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## Introduction

This was the first session for this paper, the international alternative to the individual investigation for unit 6. Although it is impossible to mimic the assessment and learning possible through the carrying out of an individual investigation we have tried to mirror the marking criteria range as far as possible.

This paper achieved a full range of marks, particularly with question 3.
Candidates tended to score highly with question 2 where they had to present and analyse data provided for them, but most scored relatively poorly on question 1 where they needed to rely on their understanding of both the practical techniques and biological principles behind one of the core practicals.

With question 3 some candidates struggled to identify what needed to be included in each section of the section. Where possible examiners marked across sections to credit candidates to who had demonstrated an understanding of what to plan in an investigation even if they had included it in the wrong section. Just as in the main unit 6 reports key areas of weakness include consideration of the value of preliminary work and how to analyse and evaluate data obtained.

In preparing for this paper candidates should have a good look at all of the core practicals in the specification and make sure they understand the underlying biological principles being explored as well as the practical techniques employed.

They should also read the criteria for the unit 6 practical biology and investigative skills carefully and to get a good idea of the sort of things they need to consider when tackling a planning question. Although they are not required to carry out a specific statistical test they you should be aware of which types of test are appropriate for which types of data so they can plan to collect sufficient data for analysis.

## Question 1(a)

1ai. The majority of candidates came up with a suitable factor (normally temperature). While many candidates were able to write a testable hypothesis, quite a few did not understand how to formulate a hypothesis based on the dependent and independent variables.

1aii. Use of biological knowledge to explain the hypothesis was variable. A good number of candidates were able to get all 3 marks using their knowledge of cell membrane structure. However, a number of candidates were less sure about the cell membrane structure, particularly in reference to lipids instead of phospholipids, but they managed to get some marks through commenting on proteins in/on the membrane and their denaturation at high temperatures. Quite a few candidates just related temperature to enzymes and denaturation without reference to the cell membrane and equally a number of candidates just referred to temperature and kinetic energy of molecules in relation to diffusion rather than the affect on the structure of the membrane.

This suggests that many candidates may not have understood what was happening when they covered this core practical during their course.
(a) (i) Suggest one factor that affects the permeability of the beetroot cell membrane.

Write a hypothesis for this investigation that the student could test.
Factor The concentration of alcohol solution.
Hypothesis There is a significant correlation between the concentration of alcohol solution and the permeability of beetroot cell membrane. As the concentration of alcohol increases, the permeability of beetroot cell also. increases.
(ii) Use your biological knowledge and understanding to explain and justify this hypothesis.

The beetroot cell membrane consists of phospholipids bilayer. These phospholipids bilayer can be dissolved in the alcoholic solution. Hence, as the concentration of alcohol increases, more and more portion of phospholipid bilayer is dissolved, resulting in the increasing permeability of beetroot cell membrane.

This is an example of a good response that scored all 5 marks available.

1ai. This candidate has identified a suitable factor and included the independent and dependent variable in the bypothesis. Reference to a significant correlation alone would have been a weak response, but they go on to suggest a clear trend. Ideally they should refer to what is measured in the investigation as their dependent variable, i.e. the intensity of the colour of the solution (or similar).
1aii. This response clearly shows what part of the membrane is affected and explains how the alcohol affects it. They also go on to explain the effect on permeability of the membrane and suggest a suitable trend that would be expected.
(a) (i) Suggest one factor that affects the permeability of the beetroot cell membrane.

Write a hypothesis for this investigation that the student could test.
Facer- The thickness of beetroot's cell membrane.
Hypothesis The thicker the membrane of beetroot cell, the intensity of red colouration in the distilled water will be lesser.
(ii) Use your biological knowledge and understanding to explain and justify this hypothesis.
Beetroot's (ell membrane is a semipermeable membrane Which allows only certain ions or molecules to get into and out ot (ell. Membrane is made up of a layer of matrix like protein structure, mossoic pattern. A within this matrix there are many gyloglyolipids and pore protein. As the membrane's matrix is very thick, not all the red liquid can pass out as it takes time. At and also due to the smaller surface ore to volume nation Movement of liquid is any till equilhnium reached.

