

Centre Number						Candidate Number				
Surname										
Other Names										
Candidate Signature										

For Examiner's Use	
Examiner's Initials	
Question	Mark
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
TOTAL	



General Certificate of Education  
Advanced Subsidiary Examination  
January 2010

# Critical Thinking

# CRIT2

## Unit 2 Information, Inference and Explanation

Tuesday 19 January 2010

9.00 am to 10.30 am

**For this paper you must have:**

- a Source Booklet (enclosed).
- You may use a calculator.

**Time allowed**

- 1 hour 30 minutes

**Instructions**

- Use black ink or black ball-point pen.
- Fill in the boxes at the top of this page.
- Answer **all** questions.
- You must answer the questions in the spaces provided. Answers written in margins or on blank pages will not be marked.
- Do all rough work in this book. Cross through any work you do not want to be marked.

**Information**

- The marks for questions are shown in brackets.
- The maximum mark for this paper is 70 (40 for Section A and 30 for Section B).
- You will be marked on your ability to:
  - use good English
  - organise information clearly
  - use specialist vocabulary where appropriate.

**Advice**

- The recommended time allocation for this examination is as follows:
  - Initial reading: up to 15 minutes
  - Section A: 35–40 minutes
  - Section B: 35 minutes.



J A N 1 0 C R I T 2 0 1

**Section A**

Study **Documents A to D** before answering **all** the questions in the spaces provided.

There are 40 marks for this section.

**Questions 1 to 4 refer to Document A**

**1** (a) What does the author infer from his claim, in paragraph 2, that London is **not** the ‘safest city on the planet’?

.....  
.....  
.....

*(1 mark)*

**1** (b) Identify the two explanations he uses to support the inference.

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

*(2 marks)*

3



2 In paragraph 4 the author concedes, without explanation, that cameras **are** effective in reducing car-crime in car-parks.

What might explain this apparent exception to the alleged ineffectiveness of cameras?

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

(2 marks)

2

3 In paragraphs 3 and 4 it is alleged that cameras do little more than move crime from one place to another.

If this is a fact, how could it weaken the claims that cameras are ineffective and ‘a waste of money to the community’?

Give one or more supporting reasons.

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

(3 marks)

3

Turn over ▶



4 Identify **two** examples of an appeal to fear or emotion in the article, and assess their relevance and effectiveness for the argument as a whole.

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

(3 marks)

3

Questions 5 to 7 refer to **Documents B** and **C** and the data in the accompanying table and graphs (**Figure 1** to **Figure 3**). Assume that the data is reliable.

5 Assess the adequacy of the available evidence for each of the following assertions.

5 (a) If the head of Viido at New Scotland Yard is correct (**Document B**), fewer than 6000 crimes were solved using CCTV in 2007.

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

(2 marks)



5 (b) Having fewer cameras leads to more vigilant policing.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(2 marks)

5 (c) “There is no link between a high number of CCTV cameras and a better crime clear-up rate.” (Liberal Democratic policing spokeswoman, quoted in **Document C**)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(2 marks)

**Question 5 continues on the next page**

**Turn over ▶**



5 (d) In general, the boroughs with fewer than the median average number of cameras are at least as successful at solving cases as those with more than the median average number of cameras.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(3 marks)

9





7 Which side of the debate are the photograph of the pickpocket and its caption meant to support, and how successful are they in giving support?

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

*(3 marks)*

<hr/>
3







8 (b) What conclusion does the author of the *Evening Standard* article seek to support?

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(2 marks)

6







A large rectangular box containing 25 horizontal dotted lines, intended for writing answers.

**Turn over ▶**



A large rectangular box containing 25 horizontal dotted lines, intended for writing or marking.





**There are no questions printed on this page**

**DO NOT WRITE ON THIS PAGE  
ANSWER IN THE SPACES PROVIDED**

Copyright © 2010 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

