



Examiners' Report January 2010

GCE Biology 6BI02





Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at www.edexcel.com. If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our **Ask The Expert** email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link:

http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/

Alternatively, you can speak directly to a subject specialist at Edexcel on our dedicated Science telephone line: 0844 576 0037

ResultsPlus

ResultsPlus is our unique performance improvement service for you and your students.

It helps you to:

- Raise attainment by providing in-depth analysis of where your class did well and not so well, enabling you to identify areas to focus on/make improvements.
- **Spot performance trends** at a glance by accessing one-click reports. You can even choose to compare your cohort's performance against other schools throughout the UK.
- **Personalise your students' learning** by reviewing how each student performed, by question and paper you can use the detailed analysis to shape future learning.
- Meet the needs of your students on results day by having immediate visibility of their exam performance at your fingertips to advise on results.

To find out more about ResultsPlus and for a demonstration visit http://resultsplus.edexcel.org.uk/home

January 2010

Publications Code USO22640

All the material in this publication is copyright © Edexcel Ltd 2010

6BI02 Enhance Examiners' Report January 2010

Maximum mark 80

Mean mark 46.3

Standard deviation 10.5

General comments

It was most gratifying to see many candidates displaying good knowledge and understanding of the unit 2 material tested in this examination. In particular, responses to the core practical questions were tackled confidently and with an increasing appreciation of How Science Works. Both candidates and their teachers should be congratulated on this.

In some cases, candidates delivered detailed and comprehensive answers but their responses did not match the command word of the question. It is imperative that candidates consider carefully the instructions given in a question so that they can tailor their answers accordingly.

Question 1

Most candidates displayed a good grasp of the various cell aspects tested. A minority of responses to part (b) gave a description of the structures rather than just naming them as requested. There was some confusion between cisterna and crista, membrane and cell surface membrane when identifying part Y.

Question 2

Whilst many candidates recognised and described the differences between identical and non-identical twins, the differences between genotype and phenotype were perhaps less well understood in (a). On several occasions, candidates only dealt with one aspect, as illustrated in the answer below.

Explain how the data in the table show the effects that genotype and the environment have on the phenotypes.

the principle (3)

The data in the table shows that apparatype for identical twins its porturability across not have exactly mean the same height + mass. This is because those genotypes are continuous and payopenic. Meaning that they can be influenced by environmental factors. Such as a height, the ica person is under manature namended their they will not reach their potential height. For mass, this can depend on how rungh a persont early and now much they exercise.

In this example, the candidate has correctly linked the mass and height differences of the identical twin to the environment for mp3. This was the only mark achieved.

Examiner Comments

It was most encouraging to see that many candidates had a very clear and detailed appreciation of phase 1 and phase 2 drug testing, though a minority felt that double-blind trials were a feature of phase 2. However, the need for testing on animals was undertaken less confidently with many suggesting that humans and rats were similar because they had the same DNA.

(i) Suggest why a drug can be tested on rats before testing on humans.
(2)
- Rats have a similar genetic code to humans - Less ethical issues for rats than humans



This answer achieved one mark as it makes a relevant reference to ethics (for mp5).

Many candidates confidently took the novel approach to this question comfortably in their stride. Xylem was correctly named by most as the tissue in photograph A. Part (a)(ii) elicited the full mark range and enabled some candidates to showcase a thorough knowledge of the relationship between the structure and function of xylem.



A breakdown of the question shows that candidates needed to offer a description and an explanation for two different functions to gain 4 marks.

*(II) Describe and explain how this tissue is adapted for the transport of water and support in a plant.

An explem vessel is a long tybe that how lost its top & bottom cell wouls after lightin has been added and also lost in cell organizes. It has pits in the side of it to allow water molecula to move across different vessels. It is made of a smood sugar called cellulose which helps it to keep a smood smicture to support the plant. Morotribriis are showd within the ressel that also keep in Smood. It pulls water up the ressel via a process of its transpiration sheam.



This is a good answer but only achieved three marks. Whilst a reference is made to the loss of end walls there is no related explanation. However, the second sentence delivers a water transport description (pits) and a clear explanation (water moves across different vessels). The reference to lignin is the only valid support comment since the details given relating to cellulose do not imply additional cellulose.


Part (b) gave a pleasing number of candidates the opportunity to write detailed and clear descriptions. The most common misconception was that cells were activated and transcribed.



As this is a question with a logical sequence to the answer, the majority of candidates that scored full marks gave their answer in a sequential manner beginning with genes being activated or deactivated.

Many candidates clearly relished part (c) and wrote very coherent and full responses. In most cases, they were able to modify their experience of the core practical to this situation. A few viewed it incorrectly as a root tip squash.


The response below was typical of a number of candidates and suggests some ambiguity between totipotent and pluripotent.



One mark was awarded for this answer. Mp1 was gained in the first sentence but mp5 was not achieved in the third sentence as the context was incorrect.

This question proved challenging for some candidates and a wide array of scores was seen. Whilst part (a) was done well by the majority of candidates, only a minority achieved both marks for (b)(i). In the latter, this was often due to candidates describing the DNA content doubling rather than giving an explanation of why it does double. Many candidates found the duration of mitosis calculation challenging.


Part (c) was particularly well done. Congratulations to both candidates and their teachers as few confused prophase with any other phase. A few incorrectly referred to DNA coiling and becoming visible.





This is a nice answer giving good detail about centrioles and the spindle. However, the reference to nuclear envelope in the final sentence was inaccurate so only 2 marks were awarded (mp4 and mp5) for this response.

