



Examiners' Report January 2010

GCE Economics 6EB04





Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at www.edexcel.com. If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our **Ask The Expert** email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link:

http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/

Alternatively, you can speak directly to a subject specialist at Edexcel on our dedicated Business telephone line: 020 7190 5329

ResultsPlus

ResultsPlus is our unique performance improvement service for you and your students.

It helps you to:

- Raise attainment by providing in-depth analysis of where your class did well and not so well, enabling you to identify areas to focus on/make improvements.
- **Spot performance trends** at a glance by accessing one-click reports. You can even choose to compare your cohort's performance against other schools throughout the UK.
- **Personalise your students' learning** by reviewing how each student performed, by question and paper you can use the detailed analysis to shape future learning.
- Meet the needs of your students on results day by having immediate visibility of their exam performance at your fingertips to advise on results.

To find out more about ResultsPlus and for a demonstration visit http://resultsplus.edexcel.org.uk/home

January 2010

Publications Code UA022730

All the material in this publication is copyright © Edexcel Ltd 2010

General Comments

Pre-release material provides a focus to teach to and enables candidates to enter the examination room better prepared. This was indeed borne out by candidate performance on this paper and clearly very good use had been made of the pre-release in the classroom. Teachers and candidates are to be congratulated. The UK and European regulatory framework is indeed a broad field, but candidates made excellent use of the pitch.

The theme this year certainly proved to be one that candidates could readily identify with. A significant amount of media attention was given to the topic over the Christmas period and indeed initiatives were introduced by the Scottish parliament. The majority had some familiarity with this and used it to good effect. Good toolkit was seen. Several synoptic elements were tested where appropriate. Nonetheless, the paper discriminated well with a maximum raw mark of 74 and minimum of 8.



ResultsPlus

Examiner Tip

On definition questions, always give a brief example if you are less than certain to show the examiner you really do understand it. If the question refers you to a piece of evidence, use it.



Good Answer scoring 2 marks

1 What is meant by the term buyer power' (see Additional Evidence J, line 5)?

The term buyer power refers to the ability of a business

Such as Punch Toverns to decide More freely the terms on

Which they obtain alcohol From Lrewers, allowing them to argue

or agree on a price but is right for them or they are the

main astomers of browers and so need to be hept hypog.



Poor answer scoring 0 marks (ignores evidence and out of context)

1 What is meant by the term 'buyer power' (see Additional Evidence J, line 5)?

This will mean in relation to punchs towern what the consumer will work too to keep adulate they think is



ResultsPlus

Examiner Tip

On definition questions, avoid simply twisting the words in the question



Good Answer scoring 2 marks

What is meant by the term competitive prices' (see Additional Evidence J, line 9)?

Within a moute thirty high competition, firms use pricing strategies depending on the situation. Competitive pricing is when competitions loss their price of their product to draw in more consumers and opin a higher martet share, when outing their rivals. This type of strategy will man you many have to love your profit margin depending on whether you can take ability of economies of scale



Weak answer scoring 0 marks (candidate possibly understands, but fails to explain clearly).

2 What is meant by the term'competitive prices' (see Additional Evidence I, line 9)?

It is the pricing strategy based on competitors. Thus firms

Offering competitive prices can compete effectively in terms as

of price which influences the firm's profitability



ResultsPlus

Examiner Tip

Examiners never ask the same question twice in the same paper. There were two valid approaches here, the first relating to the significant buyer power the pubcos have over the breweries, the second relating to the lack of buyer power of tenants due to the practice of tying.



Good Answer scoring 4 marks

3 Explain the impact of buyer power on pubcos.

Boger Pub Boger Pubcos drave the power ofer brewers to decide how much they want to buy the supply from the brewers. Therefore the brewers have little choice but to sell at that price be cause the brewers know that these companies will supply a large amount and they will still get a large profit. The pubcos will have increased profits because they have reduced costs. Therefore they can expand the company or pay shareholders.



