



Examiners' Report January 2013

GCE Biology 6BI08 01

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the world's leading learning company. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk for our BTEC qualifications.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

If you have any subject specific questions about this specification that require the help of a subject specialist, you can speak directly to the subject team at Pearson. Their contact details can be found on this link: www.edexcel.com/teachingservices.

You can also use our online Ask the Expert service at www.edexcel.com/ask. You will need an Edexcel username and password to access this service. See the ResultsPlus section below on how to get these details if you don't have them already.



Giving you insight to inform next steps

ResultsPlus is Edexcel's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam results.

- See students' scores for every exam question.
- Understand how your students' performance compares with class and Edexcel national averages.
- Identify potential topics, skills and types of question where students may need to develop their learning further.

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit www.edexcel.com/resultsplus. Your exams officer will be able to set up your ResultsPlus account in minutes via Edexcel Online.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk.

January 2013

Publications Code UA034284

All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2013

Introduction

The majority of candidates appeared to be well prepared for the paper and were able to describe core practical's and apply them in the planning of an investigation.

This paper achieved a full range of marks, particularly with question 3.

When candidates recognised the context in which the question was set, they generally found questions 1 and 3 accessible and many good answers were seen. However, a number of candidates are still trying to apply 'generic' answers to parts of these questions. This will often result in little credit. Many candidates continue to score highly with question 2. This is particularly the case for part b and c of the question in which candidates are expected to tabulate and present data provided for them. Those parts of questions where candidates needed to rely on their understanding of biological principles were less well answered. With question 3 some candidates continue to struggle to identify what needs to be included in each section of the question.

Question 1 (a) (b)

Q1(a). The majority of candidates seemed to be familiar with a method of measuring vitamin C in fruit juice. Many candidates produced a good description of how they would investigate the effects of storage on vitamin C levels in a fruit, with a pleasing number achieving full marks.

A number of students were confused about the titration method. Sometimes candidates suggested timing how long it took the DCPIP to decolourise, suggested inappropriate indicators or described incorrect colour changes. For mark point 4 the examiners wanted to see a description of the colour change, simply stating that the DCPIP is decolourised was not sufficient. Few candidates described how the results collected would be used, mark point 6.

Q1(b)(i) Many candidates found it difficult to identify two relevant variables to control. Two, frequently seen suggestions, that did not gain credit were pH and type of fruit. The first is not appropriate to the investigation and the second is given in the stem of the question.

Relatively few candidates gained both of the available marks in Q1(b)(ii).

For the first mark candidates need to suggest how a variable can be controlled. The examiners are looking for sensible suggestions made in the context of the practical. Simply stating 'volume will be controlled using a measuring cylinder' is not sufficient. Candidates need to give a clear indication that the measuring cylinder will be used to measure the same volume for each test or else to measure a sensible stated volume. Using a measuring cylinder for small volumes e.g. 1cm³ was not considered appropriate.

For the second mark candidates are required to identify the effect of not controlling the variable on the results. When appropriate, the examiners were looking for a link between the variable being controlled and the dependent variable. A mark was awarded if candidates suggested that a larger volume of juice would decolourise a larger volume of DCPIP. However, no credit was given for less specific statements such as different volumes of juice would decolourise different volumes of DCPIP.

Answer ALL questions.
Many fruits contain vitamin C. Fruits can decay quickly when stored at room temperature. They are therefore sometimes stored in a refrigerator or freezer.
(a) Describe an experiment to investigate the effect of storage temperature on the vitamin C content of one type of fruit (5)
Independent variable in this experiment is the
strage temperature. It can be see 5°c, 10°c, 15°c, 20°c,
25°C. It can be controlled by using thermostatically
connolled water bath. Dependent variable is
the vitamin C content of fruit juice It
can be measured by titrating the solution juice
weigh DCPIP. & The more juice required to
change the colorar the less vitamin c it has.
burette is used to carry (vitamin c) and DCPP
in conicos Flask. Other variables are needed
burete to be constant for example
concentration of DCPIP, Repeat
conical E
when DCPIP charges color from
blue black to pint point the speed of adding

(b) (i)	Degui	variables w volum	hich need to $e \omega$	be control	ed in this ir	nvestigation.	(2)
_	Time	ussed	for	which	tho	jvice	wes
********	kept	in	specific	tem	peratur	2.	>+44EEEEEEEEE

vilamin c must be slower down and when it turn's colunless stop adding the juice. In other variables swrilling to flask must be the same.

(ii) Choose one of the variables from (b)(i) above. Suggest how this variable can be controlled. Describe what effect it could have on the results if it is not controlled.

(2)

Variable DCPIP volume

How to control the variable by mecusiung with pipette.

Effect on the results if the variable is not controlled More juice will be required to decolorise the DCPIP and the value of viramin c consent would be in accurate More viramin c consent would decrease.



This response is a typical example of a good description as provided by many candidates. For part (a) the candidate was awarded the maximum of five marks. These marks could have been awarded for mark points 1, 2, 3, 5, 7 and 6. The candidate would not have gained mark point 4 as the colour of DCPIP was incorrectly described as blue-black.

In part (b)(i) the candidate made two sensible suggestions for variables to control and was awarded both available marks.

However, the candidate did not gain any credit for (b)(ii). When describing how to control volume the candidates did not describe how the stated instrument would be used. Measuring the **same volume** each time using a measuring cylinder, or, measuring a stated volume (e.g. 1 cm³) using a pipette, would gain a mark.

When describing the effect of not controlling the variable the candidate did not relate the change in volume of juice to a change in volume of DCPIP. To gain credit the change in the control variable needs to be clearly linked to a change in the result observed. e.g. a larger volume of juice would be required to decolourise a larger volume of juice.



Make sure you can identify sensible variables to control. If you suggest a variable then you should be able to explain how to control it and what will happen to the result if it is not controlled.

Answer ALL questions.

- 1 Many fruits contain vitamin C. Fruits can decay quickly when stored at room temperature. They are therefore sometimes stored in a refrigerator or freezer.
 - (a) Describe an experiment to investigate the effect of storage temperature on the vitamin C content of one type of fruit.

(5)

The a single orange and extent at the joice from.

Take 5 oranges, and place them in ice boths or thermostatically controlled worter boths, each of two of temperatures 0°C, 10°C, 20°C, 30°C, 40°C and 50°C Emsure that all the 6 oranges are the same species. Store them for two days (48 hours), and them extract all the juice from them. Take a sample of each and titrate them with a cold solution of DCPIP Calculate the vitamim C concentration using the mean titre. Repeat the experiment a few times. If necessary, use lower concentration of DCPIP and dilute the juice extraction by dissolving equal amounts of the juice; say 10 cm³ im 100 cm³ of worter. Use different oranges for the vepcats

(b) (i) State two variables which need to be controlled in this investigation.	(2)
Time of storage.	
Species / source of oranges	
(ii) Choose one of the variables from (b)(i) above. Suggest how this variable can be controlled. Describe what effect it could have on the results if it is not controlled.	(2)
Variable Species / Spurce of Ovamous	
Variable Species Source of oranges.	
How to control the variable Emsure that all pranges a	line
from the same plant, or atteast belong it +	o the
same plantation / species by checking the labels	
buying them from the same store	
Effect on the results if the variable is not controlled Some species of oran	nges
may have more or less joice in them and there	fore
a corresponding increase / decrease in the vitam	
content:	



The candidate produced a good description of the practical and gained the maximum five marks for part (a), Mark points 1, 2, 3, 5 and 7.

In part (b) two sensible suggestions were made for control variables and both available marks were awarded. Species, variety or type of a named fruit e.g. type of orange was accepted for mark point 2. However, since the candidates were asked to plan an investigation using one type of fruit, suggesting the type of fruit as a control variable was not accepted. It is worth noting that when candidates are asked to give two suggestions the examiners will mark the first two suggestions. So in this response source of oranges would have been ignored.

A sensible suggestion as to how to control the species of orange was made and the candidate was awarded mark point 1. The effect of not controlling the species of orange was reasonably well described and the candidate was awarded mark point 2.



When describing the effect of not controlling a variable link the effect to the dependent variable.

Question 1 (c)

The majority of candidates recognised that reduced enzyme activity played a role in reduced decay (mark point 1). Many were also able to provide an explanation for reduced enzyme activity (mark point 3). However, disappointingly few candidates made sensible suggestions about the effect of reduced temperatures on the growth of microorganisms.

