

ALLIANCE

General Certificate of Education

English Language and Literature 5721 Specification A

NTA1 Language Production

Mark Scheme

2006 examination - June series

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

DISTRIBUTION OF ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVES AND WEIGHTINGS

The table below is a reminder of which Assessment Objectives will be tested by the questions and the marks available for them.

Unit 1

Assessment Objective	AO4	AO6
Question 1 Production	25 (x2)	25 (x2)
Question 2 Commentary		25 (x2)

Question 1 – Production Marking procedure

- 1 Use the question specific mark scheme first to get a sense of which band the candidate's work falls into then use the grid.
- 2 Use of AO4 must be seen **through** AO6; mark piece of writing where context and choice of form by the candidate are reflected in their own writing, and where they have made informed choices in their own work.
- 3 Award 25 marks for each AO, multiply each by 2 to arrive at a mark out of 100.

Question 2 – Commentary Marking Procedure

- 1 Assessment of AO6 is concentrated upon the second part of the AO; mark piece of writing where commentary reflects and explains candidate's own writing, and where informed choices have been made based upon their own reading in AS English Language and Literature.
- 2 Award 25 marks for AO6, multiply by 2 to arrive at a mark out of 50.

Marking notations for English Language and Literature

Use the guidelines in the Assistant Examiner's Handbook as the basis of your marking, but supplement with these specific notations used across all units of the new specification.

Points that are correct

✓ (tick): straight underline/	to indicate a positive point (but not rhythmical ticking)	Q1 and Q2
vertical line at side: expl: pr:	to indicate a good passage candidate explains personal response	Q1 and Q2 Q2 Q2
<u>Errors (in body of script)</u>		
ringed or marked with S: squiggly underline: x (cross):	spelling mistake poor expression/poor or wrong idea to indicate a point is wrong	Q1 Q1 and Q2 Q2
Marginal annotation		
pnm:	point not made (if idea is not explained)	Q2
For Question 1		
BE: gr: voc: exp:	basic error grammatical error vocabulary error flaw in expression	
For Question 2		
aud: purp: con: form: voc: gr: imag: phon: coh: struc: eg?	point made about audience point made about purpose point made about context point made about form vocabulary point made grammatical point made point made about imagery point made about imagery point made about cohesion point made about structure lack of example given	

Generic Grid: Question 1

		AO4 (25 marks x2) Awareness of context/form/use of content.	AO6 (25 marks x2) Style/accuracy.
Band 5	24-25	 Interpretation of context Skilful use of form Completely fit for purpose 	 Expression wholly appropriate Audience completely engaged Sophisticated Stylish
	21-23	 Knowledgeable use of form Engaging content for audience and purpose Fit for purpose 	 Expression precise and appropriate Cohesive writing that works Engaging style Rare errors
Band 4	18-20	 Confident sense of context Content well selected for audience and purpose Convincing control of form Shows evidence of a number of qualities of the band above but not secure in all of these 	 Sustained use of appropriate style Approaching fulfilment of aims Firm control of technical aspects
	16-17	 Sound sense of context Content fulfils task Competent handling of form Successful approach for context/audience/purpose Soundly structured 	 Effective register Clear stylistic shaping and communicative intent Underlying technical control Successful use of language for context/audience/purpose Few technical flaws Lacking sense of style of higher bands
Band 3	14-15	 Sense of context Audience clearly targeted Awareness of form Clear focus on set task Appropriate content with some gaps 	 Definite if inconsistent register Expression clear and generally controlled – mostly suitable for genre Some relatively minor technical flaws
	11-13	 Basic awareness of context and form, with some uncertainty evident Content broadly appropriate Approach broadly – but not entirely – appropriate for audience 	 Some uncertainty about appropriate style but some conscious shaping Expression communicated ideas but lacks flexibility/sophistication Some technical flaws but few basic errors
Band 2	8-10	 Possibly some misunderstanding about one of the following: audience/purpose/form Some attempt made to address audience/purpose, which reveals some awareness of context, albeit on a very basic level 	 Style/approach not entirely convincing but possibly some broad shaping for audience Some flaws in expression Probably frequent technical errors
	6-7	 Some sense of purpose but style/approach not convincing for context/form Weak focus on audience 	 Style not secure for audience/purpose Ideas and expression likely to be naïve and vocabulary limited Intrusive errors
Band	4-5	 Very limited awareness of context Basic misjudgements of form Little or no focus on audience 	 Occasional awareness of audience/purpose glimpsed in the language used Intrusive basic errors
1	1-3	 No sense of context No sense of form or shaping of material for audience/purpose Does not focus on task 	 Frequent weakness in expression Major flaws in technical aspects Style likely to be entirely inappropriate

Question 1 Your task is to write an extract from a novel in which you aim to convey a sense of place and atmosphere.

You may, if you wish, use Text A or Text B as a stimulus for your writing, but you should not copy extracts from these texts.