Weak answer scoring 0 (candidate confuses "brewer" and "tenant").

Explain the impact of buyer power on pubcos.

The Pubco's affect treed agreenests he breases which moreovers

the Pubco's reserve greatly as brevers are required to probe from the probes (up to free fire the worket price) the pubco's generated prices (up to free fire the pubco's generated prices from the pubco's generated prices from the pubco's produce cont selling due to incorporting prices. The brevers are study due to incorporting probability as successive and so the public so the pubco's agreement from and the public so the pubco's agreement from and the public so the pubco's agreement from and the public so the public so



Answer with just some merit for 1 mark, but thinks buyer power is about consumers

Buyer power with effectively mean that publics will have to provide attractive prices in comparison to set other market-share holders in the alcohol industry. Public are restricted however, from choosing the best or cheaper aftermitive brewer as they are tied to purchasing about supplies from the brewers that even the pub they lesse. In the long-term, buyer power will result in less sales for publics as consumers will seek (out) out afternative suppliers. The reason wing publics will suffer in a negetive way, is because the wholesale price available to it's pub tenants is "three times, more than the market wholesale price".



Answer with no merit, candidate completely fails to use the evidence.

3	Explain the impact of buyer power on pubcos.
*****	Buyer power could have a regusive inpact on pulsas.
	This is because although their street may be up for
ک نب	ale, it may not get sold. This man Reg will have
	high level of musteage and Oneic poments may pail:
	Haverer, it run see an invase in sales of their
	als reasing in call have a pairing import



Excellent answer, despite being brief, using both approaches for 4 marks.

3 Explain the impact of buyer power on pubcos.

They buyer power pubcos generate allows them to obtain adaptal.

Sor a cheoper price and Sell it onto their Various tements at a Sorely instated price Since the tements have no choice by to buy from them due to Some restrictive practices put in place.

This buyer power on pubcos allows than to generate with profile business running the publishments having the people by one field the their business running.



Good answer for 5 marks

4 Briefly explain two externalities of alcoholic drinks consumption.

There are negetive and positive externalities of alcoholic consumption. The first positive externality being that alcohol is a good product for bringing people together to socialise and to relax. However, it can be argued that the regetive externalities for out-weigh the positives. These alcohol negetive externalities externalities are immediate and often being violence unti-social behaviour and a large risk factor to the long-term health of consumers. Alcohol is a de-merit good that is often over-consumed. It is bad for us.



Slightly weaker as no real context (fails to use evidence). Still worth 4 marks

4 Briefly explain two externalities of alcoholic drinks consumption.

An external its reflects the side of production to roduct, which can either positive or negative.

Positive nearing that the cocail benefit will be greate than the private here fit, i either extendent.

Negative external the success the private cost is expected.

Negative external the success the private cost is executed.

National cost would exceed the private cost is executed.

Notice that he we also to see that he we also to see the private cost is executed.



ResultsPlus

Examiner Tip

The command word examine usually indicates the need for some element of evaluation. This was rarely seen in responses to this question. The likely consequences were that Punch and Enterprise would use their market power against the consumer interest resulting in higher prices and less choice. Possibility of a cartel or tacit agreement. This could also result in more tenancy failures, but might not be sustainable in a recession. Weaker candidates assumed a merger.



Good one sided answer for 7 marks.

Punch and Enterprise, the two leading pub companies, together own more than a quarter of Britain's 56,000 pubs.

5 Examine the likely consequences of this combined market share for the UK pub industry.

The large share I be nother mean that their confermed would be solly to perce their products at a war price process desired Products principle and aller actions process are they do not show the second of social three percent as they do not show the second of social polar and the percent and they are such as the bagge made in the social shows a such as the bagge advertising the second of social and they are such as the bagge advertising to the social and the percent are shown as the percent and the percent are shown and the percent and the percent are shown and the percent and the percent are shown and the percent and the percent are shown and they are shown and the shown and they are shown and the shown and they are shown and the shown and they are shown and the shown and they are shown and the shown are shown and they are shown and they are shown and they are shown and they are shown as the shown as they are shown as the shown as they are shown as they are shown as the shown as they are shown as they are shown as the shown as the shown as they ar



Some valid points, but fails to connect with UK pub industry for 3 marks.