(c) Suggest why storing fruit at low temperature slows down decay.	(3)
At 100 temperature, the microbiat, Decay is caused by	l
micro-organisms of in fruits or enzymes. Enzyme activity	91500 71111111111111111111111111111111111
affects he rate of deany. In 100 temperatures the ra	te
of enzyme activity is low. Enzymes are not as ac	thre
as they are in moderate temporatures. Therefore, this	בשמצ
down the decay process.	++1++++++*EFEEEFEEEEEEEEEE



In this response the candidate has recognised that at low temperatures enzyme activity is reduced and gains mark point 2. The candidate did not go on to explain why enzyme activity is low so did not gain mark point 3. As was the case with many candidates, they did not suggest that low temperature would reduce the growth of microorganisms, so did not gain mark point 1.



When asked to "suggest why" you need to suggest an explanation. e.g. in this question simply stating that microorganisms cause decay is not enough.

(c) Suggest why storing fruit at low temperature slows down decay.

(3)

Low temperature will slow down the decay rate. By reducing the temperature we reduce the speed which molecules coulde with each other. Therefore reduce the number of effective collisions. Therefore the rate of enzymes activities which are responsible for decay will reduce as well.



In this response the candidate has suggested that enzyme activity will be reduced and has given an acceptable explanation mark point 2 and 3.

Question 2 (a)

Writing a null hypothesis is an important practical skill and it is disappointing that many candidates cannot write a suitable null hypothesis. Students appear to be unable to recognise when an experiment is testing a difference or a correlation.

cursation and coloration

2 A student decided to investigate the effect of listening to music on short-term memory.

She recorded how many numbers were correctly recalled from a grid containing 25 random numbers. She tested 15 members of her class (students **A** to **O**) with no music. She repeated the test three times for each student and calculated the mean for each student.

She repeated the tests with the same 15 students, while they listened to some loud music.

A copy of her mean results for each student is shown below:

No Music:

A 10.3, **B** 9.7, **C** 10.0, **D** 11.7, **E** 11.7, **F** 11.3, **G** 10.7, **H** 10.3, **I** 12.3, **J** 11.3, **K** 10.7, **L** 10.3, **M** 11.3, **N** 11.0, **O** 11.0

With Music:

A 9.0, **B** 10.3, **C** 10.7, **D** 10.3, **E** 9.7, **F** 11.0, **G** 9.3, **H** 10.7, **I** 10.3, **J** 9.7, **K** 10.0, **L** 10.3, **M** 9.7, **N** 11.0, **O** 9.7

(a) Write a suitable null hypothesis for this investigation.

Kas There is no significant effect or expecution between listening to music and short-term memory.




By using the term significant effect or correlation this candidate has tried to provide an answer that covers several possibilities. Responses like this will not gain credit. The candidate must use the correct term to get a mark. In this question, the experiment was designed to test for a difference between two conditions, so the null hypothesis must refer to a significant difference.




Make sure you know when to use significant difference and significant correlation. You should avoid using the term significant effect.

Question 3

Many candidates produced good answers to this question. In part (a) many candidates recognised that enzymes might be an irritant or cause an allergic response. Some suggested reasonable ethical issues associated with obtaining enzymes from animals. However, many obtained mark point 1 for simply stating there are no significant ethical issues. Those scoring higher marks in parts (b) and (e) related their answers to the specific investigation. Generic statements tended not to provide sufficient detail to gain marks. In part (c) the majority of candidates suggested reasonable investigations. Mark point 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 were frequently awarded. Many candidates still struggle to decide if a variable should be monitored or controlled. The descriptions of how a variable can be controlled often lacked sufficient detail to allow the award of mark points 7 and 8. Similarly, the description of the need for repeats (mark point 9) was often not sufficiently clear to gain credit. Candidate responses to part (d) suggested a lack of thought about the investigation. Candidates were asked to design an experiment to find the optimum enzyme concentration. This would involve plotting a graph, possibly choosing a narrower range of concentrations to investigate and recognition that at post-optimal concentrations there would be no increase in protein digestion (mark points 4, 5 and 6). Candidates frequently gained mark point 1, 2 and 3. However, the majority then went on to suggest looking for a correlation between enzyme concentration and the extent of protein digestion and this gained no additional credit.





