

A LEVEL

Examiners' report

CLASSICAL CIVILISATION

H408

For first teaching in 2017

H408/32 Summer 2022 series

Contents

Introduction	3
Paper 32 series overview	4
Section A.....	5
Question 1	5
Question 2	5
Question 3	5
Question 4 (a).....	6
Question 4 (b)	6
Question 5	7
Question 6*	7
Section B overview.....	9
Question 7*	9
Question 8*	10

Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates.

The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. A selection of candidate responses is also provided. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

Where overall performance on a question/question part was considered good, with no particular areas to highlight, these questions have not been included in the report.

A full copy of the question paper and the mark scheme can be downloaded from OCR.

Advance Information for Summer 2022 assessments

To support student revision, advance information was published about the focus of exams for Summer 2022 assessments. Advance information was available for most GCSE, AS and A Level subjects, Core Maths, FSMQ, and Cambridge Nationals Information Technologies. You can find more information on our [website](#).

Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this PDF as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on **File > Export to** and select **Microsoft Word**

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select **Save as . . .** to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for PDF to Word converter).

Paper 32 series overview

The highest performing responses were those which focused on the primary sources and then analysed them in a way which answered the question. This was most successfully achieved by use of direct quotes or detailed paraphrasing which conveyed an impression of detailed knowledge. Candidates who answered the question directly in their opening sentences and avoided the temptation to give lots of background information such as life in Athens/Lesbos, women's role in the ancient world or biographies of writers made efficient use of the time available. Candidates who made reference to two or more scholars by name in the 30-mark questions performed better than those who did not reference scholarship. Candidates who did not reference scholarship were unable to access the higher marks in AO2.

The most successful AO2 responses saw candidates offering a balanced evaluation in which all primary sources were given equal focus. In many cases, the treatment of Sappho for the 30-mark questions was lengthier than the evaluation of Plato, Ovid or Seneca. While it was pleasing to see enthusiasm for Sappho's poetry, in some cases this was to the detriment of the evaluation of other sources.

Finally, candidates who were able to make regular links back to the main question managed to keep their analysis focused on the precise remit of the question.

Candidates who did well on this paper generally did the following:	Candidates who did less well on this paper generally did the following:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • used direct quotations from the source on Ovid (Question 3) • referred to a range of Seneca's work in answering Question 5 • answered questions directly by referring back to the key words in the question • made at least two references to scholarship by citing the scholar's name directly. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • spent too long answering the 10-mark questions • demonstrated limited knowledge • provided commentaries rather than evaluation of key thinkers and writers • did not engage critically with the question.

Section A

Question 1

Source A

Ovid, *Ars Amatoria*, III 279–296

- 1 Under the rule of which emperor did Ovid write the *Ars Amatoria*? [1]

Most responses were correct however there was occasional confusion with Nero (because of Seneca).

Question 2

- 2 What do some scholars believe happened to Ovid as a result of writing the *Ars Amatoria*? [1]

Most responses were correct although some candidates listed potential punishments which made it impossible to award the mark. A 1-mark response usually requires one word, so no extra credit was possible for the geographical location of his exile.

Question 3

- 3 Explain how serious Ovid is being in **Source A**. Support your answer using evidence from **Source A**. [10]

The best responses appreciated that Ovid was not being serious in the extract and could identify features of the mock-didactic style. Direct quotations were used to support claims. Successful responses cited key techniques used, such as hyperbole. Some candidates were distracted by their views on Ovid's misogyny which led them away from the intention of the question. Very few candidates appreciated the contradictory tone in the last two lines.

Assessment for learning



The 10-mark questions must include evidence from the source/idea to support the points being made. For example, techniques such as rhetorical questions and their effects must be supported by the relevant example from the source.

Exemplar 1

3		<p>In source A, there is evidence to suggest that Ovid's tone and advice lacks seriousness almost entirely. For example, hyperbolic exclamations and statements are used to highlight what an error showing your teeth can be: 'Laughing would be a fatal error'. The word 'fatal' likens physical appearance to a more serious injury, and thus carries a mocking and insincere tone.</p>
---	--	--

In Exemplar 1, the candidate has addressed the question directly in the first sentence. Then points are supported with evidence from the source, and it is integrated into the argument. There is some development here.

Question 4 (a)

Seneca is keen to show that love is not a necessary emotion, but one which we can successfully resist so long as we can develop the necessary character.

4 (a) What is the name of Seneca's wife? [1]

Many candidates answered correctly.

Question 4 (b)

(b) How is she important to Seneca's work? Make **two** points. [2]

There was a number of potential responses to this question and candidates often demonstrated a lack of specific knowledge here. Some candidates wrote a paragraph, which is excessive for 2 marks.

Question 5

- 5 Seneca states that 'love is a kind of friendship'. Explain how far this is shown in your study of Seneca. [10]

Successful responses referenced Seneca's modified stoicism and rejection of recreational sex, and also used references to *Phaedra* effectively to show that love can be totally opposed to friendship if it is just based on desire. Many responses articulated that Seneca states having sex is not a part of a friendship, unless with one's wife when it becomes 'maddened' and is for procreation. Less successful responses could identify Seneca's approach to love and friendship but struggled to cite how far the two were similar. Many candidates mentioned Seneca's writings about his wife as an example.

Question 6*

- 6* Assess whether Seneca or Ovid offered more useful guidance to Roman women. Justify your response.

You may use **Source A** as a starting point in your answer.

[20]

Successful responses defined their terms in the introduction by exploring what is meant by 'useful': Ovid provides useful advice if you want to have affairs and live dangerously, while Seneca provides useful advice if you want to live up to traditional Roman values and observe the *Lex Julia*. More successful responses discussed the writers' perceptions of women, such as Seneca's stance that women are capable of virtue, and how these ideas may have been received in Roman society. Some candidates explored whether Seneca's idealism was unrealistic and unattainable, and there was some good reference made to the relative social and legal context for both writers. Less successful responses often did not discuss Ovid's advice as comic, and also lacked the depth of knowledge required to build a range of arguments, especially regarding Seneca.

Exemplar 2

		On the other hand, much of Seneca's advice would also have been extremely difficult for Roman women to follow. In his Consolation to Marcia, Seneca advises a mother to stop grieving her son's death, for he is now unable to commit any more sins.
--	--	--

		This advice - as part of his ideology of Stoicism - is futile, as a mother would be unable to stop grieving the death of her son for such reason. In addition, his consolation to Helvia is similarly useless - his mother it is difficult to advise a woman against grieving for her exiled son. Lastly, Seneca's advice on controlling
--	--	---

In Exemplar 2, the candidate has demonstrated depth of knowledge of Seneca by citing two relevant sources (Consolations to Marcia and Helvia). The opening sentence is clearly signposted and refers to the question. The candidate is taking a critical approach and the argument is sustained. This is a good example of structuring a critical paragraph and integrating examples.

Section B overview

There was not a clear preference between Question 7 and Question 8 for the 30-mark responses. Less successful responses described what Plato, Seneca, Sappho and Ovid wrote about marriage rather than assessing positive (and negative) representations of marriage.

Candidates who chose to respond to Question 8 tended to access the evaluative dimension of the question more successfully, and most used direct reference to Sappho's poems to support their responses. Successful responses balanced analysis of Sappho with analysis of Plato in equal measure.

Question 7*

7* Assess who represents marriage most positively – Plato, Seneca, Ovid or Sappho. You should include reference to the work of **at least three** of these you have studied. **[30]**

Candidates demonstrated extensive knowledge of Sappho and good knowledge of Seneca, but many struggled to discuss Plato and Ovid's approaches to marriage. Candidates often utilised Plato's *Republic* and his suggested reform of marriage, and linked Aristophanes' speech in *Symposium* to the issue of marriage. Higher performing responses identified the difficulties discerning Plato's view and the differences in Sappho's approach to marriage. Many successful responses made reference to Ovid's presentation of positive and negative mythical marriages. Candidates who wrote about three writers tended to achieve higher grades because writing about all four writers meant that at least one was rushed.

Assessment for learning



Good evaluation (AO2) can be developed by balancing the response across the sources, rather than discussing each author one at a time. Planning the response by using a thematic approach helps avoid excessive narration of the sources.

Exemplar 3

7.	marriage in the Ancient world varied in dynamic and importance but out of Seneca regards it the most highly overall since to Plato marriage is a detriment to society,
	to Ovid marriage is a cumbersome obstacle obstacle in a promiscuous lifestyle and to Sappho marriage separates her from her lovers and she must only praise it perform at weddings in praise in order to earn a living.

In Exemplar 3, the candidate has clearly stated their argument in the introduction, and this analysis is carried through the rest of the response. This results in a sustained and coherent line of reasoning and points to a logical structure. Weaker responses tended to arrive at a response to the question after providing a commentary of three of the writers.


Question 8*

8* 'Sappho's poetry warns her audience to fear love, whereas Plato encourages his to seek love out.'

Assess to what extent you agree with this statement, with close reference to the work of Sappho and Plato. [30]

The highest performing responses appreciated that in places this statement is true for both authors but not true in others. Successful candidates provided a range of arguments for each writer that supported and challenged the statement. There was a tendency to focus too much on the author the candidate knew better, in most cases Sappho. The response required a broad range of detailed references to the primary sources. Higher performing responses recognised Sappho's work was not intended as instruction, unlike Plato.

Misconception

 Candidates are required to make reference to at least two scholars by name. Some candidates forgot to do so, which meant that their mark was at the lowest mark for their AO2 level. For example, if a candidate produces a good response with a range of relevant points and the points are consistently supported by critical analysis (AO2 Level 4) but they have not included any scholarship, the maximum mark for AO2 will be 13.

Supporting you

Post-results services

If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our post-results services. For full information about the options available visit the [OCR website](#).

Keep up-to-date

We send a weekly roundup to tell you about important updates. You can also sign up for your subject specific updates. If you haven't already, [sign up here](#).

OCR Professional Development

Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear directly from a senior assessor or drop in to a Q&A session. Most of our courses are delivered live via an online platform, so you can attend from any location.

Please find details for all our courses on the relevant subject page on our [website](#) or visit [OCR professional development](#).

Signed up for ExamBuilder?

ExamBuilder is the question builder platform for a range of our GCSE, A Level, Cambridge Nationals and Cambridge Technicals qualifications. [Find out more](#).

ExamBuilder is **free for all OCR centres** with an Interchange account and gives you unlimited users per centre. We need an [Interchange](#) username to validate the identity of your centre's first user account for ExamBuilder.

If you do not have an Interchange account please contact your centre administrator (usually the Exams Officer) to request a username, or nominate an existing Interchange user in your department.

Active Results

Review students' exam performance with our free online results analysis tool. It is available for all GCSEs, AS and A Levels and Cambridge Nationals.

It allows you to:

- review and run analysis reports on exam performance
- analyse results at question and/or topic level
- compare your centre with OCR national averages
- identify trends across the centre
- facilitate effective planning and delivery of courses
- identify areas of the curriculum where students excel or struggle
- help pinpoint strengths and weaknesses of students and teaching departments.

[Find out more](#).

Need to get in touch?

If you ever have any questions about OCR qualifications or services (including administration, logistics and teaching) please feel free to get in touch with our customer support centre.

Call us on
01223 553998

Alternatively, you can email us on
support@ocr.org.uk

For more information visit

 **ocr.org.uk/qualifications/resource-finder**

 **ocr.org.uk**

 **/ocrexams**

 **/ocrexams**

 **/company/ocr**

 **/ocrexams**

We really value your feedback

Click to send us an autogenerated email about this resource. Add comments if you want to. Let us know how we can improve this resource or what else you need. Your email address will not be used or shared for any marketing purposes.



I like this



I dislike this

Please note – web links are correct at date of publication but other websites may change over time. If you have any problems with a link you may want to navigate to that organisation's website for a direct search.



OCR is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored. © OCR 2022 Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.

OCR operates academic and vocational qualifications regulated by Ofqual, Qualifications Wales and CCEA as listed in their qualifications registers including A Levels, GCSEs, Cambridge Technicals and Cambridge Nationals.

OCR provides resources to help you deliver our qualifications. These resources do not represent any particular teaching method we expect you to use. We update our resources regularly and aim to make sure content is accurate but please check the OCR website so that you have the most up to date version. OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these resources.

Though we make every effort to check our resources, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, so it is important that you always use information in the latest specification. We indicate any specification changes within the document itself, change the version number and provide a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource, please [contact us](#).

You can copy and distribute this resource freely if you keep the OCR logo and this small print intact and you acknowledge OCR as the originator of the resource.

OCR acknowledges the use of the following content: N/A

Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR or are thinking about switching, you can request more information using our [Expression of Interest form](#).

Please [get in touch](#) if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support you in delivering our qualifications.