Part (a) required candidates to supply three structural differences between a sperm cell and an egg cell. It was rare to encounter a response that referenced a structure to both cells such as an acrosome was present in the sperm cell but absent in the egg cell. However, it was assumed that if a candidate stated the presence of a structure in one cell, the implication was that it was absent from the other cell. There was evidence in this question item that some candidates seemed a little unsure of what constituted a structural point.




One mark was awarded for this answer. Mp1 was gained in the first sentence but mp5 was not achieved in the third sentence as the context was incorrect.

Examiner Comments

By contrast, parts (b) and (c) were tackled nicely by the majority of candidates. In the latter case, the near universal emphasis was on the zona pellucida thickening to inhibit polyspermy. Only a few references to how the zona becomes thickened (mp3) or the completion of meiosis II (mp1) were seen.

It was pleasing to see many candidates display a good appreciation of this aspect of plant fertilisation but a number suggested that a zygote and a seed were the same and used both in their answers.

This question proved a challenging one and elicited the full mark range. In part (a)(ii) many recognised that the ant species abundance may be related to the amount of vegetation present but rather fewer considered the link between vegetation and copper level.



Whilst most candidates understood that drying and cooling would inhibit germination in (b)(i), many felt it was also to either clean the seeds or to denature enzymes. In (b)(ii) the most common correct answer related to mp1.




as to why seed germination is tested.

The majority of candidates appear to have a good knowledge of the various roles of a zoo and most

expressed themselves clearly and succinctly. A few limited themselves by only offering one way that zoos conserve endangered species and then gave an expansion rather than supplying a second way as requested.

This question tested knowledge, understanding and data interpretation and almost the full range of possible marks was seen. Some candidates delivered excellent comparisons of the effect of shoot and pod mass as calcium ion concentration increased in part (a)(i). However, a common response was to repeat the results.



This candidate answer illustrates the frequent trait amongst of giving a correlation response and then repeating it again in a different manner. In this case the correlation is correct so it gains mp1.

In part (b)(iii), most candidates gave the connection between high calcium ion concentration and protein content but only a minority pursued this to include a reference to high nitrogen uptake, etc. However, it was not uncommon to encounter candidates who felt obliged to write a converse answer. The most common error was for candidates to state that the protein content would be lower at high calcium ion concentrations as the latter had filled up the available space in the cells.

Question 8



An encouraging number of candidates demonstrated a good practical, as well as theoretical, understanding of this core practical.

In part (a) candidates generally made reference to agar or/and aseptic techniques, though all marking points were seen. Whilst the function of a control was well understood, part (b)(ii) and (iii) proved more problematic. Very few recognised the importance of the word 'around' in the stem of question in item (b)(ii) so marking point 1 was rarely awarded. In (b)(iii), some candidates gave a complete answer but many more made a correct reference to taking more than one diameter reading but then completed their suggestion by stating that a mean of their readings should be made.




It is imperative that a candidate carefully reads the question. On several occasions, candidates gave detailed descriptions of how they would use graph paper to measure the area of the clear zone.

Part (c) led to some very carefully constructed answers which displayed a thorough understanding of the core practical and an ability to apply it to this situation. About half of the candidates showed that only readings below 50% would be required (mp2). Some did this through a statement and others through giving examples of tea tree oil concentrations below 50%.



Marking point 3 was rarely awarded. Many candidates described taking diameter readings for decreasing tea tree oil concentrations and felt that the first one that was smaller than the diameter at 50% was equally effective as the 100% tea tree oil.



This candidate answer illustrates, in the final sentence, another frequently encountered response that would not gain mp3. More detail would be required.

The final part of this question offered the full mark range but with a relatively small number achieving both marks as few made the link with body temperature. Many candidates thought that 37°C was very hot and would burn humans, melt Petri dishes or denature enzymes.

APPENDIX A

Unit Grade Boundaries And Uniform Marks

The raw mark obtained in each module is converted into a standardised mark on a uniform mark scale, and the uniform marks are then aggregated into a total for the subject. Details of the method of aggregation are given in Appendix B.

For AS examinations, the two examined unit tests (6BI01 & 6BI02) each have a weighting of 40% with a maximum of 120 uniform marks; and the coursework unit* (Unit 6BI03) has a weighting of 20% with a maximum of 60 uniform marks.

For the A2 units, the two examined unit tests (6BI04 & 6BI05) also each have a weighting of 40% with a maximum of 120 uniform marks; and the coursework unit* (Unit 6BI06) has a weighting of 20% with a maximum of 60 uniform marks.

Therefore, for candidates taking the full A level, the four examined unit tests (6BI01, 6BI02, 6BI04, 6BI05) each have a weighting of 20% with a maximum of 120 uniform marks; and the two coursework units* (Unit 6BI03 & 6BI06) have a weighting of 10% with a maximum of 60 uniform marks.

The table below shows the boundaries at which raw marks were converted into uniform marks in this examination. The A and E grade boundaries are determined by inspection of the quality of the candidates' work. The other grade boundaries are determined by dividing the range of marks between A and E. Marks within each grade are scaled appropriately within the equivalent range of uniform marks.

Unit Grade Boundaries

Unit	Max. Mark	Α	В	С	D	E
	Uniform marks 120	96	84	72	60	48
6BI01 (Unit 1)	Raw marks 80	57	52	47	43	39
6BI02 (Unit 2)	80	57	52	48	44	40
6BI04 (Unit 4)	90	59	55	51	47	44

Unit	Max. Mark	Α	В	С	D	E
	Uniform marks 60	48	42	36	30	24
6BI07 (International)	Raw marks 40	29	25	21	18	15

^{*}or written alternative for International centres.

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publications@linneydirect.com</u> Order Code USO22640 January 2010

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750 Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH





