

General Certificate of Education January 2011

Communication and Culture

COMM1

Unit 1: Understanding Communication and Culture

Post-Standardisation

Mark Scheme

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available to download from the AQA Website: www.aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2010 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

COPYRIGHT

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

UNIT 1: UNDERSTANDING COMMUNICATION AND CULTURE (COMM1)

MARK SCHEME (Jan 2011)

Aims

When you are marking scripts your aim should be:

- 1. to identify and reward the achievements of candidates;
- to ensure compatibility of assessment for all candidates, regardless of question or examiner.

Approach

Please be *positive* when marking scripts, looking to reward relevant points that candidates make rather than to penalise what they don't know.

A specification of this type must recognise the variety of experiences and knowledge that candidates bring to the examination. The questions have been designed to provide opportunities for candidates to demonstrate what they have learned about different aspects of Communication and Culture.

The Principles of 'Best Fit'

This paper requires candidates to make three extended responses in an essay format and to answer some short answer questions which test their understanding of the techniques of critical analysis. These short answer questions have their own marking grid since they are working within a single Assessment Objective (AO2): demonstrate knowledge and understanding of concepts and critical debates relevant to the discipline of communication and culture.

In the case of the longer essay-style response a grid is used which, while recognizing the need to consider different aspects of each response (like its technical accuracy), also attempts to consider the work as a whole.

In this case, marks are notionally divided between two Assessment Objectives (AO1 and AO2) in the ratio 2:3. Individual mark ranges are suggested for each AO, but these are provided for guidance only. Ultimately the response should be placed at a level that 'best fits' its qualities.

The Marking Grid

The marking grid covers the generic qualities of all essays written as responses on this paper in terms of their ability to communicate in a technical register and the knowledge and understanding displayed of Communication and Culture concepts.

A set of question-specific prompts support the application of marks and are included after the grid.

Annotating Scripts

It is important that the way you arrive at a mark should be recorded on the script. This will help you with making accurate judgements and it will help any subsequent markers to identify how you are thinking, should adjustment need to be made.

To this end you should:

- identify points of merit
- · write a brief summative comment at the end
- put the total in the margin at the end of each answer.

Generic AS Grid COMM1 (Questions 1, 2 & 4 - Question 3 is 20 marks AO2)

Level	Marks	AO1: This tests the ability of candidates to communicate in the register of Communication and Culture	Marks	AO2: This tests the ability of candidates to understand the content and concepts offered by the qualification	Marks
		This reflects the degree to which the candidate has extended their grasp of the discourse of the subject and/or produced effective communication in their chosen medium.		This reflects the degree to which the work provides evidence of further knowledge and understanding of the content and concepts of Communication and Culture	
4	16-20	Highly technical in its register and/or creative and/or proficient in its use of the chosen format.	7-8	Knowledge and understanding will be very good in terms of its range of knowledge and/or depth of understanding.	10-12
3	11-15	Sound in terms of its range and control and good in terms of its effectiveness.	5-6	Level of knowledge and understanding will be secure and will evidence sound subject specialist knowledge.	7-9
2	6-10	Limited vocabulary, perhaps only partly understood, rising to a degree of competence evidenced by the effective use of a fairly narrow range of terms/concepts.	3-4	Evidence will range from a limited, largely non-specialist relevance through to a simple but competent grasp of Communication and Culture content.	4-6
1	1-5	Basic, non-technical and unconvincing.	1-2	Evidence will be basic, non-specific and unconvincing.	1-3

1 Matthew Arnold claimed that Culture is "the best which has been thought and said in the world". In doing so, he was defining what most people would describe as 'High Culture'.

Choose one of the following arguments about High Culture and develop and illustrate it with evidence from your own studies and experience.