(c) A detailed method, including an explanation of how important variables are to be controlled or monitored.

(10)

[Up to 2 marks are available in this section for the quality of written communication.]

The dependent variable is time taken for the gelatine solution to become clear.


The independent variable is the different concentrations of enzyme used in the stain removing liquid.

Use different concentrations of protease which are of 1,2,3,9,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,19 and 15 mol dm⁻³.

Using 1 mol dm⁻³ of enzyme solution, pour 15 ml of the enzyme, into the test tube containing 5 ml of gelatine. Stir the mixture and record the time taken for the gelatine solution to turn colouvless using a stopwatch. Fix the volume of enzyme solution used in each experiment at 15 ml.

The variables that need to be controlled here is the temperature of the gelatine solution and the pH level of gelatine solution. Use a water bath to maintain the temperature at a suitable temperature. Use a pH meter to makesure the pH is constant. Repeat the experiment for another 3 times and calculate the mean for each gelatine solution to become clear with different enzyme concentrations.

å ,			. ~	. (4)
Concentation of engue	Time taken	for 98811/18on to	become cle	ar (minutes)
solution (molding)		2	3	Megn
1	1			
2			<u> </u>	
¥				
15		platingultion to		
	1			
	2 4 6	8 10 12 14	L> Concentra (mold	tion of engage so
	a studen	t-test.		
	a studen	t-test.		
	a studen	t-test.		tion of enzyme som-3) greater than there is a





In part (a) the candidate gained one mark (mark point 1) for suggesting that there might be an ethical issue with obtaining enzymes from animals. The first sentence did not meet the criteria for mark point 2 or 3. Candidates are asked to design an investigation into the protease enzymes and not washing liquid. Reference to hazards associated with the washing liquid did not gain any credit.

In part (b) the candidate gained one mark (mark point 1). The unqualified statements referring to time scale for the experiment and determining other variables that need controlling, did not gain credit. Candidate must link suggestions to the particular experiment, in this case to stain removal or protease activity.

In part (c) the candidate gained the maximum of 10 marks. These were awarded for mark points 1, 3, 4, 2, 5, 6, 7 and 9 plus 2 marks for QWC.

Mark points 5 and 6 were awarded for controlling the temperature. Mark point 6 was given for identifying the need to control pH. Mark point 8 could not be awarded as using a pH meter to monitor pH is not sufficient. In laboratory based experiments we would expect to see use of a pH buffer. Mark point 6 could also have been given for the for the description of the need to keep the volume of enzyme constant but again no method of control was described so it would not have been possible to give mark point 8.

For part (d) the candidate provided a clear table with headings that matched the proposed investigation and evidence that repeat data would be used to calculate a mean value (mark points 1 and 2). Although the candidate drew the axis for a graph they did not provide any indication of the type of graph to be drawn and the y-axis label did not include the term mean. So mark point 3 was not awarded.

If carried out properly this investigation would not produce a straightforward correlation between concentration of protease and protein digestion. Rather, an optimum concentration of protease would be found, at which point further increases in concentration would have no effect on the digestion. Hence no marks were available for suggesting the use of a statistical test to test for a correlation. Instead, it was hoped that candidates would suggest how the data could be used to identify the optimum concentration of protease.

In part (e) the candidate gained two marks (mark points 1 and 4). Again the reference to temperature being a limiting factor was not sufficiently specific to gain credit. In this case candidates needed to refer to the idea that other limiting factors might affect enzyme activity or stain removal.



When you suggest preliminary work you should make clear the context of your suggestions. e.g. 'Determine the time scale for measuring stain removal' would gain a mark but, 'Determine a time scale for the experiment' is too vague.

(b) Suggestions for preliminary work that you might undertake to ensure your proposed method would provide meaningful data.	(3)
Practise proposed method.	(3)
Identify appropriate dependent variable.	7
Consider all variables that may affect the action of enzymes removing stains.	
Check most suitable condition and environment for enzyme protease to act apon.	
Check suitable time scale to soak clotha in professe.	,
Oheak suitable range of proteinse concentration of 0.1%, 0.2%, 0.5%, 1% and	1.5%

(d) A clear explanation of how your data are to be recorded, presented and analysed in order to draw conclusions from your investigation.

