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| Question |  | Answer | Marks | Guidance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Content | Levels of Response |
| 1 | (a) | Statue A is the Piraeus Apollo, usually dated to around 530-520 BC. <br> Answers may include comment on the following aspects of the statue: <br> - the stance of the figure; <br> - the treatment of the hair; <br> - the treatment of the face; <br> - the overall treatment of the body/musculature. <br> Answers may include specific comment on the following aspects: <br> - the use of bronze; <br> - right foot forward; <br> - feet close together; <br> - arms and hands held away from the body; <br> - the head inclining downwards; <br> - the addition of a bow in the left hand [and possibly a libation bowl in the right hand]. | 25 |  | AO1 = 10 <br> Level 5 9-10 <br> Level 4-8 <br> Level 3 5-6 <br> Level 2 2-4 <br> Level 1 0-1 <br> $\mathrm{AO}=15$ <br> Level 5 14-15 <br> $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Level } 4 & 10-13\end{array}$ <br> Level 3-9 <br> Level 2 3-5 <br> Level 1 0-2 |
|  | (b) | Statue B is the Aristodikos Kouros, dated to around 510-500 BC. <br> Answers may include discussion of the following points: <br> - the material [though this is not the place for a detailed description and discussion of the carving method and the lost-wax method of bronze-casting]; <br> - the pose; <br> - the legs; <br> - the musculature; <br> - the hair; <br> - the face. <br> Successful answers will include comparison and discussion of selected features/elements of both the Piraeus Apollo and the Aristodikos Kouros. | 25 |  | AO1 = 10 <br> Level 5 9-10 <br> Level 4 7-8 <br> Level 3-6-6 <br> Level 2 2-4 <br> Level 1 0-1 <br> AO2 $=15$ <br> Level 5 14-15 <br> Level 4 10-13 <br> Level 3 $6-9$ <br> Level 2 3-5 <br> Level 1 0-2 |


| Question |  |  | Answer <br> Candidates must come to an overall conclusion based on their discussion of the two statues. It does not matter which statue they prefer, provided there is a reasoned discussion and detailed reference is made to both statues. | Marks | Guidance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Content | Levels of Response |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | (a) |  | The temple of Apollo at Bassae was built towards the end of the $5^{\text {th }}$ century BC [430-400]. Pausanias attributes the design of the temple to the architect Iktinos - the same Iktinos who was one of the architects of the Parthenon. <br> 'Old-fashioned and conventional': <br> From the outside the temple does look like a conventional Doric temple with most of the typical Doric characteristics: a threestepped base, columns which rest directing on the stylobate and have the plain Doric capitals, porches, triglyph and metope frieze, pediment etc. <br> The plan of the temple, however, is in some ways old-fashioned; but both the north/south orientation and the elongated, archaic proportions [ $6 \times 15$ columns] of the building were determined by the preceding temples on this site. <br> - There are deep porches at both front and back with two columns in antis. <br> - As with many other temples [eg temple of Aphaia on Aegina and the temple of Zeus at Olympia] the material used is limestone which was quarried locally. Marble was used only for the roof, the sculptural decoration, the interior column capitals and the coffering of the front porch. <br> - There were no architectural refinements. <br> - There seems to have been no sculptural decoration on the outside of the temple on the metopes and pediments. <br> There were sculpted metopes above the porches, rather like the temple of Zeus at Olympia. <br> - There were short spur walls in the cella. | 25 |  | AO1 = 10  <br> Level 5 $9-10$ <br> Level 4 $7-8$ <br> Level 3 $5-6$ <br> Level 2 $2-4$ <br> Level 1 $0-1$ <br> AO2 = 15  <br> Level 5 $14-15$ <br> Level 4 $10-13$ <br> Level 3 $6-9$ <br> Level 2 $3-5$ <br> Level 1 $0-2$ |


| Questio | Answer | Marks | Guidance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Content | Levels of Response |
|  | 'Different and daring' <br> Behind the porches, however, the interior of the naos has a highly original arrangement. Candidates may make reference to the following elements: <br> - the engaged colonnade of Ionic columns with volute capitals on the spur walls [engaged columns were rare in Classical temple architecture]; <br> - the inclusion of an Ionic frieze around the top of the Ionic columns; <br> - the creation of alcoves; <br> - $\quad$ the freestanding column with a Corinthian capital, which was flanked by two other half-columns on the end of angled spur walls; <br> - the side entrance to the rear room may be considered original by some candidates [but it is more likely that it was predetermined by its predecessor, as were a number of other features]. |  |  |  |
| (b) | The combination of the Doric and Ionic orders offered a number of advantages to architects designing a range of buildings. Candidates may consider the following points in their answers: <br> - Combining the orders offered practical solutions to problems with sites which presented difficulties because of uneven terrain, sacred sites or irregular size of the plot of land. <br> - Combining the orders gave various functional advantages in some buildings. <br> - Combining the orders gave the architect more scope to use the orders in an imaginative way and push the boundaries of the standard plans. <br> - There were also aesthetic advantages in combining the orders as it allowed the architects to make buildings more splendid and more decorative. <br> - In addition, a larger area was provided for the sculptural adornment of a temple/building. Its sculpture was made from marble. | 25 |  | AO1 $=10$ <br> Level 5 9-10 <br> Level 4 7-8 <br> Level 3 5-6 <br> Level 2 2-4 <br> Level 1 0-1 <br> AO2 $=15$ <br> Level 5 14-15 <br> Level $4 \quad 10-13$ <br> Level 3-9 <br> Level 2 3-5 <br> Level 1 0-2 |




| Question |  | Answer | Marks | Guidance |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | Candidates may have studied other relevant pediments which should be <br> credited. <br> It does not matter which pediment is chosen as the most 'rich in narrative' <br> provided there is detailed reference to different elements of the sculpture <br> and not just a generalised version of the story depicted on the pediment. | Content |  |
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