1 (a) The value of High Culture rests in the fact that it has stood the test of time; it has qualities which are timeless. (20 marks)

OR

1 (b) The value of High Culture lies in the fact that it is defined and endorsed by experts: people who understand better than we can the qualities which these works possess. (20 marks)

OR

1 (c) The value of High Culture derives solely from the personal taste of those who have power in our society. (20 marks)

Level	Descriptor
4	Likely to explore and/or challenge theoretical positions.
(16 – 20 marks)	A clear, concise justification of the selected explanation. Explicit and convincing evidence that the concept of 'value' in relation to the cultural products is thoroughly understood. Supporting examples are apposite, contrasting and clearly linked to the chosen explanation.
3 (11 – 15 marks)	Likely to lead with the keywords, whatever the selection and consider the theory of the issue before the practice. Awareness of key terms and their use.
	Reasonably convincing, if partially flawed, attempt to justify chosen explanation. Some evidence that the concept of 'value' in relation to the cultural products is understood. Examples are relevant and support the premise of the explanation.
2	Likely to work through practical answers with limited evaluation.
(6 – 10 marks)	The chosen statement is described rather than justified or justifications offered are less than convincing. Concepts of 'value' and/or 'cultural products' are only partially understood. For marks of $9-10$, appropriate examples may compensate for conceptual shortcomings, or vice versa. For marks in the range $6-8$, examples are limited or unsuitable.
1 (1 – 5 marks)	Candidates respond superficially and/or insubstantially to the invitation in the question, typically by rewording the question.
	At this level, any justifications offered are inappropriate. Descriptions of the chosen explanation are limited. There are likely to be serious misinterpretations of 'value' and or 'High Culture'. Examples lack relevance or are, in the range 1 – 3 marks, absent.
0	No relevant response.

2 Groups have specific identities just as individuals do, which are determined by a number of competing factors.

Choose one of the arguments about group identity below and support it with your own examples.

2 (a) The identity of any group is determined by the roles played by its members. (20 marks)

OR


2 (b) The identity of any group is determined by the characters and personalities of its members. (20 marks)

OR

2 (c) The identity of any group is determined by the contexts in which the group operates. (20 marks)