You are advised to write approximately 300 – 400 words.

- Key Words: extract from novel aim to convey sense of place atmosphere
- Assessment focuses: choice of form, style and vocabulary expertise and accuracy for specific audience and purpose knowledge of literary text and features of language

Band 5: (21 - 25 marks)

21 – 25 Skilful and entirely convincing extract which reveals complete awareness of narrative form
 Thoroughly engaging writing which interests the reader
 Skilful and imaginative description
 Sophisticated approach/expression
 Cohesive, stylish and accurate writing

Band 4: (16 – 20 marks)

- 18 20 Confident extract which reveals a very sound awareness of narrative form Convincing writing which holds the reader's attention Confident expression. Sustained use of appropriate style Firm control of technical aspects
- 16 17 Clear, competent extract which reveals a sound awareness of narrative form Ideas are appropriate
 Approach fulfils the task. Well expressed
 Style is appropriate with some stylistic shaping. Few technical errors

Band 3: (11 – 15 marks)

- 14 15 General awareness of narrative form, with some inconsistencies Ideas generally suitable Approach not entirely convincing but seriously attempted Expression generally clear and controlled Candidate strives for suitable style, but some, relatively minor inconsistencies Possibly some technical flaws
- 11 13 Basic awareness of narrative form but some misjudgements about style Approach broadly but not entirely appropriate Attempt made to convey atmosphere but the writing lacks convincing stylistic shaping Expression lacks flexibility and sophistication Possibly a number of technical flaws few basic errors

Band 2: (6 – 10 marks)

- 8 10 Candidate has written an extract from a narrative but approach reveals limited understanding of the task
 Some suitable ideas but the writing is unlikely to be engaging Limited sense of how to convey atmosphere
 Some flaws in expression
 Likely to be frequent technical flaws
- 6-7 Some attempt made to write an extract from a narrative but approach not convincing
 Very limited/simplistic ideas for genre
 Style not convincing. Unlikely to be engaging and of interest
 Unsophisticated approach/simplistic language
 Intrusive errors

Band 1: (0 – 5 marks)

- 4 5 Basic misjudgements in approach reveal very limited awareness of task or narrative form, although a few ideas may be suitable at a very basic level Writing likely to be poorly constructed and difficult to follow Lacks audience awareness Intrusive basic errors
- 1-3 Very little or no sense of narrative form Unlikely to be any sense of how to make the writing engaging for the reader Style likely to be entirely inappropriate Major flaws in technical aspects

Generic Grid: Question 2

		AO6 (25 marks x 2)
Band	24-25	Sophisticated/subtle understanding of how writing works at different level
5	24-23	 Wide-ranging analysis that thoroughly engages with meaning
U		 Skilful use of framework for analysis
		 Cogent and cohesive
		Clear overview of a range of effects for audience and purpose
	21-23	• Comments engage with meaning and are fully supported by examples
		Knowledgeable use of framework for analysis
		Confidently and accurately expressed
		Distinguishes a range of significant features
Band	18-20	• Clear and detailed comment on the text's communicative intent
4		Engages with meaning
		Well supported comments showing linguistic insight
		Successful and detailed use of framework for analysis
		Competently expressed
		Few errors
	16-17	• Distinguishes key features of style and effects created
		• Explains, using some detail, about grammar and style
		Comments embedded within a framework for analysis
		Explanation is clear – commentary is effective
	14-15	• Explanation evident
		• Framework for analysis used
		• Comments focus on a number of important linguistic choices
Band		• Some apt examples given but also some over-generalisations
	11 13	Mostly clear communication of ideas
3	11-13	• Comments make mostly general points about stylistic features
		• Awareness of effect on audience and purpose and own intentions shown
		 Some lack of precision and a limited number of precise examples
		given
		 Explanation generally clear but lacking subtlety
		 Possibly feature spots
		 At least two language features commented on
	8-10	Attempt made to reflect on some ideas and choices
		• Some reference made to vocabulary and style but in a very general
		way
		• Ideas are basically accurate although some misunderstanding may be
		evident
Band		• A limited number of ideas explored and very few examples given
2		Partial use of framework for analysis
		Some flaws of expression
		At least one language feature commented on
	6-7	• Weak focus on audience and purpose
		Comments simplistic and general
		• Focus on content rather than style
		Probably no precise examples given to support ideas
		Some errors of explanation

Dered	4-5	 Partial and purely descriptive comments Occasional awareness of audience and purpose Simplistic ideas which include a number of inaccuracies
Band		Intrusive technical and expressive errors
1	1-3	Commentary is not explanatory
		No focus on task
		Fragmentary points
		Frequent weakness in expression

A06: Demonstrate expertise and accuracy in writing for a variety of specific purposes and audiences, drawing on knowledge of literary texts and features of language to explain and comment on the choices made.