Punch and Enterprise, the two leading pub companies, together own more than a quarter of Britain's 56,000 pubs.

5 Examine the likely consequences of this combined market share for the UK pub industry.

This combined market share for the UK pub industry can lead to a castel by the two leading pub companies. This means that they will be able to save money on advertising and instead apply a restricted practice to the alcohol market. By doing so, they will effectively eliminate price competition and therefore other market share holders. Once these two have a monopolistic power over the market, consumers will be left to buy from lunch and Enterprise. Once this happens the market would have failed. The buyer power would no longer be available. Evidence I shows that pubos only want to maximise profits no matter what



ResultsPlus

Examiner Tip

To score well here, it was necessary to identify the relative price inelasticity of alcohol. The evidence gave some figures which meant a calculation was needed to support, rather than a bald statement.



Good answer for 7 marks.

6 Using this and other evidence, to what extent do you agree with this statement? Alcohol is quite an in-elastic product. By increasing the level of "taxation and pricing of alwhoric beverages" the government will see a less than proposionated decrease in the demand for alcohol in comparison the the increased prices and taxes. Suppliers of alcohol will always find a way to reduce their costs and there naintain the same level of out-out. Apart from increasing taxation on alcohol, the government could also book at improving the legislations and regulation that govern the consumption of alcoholic beverages. Évidence E' suggests that, dispite high pubcos prices, supermarkets are another supplier that will reduce the effect on consumers consumption, even if taxation is high aswell as price. Line is of 'sidences' shows how low the price of alcohol supplied by supermarkets really is. This will reduce the efficiency of any price based changes the government can make as supermarkets are there with cheap = atternative beers. Overall the increase of taxation and price will reduce consumption and raise a considerable tax revenue, as Stated in Evidence & lines Sto 9. (Total for Question 6 = 8 marks)



Weaker answer for 3 marks

Taxation and pricing of alcoholic beverages are the most effective methods for reducing alcohol misuse and its consequences. (Evidence E)

6 Using this and other evidence, to what extent do you agree with this statement?

This is a valuant effort of the government as it would make the consumer think if they could a Good to know the drink and if they couldn't then it auxid then from spending too much an alcohol which could then lead to municipy the affect.

A However, consumes independent rubsuau Llarone
that they cauldn't afford to increase prices Whathey do
in New realend or Normany as they cauld nike toxin's
custoners, and that, through economies of scale big
Nub chavis a wildhere Citas Wey cauld afford the reduction
os they could cove the costs.

On black hand, they could, from from the article under energy.

Change the laws on alcohol promotion which could then

Linit rusius. From hange have provotions.

A Inconclusion a starce Checks the government, the government wand have to decide this as they cand drish too suize his which cand then he reflected in the cauntries 6 DP.

Question 7a



ResultsPlus

Examiner Tip

This question was very well answered. The marks in Section B come from Analysis (using the evidence to support arguments) and Evaluation (pros & cons plus a supported conclusion).



Much irrelevance and inaccurate use of data 5 marks

*7 (a) Assess the case for taxpayers' money being spent on initiatives such as free flip flops, lollipops and bubble blowers.

(20)

The case for taxpayers' money being spent on initiative such as free flip flops, lillipops and bubble blowers, should not be (carried 4th) allowed as this is a sign of the & Government accepting defeat. Instead of wasting tax-payers' money as expressed in line 13 and 14 of Evidence G, by the "Tax Payers' Alliance". The Government should focus all of their time on enforcing better alcohol consumption legislations and regulations. (It's true) After-all, prevention is better than cure. To me this initiative to prevent harm to drunk alcohol consumers is more of a cure that and last-minute resolution to a system and market that has too much freedom. Evidence & on line 28, suggest that the government's proposal to "restrict happy hours or price base promotions - nomen' drink for free promotions" should be ended.

Evidence B, shows that the Government can put inplace effective policies that will help reduce alcohol abuse and alcohol related fatalities. Line one of Evidence B shows that "British drukers have consumed less alcohol since the Licensing Act was updated in 2005", this being a good initiative as compared to that of offering "free flip-flops 'and the like. On the other hand, the "prip-flops" intiative is effective in the case of a failing market. It helps reduce the otherwise misance behaviour and health risk of alcohol consumers adding to negetive externatities. Another way inwhich the Government could raise money instead of waste tax-payers' money is by increasing the excise Buty rates in the UK alcohol market Evidence H, shows that, there is a clirect correlation between the excise duty rate and the revenue generated from alcoholic drink in UK. This would then reduce the level of demand as the price, would have gone up. Solving the issue of health



Thinner answer for 15

*7 (a) Assess the case for taxpayers' money being spent on initiatives such as free flip flops, lollipops and bubble blowers.
(20)
The case for the pro-side of inhabites such as free
Flip Hops and bubble blowless, could be that the baxpayers
are able to have a quietan rights sleep, inithout Horge
numbers of worken staggering home at early have of the
morning disruptions the local community you could say that
the censard for introducing these ideas and paying for them
Brough taxes, results in those who live near the chibs, being
able to steep peacestuly. This also reduces the likely-had of
these women appearing vulnerable to derival -assault as they want appear
as drunte, thus not brighted, which im the most taxpayers
Wan't mind it it means their wives sisters or mothers return
have sakely.
Andrheit agod thing about the introduces, such as the bibble blowers,
are that it reduced a regotive externality of the club-scene, which
is violence, and is it increases the reduction or vanialism or
wasting police time ones settling domestic distribunces, etc, then I
think the inhabites wants have appeared to work.
On the against side of the apply intriatives the coxpayors could
arouse that they do not hive around these affected areas such

as Bollon and Toggray, and do not see why their money is being spent on something that will not benefit from: Another clament that the lexpanses may be anguy about is the arount or police time that could patentially be wasted an such things as bonding out flip flops. They may book at it and Hunn its their boult they opt themselves into that state and why should we pay to get them out of it. This point is boused up by Matthew Elliot, Chief Executive of the lax payers Alliance This is an vidric waste or the many. They want police to eight crime. When weighing up the points it all depends an your personal opposion or drunk behaviour which will split the toxpospers views on whether they are willing to pay for the inhatives or not but the does have long been promise as it is an apportunity to make a real difference in relation to alcohol related harm and disorder, which i'm sure anapoly will agree is a good thing for the country and economy or a whole.

Question 7b



An excellent answer, well evaluated. 28 marks

(b) Evaluate the case for government regulation of promotions as a means of reducing the social costs of alcohol consumption.

The Social Costs of alcohol Consumption are the reguline externalibies plus the private costs to businesses. Government regulation of promotion is one how of dealing with these costs. Promotions on alcoholic dish provide a mems to initially antice potential Consumers out of their homes if they Spot a good deal and then Subsequently allow then to over consume the product and buy more whilst they are at the pub, or Stock up for the Fitne if they are buying from a supermarket. Regulation of these by the government will have some impact on how much and how orther people buy winhs and will therefore decrease the Social Costs we sage from alcohol misuse. Evidence E suspents restricting the way alcohol is Sold restricting lappy har and restraining from displaying alcohol by the chechout if the government were to take the Stop of monthing this mandatory then we would see Consumption and therefore Social costs from a business perspective it is a Counterproductive idea in a time when muting some that people are spending money is imperculaire, with no promotions and deal & the Gold be no my that puls works Compete with Supermarket Forces, this mould lead to a decline in the pub industry and would have a negative impact on society as many John as well as a traditional industry would be lost, the The only solution would be for the soverment to make some very Minor resulations or to impose the only on supermutet such or Asda (Evidence F) Who have the ability to sell hear chapter than hater.

Turction, Licensian law and exist duties have to the sear by the government as Gurtler Lags to reclude the downed for alcohol Consumptions and therefore the South Costs by neversing the price the Consoner har to pay For their drinks. Evidence B his down that British people lace Concomed less sine the licensing out of 2005, there is also the added benefit of Staggered lawing lines, lending to less suspeles and aluse and cesses to Control streets , musting less putice and hospital time and theretone reducing Social Costr. Again, buinesses will be essented by a pria rise, their winks will be in less I amond and the will See profit morging reduced. When the alcohol industry is such a vibal and braditional part of the UK economy it makes little sense for the government, who have a responsibility to look orfer burnesses or well or consumers to be using policier to reduce the amount of revenue they generate. It hould be more approprieta for the government to stress the responsibility the Lusinesser have to keep the possion sets and sound rather than abosing their position. overall regulation of promotions is a suitable netted for reducing the Social costs of calcolal consumption, however to be tally effective it needs to be used in unison with for and licencing large which with own to reduce donard and help promote moderate drinking. It is time the governort interested to Show businesser like Aska that Hay live the Utmost responsibility or protecting the Militah Consumer both Sinescially and physically and that they connot continue to sell alcohol in this irresponsible and unthinking Monnar.



Largely unsupported argument and misses point. 7 marks

(b) Evaluate the case for government regulation of promotions as a means of reducing the social costs of alcohol consumption.

(30)

Socail costs can be defined as the private costs added togethe with the external costs. The government would wants want to reduce the socail costs of alcohol as it limited the angust of money taling aut of Docity. The government want of money taling aut of Docity. The government want to stop proportions of alcohol as they released in the stop proportions of alcohol as they released in the alcohol vidustry employe's above of people.

Show the overconsulution of alcohol herry forailly accentable which cauld then lead to regative external time or ouring, which is the regative Sides of production when calculated by the socie costs exceed in the private costs.

The government chreatyhous quidlines, which are authored in evidence & under enAlcoholnusiuse and suremarkets scale pronotions. These helpte restrict the monotions on alcohol and how and when it is sold. Although what the government woo could fail tesee that more respect will be attracted to the offers such as happy

haus as consumes untimore libel, see as he inshere sicial to the mas they can be my more for less. Which would seem hette off for them as a normal drink control alcoholic drink can't seem to be to expensive.

However, smalle note ideadent pubs and has

uauld see the regulation of pronotions and lived by

the government would be a disadvente set them.

As they may rely on monations such as hamphand't to

horigin more consumes to dispose of this dispose Unione.

Also with this point I think that the regulation components

uaudhe at a herefit telesse pubso's forecommended.

Shorn as this eccremics of scale they would be able

to deal with the costs.

From the evidence government proposals wand meants train staff to recognise under against which can said to be a good measure key the government as they can be said to be interalising the outing time extendity. Also refusing to sell alcohol to druck customers variable hard minimise the social cost. The social cost in this would mainly to be towards the NHS which as stated in excidence Disort 25. It illust peryear cost. By Busto By downstriffe government is a felling to cut the town bill to secribe under a social perefet.

Overall, Ilbinhthat his controlling pondiens would be good as it would be to controll be secured as the secure of the formation of the secured as a concertified. Also the correspondence to that he suggests and a good price to ray to the the health and he paddown we see the best would be provided by the secure would be provided by the secure was all the problems have an addiction before.

Aut it will only be remarked if the rules on monetiens ever provedy enforced and they overall affect the minority out the najority of consumes

Grade Boundaries

Paper No.	Α	В	С	D	E
6EB04	54	48	43	38	33

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publications@linneydirect.com</u> Order Code UA022730 January 2010

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750 Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH





