

GCE

# **Classics: Classical Greek**

Advanced Subsidiary GCE

Unit F372: Classical Greek Verse and Prose Literature

# Mark Scheme for June 2011

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of pupils of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, OCR Nationals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by Examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All Examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the Report on the Examination.

OCR will not enter into any discussion or correspondence in connection with this mark scheme.

© OCR 2011

Any enquiries about publications should be addressed to:

OCR Publications PO Box 5050 Annesley NOTTINGHAM NG15 0DL

Telephone: 0870 770 6622 Facsimile: 01223 552610

E-mail: publications@ocr.org.uk

## Section A

Question number	Answer	Marks
1	Read the passages and answer the questions.	
(a)	What was Lysias doing before the arrival of Peison, and what happened when he arrived?  Lysias was entertaining guests at home [1]. The others drove them away and handed Lysias over to Peison [1] and then they went to the factory to make an inventory of slaves [1].	[3]
(b)	ἐγὼ δὲ ποιήσειν (lines 1-3): how did Lysias come to an agreement with Peison?  Lysias asked Peison to save him [1]; he said he would if the offer was high enough [2]; he offered one talent of silver [1] which was accepted [1].	[5]
(c)	ήπιστάμην μὲν ἐπαρώμενος (lines 3-6)	
(i)	What was Lysias' opinion of Peison?	[2]
	Lysias knew Peison had no respect for men or gods. [2]	
(ii)	How did Lysias try to make sure Peison kept to the agreement?	[3]
	Lysias made him swear an oath [1] which called down destruction on himself and his children [2].	
(d)	<ul> <li>εἰσελθὼν εἰς σώσω (lines 7-12): what impression of Peison does Lysias create in these lines?</li> <li>Give three examples, making reference to the Greek, which show Peison's greed, impiety, untrustworthiness, cruelty, heartlessness etc</li> <li>ἱδὼν τὰ ἐνόντα καλεῖ τῶν ὑπηρετῶν δύο, καὶ τὰ ἐν τῇ κιβωτῷ λαβεῖν ἐκέλευσεν: Peison followed Lysias to see what he was doing; as soon as he saw the contents of the money-chest, he sent for two of his attendants to take them; this suggests Peison's desire for gain.</li> <li>οὐχ ὅσον ὡμολόγησα εἶχεν: The amount he took was much more than agreed; this creates an impression of greed.</li> <li>ὧ ἄνδρες δικασταί: draws the attention of the jury to Peison's failure to keep to his oath; this creates an impression of Peison's impiety and untrustworthiness.</li> </ul>	[6]

Question number	Answer	Marks
	<ul> <li>emphasis on the details of the valuables taken; this creates an impression of greed.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>ἐδεόμην αὐτοῦ ἐφόδιά μοι δοῦναι: Lysias begged him to give him some money for his journey; this request emphasises Peion's determination to gain as much as he can.</li> <li>ἀγαπήσειν με ἔφασκεν, εἰ τὸ σῶμα σώσω: Peison told him he</li> </ul>	
	should be happy if he saved his life; this creates an impression of highhandedness.	

Question number	Answer	Marks
(e)	Translate lines 13-16 (ἐξιοῦσι δ' ἐμοὶ σκέψηται).	[15]
	Please write your translation on alternate lines.	
	1 ἐξιοῦσι δ' ἐμοὶ καὶ Πείσωνι ἐπιτυγχάνει Μηλόβιός τε καὶ Μνησιθείδης ἐκ τοῦ ἐργαστηρίου ἀπιόντες	
	2 καὶ καταλαμβάνουσι πρὸς αὐταῖς ταῖς θύραις, καὶ ἐρωτῶσιν ὅποι βαδίζοιμεν	
	3 ὁ δ᾽ ἔφασκεν εἰς τὰ τοῦ ἀδελφοῦ τοῦ ἐμοῦ, ἵνα καὶ τὰ ἐν ἐκείνῃ τῇ οἰκίᾳ σκέψηται	
	The passage has been divided into 3 sections, each worth 5 marks.  Please write the marks awarded for each section in the body of the script, at the end of the section. Draw a vertical line through the text to indicate where each section ends. Add up the sectional marks to give a total out of 15, to be written in the right hand margin. Ring the total.	
	[5] All or Almost all of the meaning conveyed (as agreed at Standardisation), with one minor error allowed	
	[4] One serious error or two minor errors, otherwise the meaning is conveyed	
	[3] Most of the meaning conveyed, but several errors	
	[2] Half the meaning conveyed; the rest seriously flawed	
	[1] A minority of the meaning conveyed	
	[0] No elements of meaning conveyed; no relation to Greek at all	
	NB Consequential errors should not be penalised.	

Question number	Answer	Marks
(f)	εἶτ', ὧ σχετλιώτατε δίκην (lines 1-7): How does Lysias make this an effective attack on Eratosthenes?	[6]
	You should refer to <b>both</b> content <b>and</b> style and support your examples with <b>three</b> examples from the Greek text.	
	Lysias directs his attack directly at Eratosthenes and highlights some of his unsubstantiated claims	
	• ὧ σχετλιώτατε πάντων: strong language referring to Eratosthenes	
	<ul> <li>ἀντέλεγες μὲν συνελάμβανες δὲ: pointed contrast of what he said he was doing and what he did; an incredulous rhetorical question</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>ὅτε μὲν ἐπειδὴ δὲ: pointed contrast between two situations;</li> <li>sarcasm in these lines about Eratosthenes' claims and his actual behaviour</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>ἀντιλέγειν φὴς τοῖς βουλομένοις ἡμᾶς ἀπολέσαι: emphasis on the claim made by Eratosthenes</li> </ul>	
	• ἐπὶ σοὶ μόνῳ ἐγένετο καὶ σῶσαι Πολέμαρχον καὶ μή, εἰς τὸ δεσμωτήριον ἀπήγαγες: contrast with what he actually did	
	<ul> <li>ὅτι μέν, ὡς φής, ἀντειπὼν οὐδὲν ὡφέλησας ὅτι δὲ συλλαβὼν ἀπέκτεινας: again strong contrast between what he claimed to have said (without result) and what he actually did; scorn directed at Eratosthenes (οὐδὲν ὡφέλησας χρηστὸς νομίζεσθαι)</li> </ul>	
	• the use of φής/ώς φήςto throw doubt on what Eratosthenes says	
	οὐκ οἴει ἐμοὶ καὶ τουτοισὶ δοῦναι δίκην: Lysias directs this aggressively at Eratosthenes, and links the jury with himself	
(g)	What makes Lysias so skilful a speech writer? You should answer with reference to the section of Lysias <i>Against Erathosthenes</i> you have studied.	[10]
	You may make limited reference to the passages on this question paper.	
	Answers must be marked using the level descriptors below. The following points are indicative and offer question specific guidance.	

Question number	Answer	Marks
	Answer might include:	
	his skill in simple and straightforward narrative	
	his use of rhetorical techniques	
	his ability to change the pacing of his speech	
	his direct confrontation of the accused	
	Level descriptors	
	[9-10]	
	Comprehensive answer covering most or all of the points in the mark scheme;	
	Highly perceptive response with detailed reference to the rest of the prescription;	
	Argument incisive, very well structured and developed; technical terms accurately and effectively used;	
	Sustained control of appropriate form and register;	
	Legible, fluent and technically very accurate writing.	
	[6-8]	
	Answer covering some of the points of the mark scheme;	
	Perceptive response with some reference to the rest of the prescription;	
	Argument well structured and developed; technical terms accurately and effectively used;	
	Good control of appropriate form and register;	
	Legible and technically accurate writing, conveying meaning well.	
	[4-5]	
	A few valid points but some significant omissions;	
	Limited reference to the rest of the prescription;	
	Argument coherent if cumbersome or under-developed; some technical	
	terms accurately used;	
	Basically sound control of appropriate form and register;	

Question number	Answer	Marks
	Legible and generally accurate writing, conveying meaning clearly.	
	[2-3]	
	Limited response;	
	Little or no meaningful reference to the rest of the prescription;	
	Argument coherent even if very cumbersome or under-developed;	
	Simple technical terms used appropriately;	
	Basic control of appropriate form and register;	
	Legible and generally accurate writing, clarity not obscured.	
	[0-1]	
	Work in this Band may meet some of the criteria for the Band above, but on balance falls below the standard defined for the higher Band;	
	Alternatively, work in the Band will be too inadequate, inaccurate, inappropriate or irrelevant to justify any credit in a higher Band.	

# Section B

Question number	Answer	Marks
2	Read the passages and answer the questions.	
(a)	What has Apollo been doing just before this, and why has he appeared here?	[3]
	Apollo knocked Patroclus back from the walls of Troy [1] and finally told	
	him to abandon his attack [1]. He came to prompt Hector into action. [1]	
(b)	Translate lines 1-5 (ταῦτ᾽ ἄρα Σαγγαρίοιο).	[15]
	Please write your translation on alternate lines.	
	1 ταῦτ' ἄρα οἱ φρονέοντι παρίστατο Φοῖβος Ἀπόλλων, ἀνέρι εἰσάμενος αἰζηῷ τε κρατερῷ τε, 2 Ἀσίῳ, ὂς μήτρως ἦν Ἑκτορος ἱπποδάμοιο αὐτοκασίγνητος Ἑκάβης 3 υἰὸς δὲ Δύμαντος, ὂς Φρυγίῃ ναίεσκε ῥοῆς ἔπι Σαγγαρίοιο The passage has been divided into 3 sections, each worth 5 marks. Please write the marks awarded for each section in the body of the script, at the end of the section. Draw a vertical line through the text to indicate where each section ends. Add up the sectional marks to give a total out of 15, to be written in the right hand margin. Ring the total.	
	[5] Correct translation (as agreed at Standardisation), with one minor error allowed	
	[4] One serious error or two minor errors, otherwise the meaning is conveyed	
	[3] Most of the meaning conveyed, but several errors	
	[2] Half the meaning conveyed; the rest seriously flawed	
	[1] A minority of the meaning conveyed	
	[0] No elements of meaning conveyed; no relation to Greek at all NB Consequential errors should not be penalised.	
(c)	Έκτορ, εὖχος Ἀπόλλων (lines 7-11): what tone or tones does the speaker use here to persuade Hector to return to battle?	[6]
	Give <b>three</b> examples, making reference to the Greek.	
	τίπτε μάχης ἀποπαύεαι; brevity - he questions abruptly why Hector has ceased fighting	

Question number	Answer	Marks
	οὐδέ τί σε χρή: he tells Hector he should not have stopped	
	αἴθ᾽ ὅσον ἥσσων εἰμί, τόσον σέο φέρτερος εἴην: flattery	
	τῶ κε τάχα στυγερῶς πολέμου ἀπερωήσειας: he taunts Hector to get him back into battle	
	ἀλλ' ἄγε: he adopts a more encouraging tone	
	αἴ κέν πώς μιν ἕλῃς, δώῃ δέ τοι εὖχος Ἀπόλλων: more encouraging, suggesting that Hector can be successful against Patroclus.	
(d)	ὢς εἰπὼν ὄπαζεν (lines 12-16): what do Apollo and Hector do in these lines?	[6]
	Apollo leaves through the sufferings of men [1]. Hector calls to Kebriones to whip his horses into battle [2]. Apollo entered the fray [1], directed evil confusion on the Greeks [1] and gave glory to Hector and the Trojans [1]	
(e)	τὸν δ' ὀλιγοδρανέων Αἰακίδαο (lines 1-12): what makes this passage moving?	[10]
	You should refer to <b>both</b> the content <b>and</b> the style of the Greek and support your answer with <b>five</b> examples from the Greek.	
	ὀλιγοδρανέων: strong word indicating Patroclus' weakness	
	Πατρόκλεες ἱππεῦ: Homer addresses Patroclus	
	σοὶ γὰρ ἔδωκε: Patroclus claims victory was given	
	Ζεὺς Κρονίδης καὶ Ἀπόλλων, οἵ με δάμασσαν ῥηιδίως: Patroclus claims he was overcome by the gods (emphasis on ῥηιδίως)	
	αὐτοὶ γὰρ ἀπ᾽ ὤμων τεύχε᾽ ἕλοντο: the unfairness of the final battle	
	εἴ πέρ μοι ἐείκοσιν ἀντεβόλησαν: Patroclus claims he would have won against 20 such men as Hector, if the god had not intervened	
	μοῖρ' ὀλοὴ καὶ Λητοῦς ἔκτανεν υἱός, ἀνδρῶν δ' Εὔφορβος· σὺ δέ με τρίτος: Patroclus emphasises Hector's limited role	
	οὔ θην οὖδ᾽ αὖτὸς δηρὸν βέη: Patroclus prophesies Hector's own death Αχιλῆος ἀμύμονος Αἰακίδαο: in his final words, Patroclus speaks the name of his closest friend.	

Question number	Answer	Marks
(f)	In the lines that you have read of <i>lliad</i> XVI, how does Homer make the combat scenes exciting?	[10]
	You may make limited reference to the passages on this question paper.	
	Answers must be marked using the level descriptors below. The following points are indicative and offer question specific guidance.	
	Answers might include:	
	discussion of combat scenes, such as the battle over Sarpedon's body, Patroclus' ambitious continuation of the battle (against Achilles' instructions), the intervention of Apollo (on the walls of Troy, outside the Skaian gates, at Patroclus' final combat), the confrontation between Hector and Patroclus etc.	
	the use of poetic devices, such as similes	
	the intervention of the gods in the killing of Patroclus	
	the exchange of words between Patroclus and Hector.	
	Level descriptors	
	[9-10]	
	Comprehensive answer covering most or all of the points in the mark scheme;	
	Highly perceptive response with detailed reference to the rest of the prescription;	
	Argument incisive, very well structured and developed; technical terms accurately and effectively used;	
	Sustained control of appropriate form and register;	
	Legible, fluent and technically very accurate writing.	
	[6-8]	
	Answer covering some of the points of the mark scheme;	
	Perceptive response with some reference to the rest of the prescription;	

Question number	Answer	Marks
	Argument well structured and developed; technical terms accurately and effectively used;	
	Good control of appropriate form and register;	
	Legible and technically accurate writing, conveying meaning well.	
	[4-5]	
	A few valid points but some significant omissions;	
	Limited reference to the rest of the prescription;	
	Argument coherent if cumbersome or under-developed; some technical terms accurately used;	
	Basically sound control of appropriate form and register;	
	Legible and generally accurate writing, conveying meaning clearly.	
	[2-3]	
	Limited response;	
	Little or no meaningful reference to the rest of the prescription;	
	Argument coherent even if very cumbersome or under-developed;	
	Simple technical terms used appropriately;	
	Basic control of appropriate form and register;	
	Legible and generally accurate writing, clarity not obscured.	
	[0-1]	
	Work in this Band may meet some of the criteria for the Band above, but on balance falls below the standard defined for the higher Band;	
	Alternatively, work in the Band will be too inadequate, inaccurate, inappropriate or irrelevant to justify any credit in a higher Band.	

**OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)** 1 Hills Road Cambridge **CB1 2EU** 

#### **OCR Customer Contact Centre**

### 14 – 19 Qualifications (General)

Telephone: 01223 553998 Facsimile: 01223 552627

Email: general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

#### www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee Registered in England Registered Office; 1 Hills Road, Cambridge, CB1 2EU Registered Company Number: 3484466 OCR is an exempt Charity

**OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)** Head office

Telephone: 01223 552552 Facsimile: 01223 552553

