least 400 words long.

Task (b)

Explain and comment on the language choices you have made in Task (a) in order to produce the text for a presentation for students aged 14-17 and their parents on the issue of underage drinking and alcohol abuse.

General Comments

The Desk Study assesses candidates' skills in comprehension, editing and summarising, their knowledge of audience and purpose, and their ability to shape the same material in different ways to achieve different outcomes through the selection of appropriate genre, format and register. An explicit demonstration of this knowledge is required by the commentary in which candidates have to explain the process of their own writing.

As this unit involves pre-release material some testing of the AOs is implicit in the quality of preparation of the source texts, which includes reading for meaning, responding to texts of different types and being aware of different audiences and purposes and contextual variation. This relates particularly to AO2i and AO3i and is shown in the selection of suitable material from the source texts.

AO2i assesses the candidates' selection and organisation of material for Task A, and their analysis of this selection and organisation in Task B.

Lower band responses may present problems with sequencing and structure and may lack awareness of the appropriate register and format required by the task. In Task B there is likely to be limited success in **explaining** the choices made.

Higher band responses will be coherent and well organised. They will demonstrate clear awareness of the presentation context and the informative and persuasive nature of the task. Task B will use appropriate terminology, relating language choices to the function of Task A and identifying the literary and linguistic techniques used.

AO3i assesses the candidates' use of a variety of literary and linguistic approaches in the **reshaping** of the given material to produce the text for presentation to 14-17 year olds and their parents on the issues surrounding underage drinking.

Lower band responses may use only a limited number of source texts. Expect a significant amount of lifting, some of which may not be well assimilated. In Task B weaker candidates are likely to give a descriptive account of choices made, with limited levels of analysis and an emphasis on content.

Higher band responses will demonstrate good assimilation and understanding of the source texts through the selection of suitable and interesting material, judicious editing and careful re-writing to produce a different slant on the material according to the given task. The content should be wide-ranging, drawing on a range of source texts in Task A. Task B should explore the language choices made and should explore the literary and linguistic strategies used in Task A, linking form to function and providing detailed exemplification.

AO4 assesses the candidates' awareness of the demands of a presentation context for Task (a).

Some **lower band** responses may rely heavily on layout with minimum consideration of content. Others could be dense prose with limited concession to format. In Task (b) the discussion of the form of the text will probably be handled at a predominantly descriptive level.

Higher band responses will successfully produce format suitable for a presentation with clear shaping of the text in terms of form, style and vocabulary to suit the given context.

Expect a range of responses which fulfil the presentation brief. For example, the text produced in Task (a) might demonstrate:

- awareness of the features of a presentation including use of visual aids
- awareness of the structural devices required to sequence and communicate ideas and to fulfil the persuasive/informative purpose.

Task (b) is likely to explore the effects achieved through register, lexis and syntax as well as justifying any layout features employed in Task (a).

AO6 assesses the ability to shape material to meet the requirements of a specific audience and purpose.

Lower band responses are likely to demonstrate insecure and/or inconsistent register. They will demonstrate only a limited awareness of audience and purpose. There is likely to be a tendency to make general observations in Task (b) rather than exploring stylistic choices in detail.

Higher band responses will demonstrate careful reading of the key elements in the question, making perceptive choices of material and format to address these elements. They will demonstrate sensitivity and scope in directing writing to a specified audience and purpose. The text might be characterised by the following features:

- suitable variation in sentence structure and lexis to address the informative and persuasive purpose of the task
- a clear sense of audience and a clear attempt to engage them via address, register and tone
- a clear sense of the presentational context of the task
- appropriate and consistent register
- lexical choice designed to engage, persuade and inform the audience
- layout features suitable for a presentation.

Task (b) is likely to demonstrate assured knowledge of terminology and literary/linguistic concepts. At a higher level there should be clear explanations of stylistic choices made and the effects that the candidate hoped to achieve.

Guidelines for assessing the commentary

The commentary should give specific comments on the language choices made in order to address the requirements of Task (a). Effective exemplification and explanation of choice should be rewarded highly.

If the commentary has not been attempted the script is unlikely to achieve a mark higher than Band 3.

If the commentary is wholly observational, vague or fragmentary, the script is unlikely to reach the higher bands.

Assessment Objectives for Unit 2

	AO2i	AO3i	AO4	AO6
Bands/ Marks	in responding to literary and non-literary texts, distinguish, describe and interpret variation in meaning and form	respond to and analyse texts, using literary and linguistic concepts and approaches	show understanding of the ways contextual variation and choices of form, style and vocabulary shape the meanings of texts	demonstrate expertise and accuracy in writing for a variety of specific purposes and audiences, drawing on knowledge of literary texts and features to explain and comment on the choices made
Band 1 1-10	 identify a limited number of literary and linguistic features describe some examples of difference in meaning and form 	 show evidence of reading mainly for surface meanings demonstrate only a bare knowledge of literary and/or linguistic frameworks and perspectives be characterised by descriptive treatment of texts, spoken and/or written 	 show restricted awareness of how context affects the meanings of literary and non-literary texts, spoken and/or written identify limited examples of significant structural and stylistic features 	 show minimal awareness of purpose and audience employ inappropriate slanting, tone, format and content attempt to comment on choices made, drawing on a very basic knowledge of linguistic and literary features
Band 2 11-20	 identify some literary and linguistic features describe a variety of differences in meaning and form 	 show some awareness that different levels of meaning inhere in texts make limited use of both literary and/or linguistic frameworks write about texts from a predominantly descriptive viewpoint 	 make some connections between context and texts in the production of meaning, in literary and non-literary and spoken and/or written, texts describe some examples of significant structural and stylistic features 	 demonstrate some ability to recognise purpose and audience use writing of limited appropriateness make some relevant comments on choices made, drawing on some knowledge of linguistic and literary features
Band 3 21-30	 distinguish between some literary and linguistic features explain some of the effects produced by variations in meaning and form 	 respond to texts as multidimensional in meaning deploy both literary and linguistic frameworks in their responses to all texts discuss most texts from a critical viewpoint 	 show some knowledge of how selected social and cultural contexts are relevant to the meanings of literary and non-literary, spoken and/or written, texts demonstrate some critical and relevant knowledge of how specific structural and stylistic features inform the meanings of texts 	 show clear understanding and recognition of specific purposes and audiences demonstrate ability to vary written style appropriately show sound understanding of any source material used demonstrate awareness of reasons for choices made of particular linguistic and literary feature
Band 4 31-40	 analyse a variety of literary and linguistic features discuss in detail some of the effects produced by variations in meaning and form 	 differentiate clearly between spoken and written texts in the production of meaning distinguish between literary and linguistic frameworks when responding to texts adopt a consistently critical and detailed stance towards texts 	 discuss in some detail the relevance and significance of social and cultural contexts to the meanings of literary and non-literary, spoken and written, texts show in detail how specific structural and stylistic features inform the meanings of texts 	 show a detailed appreciation of the reading needs of different audiences and appropriateness of different styles for different purposes create significant amounts of new text demonstrate a thorough understanding of any source material used explain choices thoughtfully, drawing on an assured knowledge of linguistic and literary features
Band 5 41-50	 examine an extensive range of literary and linguistic features explain the impact of variations in meaning and form on the interpretation of literary and non-literary texts 	 show discrimination and sensitivity in the range of their critical responses to different types of text apply literary and linguistic frameworks in detail when responding to an analysing texts exhibit incisiveness and insight as well as critical ability 	 deploy detailed critical knowledge of a variety of social and cultural contexts when exploring the meanings of literary and non-literary, spoken and written, texts comment extensively and in detail on how a range of structural and stylistic features inform the meanings of texts 	 demonstrate sensitivity and scope in directing writing for different purposes and a variety of audiences make perceptive choices of material and formats show assured and detailed understanding of any source material used make full explanatory comments on choices made