Version V1.1



General Certificate of Education (A-level) Applied January 2012

Applied Information and Communication Technology **IT01**

(Specification 8751/8753/8756/8757/8759)

Unit 1: ICT and Society

Final



Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all examiners participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the students' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each examiner analyses a number of students' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of students' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available from: aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2011 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

Copyright

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered schools/colleges for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to schools/colleges to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

The Assessment and Qualifications Alliance (AQA) is a company limited by guarantee registered in England and Wales (company number 3644723) and a registered charity (registered charity number 1073334). Registered address: AQA, Devas Street, Manchester M15 6EX.



Externally assessed work Mark Scheme January 2012

GCE Applied ICT (8751/3/6/9) Unit 1: ICT and Society (IT01)

| Centre name: | Centre no: | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| Candidate name: | Candidate no: | |

For each item a mark of zero will be recorded for all non-creditworthy responses.

| | 1 mark | 2 marks | 3 marks | Marks |
|------------------------------|--|--|---|-------|
| Items (a) and (n) 3 | Basic time planning shown. | Both planned time/date and time/date actually spent are recorded. | Time plan clearly shows monitoring of, and differences from, original version, showing reasons for differences. | |
| marks | | | | |
| ltem (b) 3 marks | Candidate has stated some of the characteristics of the audience. | Candidate has stated how the characteristics of the audience has an affect on content or layout. | Candidate has demonstrated an understanding of the affect on the needs of the audience on content and layout. | |
| | | | | |
| Item (c) 3 marks | Evaluation criteria produced for the web pages. | Candidate has produced relevant evaluation criteria. | Candidate has produced evaluation criteria that are suitable for assessing appropriateness for purpose and audience. | |
| | | | | |
| | Candidate has shown some evidence of a bibliography. | Candidate has used at least two different types of research sources. | | |
| Item | | | | |
| (d) 3 marks | Candidate has produced a bibliography that contains the detail as recommended in the Candidate Booklet. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | Page 1 Total | |
| | | | (12 max) | |

| | 1 mark | 2 marks | 3 marks | Marks |
|---------------------------|---|--|---|-------|
| ltem (e) 3 marks | Candidate has produced draft design(s). | Candidate has produced an annotated draft design(s). | Candidate has clearly shown understanding of the target audience and purpose in their annotated draft design(s). | |
| Item (f) | Some evidence of testing draft design(s). | Good evidence and understanding of how draft design(s) were tested for the target audience. | | |
| marks | | | | |

| | 1 mark | 2 marks | 3 marks | 4 marks | Marks |
|---------------------------|---|---|--|--|-------|
| | Final design has been produced. | Final design shows a range of design features. | Final design produced that shows a range of design features and contains some detail. | Detailed final design produced that could be implemented by a competent third party and clearly shows a range of fully labelled design features. | |
| Item (g) 8 marks | Candidate has annotated the final design. | In the annotation of the final design the candidate justifies how some design features are appropriate for audience or purpose. | In the annotation of the final design the candidate justifies how some design features are appropriate for audience and purpose. | The annotation fully justifies how the layout and content of the design are appropriate for the audience and purpose. | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Page 2 Total (13 max) | |

| | 1 mark | 2 marks | 3 marks | Marks |
|-----------------------|--|---|---|-------|
| ltem (h) 1 mark | Candidate has produced a screenshot(s) of all the files of researched material to be used under controlled conditions. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Candidate has produced annotated screen shots/printouts of the web pages showing the software facilities used. | Candidate has produced annotated screen shot(s)/printouts of the web pages and has pointed out a number of software facilities. | Candidate has produced fully annotated screen shot(s)/printouts. Candidate has explained most of the software facilities used in the context of the web pages. | |
| ltem (i) 6 | | | | |
| marks | Candidate has shown some evidence of using software facilities. | Candidate has demonstrated a number of software facilities used and has related these to purpose and audience. | Candidate has shown a very clear understanding of why the software facilities are fit for purpose and audience. | |
| | | | | |
| | Candidate has produced a series of screenshots displaying the web pages. | Candidate has produced a series of annotated screen shots showing how the web pages were built up or explaining how they were composed. | Candidate has produced a set of fully annotated screen shots, demonstrating clearly stages of composition and development. | |
| ltem (j) 6 | | | | |
| o marks | Candidate has produced some evidence of cross referencing web page content to their research. | Candidate has demonstrated how their research files have been used to produce the web pages. | Candidate has fully matched what they have produced to their files of research. It is possible to see where all the content has come from. | |
| | | | | |
| | • | • | Page 3 Total (13 max) | |

| | | | | Marks |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|-------|
| | Text may be difficult to und grammar, which cause prol weakness in these areas. T presentation generally not | ed understanding of the issues lerstand. There are errors of s blems in the reader's understa The candidate has used a forn appropriate to its purpose or a paragraphs are used in some | pelling, punctuation and anding and may suggest a n and style of writing and audience. Ideas are not well | |
| | least one of them. Candida Text is understandable. Th grammar, but not such as t such as to suggest a weak of writing and presentation with many deficiencies. Ca always fluently. Sentences | nost of the activities showing h te has shown some understar here may be some errors of sp o cause problems in the reade ness in these areas. Candida | nding of the issues involved. belling, punctuation and er's understanding and not te has used a form and style purpose and/or audience, but htforward ideas clearly, if not ays be well-connected. | |
| Item (k) up to 24 marks | 4 occasional errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar. Meaning is clear for a | | | |
| | High mark range 16-21 Candidate covers all of the activities and shows that they fully understand how ICT can be used. Candidate has considered any benefits and any drawbacks of the use of ICT in context. Text is understandable. There are few, if any, errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar. Meaning is clear for a reader. Candidate has selected and used a form and style of writing and presentation appropriate to purpose and audience, and has expressed complex ideas clearly and fluently. Sentences and paragraphs follow on from one another clearly and coherently. Specialist vocabulary has been used appropriately, including appropriate technical terms that relate to ICT. | | | |
| | 1 mark | 2 marks | 3 marks | |
| | A reader can see reference to some information/further information in their web pages. | A reader can see reference to the sources of most information on the web pages. | A reader can see reference to the sources of all information on the web pages. | |
| | | | Page 4 Total (24max) | |

| | 1 mark | 2 marks | 3 marks | |
|---------------------|---|---|--|--|
| ltem (l) 3 marks | Basic evaluation of how the web pages produced are suitable for audience or purpose. | Clear evaluation showing how the web pages produced are suitable for audience and purpose. | Comprehensive evaluation clearly demonstrating how the web pages produced are suitable for audience and purpose. Reference made to original evaluation criteria (c). | |
| ltem (m) 3 marks | Candidate has provided a basic evaluation of their performance or has shown how problems were overcome. | Evaluation produced including comment(s) on the candidate's performance and showing how problems were overcome. Appropriate reference made to screenshots. | Clear evaluation of their own performance produced including detailed explanation and clear evidence of problems overcome, referenced to screen shot(s) of development (j). | |
| ltem (o) 2 marks | Candidate has produced a screenshot(s) of the files of researched material that they used during controlled conditions. | Candidate has produced an annotated screenshot(s) of the files of researched material that they used during controlled conditions, annotated to show amendments and additions to the initial list. | | |
| | | | Page 5 Total | |
| | | | (8 max) | |

| Page | Maximum mark | Mark awarded |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1 | 12 | |
| 2 | 13 | |
| 3 | 13 | |
| 4 | 24 | |
| 5 | 8 | |
| Total | 70 | |

The following standard abbreviations may be used:

NONE There is no evidence presented for this section NA Not Appropriate – the information presented is not appropriate to the requirements of the task NE Not Enough – although there is some evidence present it is insufficient to gain marks BOD Benefit Of the Doubt