This response scored 0 marks.

## Resuilsplus

## Examiner Comments

This is an example of a candidate with a relatively common misconception that cell membranes may be different widths.
(a) (i) Suggest one factor that affects the permeability of the beetroot cell membrane.

Write a hypothesis for this investigation that the student could test.

Factor Temperature of distilled stere
Hypothesis Bebleot Permeability of beetroot cell membranes increases as temperature increases.
(ii) Use your biological knowledge and understanding to explain and justify this hypothesis.

As temperature increases, cell wall is broken down. More
pigment molecules are able to diffuse through cell membrane
as the enzymes in cell are denatured.
Intensity of red colouration in the distilled water increases.

This response scored two marks for ai) but no marks for ait).


## Resulisplus

Examiner Tip

In preparing for this paper candidates should have a good look at all of the core practicals in the specification and make sure they understand the underlying biological principles being explored as well as the practical techniques employed.

## Resulisplus

## Examiner Comments

This response illustrates another couple of common errors.

1. They refer to the cell wall rather than the cell membrane.
2. They describe the affect of temperature on enzymes in the cell rather than any component of the cell membrane.
3. They could have scored a mark if they had been clear what was happening to the pigment (belatin) molecules i.e. they were leaking from the vacuoles (cells).

## Resulisplus

Examiner Tip
In explaining a hypothesis make sure that you are clear about what is being affected (in this case the components of the cell membrane, causing the membrane to become more permeable and allow the belatin pigment to leak out of the cell into the solution = the dependent variable) and how it is being affected by the factor that is changing (the independent variable).

## Question 1(b)

1 bi. Most candidates successfully identified at least one variable to control and many got two. The most common mistake here was using a vague term such as amount or size as a variable rather than something that could be more precisely measured like volume, mass or surface area.

1bii. A number of candidates did not relate the control method to their answer in (i).
Those that stated size gained a mark if they stated using a cork borer and then cut the cylinder to a stated length. However the name cork borer escaped some.

A lot of candidates gave a very general response to the last part of the question. They did not understand that the answer had to relate directly to effect on the results.

## Resulispius

## Examiner Comments

Although size was not allowed, they did clarify length to salvage a mark for bi. Amount of water was too vague for a second mark for the factors, but they were given credit in part (Di) for measuring the 'amount'. The explanation of the effect also reveals a common misconception that osmosis is the process involved. They also fail to say how the colour intensity will be affected (e.g. decrease with increasing volume of water).
(b) (i) State two factors that need to be controlled in this investigation.

1. The sine of the beet rout, (length of the beetroot besant 2 The amount of distilled water used for each tompeatus
(ii) Suggest how one of the factors you have stated in (b)(i) could be controlled. If this factor had not been controlled, what effect would it have on the results?

> (2)

How the factor is controlled 27 wee a mont of distilled water
Measure using a measuring cylinder known amount, say $50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of distilled water and then pour into the testube with the beet rot.

Effect on the results if this factor is not controlled. If factor is not controlled the amount of content coming out of the coll ail be affected. More water causes more content to spill into water. less water lass content. The intensity measured from the colorimeter will be affected.

This response scored one mark for each part of the question.


## Examiner Tip

Please note measuring volume with a measuring cylinder was just accepted here, but A level candidates should know that this is insufficiently precise for most measurements of volume.

## Resulisplus

## Examiner Comments

bi) size and amount were too vague, particularly as the size here relates to the beetroot cells! bii) This response gets the mark for measuring the same volume of water with a pipette and going on to successfully describe what would happen to the measurement of the dependent variable if the volume had been increased.
(b) (i) State two factors that need to be controlled in this investigation.

1 The size of the beetroot cells
2 The amount of distilled voter in the test tribes
(ii) Suggest how one of the factors you have stated in (b)(i) could be controlled. If this factor had not been controlled, what effect would it have on the results?
(2)

How the factor is controlled you can meagre accurately the amount of
distilled water in the test tries by sing a measmy pipette. This wry allow yer to measure out exactly the same volume of water beng put in the test tries.

Effect on the results if this factor is not controlled The colommeter will get different readings as fer if there is more water in 0 me tent trine, the pigment win be mane cite there the colontueter will not get an accurate reading of ioghabsorption.

This response scored no marks for part bi), but two marks for pi).

## Question 1(c)

Many candidates did not understand the idea of systematic error.
Many candidates stated/identified the dependent variable and these, on the whole, referred to calibration of the colorimeter to reduce a systematic error. However, a lot of candidates did not stated/identified the dependent variable and consequently just listed general comments about reducing errors in the investigation.
(c) Describe how you could reduce systematic errors in the measurements of the dependent variable in this investigation.

Before measuring the intersty of ned colouration in the distilled water with a adourineler, you first how to calibrate the edavimeler. Ya also haw to use a green filler. Also, before pacing beetroot peces in asch, rinse them with under to remove pageant from any broken dolls

This is an example of a good response scoring both available marks.

## Resulisplus

Examiner Comments
This response identifies the dependent variable and demonstrates a good understanding of systematic errors in the context of this experiment, recognising that calibration, filter selection and rinsing will all help to reduce systematic errors.
(c) Describe how you could reduce systematic errors in the measurements of the dependent variable in this investigation.

## To control the temperature you could use a

 thermometer. A thermometer gives you the exact reading of the temperature. This reduces systematic errors because you know what the temperature is and can lower it or increase it to fit the course of the experiment.This response scored no marks.

## Resulisplus

Examiner Comments
This is typical of many responses that focussed on better measurement of the independent or control variables and did not therefore demonstrate an awareness of what a systematic error is.
(c) Describe how you could reduce systematic errors in the measurements of the dependent variable in this investigation. at each different PH, to eliminate errors in human reaction tiu e for example or in ancon uning reading of the calorimeter Also mare another student to repeat the experiment, so that results con be compared

This response scored no marks.

## ResulisPlus

Examiner Comments
This is another typical wrong response where candidates consider repetition as the way to reduce errors, although this will often not reduce the effect of a systematic error.


## ResulisPlus

## Examiner Tip

Under Practical Biology Skills in the specification it states: 'Possible systematic errors and random errors in generating results are identified and explained.'
Candidates should therefore be supported in identifying systematic and random errors in their practical work, particularly the core practicals identified in the specification.

## Question 2(a)

A large number of candidates do not know that you have to have the words 'no significant difference' (or similar) in a null hypothesis such as this. There was also a significant number of candidates who compared the two variables in the hypothesis (altitude and number of red blood cells in the blood) rather than comparing the two sets of measurements (number of red blood cells in blood before and after mountain training).
(a) Write a null hypothesis for this investigation.

There is no susinficant difference the no. of RBC $\times 10^{12} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ of 6 blood before mountain truing and oftes mountain tracking.

A good response scoring the one mark available.

## Results Pius

Examiner Comments
This response correctly states a suitable null hypothesis for this investigation.
(a) Write a null hypothesis for this investigation.

This response correctly states a suitable null hypothesis for this investigation. An example of a response not worthy of the mark.

## Resulisfius

## Examiner Comments

This response does not score the mark as it does not refer to 'no significant difference' rather than just no effect.

## Question 2(b)

This question was answered well by the large majority of candidates. However, the quality of tables varied considerably. The main errors that lost candidates marks were with units in the column headings and in a few cases the correct sign for the calculated differences.
(b) Calculate the difference in the number of red blood cells before and after the mountain training for each athlete. Prepare a table to display the raw data and your calculated values.

| Athkes | number of red blood cells before mountiin training | number of red blood cells after mountain training | Differences in the number of red blood cells. $\left.\left(\times 10^{10} \mathrm{perdm}\right)^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 5.0 | 4.9 | -0.1 |
| B | 5.1 | 5.3 | 0.2 |
| $C$ | 4.9 | 5.7 | 0.8 |
| D | 5.3 | 5.5 | 0.2 |
| E | 5.4 | 5.6 | 0.2 |
| F | 5.0 | 5.4 | 0.4 |
| $G$ | 4.8 | 5.3 | 0.5 |
| H | 5.1 | 5.6 | 0.5 |
| I | 5.5 | 5.1 | -0.4 |
| mean | 5.12 | 5.38 |  |

A typical response that scores 4 marks out of 4.

