

Mark scheme January 2004

GCE

Business Studies

Unit BUS2

Copyright © 2004 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

GENERAL MARKING GUIDANCE

You should remember that your marking standards should reflect the levels of performance of Advanced Subsidiary candidates, mainly 17 years old, writing under examination conditions. The level of demand of this unit is that expected of candidates half-way through a full A Level course.

Positive Marking

You should be positive in your marking, giving credit for what is there rather than being too conscious of what is not. Do not deduct marks for irrelevant or incorrect answers as candidates penalise themselves in terms of the time they have spent.

Mark Range

You should use the whole mark range available in the marking scheme. Where the candidate's response to a question is such that the mark scheme permits full marks to be awarded, full marks **must** be given. A perfect answer is not required. Conversely, if the candidate's answer does not deserve credit, then no marks should be given.

The Use of Levels of Response

Levels of response marking has holistic aspects, yet must conform to the rule of positive marking. A candidate who has built a strong argument must have that achievement recognised fully, even if a subsequent paragraph of ambiguity reduces the power of the whole. For this to occur consistently requires careful annotation of the level of response achieved within each skill category, at each significant stage within an answer.

Fundamental to a Levels of Response approach is that there maybe more than one right answer to a written question. Examiners must use their professional judgement to credit any reasonable answer, whether or not it is listed on the mark scheme.

Levels of response marking requires examiners to follow the logic of a candidate's answer. A concept that would receive credit for knowledge in one context could become a means of analysis in another. It is also possible that a candidate's line of argument could validate knowledge that would not have been recognised if the candidate had simply tabled it. For example, acid test is not listed within the specification as a test of financial efficiency, yet a candidate could build an argument that made it relevant. Then knowledge could be rewarded as well as analysis.

Despite the value of skills such as analysis and evaluation, all answers must be based upon relevant knowledge and understanding. Therefore, it is not possible to credit application, analysis or evaluation unless recognisable knowledge has been rewarded.



The skills we seek from candidates are as follows:

- 1. Knowledge and understanding: accurate definitions or explanations of relevant terms should always be credited within this category; candidates can also gain credit for knowing and explaining a point relevant to the question, eg an advantage of factoring.
- 2. Application is the skill of bringing knowledge to bear to the business context faced by the candidate. Candidates should not be rewarded for simply dropping the company name or product category into their answer; the response must show recognition of some specific business aspect of the firm, its management or its situation.
- 3. Analysis: building up an argument using relevant business theory in a way that answers the question specifically and shows understanding of cause and effect.
- 4. Evaluation is judgement. This can be shown within an answer, through the weighting of an argument or in the perceptiveness shown by the candidate (perhaps about the degree of crisis/strength of the XYZ Company). It can also be shown within a conclusion, perhaps by weighing up the strength of the candidate's own arguments for and against a proposition. Evaluation is **not** shown simply by the use of drilled phrases such as "On the other hand" or "Business operates in an ever-changing environment". It is shown through the weighting of the candidate's response plus the logic and justification of his/her conclusions.

Quality of Language

The GCSE and GCE A/AS Code of Practice requires the assessment of candidates' quality of written communication wherever they are required to write in continuous prose. In this unit, this assessment will take place for each candidate's script as a whole by means of the following marking criteria.

- LEVEL 3 Moderately complex ideas are expressed clearly and reasonably fluently, through well linked sentences and paragraphs. Arguments are generally relevant and well structured. There may be occasional errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling.

 3 marks
- LEVEL 2 Straightforward ideas are expressed clearly, if not always fluently. Sentences and paragraphs may not always be well connected. Arguments may sometimes stray from the point or be weakly presented. There may be some errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling, but not such as to suggest a weakness in these areas

 2 marks
- LEVEL 1 Simple ideas are expressed clearly but arguments may be of doubtful relevance or obscurely presented. Errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling may be noticeable and intrusive, suggesting a weakness in these areas.

 1 mark
- LEVEL 0 Ideas are expressed poorly and sentences and paragraphs are not connected.

 There are errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling, showing a weakness in these areas.

 0 marks

Total 3 marks

Paul King is keen to encourage workers to be involved in decisions to improve the performance of the firm. Explain **two** possible benefits to *King and Dillworth Ltd* of the introduction of Quality Circles.

(6 marks)

	Content	Application	
	3 marks	3 marks	
	3 marks	3 marks	
Level 2	Clear explanation of the term or the	Effective application of the point(s) made in	
	benefit(s), showing good understanding	relation to King and Dillworth Ltd	
	2-1 marks	2-1 marks	
Level 1	Some explanation of the term or the	Some application of the point(s) made in	
	benefit(s), showing some understanding	relation to King and Dillworth Ltd	

Content:

Quality circles are groups of workers who meet regularly and voluntarily to discuss production problems and offer possible solutions to problematic products and processes. It is often said that workers have two jobs – doing the job and making it better

Content/Application - Benefits include:

- There is evidence of poor quality being "let through" to the finished product
- Quality circles might help to spot such problems earlier
- Reduction in reparation costs, if quality defects are spotted earlier
- May help King and Dillworth Ltd close the gap between them and the competition, which seems to be growing
- Motivational impacts on de-motivated production workers
- May mean a broader range of views can be heard on what improvements to make, not just the resistance to change of the Production Manager
- May have a positive effect on culture



Explain **two** possible problems that *King and Dillworth Ltd* might experience in extending performance-related pay to the administration staff. (6 marks)

	Content	Application	
	3 marks	3 marks	
	3 marks	3 marks	
Level 2	Clear explanation of the term or the	Effective application of the point(s) made in	
	problem(s), showing good understanding	relation to King and Dillworth Ltd	
	2-1 marks	2-1 marks	
Level 1	Some explanation of the term or the	Some application of the point(s) made in	
	problem(s), showing some understanding	relation to King and Dillworth Ltd	

Content:

Links the annual salary of a worker to their performance. May be in the form of a salary or wage enhancement or profit sharing

Application:

- Measurement of output of two groups of worker? Would be easier for manufacturing staff than for administration staff
- Quantity or quality?
- Will introduction "distort" activity towards those things that will attract reward, eg faster completion at the expense of quality?
- How to calculate performance-related element?
- Who should be eligible for payment?
- Establishment of baseline?
- Would performance of administration staff be affected by external factors?

Paul King believes that delegating authority to the on-site teams is certain to improve quality and efficiency (Item 2 in the case study). To what extent do you agree with this view? (15 marks)

	Content	Application	Analysis	Evaluation
	3 marks	3 marks	4 marks	5 marks
				5 marks
				Judgement shown in
				weighing up the
				extent to which
Level 3				delegation can
				guarantee improved
				efficiency and
				quality, with clear
				conclusions
	3 marks	3 marks	4-3 marks	4-3 marks
	Good	Relevant issue(s)	Analysis of question	Judgement shown in
Level 2	understanding of	applied in detail to	set using relevant	weighing up the
	'delegation'	the case	theory	issue(s) in relation
	shown			to efficiency or
				quality
	2-1 marks	2-1 marks	2-1 marks	2-1 marks
Level 1	Some	Some application to	Some use of theory	Some judgement
	understanding of	issue(s) in the case		shown in text or
	'delegation'			conclusions

Content:

Passing of power and authority down the hierarchy, whilst retaining responsibility

Possible arguments in favour include:

- Possible motivational impacts of delegation evidence of a decline in quality may be reversed, if workers feel part of the decision-making process
- Workers may have better informed opinions about quality improvements
- Delegation downwards would allow managers (even the Production Manager) to concentrate on quality assurance
- May provide greater flexibility as workers become more multi-skilled and decisions may be quicker, if reference to a manager is no longer required
- Might, in time, provide the potential supervisors that the Production Manager wants

Possible evaluative comments include:

- The extent to which delegation leads to improvements in quality will depend on whether the workers have the necessary skills and experience
- There is lots of evidence that the workers are not empowered at present wouldn't there need to be a major change in culture before delegation was effective?
- Delegation may not, in the short-term, contribute to improvements in efficiency as costs may rise, possibly leading to slower decisions
- Will the introduction be well planned?
- Initial setting up costs



Analyse how workforce planning might contribute to the future success of *King and Dillworth Ltd.*(8 marks)

	Content	Application	Analysis
	2 marks	2 marks	4 marks
	2 marks	2 marks	4-3 marks
Level 2	Good understanding shown	Relevant issue(s) applied in	Analysis of question set
	of workforce planning	detail to the case	using relevant theory
	1 mark	1 mark	2-1 marks
Level 1	Some understanding of	Some application to issue(s)	Some use of theory
	workforce planning shown	in the case	

Content:

Methods of forecasting the number and type of workers needed now and in the future. The likely demand for labour is assessed so that supply can be matched

Possible answers include:

- Short-term planning may help to fill vacancies
- In the longer term, the different skills needed for greater delegation and Quality Circles can be planned for and training or recruitment could be arranged
- Reductions in capacity could be anticipated, if the fall in demand is prolonged
- The joint venture will require additional workers, so this should be planned for

Paul King estimates that in the last recession *King and Dillworth Ltd's* capacity utilisation rate fell to less than 65%. Discuss the possible impact on *King and Dillworth Ltd* of a significant fall in capacity utilisation.

(15 marks)

	Content	Application	Analysis	Evaluation
	3 marks	3 marks	4 marks	5 marks
				5 marks
				Judgement shown in
				weighing up
Level 3				disadvantages,
				perhaps with
				reference to possible
				benefits
	3 marks	3 marks	4-3 marks	4-3 marks
	Good	Relevant issues	Analysis of the	Judgement shown in
Level 2	understanding	applied in detail to	question set using	text or conclusions
	shown of capacity	the case	relevant theory	
	utilisation		-	
Level 1	2-1 marks	2-1 marks	2-1 marks	2-1 marks
	Some	Some application to	Some use of theory	Some judgement
	understanding of	issue(s) in the case		shown
	relevant issue(s)			
	shown			

Content:

Capacity utilisation is the proportion of maximum possible output that is currently being used

Possible disadvantages include:

- Reduction in the efficient use of fixed assets such as the warehouse
- Increased burden of fixed costs as output falls fixed cost per unit will rise as capacity utilisation falls may be worse if financed by debt
- Burden that labour costs impose, especially as most are fixed costs rather than variable, as subcontracted workers would be
- Profit margin implications of above points
- Consequences of a significant fall may be to permanently reduce capacity or become more flexible by changing to temporary/flexible contract arrangements
- Motivational and public relations potential of rationalisation

Evaluative comments may include:

- Weighing up of significance of impact is the impact likely to be less if the deal with Irkvale Homes plc happens?
- Length of time that reduction in capacity takes
- Is it an industry wide phenomenon? Can King and Dillworth Ltd survive a short-term reduction and benefit from long-term growth in market share if competition goes under?