Likely to explore and/or challenge theoretical positions. Clear, confident explanations of how the chosen element offers the indications of a group's identity including, probably, some reference to why other arguments are less convincing. There is some explicit evidence of conceptual understanding, demonstrating links between group identity and the chosen statement. Likely to focus on keywords and address theory in advance of practice. Attention to detail is key here. Reasonably well-informed explanations of how the chosen element operates. Some evidence that key terms in the question, particularly 'identity' are understood. In the range 11 – 12 marks, descriptive material may predominate over conceptual references. Likely to focus on what was learnt rather than what was understood. There may be a pre-prepared Case Study offered. Likely to come to easy conclusions without arguing through the case. Unfounded assertion through to competent responses. Likely to be a descriptive rather than analytical or evaluative account of the chosen element. Limited or partial understanding of key terms in the question, particularly	Level	Descriptor
Clear, confident explanations of how the chosen element offers the indications of a group's identity including, probably, some reference to why other arguments are less convincing. There is some explicit evidence of conceptual understanding, demonstrating links between group identity and the chosen statement. Likely to focus on keywords and address theory in advance of practice. Attention to detail is key here. Reasonably well-informed explanations of how the chosen element operates. Some evidence that key terms in the question, particularly 'identity' are understood. In the range 11 – 12 marks, descriptive material may predominate over conceptual references. Likely to focus on what was learnt rather than what was understood. There may be a pre-prepared Case Study offered. Likely to come to easy conclusions without arguing through the case. Unfounded assertion through to competent responses. Likely to be a descriptive rather than analytical or evaluative account of the chosen element. Limited or partial understanding of key terms in the question, particularly		•
Clear, confident explanations of how the chosen element offers the indications of a group's identity including, probably, some reference to why other arguments are less convincing. There is some explicit evidence of conceptual understanding, demonstrating links between group identity and the chosen statement. Likely to focus on keywords and address theory in advance of practice. Attention to detail is key here. Reasonably well-informed explanations of how the chosen element operates. Some evidence that key terms in the question, particularly 'identity' are understood. In the range 11 – 12 marks, descriptive material may predominate over conceptual references. Likely to focus on what was learnt rather than what was understood. There may be a pre-prepared Case Study offered. Likely to come to easy conclusions without arguing through the case. Unfounded assertion through to competent responses. Likely to be a descriptive rather than analytical or evaluative account of the chosen element. Limited or partial understanding of key terms in the question, particularly	=	Likely to explore and/or challenge theoretical positions.
group's identity including, probably, some reference to why other arguments are less convincing. There is some explicit evidence of conceptual understanding, demonstrating links between group identity and the chosen statement. Likely to focus on keywords and address theory in advance of practice. Attention to detail is key here. Reasonably well-informed explanations of how the chosen element operates. Some evidence that key terms in the question, particularly 'identity' are understood. In the range 11 – 12 marks, descriptive material may predominate over conceptual references. Likely to focus on what was learnt rather than what was understood. There may be a pre-prepared Case Study offered. Likely to come to easy conclusions without arguing through the case. Unfounded assertion through to competent responses. Likely to be a descriptive rather than analytical or evaluative account of the chosen element. Limited or partial understanding of key terms in the question, particularly	(16 – 20 marks)	
less convincing. There is some explicit evidence of conceptual understanding, demonstrating links between group identity and the chosen statement. Likely to focus on keywords and address theory in advance of practice. Attention to detail is key here. Reasonably well-informed explanations of how the chosen element operates. Some evidence that key terms in the question, particularly 'identity' are understood. In the range 11 – 12 marks, descriptive material may predominate over conceptual references. Likely to focus on what was learnt rather than what was understood. There may be a pre-prepared Case Study offered. Likely to come to easy conclusions without arguing through the case. Unfounded assertion through to competent responses. Likely to be a descriptive rather than analytical or evaluative account of the chosen element. Limited or partial understanding of key terms in the question, particularly		•
demonstrating links between group identity and the chosen statement. 3 (11 – 15 marks) Likely to focus on keywords and address theory in advance of practice. Attention to detail is key here. Reasonably well-informed explanations of how the chosen element operates. Some evidence that key terms in the question, particularly 'identity' are understood. In the range 11 – 12 marks, descriptive material may predominate over conceptual references. Likely to focus on what was learnt rather than what was understood. There may be a pre-prepared Case Study offered. Likely to come to easy conclusions without arguing through the case. Unfounded assertion through to competent responses. Likely to be a descriptive rather than analytical or evaluative account of the chosen element. Limited or partial understanding of key terms in the question, particularly		
3 (11 – 15 marks) Likely to focus on keywords and address theory in advance of practice. Reasonably well-informed explanations of how the chosen element operates. Some evidence that key terms in the question, particularly 'identity' are understood. In the range 11 – 12 marks, descriptive material may predominate over conceptual references. Likely to focus on what was learnt rather than what was understood. There may be a pre-prepared Case Study offered. Likely to come to easy conclusions without arguing through the case. Unfounded assertion through to competent responses. Likely to be a descriptive rather than analytical or evaluative account of the chosen element. Limited or partial understanding of key terms in the question, particularly		
Attention to detail is key here. Reasonably well-informed explanations of how the chosen element operates. Some evidence that key terms in the question, particularly 'identity' are understood. In the range 11 – 12 marks, descriptive material may predominate over conceptual references. Likely to focus on what was learnt rather than what was understood. There may be a pre-prepared Case Study offered. Likely to come to easy conclusions without arguing through the case. Unfounded assertion through to competent responses. Likely to be a descriptive rather than analytical or evaluative account of the chosen element. Limited or partial understanding of key terms in the question, particularly		demonstrating links between group identity and the chosen statement.
Attention to detail is key here. Reasonably well-informed explanations of how the chosen element operates. Some evidence that key terms in the question, particularly 'identity' are understood. In the range 11 – 12 marks, descriptive material may predominate over conceptual references. Likely to focus on what was learnt rather than what was understood. There may be a pre-prepared Case Study offered. Likely to come to easy conclusions without arguing through the case. Unfounded assertion through to competent responses. Likely to be a descriptive rather than analytical or evaluative account of the chosen element. Limited or partial understanding of key terms in the question, particularly		
Reasonably well-informed explanations of how the chosen element operates. Some evidence that key terms in the question, particularly 'identity' are understood. In the range 11 – 12 marks, descriptive material may predominate over conceptual references. Likely to focus on what was learnt rather than what was understood. There may be a pre-prepared Case Study offered. Likely to come to easy conclusions without arguing through the case. Unfounded assertion through to competent responses. Likely to be a descriptive rather than analytical or evaluative account of the chosen element. Limited or partial understanding of key terms in the question, particularly	3	Likely to focus on keywords and address theory in advance of practice.
Some evidence that key terms in the question, particularly 'identity' are understood. In the range 11 – 12 marks, descriptive material may predominate over conceptual references. Likely to focus on what was learnt rather than what was understood. There may be a pre-prepared Case Study offered. Likely to come to easy conclusions without arguing through the case. Unfounded assertion through to competent responses. Likely to be a descriptive rather than analytical or evaluative account of the chosen element. Limited or partial understanding of key terms in the question, particularly	(11 – 15 marks)	Attention to detail is key here.
Some evidence that key terms in the question, particularly 'identity' are understood. In the range 11 – 12 marks, descriptive material may predominate over conceptual references. Likely to focus on what was learnt rather than what was understood. There may be a pre-prepared Case Study offered. Likely to come to easy conclusions without arguing through the case. Unfounded assertion through to competent responses. Likely to be a descriptive rather than analytical or evaluative account of the chosen element. Limited or partial understanding of key terms in the question, particularly		
understood. In the range 11 – 12 marks, descriptive material may predominate over conceptual references. Likely to focus on what was learnt rather than what was understood. There may be a pre-prepared Case Study offered. Likely to come to easy conclusions without arguing through the case. Unfounded assertion through to competent responses. Likely to be a descriptive rather than analytical or evaluative account of the chosen element. Limited or partial understanding of key terms in the question, particularly		Reasonably well-informed explanations of how the chosen element operates.
2 (6 – 10 marks) Likely to focus on what was learnt rather than what was understood. There may be a pre-prepared Case Study offered. Likely to come to easy conclusions without arguing through the case. Unfounded assertion through to competent responses. Likely to be a descriptive rather than analytical or evaluative account of the chosen element. Limited or partial understanding of key terms in the question, particularly		Some evidence that key terms in the question, particularly 'identity' are
2 (6 – 10 marks) Likely to focus on what was learnt rather than what was understood. There may be a pre-prepared Case Study offered. Likely to come to easy conclusions without arguing through the case. Unfounded assertion through to competent responses. Likely to be a descriptive rather than analytical or evaluative account of the chosen element. Limited or partial understanding of key terms in the question, particularly		understood. In the range 11 - 12 marks, descriptive material may predominate
 (6 – 10 marks) may be a pre-prepared Case Study offered. Likely to come to easy conclusions without arguing through the case. Unfounded assertion through to competent responses. Likely to be a descriptive rather than analytical or evaluative account of the chosen element. Limited or partial understanding of key terms in the question, particularly 		
 (6 – 10 marks) may be a pre-prepared Case Study offered. Likely to come to easy conclusions without arguing through the case. Unfounded assertion through to competent responses. Likely to be a descriptive rather than analytical or evaluative account of the chosen element. Limited or partial understanding of key terms in the question, particularly 		
conclusions without arguing through the case. Unfounded assertion through to competent responses. Likely to be a descriptive rather than analytical or evaluative account of the chosen element. Limited or partial understanding of key terms in the question, particularly	2	Likely to focus on what was learnt rather than what was understood. There
conclusions without arguing through the case. Unfounded assertion through to competent responses. Likely to be a descriptive rather than analytical or evaluative account of the chosen element. Limited or partial understanding of key terms in the question, particularly	(6 – 10 marks)	may be a pre-prepared Case Study offered. Likely to come to easy
Likely to be a descriptive rather than analytical or evaluative account of the chosen element. Limited or partial understanding of key terms in the question, particularly		
Likely to be a descriptive rather than analytical or evaluative account of the chosen element. Limited or partial understanding of key terms in the question, particularly		
element. Limited or partial understanding of key terms in the question, particularly		
element. Limited or partial understanding of key terms in the question, particularly		Likely to be a descriptive rather than analytical or evaluative account of the chosen
		l , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
iucituly.		'identity'.
1 Uncritical explanation of the statement. Little else offered.	1	Uncritical explanation of the statement. Little else offered.
(1 – 5 marks)	(1 – 5 marks)	
Explanations are inappropriate or inept. Little or no understanding of key terms in	`	Explanations are inappropriate or inept. Little or no understanding of key terms in
the question.		' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '
0 No relevant response.	0	No relevant response.

4 A group of primary school children has devised a website which includes advice about how to behave when in England. The screen shot below is from that website. Read this carefully before attempting the task below.



Task: Using your knowledge of cultural practices and non-verbal communication, discuss the implications of the advice given here. (20 marks)

Level	Descriptor					
4	Likely to explore and/or challenge theoretical positions (eg establish criteria					
(16 – 20 marks)	for evaluation).					
	Confident, well-informed analysis with strong evidence that relevant debates about culture are understood. Alternative explanations are convincingly rooted in conceptual understanding. Polemical responses may feature at this level if ideas are well supported by evidence and argument.					
3	Likely to lead with a thorough explanation of 'cultural values' as a key focus					
(11 – 15 marks)	and to relate this to the 'practice' of self-presentation. Evidence will be sound and detailed.					
	Understanding of the passage is evident with some analytical elements. Alternative explanations demonstrate some familiarity with the terms of debates about the construction of cultural identity.					
2 (6 – 10 marks)	Likely to offer a reading of the text and to address the examples in it. Likely to focus on the examples only (largely) or anecdotally.					
	Responds to the text at a personal rather than analytical level. In the range $9-10$ marks, comments indicate some awareness of relevant sections of the unit but in the range $6-8$ marks are more likely to be based on barely substantiated assertions.					
1	Limited response to question, which results in unsupported assertions.					
(1 – 5 marks)	May be based on a fundamental misunderstanding of the stimulus material and/or the task. Superficial account or one which is too brief to constitute a serious response to the question.					
0	No relevant response.					

Separate Marking Grid for Question 3 (AO2 only)

These questions are meant to test knowledge of critical techniques. They thus only address a single Assessment Objective and require a simpler, streamlined marking grid.

- The exam board AQA is offering teachers more information on how their students perform in exams. The leaflet below promotes this service.
- 3 (a) Briefly explain encoding and decoding with specific reference to this text. (4 marks)
- 3 (b) What potential barriers could affect the decoding of this text? (6 marks)
- 3 (c) Peirce categorised the sign in three ways: as icon, as index and as symbol.

Explain each with reference to this text. (10 marks)

	3 (a)		3 (b)		3 (c)	
Level	coding	Mark	barriers	Mark	Status of signs	Mark
4	A skilful response which demonstrates secure knowledge. Knowledgeable and specialist.	4	Handles the key term in a mature fashion, teasing out the subtleties. Knowledgeable and specialist.	6	Fluent and detailed analysis of this feature of the text. Knowledgeable and specialist.	9-10
3	Sound understanding of coding demonstrated in relating intention to reception.	3	Barriers are clearly understood and discussed relevantly. At least two examples are referenced and evidenced.	4-5	Sound understanding of relevant Communication and Culture content and concepts. Strong knowledge or application.	6-8
2	Beginnings of awareness of the key content of the course. Competent understanding of coding.	2	Communication and Culture concepts are generally understood even when not skilfully applied.	2-3	Competent, active reading of different kinds of signs with some technical knowledge or analytical skill.	4-5
1	Little or no evidence of knowledge or understanding of the concepts or content of the course.	1	Little or no evidence of knowledge or understanding of the concepts or content of the course.	1	Little or no evidence of knowledge or understanding of the concepts or content of the course.	1-3
0	No relevant response					