## Resuitisplus

Examiner Comments

A typical response that manages to meet all of the marks required for the question.
(b) Calculate the difference in the number of red blood cells before and after the mountain training for each athlete. Prepare a table to display the raw data and your calculated values.

| Athletes | Number of red blood cells $\times 10^{12}$ perdm ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before mountain <br> training | After maintain <br> training | Difference <br> of number of <br> red blood cells |
| A | 5.0 | 4.9 | 0.1 |
| B | 5.1 | 5.3 | 0.2 |
| C | 4.9 | 5.7 | 0.8 |
| $D$ | 5.3 | 5.5 | 0.2 |
| E | 5.4 | 5.6 | 0.2 |
| $F$ | 5.0 | 5.4 | 0.4 |
| $G$ | 4.8 | 5.3 | 0.5 |
| H | 5.1 | 5.6 | 0.5 |
| $I$ | 5.5 | 5.1 | 0.4 |

This response scored three of the four marks available.

## Resulisplus

## Examiner Comments

This illustrates one of the typical errors where the candidate has ignored the negative values for the differences with candidates A and I.
(b) Calculate the difference in the number of red blood cells before and after the mountain training for each athlete. Prepare a table to display the raw data and your calculated values.

| Athletes label | Number of red blood cells $\times 10^{12} \mathrm{per} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Blood before Mountain training | Blood ofter Monntain training |
| A | 5.0 | 4.9 |
| B | 5.1 | 5.3 |
| c | 4.9 | 5.7 |
| D | 5.3 | S. 5 |
| $\varepsilon$ | S. 4 | 5.6 |
| $F$ | 5.0 | 5.4 |
| G | 4.8 | 5.3 |
| H | 5.1 | 5.6 |
| I | 5.5 | S. 1 |
|  | 46.1 | 48.4 |

This table only scores one of the four available marks.

## Resuilisplus

## Examiner Comments

This table has not displayed the required values stated in the question i.e. the raw data and the calculated values for the differences. As a result the table is not suitable and the marks for calculating the differences are not available.
(b) Calculate the difference in the number of red blood cells before and after the mountain training for each athlete. Prepare a table to display the raw data and your calculated values.

| Athletes | \# of red bleed cels int ses level | $\#$ of reid blea Alove sea level | difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 5.0 | 4.9 | -0.1 |
| B | 5.1 | 5.3 | 0.2 |
| C | 4.9 | 5.7 | 0.8 |
| D | 5.3 | 5.5 | 0.2 |
| E | 5.4 | 5.6 | 0.2 |
| $F$ | 5.0 | 5.4 | 0.4 |
| $G$ | 4.8 | 5.3 | 0.5 |
| H | 5.1 | 5.6 | 0.5 |
| I | 5.5 | 5.1 | -0.4 |
| Mean value | 5.1 | 5.4 | 0.3 |

This response scores three of the four available marks.
This response has lost a mark through not including suitable units in the column headings.

## Question 2(c)

Most candidates gained two marks for correctly understanding and applying the term anomalous result. A few candidates lost marks by not explaining why they had decided a result was anomalous, instead they provided biological explanations about what could have caused an anomalous result e.g. disease.
(c) Identify an anomalous result in the data from the athletes.

Athlete 'I' has a negative change, 0.4
Give one reason for your answer.
Almost all other athletes has an increase in the red blood cell count while a thlete I has a decrease.

This response scored both available marks.

## Resulisplus

## Examiner Comments

This was the most common response to this question.
(c) Identify an anomalous result in the data from the athletes.
(2)

$$
\text { Subject 草chad an increase } 0.8 \times 10^{12} \text { as els } / \mathrm{dm}^{7}
$$

Give one reason for your answer.
Because the maguthde of the value of the difference is very, much different from all the dias. The renget differeessin other individuals is 0.2 to 0.5 . Thereat den ansorester, cobrich makes the.

This response scored both available marks.

## Resulisplus

## Examiner Comments

This response was also worthy of full credit demonstrating that anomalous results are any results that do not fit the general trend.

## Question 2(d)(e)

2d. Almost all candidates produced the right calculation. The main error here was missing units. A few candidates, incorrectly, gave answers to 3 or more decimal places, and a few made mistakes in rounding down instead of up.

2e. The majority of candidates produced a good bar chart showing the results. Some missed out 'mean' when labelling the $y$ axis or failed to give the units properly. Few candidates included error bars, which are a useful addition when plotting means to show the range of results obtained. A few candidates produced graphs of a very small, unsuitable, scale, or used very awkward scales (e.g. going up 0.7 per 10 squares) often resulting in plotting errors.
(d) Calculate the mean number of red blood cells per $\mathrm{dm}^{3}$ of blood for the group of athletes before and after mountain training.

Mean number of red blood cells before training $\quad 5.1 \times 10^{12} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ of blood
Mean number of red blood cells after two weeks training at $2000 \mathrm{~m} 5.4 \times 10^{1} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ of blood
(e) Present the calculated mean red blood cell counts in a suitable graphical form.


This response scored all five marks available.

## Resulisplus <br> Examiner Comments

This is an example of a good response scoring maximum marks for each section.
(d) Calculate the mean number of red blood cells per $\mathrm{dm}^{3}$ of blood for the group of athletes before and after mountain training.

Mean number of red blood cells before training $5.1 \times 10^{12} \cdot 1 m^{-3}$
Mean number of red blood cells after two weeks training at $2000 \mathrm{~m}=5.5 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$
mean before training $=\frac{5 \cdot 1+4 \cdot 9+5 \cdot 3+5 \cdot 4+5 \cdot 0+4 \cdot 8+5 \cdot 1}{7}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{35.7}{7} \\
& =5.1 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

mean after training =

$=\frac{38.4}{7}$

$$
=5.5 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}
$$

## Examiner Comments

2d) The second difference has been calculated incorrectly, as they have chosen to exclude a couple of the athletes from their calculation, without providing a clear justification.
2e) although we would allow an error carried forward for the graph, the second bar was still plotted incorrectly and the axis should have been labelled as the mean number of red blood cells per litre of blood as this is what has been plotted.


This response scores one mark (out of two) for 2d) and one mark (out of three) for 2 e .

Examiner Tip

Follow the question directions carefully for calculations and make sure you remember to bring your calculator into the exam.
When plotting graphs, chose a scale that will spread your data over the majority of the page, but use a simple scale that uses the decimal paper to make plotting easier and quicker. Remember that you need to clearly show that you have broken the axis between 0 and your next labelled data line if you are just plotting the top of the data range to magnify the differences between the two sets of data (e.g. plotting 5.0 upwards). Finally make sure axes are carefully labelled with a description of what the variable is and include the correct SI units.

## Question 2(f)

The majority of candidates were able to interpret the significance of the calculated $t$ value and the critical value table at the correct significance/confidence level. However, the majority of candidates only stated their conclusions in terms of the null hypothesis rather than a conclusion for the investigation identifying what the effect of the altitude training actually is.

A very small number of candidates clearly did not understand the statistics at all, despite this being a clear requirement of the specification for unit 6 (interpretation and evaluation).

## Results Plus

## Examiner Comments

This is an example of a typical response scoring all three marks where the candidate has identified the effect of altitude training on the number of red blood cells, correctly interpreting the results of the statistical calculation using $5 \%$ confidence levels.
(f) A $t$-test was applied to the data to test the null hypothesis. The calculated value of $t$ was 2.24 .
The table below shows the critical values of $t$ with 16 degrees of freedom, at different significance levels.

| Significance level (p) | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.001 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Critical value of $\boldsymbol{t}$ | 1.34 | 1.75 | 2.12 | 2.92 | 4.02 |

What conclusion can be drawn from this investigation? Use the information in the table to explain your answer.

The calculated value of $t$ is higher than the critical raf value of $t$ at the $95 \%$ confidence level. There the null hypothesis is rejected and the hypothesis a $A$ there is a significant difference between the mean number of blood cells, per dm 3 of blood in the athletes before and after training aldutuly It can be concluded, from this investigation, that the mean number of red blood ells increases after training as the the neamber of red blood cells in per $\mathrm{dm}^{3}$ of blood is greater in afftetes after training at 2000 m above sea level.

This response scored all three marks available.

## Resulisplus

## Examiner Comments

This is an example of a typical response scoring two marks for correctly interpreting the results of the statistical calculation using $5 \%$ confidence levels. However, they have failed to identify what the trend/ difference in the results caused by the altitude difference is.
(f) A $t$-test was applied to the data to test the null hypothesis.

The calculated value of $t$ was 2.24 .
The table below shows the critical values of $t$ with 16 degrees of freedom, at different significance levels.

| Significance level (p) | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.001 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Critical value of t | 1.34 | 1.75 | 2.12 | 2.92 | 4.02 |

What conclusion can be drawn from this investigation? Use the information in the table to explain your answer.

The calculated $t$ value (2.24) is greater than the critical $t$ value ( 2.12 ) at $95 \%$ confidence level $(p=0.05$ ). Hence, there is a significant difference between the number of red blood all counts per $\mathrm{dm}^{3}$ blood after tramming at different sea level ire. normal sea level and 200 m above sea level.

## Resuilsplus

## Examiner Tip

If asked to draw a conclusion and there is a difference/trend in the results - don't forget to say what it is.

This is typical of the majority of responses that only scored two of the three available marks.

## Question 3

This question achieved a very wide range of marks with many candidates recognising the features of a good investigation, but many candidates clearly did not know where to start or what to consider.

3a. Many candidates did not understand this part of the question and wrote general statements to do with the method.

Many candidates trotted out descriptions of how to do random sampling with quadrats without relating it to the question in any coherent way.

Sometimes it was possible to confirm that the sampling was to compare the differences in yield with difference in seed sowing density by looking at the answer to 3c.

Safety and ethical issues varied but were often vague. Insect bites, snake bites, plant allergies and soil pathogens made up the bulk of the safety issues. Ethical issues were on the whole too vague i.e. avoid damaging the environment or trampling plants/insects.

3b. There were some good responses to this part of the question. However, many candidates clearly do not understand the value and purpose of preliminary work. Very few candidates identified the need to determine an appropriate dependent variable.

3c. Many candidates had trouble with clearly defining a suitable dependent variable for the investigation. Many were comparing differences in density of germinating seeds to the density of sown seeds rather than percentage germination relative to sowing density. Few realised it was a question of measuring something about the plant growth, for example, dry mass in g per unit area. Describing differences in sowing density for an independent variable was usually more satisfactory although many candidates did not provide specifics about planting the seeds at different densities and too many students just referred to planting seeds their seeds 'too far apart' and 'too close together' as their independent variable. Most candidates gained at least two marks for identifying two variables which needed to be controlled but many candidates failed to explain how to control them. Some gave details of how to measure a range of abiotic factors without making it clear how doing this would help to cope with variation. Several candidates wrote at length about variables to control and very little else so their method was incomplete.

The quality of written communication was very variable. Many reports were disorganised and some where very difficult to follow. The use of scientific vocabulary was variable. Spelling varied considerably. Grammatical errors were due to the disjointed and bitty descriptions given by many candidates.

3d. Some candidates did not understand what was expected of this section and just used it to finish the method here and put what they would measure etc.

Tables were often poor with correct headings missing. Means were often considered but not always correctly. Graphs varied considerably. A number of candidates chose the correct format for the data suggested from their table. A number of candidates chose the correct statistical test for their data, t tests and Spearman's rank being the main ones chosen. However many students did not know which test was suitable for the data as they had presented and proposed statistical tests that were inappropriate to what they were proposing to do e.g. suggesting a t-test for a scatter diagram.

3e. Most candidates gained a mark for saying there were abiotic factors that were difficult to control unless one used a greenhouse. Some of the better responses recognised other limitations such as the effect of animals eating the seeds, that greenhouse conditions might not correspond to those in the field and the difficulties of ensuring genetically uniform seed. Few scored all three marks. A significant number of candidates referred to predators of the seeds.
(a) An outline of a suitable sampling technique for this investigation and whether there are any safety and ethical issues you would need to consider.

For this investiqation, 1 will use a line ransect to observe the seeds af The rurnips. I will need to make sure that sun screen is worn to prorect myself from the sun raus. Appropriate shoes must be worn as there may be rocks on the graund so hard weaning shoes need to be worm to proteck you from stepping on something shar.p. Becareful to not stand on any plants as this will kill them
(b) Suggestions for preliminary work that you might undertake to ensure your proposed method would provide meaningful data.

Use a guide book which nas pictures of plants to distinquish what are parsnips. Take a random walk along the ground where you will plant the parsnips to make sure that there are no other plants which may affect the growth of the parsnips. Plant one parsnip seed to see how much water \& other factors it needs to start growing. Determine how much is roo clase or to far aunay by observing other parsnips which are being grown. This will also determine that this is the right time of year to grow parsnips.
(c) A detailed method including an explanation of how important variables are to be controlled or monitored.
three
Find areas of the same size \& turn ouer the sail in each to remove somes platis
the larger stonesn \& also makes the ground more easier to plant seed in it. Mark these areas as the area which has the seeds too close, too far away of the control area. There needs to be a cantrol area to make sure that it is the distance which is affecting the glawth of the parsnips Buy a packet of parsnip seeds \& nave 10 seeds in each area which means that a statistical test can be dane later on By having the same serds fram ane investriation packet makes the expeffnent more reliable as they are lixely to be fram the same parent plant, in the first area (too close) plant the seeds in a row with the same distance between them of 10 cm . The seeds need to be planted
at the same depth everutime of 4 cm ance the seeds nave been planted they should be covered with the same soil (from a soil bag - same ingredients for reliability) \& then watered using a measuring cyclinder with the same volume of water. The same procedure needs to be done with the area with the parsnips too far away of the control. The seeds in the too far away area need to be the same distance of 1 m . This can be measured using a measuning tape. For the control area, the distance between the seeds will be 45 cm which is halfway between the other two areas. Make sure the same depth of putting the seed into the soil is constant once all the seeds have been watered make sure that there are two abiotic factors the same In this investigatian this will be the amount of rainfall \& light inlensity. leave the seeds for 72 nours to grow \& come back at the same time to monitor the progress count the number of parsnips which nave emerged from the soil. A pasnip nas emerged from the sail when it is at least Icm out of the sail. Measur using a nuler Mare sur that the results haue been recarded in a suitable table. By using a systematic approach of a line transect you are able to moue down the rows of the area to count how many parsnips there are without harminy any another living organism as you are keeping to a path Each area will be repeated 3 times in arder to draw up reliable evidence
at the same depth everutime of 4 cm . ance the seeds nave been planted they should be covered with the same soil (from a soil baq - same ingredients for reliability) \& then watered using a measuring cyclinder with the same volume of water. The same procedure needs to be done with the area with the parsnips too far away of the control. The seeds in the too far away area need to be the same distance of 1 m . This can be measured using a measuning rape. For the control area, the distance between the seeds will be 45 cm which is halfway between the other two areas. Make sure the same depth of putting the seed into the soil is constant. Once all the seeds have been watered make sure that there are two abiotic factors the same. In this investigation this will be the amount of rainfau \& light inlensitu. Leave the seeds for 72 hours to grow \& come back at the same time to monitor the progress count the number of parsnips which nave emerqed from the soil. A pasnip has emerged from the sail when it is at least Icm out of the sail. Measur using a muler Mare sur that the results have been recorded in a switable table. By using a systematic approach of a line rranseck you are able to moue down the rows of the area to count how many parsnips there are without harming any another living organism as you are keeping to a path Each area will be repeated 3 times in order to draw up reliable evidence
(d) A clear explanation of how your data is to be recorded, presented and analysed, in order to draw conclusions from your investigation.
r-test
A statistical test will be chosen, in this case it will be a mean number of parsnips will be caunted in each area of the conicol, too close \& too far away. The nult hypathesis for this experiment will be, the that there is no significant difference between the number of parsnips \& the distance they are away from each other

$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Resulisplus

## Examiner Comments

This response achieved 3 marks (out of 3 ) for section a (although one mark came from the method section), 2 out of 4 for section b, 10 out of 10 for section $c, 4$ out of 4 for section $d$ and 2 out of 3 for section e.
It helps to illustrate that covering general points regarding clear identification of variables (especially the dependent and independent variable) and issues around the prior planning, analysis and evaluation of an investigation can provide access to the majority of marks available.
(e) The limitations of your proposed method.
(3)

As we are working outside, we are not able to control aul the abiotic facters like the pH of the soil or the amount of raincau that fouls on that area... This
may affect the resuls, Other livine orqanisms may eat the parsnip shoots before we get to it ( 72 nous.), We moy think that it will nor grow while it may have been eaten. The sreds we qet from the packet may aurrady be dead which means we will think that ir is the distance between them.
(Total for Question 3 = 24 marks)

TOTAL FOR PAPER = 50 MARKS

This is an example of a good response that scored 21 of the 24 marks available.


## ResulisPlus

## Examiner Tip

Read the criteria for the unit 6 practical biology and investigative skills carefully and you will get a good idea of the sort of things you need to consider when tackling a planning question like this. Although you are not required to carry out a specific statistical test you should be aware of which types of test are appropriate for which types of data so you can plan to collect sufficient data for analysis.
(a) An outline of a suitable sampling technique for this investigation and whether there are any safety and ethical issues you would need to consider.
$\qquad$

- Ensure that the seeder so r $\qquad$ originates. $\qquad$ ne
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ Minimum
disturbance $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
(b) Suggestions for preliminary work that you might undertake to ensure your proposed method would provide meaningful data.
- Practice proper souther ant hod
- Practice proposed method
- Consider what other variables need to be ton en into accent
- Ensure the seeds are from the some spenser.
(c) A detailed method including an explanation of how important variables are to be controlled or monitored.
- Using transect.
- Sample glans a reguise interval.
- check haw many dots age is related or the sine with
the statistics tort
- Ensure that the seeds are from the same species.
- Apply $t$ tart.
- Check the pH of the soil by using pH e meter
un *
- Pesticides is sprayed to the cops to avoid pests


## Resuisflus

## Examiner Comments

This response shows that the candidate understood several of the elements of investigation design, but failed to flesh out the details, particularly in the main planning section. The dependent and independent variables have not been clearly defined and as a result of the brief and disjointed style no credit for quality of written response has been awarded.
3a Only just got 1 out of 3 marks available.
3b 3 out of 4 marks available.
3c 2 out of 10 marks available.
3d 0 out of 4 marks available.
3e 1 out of 3 marks available.
(d) A clear explanation of how your data is to be recorded, presented and analysed, in order to draw conclusions from your investigation.

- A cles toble which mataner method of description with headings and units:
- Mesa calculated from reperted data.
- Use Correlation tentr.
- Scottr greph is groph.
(e) The limitations of your proposed method.
- Difficult to centol $i^{\text {al }}$ the abiotic factors that affect the growth of the seeds.
- biffcult to divide the distance for sowning the seed.
- Risk of disturbaice to the ecosystem and habitst.

This response scored 7 out of the 24 marks available.

## Grade Boundaries

| Grade | Max. Mark | a* | A | B | C | D | E | N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raw boundary mark | 50 | 41 | 36 | 31 | 27 | 23 | 19 | 15 |
| Uniform boundary mark | 60 | 54 | 48 | 42 | 36 | 30 | 24 | 18 |
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