Proteinse Concentration/%	Time Take	n for Stai	n to be	Removed/s	Rate of Protease	
	1	2	3	Mean	Reaction/s-1	
0.1					ann macanannia ann an ainm an	
0.2						
0.5						
1.0			1			
1.5						

Rate of Proteage Pearlier/5 ⁻¹	Use Speaman's Rank Correlation
1	test to determine significant
etoHer grain	difference between protease
> Professe concentration/%	concentration and time taken
	rate of proteose reaction.
	Compare calculated and orifical value.

(4)

(e) The limitations of your proposed method.

(3)

Experimental conditions may not represent real conditions: when stain-removing liquid is used, Measured to Measuring rate at which of reaction of proteuse may not correspond with effectiveness of stain-removing liquid.

Difficult to control all variables affecting result.

Difficulty of proposed technique as volume of protein stains on each white cloth is hard to standardise each drop.

Another variable may be acting as a limiting factor for the reaction of proleuse with

protein stains

The stains may not just be comprised of proteins but other components that annot be broken down by cortalise. (Total for Question 3 = 22 marks)

TOTAL FOR PAPER = 50 MARKS



This response to 3(b) gained all three available marks (mark points 2, 3 and 4). The first statement would not have gained mark point 1 as it does not say why the method should be practised. Similarly, the second statement is too vague and by itself would not get mark point 6.

For part (d) the candidate produced a clear table with suitable headings and indicated a mean value would be obtained (mark points 1 and 3). The candidate then went on and included a final calculated column for rate of enzyme activity. The candidate suggested presenting the results in a scatter graph (which was accepted although a line graph would have been better). Axis labels matched the table and mark point 3 was awarded.

In part (e) the candidate gained all three available marks (mark points 4, 2 and 3).



Always qualify the suggestions you make in terms of the proposed experiment. So if you are investigating protease activity include that in your suggestions. e.g. identify an appropriate dependent variable to measure protease activity.

Question 2 (b) (c) (d) (e)

The tabulation and graphing skills (b and c) required in this question were relatively straightforward. However, a surprisingly large number of candidates struggled to produce suitable headings for the table. Most were able to calculate the means correctly, although some gave answers to an inappropriate number of decimal places. A significant number of candidates chose difficult scales and as a result made mistakes in plotting the bars and error bars.


Part (d) of the question proved challenging to many candidates. The majority were able to gain mark points 1, and 5 and many gained an additional mark from either mark point 2 or 6. Very few were able to select an appropriate number of degrees of freedom (mark points 3 and 4). Explanations for the results when provided were often confused or inaccurate.

In part (e) candidates frequently gained mark point 1, recognising that other factors may not have been taken into consideration. However, they generally did not go on to elaborate on this (mark points 2 and 3). Many candidates recognised that the sample size was small and gained mark point 4.

(b) Prepare a suitable table to display the data obtained and calculate the overall mean for the numbers recalled by these 15 students, both with music and with no music.

		(1)		
Individual	Number of number rec	caused by atindividuals		
Matolada	No music	With music		
A	10.3	9.0		
В	9.7	10.3		
С	10.0	10.7		
D	11.7	10.3		
E	11. 7	9.7		
F	11.3	11.0		
Gı	10.7	9.3		
Н	10.3	10. ⊋		
I	12.3	10.3		
J	11.3	9.7		
K	10.7	10.0		
L	10.3	10-3		
М	11.3	9.7		
H	11.0	11.0		
0	11.0	9.7		
Mean	10.9≠	10.1		
		The state of the s		

(4)



(d) The student applied a t-test to explore the significance of her results. She obtained a result of t = 3.30 from her calculation. The table below shows some critical values for t-test calculations.

D	Significanc	e level (p)
Degrees of freedom	0.05	0.01
14	2.14	2.98
15	(2.13)	2.94
16	2.12	2.92
17	2.11	2.90
18	2.10	2.88
19	2.09	2.86
20	2.09	2.85
21	2.08	2.83
22	2.07	2.82
23	2.07	2.81
24	2.06	2.80
25	2.06	2.80
30	2.04	2.75
00	1.96	2.58

What conclusion can be drawn from this investigation?

Use the information provided in the table and in the graph you have drawn, together with your knowledge and understanding to **explain** your answer.

(5)

The calculated t value (+= 3.30) is greater than critical t value (2.13) at 5% significance level. Therefore, there is an evidence that there is o significant difference in number of number which a person can recall between situation surrounding situation without remember. music and surrounding The person can recall more n7th music. when there is no music. Music may disturbs the memorising as it stimulates nervous system brain. When brain work for multiple process information at the same time, the efficiency for memorising decreases.

(e) Suggest why it may not be reasonable to draw a valid conclusion from the results of this investigation.

(3)

memorise will listening Some students response to music. nas ditterent proctice cannot Besides, in the this investigation. thore. with great be removed range overlaps The higher bars each group extent.



In the response to part (b) the candidate has produced a suitable table with all the raw data and with correctly calculated means. The heading 'number of numbers recalled by individuals' was considered sufficient for mark point 4. The majority of candidates struggled to produce a suitable heading for the table.

The candidate scored one mark for the graph, mark point P. The graph contains all the elements of a good graph however, an error in plotting the first range bar and a poor y-axis label prevent the award of mark points A and B. The expected graph was simple, two bars with range bars and as a consequence marking was strict with many candidates losing marks for mistakes such as those illustrated in this example.

This was a fairly typical response for part (d) with the candidate gaining three marks, mark points 1, 5 and 7.

No marks were awarded for part (e).



When presenting data take care with table headings and axis labels on graphs. Headings and labels must be accurate. When you plot a graph using tabulated data, the axis labels should correspond to the headings used in the data table.

(b) Prepare a suitable table to display the data obtained and calculate the overall mean for the numbers recalled by these 15 students, both with music and with no music.

(4)

student	Mean number of numbers	corractly recalled
37409N1	No music	with music
A	10.3	9-0
В	9.7	10.3
C	10.0	10-7
D	11-7	10-3
E	11.7	9.7
F	11-3	11-0
G	10.7	9.3
Н	10.3	10.7
1	12.3	10.3
J	11.3	9.7
K	10-7	10.0
1	10.3	10.3
M 11.3		9.7
Ν	11.0	11.0
0	11.0	9.7
Overall	10.9	10.1

(d) The student applied a t-test to explore the significance of her results. She obtained a result of t = 3.30 from her calculation.

The table below shows some critical values for t-test calculations.

Danuar of freedom	Significance	e level (p)
Degrees of freedom	0.05	0.01
14	2.14	2.98
15	2.13	2.94
16	2.12	2.92
17	2.11	2.90
18	2.10	2.88
19	2.09	2.86
20	2.09	2.85
21	2.08	2.83
22	2.07	2.82
23	2.07	2.81
24	2.06	2.80
25	2.06	2.80
30	2.04	2.75
00	1.96	2.58

What conclusion can be drawn from this investigation?

Use the information provided in the table and in the graph you have drawn, together with your knowledge and understanding to **explain** your answer.

(5)

Null hypothesis is rejected. The calculated value (3:30) is greater than the
v v
critical value (2.04) at 5% significance level at 30 degrees of freedom.
There is a significant difference in short-term memory between
_
students who listen to music and those who do not. The short-term
mamory of students who do not listen to music is significantly
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
greater than students who listen to music.

(e) Suggest why it may not be reasonable to draw a valid conclusion from the results of this investigation.

(3)

Too little number of students are involved in the experiment.

Short-term memory of students may differ individually.

Gender of students is not fixed.

There are many other factors that are not controlled.



For part (b) the candidate produced a good example of a table with accurate headings and accurate means, gaining all four marks.

In part (d) the candidate has made a reasonable attempt at providing a conclusion and an explanation of their answer gaining mark points 2, 1, 5 and 6. For mark point 5 candidates must refer to a significant difference, as that is what was tested.

For part (e) the candidate gained two marks, mark points 4 and 1.



When describing the conclusions that can be drawn from statistical data you should generally refer to the null hypothesis and do not forget to describe what the results show.

Based on their performance on this paper candidates are offered the following advice:

- Candidates should make sure they understand the underlying biological principles being explored as well as the practical techniques employed.
- When planning their answers to questions candidates should ensure they understand the context in which the question is set and must apply their answers to this context. It is particularly important to bear this in mind when using mark schemes with previous papers in preparing for this exam.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:

http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481

Email <u>publication.orders@edexcel.com</u> Order Code UA034284 January 2013

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE





